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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions for a $p(x)$-Kirchhoff type problem of the following form $$
\left\{\begin{aligned} M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \Delta\left(|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u\right) & =f(x, u) \end{aligned} \quad \text { in } \Omega, ~ \begin{array}{rl} u=\Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \end{array}\right.
$$ by using the mountain pass theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz and Ekeland's variational principle in two cases when the Carathéodory function $f(x, u)$ having special structure.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \Delta\left(|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u\right) & =f(x, u) & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1}\\
u & =\Delta u=0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, p(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $1<\inf _{\bar{\Omega}} p(x) \leq \sup _{\bar{\Omega}} p(x)<+\infty, \Delta\left(|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u\right)$ is the $p(x)$-biharmonic
operator and $M(t)$ is a continuous real-valued function, $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function having special structure.

Problem (1) is called a nonlocal one because of the presence of the term $M$, which implies that the equation in (1) is no longer pointwise identities. This provokes some mathematical difficulties which make the study of such a problem particularly interesting. Nonlocal differential equations are also called Kirchhoff type equations because Kirchhoff [19] has investigated an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{h}+\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{2} d x\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which extends the classical D'Alembert's wave equation, by considering the effect of the changing in the length of the string during the vibration. A distinguishing feature of equation (2) is that the equation contains a nonlocal coefficient $\frac{\rho_{0}}{h}+\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{2} d x$ which depends on the average $\frac{1}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{2} d x$, and hence the equation is no longer a pointwise identity. The parameters in (2) have the following meanings: $L$ is the length of the string, $h$ is the area of the cross-section, $E$ is the Young modulus of the material, $\rho$ is the mass density and $P_{0}$ is the initial tension. Lions [20] has proposed an abstract framework for the Kirchhoff type equations. After the work of Lions [20], various equations of Kirchhoff type have been studied extensively, see e.g. [3-10]. The study of Kirchhoff type equations has already been extended to the case involving the $p$-Laplacian (for details, see $[5,6],[9,10])$ and $p(x)$-Laplacian (see $[7,8,17,21]$ ).

Fourth order elliptic equations arise in many applications such as: Micro Electro Mechanical systems, thin film theory, surface diffusion on solids, interface dynamics, flow in Hele-Shaw cells, and phase field models of multiphase systems (see $[18,23]$ ) and the references therein. There is also another important class of physical problems leading to higher order partial differential equations. An example of this is Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation which models pattern formation in different physical contexts, such as chemical reaction diffusion systems and a cellular gas flame in the presence of external stabilizing factors (see [25]).

We assume throughout this paper that the Kirchhoff function $M$ satisfies the following hypotheses:
$\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)$ there exists a positive constant $m_{0}$ such that $M(t) \geq m_{0}$,
$\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ there exists $\mu \in(0,1)$ such that $\widehat{M}(t) \geq(1-\mu) M(t) t$, where

$$
\widehat{M}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} M(\tau) d \tau
$$

There are many functions $M$ satisfying the conditions $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$, for example, $M(t)=a+b t, a, b>0$. Inspired by the ideas in $[7,21,22]$ and the results in $[2,11]$, we study (1) in two distinct situations.

First, we consider the case when $f(x, u)=\lambda(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u$ in which the weight function $\lambda(x)$ does not change sign, i.e.,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \Delta\left(|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u\right) & =\lambda(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The function $\lambda$ satisfies
$\left(\Lambda_{1}\right) \lambda \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$,
$\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$ there exists an $x_{0} \in \Omega$ and two positive constants $r$ and $R$ with $0<r<R$ such that $\overline{B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} \subset \Omega$ and $\lambda(x)=0$ for $x \in \overline{B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}$ while $\lambda(x)>0$ for $x \in \Omega \backslash \overline{B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}$,
and the function $q$ is assumed to satisfy
$\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right) q \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $1 \leq q(x)<p_{2}^{*}(x)$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$,
$\left(\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right)$ either $\max _{\overline{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}} q(x)<p^{-}<\frac{p^{-}}{1-\mu}<p^{+}<\frac{p^{+}}{1-\mu}<\min _{\overline{\Omega \backslash B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}} q(x)$ or $\max _{\overline{\Omega \backslash B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}} q(x)<p^{-}<\frac{p^{-}}{1-\mu}<p^{+}<\frac{p^{+}}{1-\mu}<\min _{\overline{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}} q(x)$.
Our main result concerning problem (3) is given by the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. Assume that conditions $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right),\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)-\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right)$ are fulfilled. Then there exists $\nu^{*}>0$ such that problem (3) has at least two positive non-trivial weak solutions, provided that $\mid \lambda_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}<\nu^{*}$.

For example, the functions

$$
\lambda(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{r}\left(r-\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right), & \text { for } x \in \overline{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)} \\ 0, & \text { for } x \in \overline{B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)} \\ \frac{1}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|-R\right), & \text { for } x \in \Omega \backslash \overline{B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
q(x)= \begin{cases}t_{1}, & \text { for } x \in \frac{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}{\frac{t_{1}\left(R-\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)}{R-r}+\frac{t_{2}\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|-r\right)}{R-r},} \\ \text { for } x \in \overline{B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)} \\ t_{2}, & \text { for } x \in \Omega \backslash \overline{B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}\end{cases}
$$

satisfy the above conditions, where the positive numbers $t_{1}, t_{2}$ can be chosen in a suitable manner such as $t_{1}<p^{-}<\frac{p^{+}}{1-\mu}<t_{2}$ for the first case in $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right)$ and $t_{2}<p^{-}<\frac{p^{+}}{1-\mu}<t_{1}$ for the second one.

Next, we consider the case when $f(x, u)=\lambda|u|^{q(x)-2} u, \lambda$ is a positive parameter, that is,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \Delta\left(|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u\right) & =\lambda|u|^{q(x)-2} u & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

More exactly, we study the existence of solutions for (4) under the hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ and $q(x)$ is a assumed to satisfy the following condition
$\left(\mathrm{Q}_{3}\right) q^{-}<\frac{p^{-}}{1-\mu}<p^{+}$and $q^{+}<p_{2}^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}, \mu$ is given by $\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$.
Our main result concerning problem (4) in this case is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that the conditions $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{3}\right)$ are fulfilled. Then there exists a positive constant $\lambda^{*}$ such that for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, problem (4) has at least one non-trivial weak solution.

## 2. Notations and preliminaries

For the reader's convenience, we recall some necessary background knowledge and propositions concerning the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces. We refer the reader to the papers $[12,13,15,16]$.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{+}(\bar{\Omega}) & =\{p(x) ; p(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega}), p(x)>1, \text { for all } x \in \bar{\Omega}\}, \\
p^{+} & =\max \{p(x) ; x \in \bar{\Omega}\}, \\
p^{-} & =\min \{p(x) ; x \in \bar{\Omega}\}, \\
L^{p(x)}(\Omega) & =\left\{u ; u \text { measurable real-valued function s.th. } \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

with the norm

$$
|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}=|u|_{p(x)}=\inf \left\{\mu>0 ; \quad \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

Proposition 2.1 (see Fan and Zhao [16]). The space $\left(L^{p(x)}(\Omega),|\cdot|_{p(x)}\right)$ is separable, uniformly convex, reflexive and its conjugate space is $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ where $q(x)$ is the conjugate function of $p(x)$, i.e.,

$$
\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{q(x)}=1
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. For $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u v d x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right)|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{q(x)} \leq 2|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{q(x)} .
$$

The Sobolev space with variable exponent $W^{k, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined as

$$
W^{k, p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega): \quad D^{\alpha} u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega),|\alpha| \leq k\right\},
$$

where $D^{\alpha} u=\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \partial x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots \partial x_{N}^{\alpha_{N}}} u$, with $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{N}\right)$ is a multi-index and $|\alpha|=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}$. The space $W^{k, p(x)}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{k, p(x)}=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k}\left|D^{\alpha} u\right|_{p(x)},
$$

also becomes a separable and reflexive Banach space. For more details, we refer the reader to $[14,16]$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{*}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)} & \text { if } p(x)<N \\
+\infty & \text { if } p(x) \geq N,\end{cases} \\
& p_{k}^{*}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{N p(x)}{N-k p(x)} & \text { if } k p(x)<N \\
+\infty & \text { if } k p(x) \geq N\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}, k \geq 1$.
Proposition 2.2 (see Fan and Zhao [16]). For $p, r \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $r(x) \leq p_{k}^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, there is a continuous embedding

$$
W^{k, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r(x)}(\Omega)
$$

If we replace $\leq$ with $<$, the embedding is compact.
We denote by $W_{0}^{k, p(x)}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{k, p(x)}(\Omega)$. Note that the weak solutions of problem (1) are considered in the generalized Sobolev space

$$
X=W^{2, p(x)}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)
$$

equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\inf \left\{\mu>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\Delta u(x)}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\} .
$$

Remark 2.3. According to [26], the norm $\|\cdot\|_{2, p(x)}$ is equivalent to the norm $|\Delta \cdot|_{p(x)}$ in the space $X$. Consequently, the norms $\|\cdot\|_{2, p(x)},\|\cdot\|$ and $|\Delta \cdot|_{p(x)}$ are equivalent.

We consider the functional

$$
\rho(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x
$$

and give the following fundamental proposition.

Proposition 2.4 (see El Amrouss et al. [11]). For $u \in X$ and $u_{n} \subset X$, we have
(1) $\|u\|<1$ (respectively $=1 ;>1) \Longleftrightarrow \rho(u)<1$ (respectively $=1 ;>1$;
(2) $\|u\| \leq 1 \Rightarrow\|u\|^{p^{+}} \leq \rho(u) \leq\|u\|^{p^{-}}$;
(3) $\|u\| \geq 1 \Rightarrow\|u\|^{p^{-}} \leq \rho(u) \leq\|u\|^{p^{+}}$;
(4) $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ (respectively $\left.\rightarrow \infty\right) \Longleftrightarrow \rho\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ (respectively $\rightarrow \infty$ ).

Let us define the functional

$$
J(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x
$$

It is well known that $J$ is well defined, even and $C^{1}$ in $X$. Moreover, the operator $L=J^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ defined as

$$
\langle L(u), v\rangle=\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u \Delta v d x
$$

for all $u, v \in X$ satisfies the following assertions.
Proposition 2.5 (see El Amrouss et al. [11]).
(1) $L$ is continuous, bounded and strictly monotone.
(2) $L$ is a mapping of $\left(S_{+}\right)$type, namely $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ and $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} L\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) \leq 0$, implies $u_{n} \rightarrow u$.
(3) $L$ is a homeomorphism.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we discuss the existence of two non-trivial weak solutions of (3) by using the mountain pass theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz and Ekeland's variational principle. For simplicity, we use $C, c_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$ to denote the general positive constant (the exact value may change from line to line).

We confine ourselves to the case where the former condition of $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right)$ holds true. A similar proof can be made if the later condition holds true. The EulerLagrange functional associated to (3) is given by

$$
I(u)=\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x\right)-\int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda(x)}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x
$$

where $\widehat{M}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} M(\tau) d \tau$. It is easy to verify that $I \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is weakly lower semi-continuous with the derivative given by

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u \Delta v d x-\int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u v d x
$$

for all $u, v \in X$. Thus, we notice that we can seek weak solutions of (3) as critical point of the energetic functional $I$.

Remark 3.1. From $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)$ and Proposition 2.5 we can easily see that $\phi^{\prime}$, defined by

$$
\left\langle\phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u \Delta v d x
$$

is of $\left(S_{+}\right)$type.
Lemma 3.2. There exists $\nu^{*}>0$ such that provided $|\lambda|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}<\nu^{*}$ there exist $\rho_{1}>0$ and $\delta_{1}>0$ such that $I(u) \geq \delta_{1}>0$ for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\|=\rho_{1}$.

Proof. Let us define $q_{1}: \overline{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)} \rightarrow[1, \infty), q_{1}(x)=q(x)$ for any $x \in \overline{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}$ and $q_{2}: \overline{\Omega \backslash B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} \rightarrow[1, \infty), q_{2}(x)=q(x)$ for any $x \in \overline{\Omega \backslash B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}$. We also introduce the notation

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{1}^{-}=\min _{x \in \overline{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}} q_{1}(x), & q_{1}^{+}=\max _{x \in \overline{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}} q_{1}(x), \\
q_{2}^{-}=q_{x \in \overline{\Omega \backslash B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}} q_{2}(x), & q_{2}^{+}=\max _{x \in \overline{\Omega \backslash B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}} q_{2}(x) .
\end{array}
$$

Then by relations $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
1 \leq q_{1}^{-} \leq q_{1}^{+}<p^{-}<\frac{p^{-}}{1-\mu}<p^{+}<\frac{p^{+}}{1-\mu}<q_{2}^{-} \leq q_{2}^{+}<p_{2}^{*}(x),
$$

for any $x \in X$. Thus, we have $X \hookrightarrow L^{q_{i}^{ \pm}}(\Omega), i \in\{1,2\}$. So, there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q_{i}^{ \pm}} d x \leq C\|u\|^{q_{i}^{ \pm}}, \quad \text { for all } u \in X, i \in\{1,2\} .
$$

It follows that there exist two positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|u|^{q_{1}(x)} d x & \leq \int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|u|^{q_{1}^{-}} d x+\int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|u|^{q_{1}^{+}} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q_{1}^{-}} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q_{1}^{+}} d x  \tag{5}\\
& \leq c_{1}\left(\|u\|^{q_{1}^{-}}+\|u\|^{q_{1}^{+}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}|u|^{q_{2}(x)} d x & \leq \int_{\Omega \backslash B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}|u|^{q_{2}^{-}} d x+\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}|u|^{q_{2}^{+}} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q_{2}^{-}} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q_{2}^{+}} d x  \tag{6}\\
& \leq c_{2}\left(\|u\|^{q_{2}^{-}}+\|u\|^{q_{2}^{+}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In view of $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)$ and relations (5) and (6), for $\|u\|$ sufficiently small, noting Proposition 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u) \geq & \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x-\int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{\lambda(x)}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x-\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{\lambda(x)}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x \\
\geq & \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-\frac{|\lambda|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{q^{-}} c_{3}\left(\|u\|^{q_{1}^{-}}+\|u\|^{q_{1}^{+}}+\|u\|^{q_{2}^{-}}+\|u\|^{q_{2}^{+}}\right) \\
\geq & {\left[\frac{m_{0}}{2 p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-c_{4}|\lambda|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\left(\|u\|^{q_{1}^{-}}+\|u\|^{q_{1}^{+}}\right)\right] } \\
& +\left[\frac{m_{0}}{2 p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-c_{4}|\lambda|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\left(\|u\|^{q_{2}^{-}}+\|u\|^{q_{2}^{+}}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the function $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
g(t)=\frac{m_{0}}{2 p^{+}}-c_{4} t^{q_{2}^{-}-p^{+}}-c_{4} t^{q_{2}^{+}-p^{+}}
$$

is positive in a neighborhood of the origin, it follows that there exists $0<\rho_{1}<1$ such that $g\left(\rho_{1}\right)>0$. On the other hand, defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu^{*}=\min \left\{1, \frac{m_{0}}{2 c_{4} p^{+}} \min \left\{\rho^{p^{+}-q_{1}^{-}}, \rho^{p^{+}-q_{1}^{+}}\right\}\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deduce that there exists $\delta_{1}>0$ such that for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\|=\rho_{1}$ we have $I(u) \geq \delta_{1}>0$ provided $|\lambda|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}<\nu^{*}$.

Lemma 3.3. There exists $\psi \in X, \psi \neq 0$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} I(t \psi) \rightarrow-\infty$.
Proof. Let $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), \psi \geq 0$ and there exist $x_{1} \in \Omega \backslash B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\epsilon>0$ such that for any $x \in B_{\epsilon}\left(x_{1}\right) \subset\left(\Omega \backslash B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ we have $\psi(x)>0$. When $t>t_{0}$, from $\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ we can easily obtain that $\widehat{M}(t) \leq \widehat{M}\left(t_{0}\right) t_{0}^{-\frac{1}{(1-\mu)}}:=c_{5} t^{\frac{1}{(1-\mu)}}$, where $t_{0}$ is an arbitrary positive constant. Thus, for $t>1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(t \psi) & =\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\Delta t \psi|^{p(x)} d x\right)-\int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda(x)}{q(x)}|t \psi|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq c_{5}\left(\int_{\Omega}|t \Delta \psi|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\mu}}-\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{\lambda(x)}{q(x)}|t \psi|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq c_{5} \frac{p^{+}}{t^{+}-\mu}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\Delta \psi|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\mu}}-t^{q^{-}} \int_{\Omega \backslash B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{\lambda(x)}{q(x)}|\psi|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

due to the fact that $\frac{p^{+}}{1-\mu}<q_{2}^{-}$.

By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 and the mountain pass theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [1], we deduce the existence of a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{6}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { in } X^{*} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $X$. Assume for the sake of contradiction, if necessary to a subsequence, still denote by $\left(u_{n}\right),\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|>1$ for all $n$.

By Proposition 2.4, we may infer that for $n$ large enough

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & +c_{7}+\left\|u_{n}\right\| \\
\geq & I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{q_{2}^{-}}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & \widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)-\int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda(x)}{q(x)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{q_{2}^{-}}\left[M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x\right] \\
\geq & \frac{(1-\mu)}{p^{+}} M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda(x)}{q(x)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{q_{2}^{-}}\left[M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x\right] \\
\geq & m_{0}\left(\frac{1-\mu}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{q_{2}^{-}}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{q_{2}^{-}}-\frac{1}{q_{1}(x)}\right) \lambda(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q_{1}(x)} d x \\
\geq & m_{0}\left(\frac{1-\mu}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{q_{2}^{-}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{-}}-\nu^{*}\left(\frac{1}{q_{1}^{-}}-\frac{1}{q_{2}^{-}}\right) \int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q_{1}(x)} d x \\
\geq & m_{0}\left(\frac{1-\mu}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{q_{2}^{-}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{-}}-c_{1} \nu^{*}\left(\frac{1}{q_{1}^{-}}-\frac{1}{q_{2}^{-}}\right)\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q_{1}^{-}}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q_{1}^{+}}\right) \\
\geq & m_{0}\left(\frac{1-\mu}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{q_{2}^{-}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{-}}-c_{8}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q_{1}^{-}}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q_{1}^{+}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But, this cannot hold true since $p^{-}>1$. Hence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $X$. This information combined with the fact $X$ is reflexive implies that there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\left(u_{n}\right)$, and $u_{1} \in X$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{1}$ in $X$. Since $X$ is compactly embedded in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, it follows that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{1}$ in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$. Using Proposition 2.2 we deduce

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)-2} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u_{1}\right) d x=0 .
$$

This fact and relation (8) yield

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u_{n}\left(\Delta u_{n}-\Delta u_{1}\right) d x=0 .
$$

In view of $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)$, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u_{n}\left(\Delta u_{n}-\Delta u_{1}\right) d x=0$. Using Proposition 2.5, we find that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{1}$ in $X$. Then by relation (8) we have

$$
I\left(u_{1}\right)=c_{6}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad I^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=0
$$

that is, $u_{1}$ is a non-trivial weak solution of (3).
We hope to apply Ekeland's variational principle [24] to get a nontrivial weak solution of problem (3).

Lemma 3.4. There exists $\varphi_{1} \in X, \varphi_{1} \neq 0$ such that $I\left(t \varphi_{1}\right)<0$ for $t>0$ small enough.

Proof. Let $\varphi_{1} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), \varphi_{1} \geq 0$ and there exist $x_{2} \in B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that for any $x \in B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{2}\right) \subset B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ we have $\varphi_{1}(x)>0$. For any $0<t<1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(t \varphi_{1}\right) & =\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\Delta t \varphi_{1}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)-\int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda(x)}{q(x)}\left|t \varphi_{1}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq c_{9}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|t \Delta \varphi_{1}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\mu}}-\int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{\lambda(x)}{q(x)}\left|t \varphi_{1}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq c_{9} t^{t^{-}-\mu}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta \varphi_{1}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\mu}}-t^{q_{1}^{+}} \int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{\lambda(x)}{q_{1}(x)}\left|\varphi_{1}\right|^{q_{1}(x)} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $I\left(t \varphi_{1}\right)<0$ for $t<\theta^{\frac{1}{1-\mu^{-}-q_{1}^{+}}}$, where

$$
0<\theta<\min \left\{1, \frac{\int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{\lambda(x)}{q_{1}(x)}\left|\varphi_{1}\right|^{q_{1}(x)} d x}{c_{9}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \varphi_{1}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\mu}}}\right\} .
$$

Let $\nu^{*}>0$ be defined as in (7) and assume $|\lambda|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}<\nu^{*}$. By Lemma 3.2 it follows that on the boundary of the ball centered at the origin and of radius $\rho_{1}$ in $X$, denoted by $B_{\rho_{1}}(0)=\left\{\omega \in X ;\|\omega\|<\rho_{1}\right\}$, we have

$$
\inf _{\partial B_{\rho_{1}}(0)} I>0
$$

By Lemma 3.2, there exists $\varphi_{1} \in X$ such that $I\left(t \varphi_{1}\right)<0$ for $t>0$ small enough. Moreover, for $u \in B_{\rho_{1}}(0)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u) \geq & {\left[\frac{m_{0}}{2 p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-c_{4}|\lambda|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\left(\|u\|^{q_{1}^{-}}+\|u\|^{q_{1}^{+}}\right)\right] } \\
& +\left[\frac{m_{0}}{2 p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-c_{4}|\lambda|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\left(\|u\|^{q_{2}^{-}}+\|u\|^{q_{2}^{+}}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
-\infty<c_{10}=\inf _{B_{\rho_{1}}(0)} I<0
$$

We let now $0<\varepsilon<\inf _{\partial B_{\rho_{1}}(0)} I-\inf _{B_{\rho_{1}(0)}} I$. Applying Ekeland's variational principle [24] to the functional $I: \overline{B_{\rho_{1}}(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we find $u_{\varepsilon} \in \overline{B_{\rho_{1}}(0)}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)<\frac{\inf }{B_{\rho_{1}}(0)} I+\varepsilon, \\
& I\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)<I(u)+\varepsilon\left\|u-u_{\varepsilon}\right\|, \quad u \neq u_{\varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
I\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \inf _{B_{\rho_{1}}(0)} I+\varepsilon \leq \inf _{B_{\rho_{1}}(0)} I+\varepsilon<\inf _{\partial{\rho_{1}}_{1}(0)} I,
$$

we deduce that $u_{\varepsilon} \in B_{\rho_{1}}(0)$. Now, we define $K: \overline{B_{\rho_{1}}(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $K(u)=$ $I(u)+\varepsilon\left\|u-u_{\varepsilon}\right\|$. It is clear that $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a minimum point of $K$ and thus

$$
\frac{K\left(u_{\varepsilon}+t v\right)-K\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{t} \geq 0,
$$

for small $t>0$ and $v \in B_{\rho_{1}}(0)$. The above relation yields

$$
\frac{I\left(u_{\varepsilon}+t v\right)-I\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{t}+\varepsilon\|v\| \geq 0
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$ it follows that $\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right), v\right\rangle+\varepsilon\|v\|>0$ and we infer that $\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\| \leq \varepsilon$. We deduce that there exists a sequence $\left(v_{n}\right) \subset B_{\rho_{1}}(0)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{10} \quad \text { and } \quad I^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $\left(v_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $X$. Thus, there exists $u_{2} \in X$ such that, up to a subsequence, $\left(v_{n}\right)$ converges weakly to $u_{2}$ in $X$. Actually, with similar arguments as those used in the proof that the sequence $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{1}$ in $X$ we can show that $v_{n} \rightarrow u_{2}$ in $X$. Thus, by relation (9),

$$
I\left(u_{2}\right)=c_{10}<0 \quad \text { and } \quad I^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)=0,
$$

i.e., $u_{2}$ is a non-trivial weak solution for problem (3).

Finally, since

$$
I\left(u_{1}\right)=c_{6}>0>c_{10}=I\left(u_{2}\right),
$$

we see that $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$. Thus, problem (3) has two non-trivial weak solutions.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, assume that we are under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2, using the Ekeland's variational principle we get the result. We define the functional $I_{\lambda}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
I_{\lambda}(u)=\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x\right)-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} d x,
$$

where $\widehat{M}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} M(\tau) d \tau$. It is easy to verify that $I_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is weakly lower semi-continuous with the derivative given by

$$
\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u \Delta v d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)-2} u v d x,
$$

for all $u, v \in X$. Thus, weak solutions of problem (4) are exactly critical points of the functional $I_{\lambda}$. For applying Ekeland's variational principle, we start with two auxiliary results.
Lemma 4.1. There exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ there exist $\rho_{2}, \delta_{2}>0$ such that $I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \delta_{2}>0$ for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\|=\rho_{2}$.
Proof. Since $q(x)<p_{2}^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, it follows that $X$ is continuously embedded in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$. So, there exists a positive constant $c_{11}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{q(x)} \leq c_{11}\|u\|, \quad \text { for all } u \in X . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let us fix $\rho_{2} \in(0,1)$ such that $\rho_{2}<\frac{1}{c_{11}}$. Then relation (10) implies $|u|_{q(x)}<1$, for all $u \in X$ with $\|u\|=\rho_{2}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)} d x \leq|u|_{q(x)}^{q^{-}}, \quad \text { for all } u \in X \text { with }\|u\|=\rho_{2} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (10) and (11) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)} d x \leq c_{11}^{q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{-}}, \quad \text { for all } u \in X \text { with }\|u\|=\rho_{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account relation (12) and the condition $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)$, we deduce that for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\|=\rho_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\lambda}(u) & =\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x\right)-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} d x \\
& \geq \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \geq \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}} c_{11}^{q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{-}}  \tag{13}\\
& =\frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \rho_{2}^{p^{+}}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}} c_{11}^{q^{-}} \rho_{2}^{q^{-}} \\
& =\rho^{q^{-}}\left(\frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \rho_{2}^{p^{+}-q^{-}}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}} c_{11}^{q^{-}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (13) if we define

$$
\lambda^{*}=\frac{m_{0} q^{-} \rho_{2}^{p^{+}-q^{-}}}{2 p^{+} c_{11}^{q^{-}}}
$$

then for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ and $u \in X$ with $\|u\|=\rho_{2}$, there exists $\delta_{2}>0$ such that $I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \delta_{2}>0$. This completes the proof.
Lemma 4.2. There exists $\varphi_{2} \in X$ such that $\varphi_{2} \geq 0, \varphi_{2} \neq 0$ and $I_{\lambda}\left(t \varphi_{2}\right)<0$ for all $t>0$ small enough.
Proof. Since $q^{-}<\frac{p^{-}}{1-\mu}$, there exists $\epsilon_{0}>0$ such that $q^{-}+\epsilon_{0}<\frac{p^{-}}{1-\mu}$. On the other hand, since $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ it follows that there exists an open set $\Omega_{0} \subset \Omega$ such that $\left|q(x)-q^{-}\right|<\epsilon_{0}$, for all $u \in \Omega_{0}$. Thus, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x) \leq q^{-}+\epsilon_{0}<\frac{p^{-}}{1-\mu} \quad \text { for all } u \in \Omega_{0} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi_{2} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be such that $\overline{\Omega_{0}} \subset \operatorname{supp} \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{2}=1$ for $x \in \overline{\Omega_{0}}$ and $0 \leq \varphi_{2}(x) \leq 1$ in $\Omega$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|=1$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta \varphi_{2}\right|^{p(x)} d x=1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using relations (14) and (15), ( $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ ) and $\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\varphi_{2}\right|^{q(x)} d x=\operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$, for all $t \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}\left(t \varphi_{2}\right) & =\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\Delta t \varphi_{2}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|t \varphi_{2}\right|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\Delta t \varphi_{2}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\mu}}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|t \varphi_{2}\right|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq \frac{t^{\frac{p^{-}}{1-\mu}}}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta \varphi_{2}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega_{0}} t^{q(x)}\left|\varphi_{2}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq \frac{t^{\frac{p^{-}}{1-\mu}}}{p^{-}}-\frac{\lambda t^{q^{-}+\epsilon_{0}}}{q^{+}} \operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $I_{\lambda}\left(t \varphi_{2}\right)<0$, for $t<\eta^{\frac{1}{p^{-}-\mu^{-}-q^{-}-\epsilon_{0}}}$, with $0<\eta<\min \left\{1, \frac{\lambda p^{-} \text {meas }\left(\Omega_{0}\right)}{q^{+}}\right\}$.
By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$
\inf _{\partial B_{\rho_{2}}(0)} I_{\lambda}>0 .
$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 4.2, there exists $\varphi_{2} \in X$ such that $I_{\lambda}\left(t \varphi_{2}\right)<0$ for $t>0$ small enough. Relation (13) implies that for any $u \in B_{\rho_{2}}(0)$ we have

$$
I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}} c_{11}^{q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{-}} .
$$

It follows that

$$
-\infty<c_{12}=\inf _{B_{\rho_{2}}(0)} I_{\lambda}(u)<0 .
$$

Using the Ekeland's variational principle and the similar argument as those used in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can deduce that there exists a sequence $\left(v_{n}^{\prime}\right) \subset B_{\rho_{2}}(0)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow c_{12} \quad \text { and } \quad I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(v_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ converges strongly to some $u_{3}$ in $X$. By (16), $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{3}\right)=c_{12}<0$ and $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{3}\right)=0$, i.e., $u_{3}$ is a non-trivial weak solution of problem (4).
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