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#### Abstract

In this note we give an example of a functional which is defined and coercive on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, which is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous on $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ for every $p>2$, but which is not sequentially lower semicontinuous on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. This functional is non local.
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## 1. Results and comments

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, with $0 \in \Omega$ if $N \geq 2$, and $\Omega=\left(0, R_{0}\right)$ if $N=1$. In this note, we give an example of a functional defined and coercive on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, that has quadratic growth with respect to $\|D v\|_{2}=\|D v\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{N}}$ and which is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous on $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ for every $p>2$, but not sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

More precisely, when $N \geq 3$, we recall the Hardy-Sobolev inequality (see, e.g., [5, Theorems 21.7, 21.8], [6, Lemma 17.1], and also 4.1 in the Appendix below):

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{N}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|D v|^{2} d x \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $m_{N}^{2}$ denotes the best possible constant in the inequality, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{N}^{2}=\inf _{v \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|D v|^{2} d x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that $m_{N}^{2}$ is given by (see the references above) $m_{N}^{2}=\frac{(N-2)^{2}}{4}$.
We consider a function $\varphi$ defined and continuous on $[0, \infty]$, which is non negative and decreasing and which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(0)>m_{N}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi(\infty)<\frac{m_{N}^{2}}{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we define the functional $J$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(v)=\int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x-\varphi\left(\|D v\|_{2}^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main result is the following:
Theorem 1.1. Let $N \geq 3$ and let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, with $0 \in \Omega$. Assume that $\varphi$ is a continuous, non negative and decreasing function on $[0, \infty]$ satisfying (3), where $m_{N}^{2}$ is given by (2). Then the functional $J$ defined by (4) satisfies:
(i) there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-C+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x \leq J(v) \leq \int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) the functional $J$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous on $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ for every $p>2$, i.e.,

$$
J(v) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(v_{n}\right) \quad \text { if } v_{n} \rightharpoonup v \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \text { weakly; }
$$

(iii) the functional $J$ is not sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$; more precisely, there exists a sequence of functions $w_{n} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $w_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ weakly and

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(w_{n}\right)<J(0)
$$

Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 2 below.
On the other hand, when $N=2$ we consider a bounded open subset $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, with $0 \in \Omega$ and some $R_{0}$ for which ${ }^{1} \bar{\Omega} \subset B_{R_{0}}$. We recall the Hardy-Sobolev inequality (see, e.g., [1, Theorems 4.2, 5.4] and [6, Lemma 17.4]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2} \log ^{2} \frac{|x|}{R_{0}}} d x \leq \int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $m_{2}^{2}$ denotes the best possible constant in the inequality, i.e.,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{2}^{2}=\inf _{v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2} \log ^{2} \frac{|x|}{R_{0}}}} d x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

It is well known that $m_{2}^{2}$ is given by (see the references above) $m_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}$.
We consider a function $\varphi$ which is defined and continuous on $[0, \infty]$, which is non negative and decreasing and which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(0)>m_{2}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi(\infty)<\frac{m_{2}^{2}}{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we define the functional $J$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(v)=\int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x-\varphi\left(\|D v\|_{2}^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2} \log ^{2} \frac{|x|}{R_{0}}} d x \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we prove the following
Theorem 1.2. Let $N=2$ and let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, with $0 \in \Omega$ and $\bar{\Omega} \subset B_{R_{0}}$. Assume that $\varphi$ is a continuous, non negative and decreasing function on $[0, \infty]$ satisfying (8), where $m_{2}^{2}$ is given by (7). Then the functional $J$ defined by (9) satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 1.1.
Theorem 1.2 is proved in Section 3 below.
Finally, in the one-dimensional case, let $\Omega$ be the interval $\Omega=\left(0, R_{0}\right)$. We recall the Hardy-Sobolev inequality (see, e.g., [3, Theorem 327] and [5, Lemma 1.3]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(0, \infty) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{1}^{2}$ denotes the best possible constant in the inequality, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}^{2}=\inf _{v \in H_{0}^{1}(0, \infty)} \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that $m_{1}^{2}$ is given by (see the references above) $m_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}$.
We consider a function $\varphi$ which is defined and continuous on $[0, \infty]$, which is non negative, decreasing and which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(0)>m_{1}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi(\infty)<\frac{m_{1}^{2}}{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we define the functional $J$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(v)=\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x-\varphi\left(\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{R_{0}} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}\left(0, R_{0}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case we prove the following

Theorem 1.3. Let $N=1$ and let $\Omega$ be the interval $\Omega=\left(0, R_{0}\right)$. Assume that $\varphi$ is a continuous, non negative and decreasing function on $[0, \infty]$ satisfying (12), where $m_{1}^{2}$ is given by (11). Then the functional $J$ defined by (13) satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 1.1.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 follows along the lines of Theorem 1.1 and will not be given here.

Remark 1.4. Observe that, in contrast with the case $N \geq 2$, the functions $v \in H_{0}^{1}\left(0, R_{0}\right)$ vanish in 0 in the one-dimensional case.

Remark 1.5. Consider a functional of the (integral) form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(v)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, v, D v) d x \quad \forall v \in W^{1, p}(\Omega) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function satisfying

$$
a_{0}(x)+c_{0}|\xi|^{p} \leq F(x, s, \xi) \leq a_{1}(x)+b_{1}|s|^{p}+c_{1}|\xi|^{p} \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \Omega
$$

and for all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ where $p>1, c_{0}>0$, and $a_{0}, a_{1} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$. It is well known (see, e.g., [2, Theorems 3.1, 3.4] and [4, Theorem 2.4]) that the functional $J$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous on $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ if and only if $F(x, s, \cdot)$ is a convex function for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$; moreover, in this case, the functional $J$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous on $W^{1, q}(\Omega)$ for every $q>1$. It is therefore impossible to write the functionals defined by (4), (9) and (13) in the integral form (14).

Remark 1.6. Using the result 4.3 of the Appendix below, we can prove an assertion which is stronger than assertion (ii), namely: if $N \geq 3$, then $J(v) \leq$ $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(v_{n}\right)$ if $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ weakly with $\left|D v_{n}\right|$ equi-integrable in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. The same result continues to hold for $N=1$ and $N=2$. Assertion (ii) of Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 is a special case of this assertion since $\Omega$ is assumed to be bounded.

Remark 1.7. Actually in dimension $N \geq 3$, Theorem 1.1 continues to hold (with the same proof) if the Hardy-Sobolev inequality (1) is replaced by the Sobolev inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|v|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|D v|^{2} d x \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2^{*}$ is the Sobolev's exponent defined by $2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}$ and where $m^{2}$ is the best possible constant in (15), and if in the definition (4) of the functional $J$ the integral $\int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x$ is replaced by $\left(\int_{\Omega}|v|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}$. More than that, Theorems 1.1, 1.2,
and 1.3 still continue to hold (with the same proof) if the inequalities (1), (6), (10), and (15) are replaced by an inequality of the type $m_{X(\Omega)}\|v\|_{X(\Omega)} \leq\|D v\|_{2}$, where $X(\Omega)$ is a Banach space such that the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow X(\Omega)$ is not compact while the embedding $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow X(\Omega)$ is compact for any $p>2$. The non compactness of the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega ; \omega(x) d x)$ and the compactness of the embedding $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega ; \omega(x) d x)$ for $p>2$, where

$$
\omega(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{|x|^{2}} & \text { if } N=1 \text { or } N \geq 3 \\ \frac{1}{|x|^{2} \log ^{2} \frac{|x|}{R_{0}}} & \text { if } N=2\end{cases}
$$

(see 4.2 and 4.3 in the Appendix below), are indeed at the root of the proofs of (iii) and (ii). This explains why Theorem 1.1 continues to hold by replacing the Hardy-Sobolev inequality by the Sobolev inequality.

In contrast, if the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow X(\Omega)$ is compact (e.g., in the case $X(\Omega)=L^{2}(\Omega)$ for $\Omega$ bounded), it is straightforward to prove that the functional

$$
J(v)=\int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x-\varphi\left(\|D v\|_{2}^{2}\right)\|v\|_{X(\Omega)}^{2} \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ whenever $\varphi$ is decreasing: just take a sequence $v_{n}$ such that $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ weakly, and observe that in this framework holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x & \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|D v_{n}\right|^{2} d x \\
-\varphi\left(\|D v\|_{2}^{2}\right) & \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}-\varphi\left(\left\|D v_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right), \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{X(\Omega)}^{2} & =\|v\|_{X(\Omega)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.8. Observe finally that in the proof of Theorem 1.1 below (for $N \geq 3$ ) it is not necessary to know the explicit value of the best constant $m_{N}^{2}$ in the inequality (1), whenever the function $\varphi$ is chosen such that (3) holds.

In contrast, the proof of Theorem 1.2 below (where $N=2$ ) uses the fact that the constant $m_{2}^{2}$ coincides with $m_{1}^{2}$. If one does not want to use the fact that $m_{2}^{2}=m_{1}^{2}$, it would be sufficient to assume in (8) that $\varphi(0)>m_{1}^{2}$ in place of $\varphi(0)>m_{2}^{2}$ (see also the proof of (iii) in Theorem 1.2).

Also it should be observed that the best constant $m_{X(\Omega)}$ is attainable or not, does not play any role in the proofs below in contrast with the fact, that the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow X(\Omega)$ is not compact for $\Omega$ bounded, which is crucial.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of (i). By the definition of $J(v)$ we have

$$
J(v) \leq \int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x
$$

since $\varphi$ is non negative. It remains to prove the first inequality of (5). Since $\varphi$ is continuous and satisfies (3), there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that $\varphi\left(t_{0}\right)=\frac{m_{N}^{2}}{2}$.

If $\|D v\|_{2}^{2} \geq t_{0}$ then $\varphi\left(\|D v\|_{2}^{2}\right) \leq \frac{m_{N}^{2}}{2}$. Therefore
$J(v) \geq \int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x-\frac{m_{N}^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \geq \int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x$, and the first inequality of (5) holds.

On the other hand, if $\|D v\|_{2}^{2} \leq t_{0}$, then
$J(v) \geq \int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x-\varphi(0) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \geq \int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x-\frac{\varphi(0)}{m_{N}^{2}} \int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x \geq\left(1-\frac{\varphi(0)}{m_{N}^{2}}\right) t_{0}$,
in view of (3). If we choose a constant $C$ such that $\frac{\varphi(0)}{m_{N}^{2}} t_{0} \leq C$, we have

$$
J(v) \geq t_{0}-\frac{\varphi(0)}{m_{N}^{2}} t_{0} \geq \int_{\Omega}|D v|^{2} d x-C
$$

and the first inequality of (5) is again proved. This proves (i).
Proof of (ii). Let $p>2$. Assume that $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ weakly. Since $\Omega$ is bounded, $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ weakly and there exists $\alpha \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|D v_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\|D v\|_{2}^{2}+\alpha \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varphi$ is continuous and decreasing, there exists some $\beta \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}-\varphi\left(\left\|D v_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)=-\varphi\left(\|D v\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\beta \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by the compactness of the embedding $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \frac{1}{|x|^{2}} d x\right)$ for $p>2$ (see 4.3 in the Appendix below), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x=\int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that (18) continues to hold if we assume (27) mentioned below in place of $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ weakly. This allows one to prove the assertion of Remark 1.6.) Combining (16), (17) and (18), we obtain

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(v_{n}\right) \geq J(v)+\alpha+\beta \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \geq J(v)
$$

which proves (ii).

Proof of (iii). Let $\lambda$ be such that $m_{N}^{2}<\lambda<\varphi(0)$ (such a $\lambda$ exists in view of (3)). Recalling the definition (2) of $m_{N}^{2}$, there exists a function $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|\psi|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x>\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|D \psi|^{2} d x
$$

Since $\varphi$ is continuous and satisfies (3), there exists $t_{1}>0$ such that $\varphi\left(t_{1}\right)=\lambda$. Take $s$ such that $0<s^{2}\|D \psi\|_{2}^{2} \leq t_{1}$. The function $w=s \psi$ belongs to $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\|D w\|_{2}^{2}\right) \geq \lambda \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|w|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x>\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|D w|^{2} d x . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the sequence $w_{n}$ by $w_{n}(x)=n^{\frac{N-2}{2}} w(n x)$; then $D w_{n}(x)=n^{\frac{N}{2}} D w(n x)$. For $n$ sufficiently large, the function $w_{n}$ belongs to $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and it holds

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|D w_{n}\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|D w|^{2} d x \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|w|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x .
$$

Therefore, for $n$ sufficiently large, the sequence $w_{n}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ with $w_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ weakly, and

$$
J\left(w_{n}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|D w|^{2} d x-\varphi\left(\|D w\|_{2}^{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|w|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x
$$

Therefore $J\left(w_{n}\right)<0$ in view of (19) and (20). This proves (iii).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar to the one of Theorem 1.1, but differs by technical aspects.

Proof of (i). Condition (5) is proved exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of (ii). Let $p>2$. Assume that $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ weakly. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have, for some $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|D v_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} & =\|D v\|_{2}^{2}+\alpha  \tag{21}\\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{-\varphi\left(\left\|D v_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right\} & =-\varphi\left(\|D v\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\beta . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, since $p>N=2$, we have that $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ uniformly in $\Omega$, and, since $\frac{1}{|x|^{2} \log ^{2} \frac{|x|}{R_{0}}} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2} \log ^{2} \frac{|x|}{R_{0}}} d x=\int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2} \log ^{2} \frac{|x|}{R_{0}}} d x . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (21), (22) and (23), we obtain

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(v_{n}\right)=J(v)+\alpha+\beta \int_{\Omega} \frac{v^{2}}{|x|^{2} \log ^{2} \frac{|x|}{R_{0}}} d x \geq J(v)
$$

which proves (ii).
Proof of (iii). Let $\lambda$ be such that $m_{1}^{2}<\lambda$, where $m_{1}^{2}$ is the best constant (defined by (11)) in the one-dimensional Hardy-Sobolev inequality (10). Then there exists $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|\psi(t)|^{2}}{t^{2}} d t>\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\psi^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t
$$

Since $\varphi$ is continuous and satisfies (8), and since the best constant $m_{2}^{2}$ (defined by (7)) in the two-dimensional Hardy-Sobolev inequality (6) coincides with $m_{1}^{2}$, we can choose $\lambda$ such that $m_{2}^{2}=m_{1}^{2}<\lambda<\varphi(0)$ (if we do not want to use the property $m_{2}^{2}=m_{1}^{2}$, it would be sufficient to assume in (8) that $\varphi(0)>m_{1}^{2}$ in place of $\left.\varphi(0)>m_{2}^{2}\right)$. Then, there exists $t_{1}>0$ such that $\varphi\left(t_{1}\right)=\lambda$. Take $s$ such that $0<2 \pi s^{2}\left\|\psi^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq t_{1}$. The function $w=s \psi$ belongs to $C_{0}^{\infty}(0, \infty)$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(2 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|w^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right) \geq \lambda \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|w(t)|^{2}}{t^{2}} d t>\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|w^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the sequence $w_{n}$ by

$$
w_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} w\left(-n \log \frac{|x|}{R_{0}}\right) & \text { if }|x| \leq R_{0} \\ 0 & \text { if }|x| \geq R_{0}\end{cases}
$$

then

$$
D w_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}-\sqrt{n} w^{\prime}\left(-n \log \frac{|x|}{R_{0}}\right) \frac{x}{|x|^{2}} & \text { if }|x|<R_{0} \\ 0 & \text { if }|x|>R_{0}\end{cases}
$$

For $n$ sufficiently large, the function $w_{n}$ belongs to $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|D w_{n}\right|^{2} d x=2 \pi \int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|w^{\prime}\left(-n \log \frac{r}{R_{0}}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{n}{r} d r=2 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|w^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t
$$

while

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2} \log ^{2} \frac{|x|}{R_{0}}} d x=2 \pi \int_{0}^{R_{0}} \frac{\left|w\left(-n \log \frac{r}{R_{0}}\right)\right|^{2}}{n r \log ^{2} \frac{r}{R_{0}}} d r=2 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|w(t)|^{2}}{t^{2}} d t
$$

Therefore, for $n$ sufficiently large, the sequence $w_{n}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ with $w_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ weakly, and

$$
J\left(w_{n}\right)=2 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|w^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t-2 \pi \varphi\left(2 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|w^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|w(t)|^{2}}{t^{2}} d t
$$

Therefore $J\left(w_{n}\right)<0$ in view of (24) and (25). This proves (iii).

## 4. Appendix

In this Appendix we recall some facts about the Hardy-Sobolev inequality in dimension $N \geq 3$, some of them are well known.
4.1. A classical proof of (1) is to write, for every $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|D v+c v \frac{x}{|x|^{2}}\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|D v|^{2}+2 c v \frac{x \cdot D v}{|x|^{2}}+c^{2} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) d x .
$$

Integrating by parts the second term, one gets

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} 2 v \frac{x \cdot D v}{|x|^{2}} d x=-(N-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x
$$

and therefore

$$
0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|D v|^{2} d x-\left((N-2) c-c^{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x .
$$

The choice $c=\frac{N-2}{2}$ proves (1) with $m_{N}^{2}=\frac{(N-2)^{2}}{4}$.
4.2. Let us now prove by means of a counterexample that, when $0 \in \Omega$, the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \frac{1}{|x|^{2}} d x\right)$ is not compact. For that we consider the functions

$$
u_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} T_{n}\left(G_{R_{0}}(x)\right),
$$

where $G_{R_{0}}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the function defined by

$$
G_{R_{0}}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{|x|^{N-2}}-\frac{1}{R_{0}^{N-2}} & \text { if }|x| \leq R_{0}  \tag{26}\\ 0 & \text { if }|x| \geq R_{0}\end{cases}
$$

with $R_{0}>0$ such that the ball $B_{R_{0}} \subset \Omega$, and where $T_{n}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the truncation at height $n$, i.e.,

$$
T_{n}(t)= \begin{cases}t & \text { if }|t| \leq n \\ n \frac{t}{|t|} & \text { if }|t| \geq n\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|D u_{n}\right|^{2} d x=\int_{B_{R_{0}}}\left|D u_{n}\right|^{2} d x=\frac{(N-2)^{2} S_{N-1}}{n} \int_{r_{n}}^{R_{0}} \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} d r
$$

where $S_{N-1}$ is the area of the unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and where $r_{n}$ is defined by $\frac{1}{r_{n}^{N-2}}-\frac{1}{R_{0}^{N-2}}=n$. Therefore $\int_{\Omega}\left|D u_{n}\right|^{2} d x=(N-2) S_{N-1}$ and $u_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ weakly. On the other hand, one has

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \geq \int_{B_{r_{n}}} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x=S_{N-1} n \int_{0}^{r_{n}} r^{N-3} d r=\frac{S_{N-1}}{N-2} n r_{n}^{N-2},
$$

and then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \geq \frac{S_{N-1}}{N-2}$. This proves that the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \frac{1}{|x|^{2}} d x\right)$ is not compact.

In dimension $N=2$, this counterexample continues to hold if one replaces the function $G_{R_{0}}$ defined in (26) by the function $G_{R_{0}}(x)=-\log \frac{|x|}{R_{0}}$ if $|x| \leq R_{0}$. In dimension $N=1$, one uses the continuous piecewise affine functions $u_{n}$ such that $u_{n}(0)=0, u_{n}\left(\frac{R_{0}}{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ and $u_{n}\left(R_{0}\right)=0$.
4.3. Let us finally prove that, when

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \text { in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \quad \text { weakly with }\left|D u_{n}\right| \text { equi-integrable in } L^{2}(\Omega), \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \frac{1}{|x|^{2}} d x\right)$. Note that every sequence satisfying $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ weakly, with $p>2$, satisfies (27) since $\Omega$ is bounded; therefore this claim implies that the embedding $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \frac{1}{|x|^{2}} d x\right)$ is compact for $p>2$.

Let $\delta>0$ be small. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x=\int_{\Omega_{\backslash B_{\delta}}} \frac{\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x+\int_{B_{\delta}} \frac{\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{\delta}$ is the ball of radius $\delta$. Since $\frac{1}{|x|^{2}} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega \backslash B_{\delta}\right)$ and since the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ is compact for $\Omega$ bounded, the first term of (28) tends to zero when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\psi_{\delta}$ be the radial function defined by

$$
\psi_{\delta}(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }|x| \leq \delta \\ 2-\frac{|x|}{\delta} & \text { if } \delta \leq|x| \leq 2 \delta \\ 0 & \text { if }|x| \geq 2 \delta\end{cases}
$$

For $\delta$ sufficiently small, $\psi_{\delta}$ has compact support in $\Omega$, and using Hardy-Sobolev
inequality (1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{N}^{2} \int_{B_{\delta}} \frac{\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x & \leq m_{N}^{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\psi_{\delta}\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|D\left(\psi_{\delta}\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq 2 \int_{\Omega}\left|D \psi_{\delta}\right|^{2}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} d x+2 \int_{\Omega}\left|D\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|^{2}\left|\psi_{\delta}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq 2 \int_{\Omega}\left|D \psi_{\delta}\right|^{2}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} d x+2 \int_{B_{2 \delta}}\left|D\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\delta$ fixed, the first term tends to zero when $n \rightarrow \infty$ (still because the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ is compact), while the second term is small uniformly in $n$ when $\delta$ is small in view of the equi-integrability assumption (27). This proves the claim. This also proves the assertion of Remark 1.6.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this note we denote by $B_{R}$ the open ball of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ of radius $R$ and center 0 .

