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#### Abstract

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty), \tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p}\right]$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be the set of all Schwartz functions $\varphi$ whose Fourier transforms $\widehat{\varphi}$ satisfy that $\partial^{\gamma} \widehat{\varphi}(0)=0$ for all $\gamma \in(\mathbb{N} \cup$ $\{0\})^{n}$. Denote by ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the closure of $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in the Triebel-Lizorkin-type space $\dot{F}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. In this paper, the authors prove that the dual space of ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the Triebel-Lizorkin-Hausdorff space $F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ via their $\varphi$-transform characterizations together with the atomic decomposition characterization of the tent space $F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$, where $t^{\prime}$ denotes the conjugate index of $t \in[1, \infty]$. This gives a generalization of the well-known duality that $\left(\operatorname{CMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by taking $s=0$, $p=2$ and $\tau=\frac{1}{2}$. As applications, the authors obtain the Sobolev-type embedding property, the smooth atomic and molecular decomposition characterizations, boundednesses of both pseudo-differential operators and the trace operators on $F \dot{H}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; all of these results improve the existing conclusions.
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## 1. Introduction

Recently, the Besov-type spaces $\dot{B}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and the Triebel-Lizorkin-type spaces $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ were introduced and investigated in $[14,22,23]$. These spaces unify and generalize Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, Morrey spaces, Morrey-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and $Q_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ spaces. Recall that the

[^0]spaces $Q_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ were originally introduced by Essén, Janson, Peng and Xiao [9]; see also $[7,9,19,20]$ for the history of $Q$ spaces and their properties.

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty), q \in[1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{\left.(\max \{p, q\})^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}\right]$. Here and in what follows, for any $t \in[1, \infty], t^{\prime}$ denotes its conjugate index, namely, $\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{t^{\prime}}=1$. The Besov-Hausdorff spaces $B \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and the Triebel-Lizorkin-Hausdorff spaces $F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)(q>1)$ were also introduced in [22, 23]; moreover, it was proved therein that they are respectively the predual spaces of $\dot{B}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\dot{F}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. The spaces $B \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ unify and generalize Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and Hardy-Hausdorff spaces $H H_{-\alpha}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $\alpha \in(0,1)$, where $H H_{-\alpha}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ was recently introduced by Dafni and Xiao in $[7]$ and was proved to be the predual space of $Q_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ therein.

Let $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be the space of all Schwartz functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and denote by $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ its topological dual, namely, the set of all continuous linear functionals on $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ endowed with the weak $*$-topology. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{+} \equiv \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Following Triebel [17], we set

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \equiv\left\{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(x) x^{\gamma} d x=0 \text { for all multi-indices } \gamma \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)^{n}\right\}
$$

and consider $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, including the topology. Use $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to denote the topological dual of $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, namely, the set of all continuous linear functionals on $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We also endow $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with the weak *-topology. Let $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be the set of all polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. It is well known that $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) / \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ as topological spaces.

Let ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be the closure of $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Recall that $S_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ may not be dense in the space $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; see [22,Remark 3.1(ii)]. Thus, ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ may be a proper subspace of $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and it makes no sense to study the dual space of $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, which explains the necessity to introduce the space ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Moreover, the main target of this paper is to show that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p}\right]$, the dual space, denoted by $\left({ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}$, of ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the space $F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, which is obtained via their $\varphi$-transform characterizations together with the atomic decomposition characterization of the tent space $F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$. This generalizes the well-known result in [6] that $\left(\operatorname{CMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by taking $s=0, p=2$ and $\tau=\frac{1}{2}$. Indeed, in order to represent $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ as a dual space, Coifman and Weiss [6] introduced the space $\operatorname{CMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, which was originally denoted by $\operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in $[6]$, as the closure of continuous functions with compact supports in the $\mathrm{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ norm and established this dual relation. We recall that $\operatorname{CMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is also the closure of all smooth functions with compact support in $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; see, for example, [2, p. 519].

As applications of this new dual theorem, in this paper, we also obtain the Sobolev-type embedding property, the smooth atomic and molecular decomposition characterizations, boundednesses of both pseudo-differential operators and the trace operators on $F \dot{H}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; all of these results improve the existing conclusions.

To recall the notions of these spaces, we need some notation. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $Q_{j k}$ the dyadic cube $2^{-j}\left([0,1)^{n}+k\right), \ell(Q)$ its side length, $x_{Q}$ its lower left-corner $2^{-j} k$ and $c_{Q}$ its center. Set $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \equiv\left\{Q_{j k}: j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \equiv\left\{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right): \ell(Q)=2^{-j}\right\}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $j_{Q} \equiv-\log _{2} \ell(Q)$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. When the dyadic cube $Q$ appears as an index, such as $\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ and $\{\cdot\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$, it is understood that $Q$ runs over all dyadic cubes in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

In what follows, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we use $\widehat{\varphi}$ to denote its Fourier transform, namely, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-i \xi x} \varphi(x) d x$. Set $\varphi_{j}(x) \equiv 2^{j n} \varphi\left(2^{j} x\right)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} \widehat{\varphi} \subset\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \frac{1}{2} \leq|\xi| \leq 2\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad|\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)| \geq C>0 \text { if } \frac{3}{5} \leq|\xi| \leq \frac{5}{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we recall the notion of Triebel-Lizorkin-type spaces $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in [23, Definition 1.1].
Definition 1.1. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, \tau \in[0, \infty), p \in(0, \infty), q \in(0, \infty]$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfy (1). The Triebel-Lizorkin-type space $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is defined to be the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}<\infty$, where

$$
\|f\|_{F_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \equiv \sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int_{P}\left[\sum_{j=j_{P}}^{\infty}\left(2^{j s}\left|\varphi_{j} * f(x)\right|\right)^{q}\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

with suitable modification made when $q=\infty$.
It was proved in [23, Corollary 3.1] that the space $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is independent of the choices of $\varphi$. Recall that $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, 0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \equiv \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, 1 / p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \equiv \dot{F}_{\infty, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\dot{F}_{2,2}^{\alpha, 1 / 2-\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \equiv Q_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for all $\alpha \in(0,1)$; see [23, Proposition 3.1] and [22, Corollary 3.1]. Also, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}, q \in(0, \infty]$ and $0<u \leq p \leq \infty$, $\dot{F}_{u, q}^{s, 1 / u-1 / p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{p q u}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, in particular, $\dot{F}_{u, 2}^{0,1 / u-1 / p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\mathcal{M}_{u}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where $\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{p q u}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ denotes the Triebel-Lizorkin-Morrey space, introduced and investigated in $[13,15]$, and $\mathcal{M}_{u}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the well-known Morrey space; see [14, Theorem 1.1]. Some useful characterizations of $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, including the $\varphi$-transform characterization, Sobolev-type embedding property, smooth atomic and molecular decomposition characterizations, were obtained in [23], which generalize the corresponding results on Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; see [3,4,10,11,16,17].

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $r>0$, let $B(x, r) \equiv\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x-y|<r\right\}$. We now recall the notion of Hausdorff capacities; see, for example, $[1,21]$. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $d \in(0, n]$. The $d$-dimensional Hausdorff capacity of $E$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{d}(E) \equiv \inf \left\{\sum_{j} r_{j}^{d}: E \subset \bigcup_{j} B\left(x_{j}, r_{j}\right)\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all covers $\left\{B\left(x_{j}, r_{j}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of countable open balls of $E$. It is well known that $H^{d}$ is monotone, countably subadditive and vanishes on the empty set. Moreover, $H^{d}$ in (2) when $d=0$ also makes sense, and $H^{0}$ has the properties that for all sets $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, H^{0}(E) \geq 1$, and $H^{0}(E)=1$ if and only if $E$ is bounded.

For any function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \mapsto[0, \infty]$, the Choquet integral of $f$ with respect to $H^{d}$ is defined by

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f d H^{d} \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} H^{d}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: f(x)>\lambda\right\}\right) d \lambda
$$

This functional is not sublinear, so sometimes we need to use an equivalent integral with respect to the $d$-dimensional dyadic Hausdorff capacity $\widetilde{H}^{d}$, which is sublinear; see [21] (also [22,23]) for the definition of dyadic Hausdorff capacities and their properties.

In what follows, for any $p, q \in(0, \infty]$, let $p \vee q \equiv \max \{p, q\}$ and $p \wedge$ $q \equiv \min \{p, q\}$. Set $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{n} \times(0, \infty)$. For any measurable function $\omega$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, define its nontangential maximal function $N \omega$ by setting $N \omega(x) \equiv \sup _{|y-x|<t}|\omega(y, t)|$. We now recall the notion of the spaces $F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in [22, Definition 5.1].
Definition 1.2. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p, q \in(1, \infty), \tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{(p \vee q)^{\prime}}\right]$ and $\varphi$ be as in Definition 1.1. The Triebel-Lizorkin-Hausdorff space $F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is defined to be the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \equiv \inf _{\omega}\left\|\left\{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j s q}\left|\varphi_{j} * f\left[\omega\left(\cdot, 2^{-j}\right)\right]^{-1}\right|^{q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}<\infty
$$

where $\omega$ runs over all nonnegative Borel measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}[N \omega(x)]^{(p \vee q)^{\prime}} d H^{n \tau(p \vee q)^{\prime}}(x) \leq 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and with the restriction that for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}, \omega\left(\cdot, 2^{-j}\right)$ is allowed to vanish only where $\varphi_{j} * f$ vanishes.

It was proved in [22, Section 5] that the space $F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is independent of the choices of $\varphi$. Recall that $F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, 0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \equiv \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $F \dot{H}_{2,2}^{-\alpha, 1 / 2-\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \equiv$ $H H_{-\alpha}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; see also [22, Section 5]. It was proved in [22, Theorem 5.1] that $\left(F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}=\dot{F}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}, p, q \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{(p \vee q)^{\prime}}\right]$. Also, the $\varphi$-transform characterization, Sobolev-type embedding property, smooth atomic and molecular decomposition characterizations of $F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ were obtained in [24].

In what follows, for simplicity, we use $\dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to denote $\dot{F}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to denote $F \dot{H}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, respectively. The main result of this paper is the following dual theorem. Recall that ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is defined to be the closure of $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Theorem 1.3. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p}\right]$. Then the dual space of ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is $F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in the following sense: if $f \in F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then the linear map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \nu(x) d x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined initially for all $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, has a bounded extension to ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with operator norm no more than a positive constant multiple of $\|f\|_{F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$; conversely, if $L \in\left({ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}$, then there exists an $f \in F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{p}\right)^{n}$ with $\|f\|_{F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ no more than a positive constant multiple of $\|L\|$ such that $L$ has the form (4) for all $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Recall that $F \dot{H}_{2}^{0,1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; see [22, Remark 5.2]. We also remark that ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{2}^{0,1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\mathrm{CMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (see Corollary 2.2 below). Then Theorem 1.3, when taking $s=0, \tau=\frac{1}{2}$ and $p=2$, generalizes the well-known duality obtained in [6] that $\left(\operatorname{CMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Notice that when $\tau=0$, Theorem 1.3 has a more general version, that is, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in(0, \infty],\left({ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}=\dot{F}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\left({ }_{V} \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}=\dot{B}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $p \in[1, \infty]$, where $q^{\prime}=\infty$ when $q \in(0,1]$; see, for example, [16, pp. 121-122] and [17, p. 180, Remark 2]. However, to be surprised, the dual property in Theorem 1.3 are not possible to be correct for all $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $B \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\tau>0, p \in(1, \infty)$, $q \in[1, \infty)$ and $p \neq q$, which is quite different from the above classical cases; see Remark 4.3 below for more details.

Set $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left\{2^{k}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. Let $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be the set of all smooth functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with compact support. For all $M \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, let $C_{c, M}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be the set of all $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) x^{\gamma} d x=0$ for all $|\gamma| \leq M$. We also write $C_{c,-1}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \equiv C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

In Section 2, we prove that for all admissible indices $s, \tau, p$ and $q$, the space ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ coincides with the closure of $C_{c, M}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in $\dot{F_{p, q}^{s, \tau}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for
certain $M$ (see Theorem 2.1 below), which further implies that ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{2}^{0,1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=$ CMO ( $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ). In Section 3, we recall the notion and some known results on the tent spaces $F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $F \dot{W}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$, which are, respectively, corresponding to $F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then for $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p}\right]$, we prove that the dual space of ${ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ is just $F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$, where ${ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ is the closure of the set of all functions in $F \dot{W}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ with compact support. Via this, in Section 4, we give the proof of Theorem 1.3. As applications, in Section 5, we establish the Sobolev-type embedding property of $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We also obtain its smooth atomic and molecular decomposition characterizations, boundednesses of pseudo-differential operators and the trace operators on $F \dot{H} \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, which improve the corresponding conclusions in the case that $p=q$ in [24].

Recall that in [6], the atomic decomposition characterization of $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ plays an important role in establishing the duality between $\operatorname{CMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. However, for the space $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we have no such analogous atomic decomposition characterization so far. To overcome this difficulty, in this paper, different from [6], by fully using the atomic decomposition characterization of the tent space $F \dot{T}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ corresponding to $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we first obtain the predual space of the tent space $F \dot{T}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ (see Theorem 3.4 below), which further induces a dual theorem for the spaces of sequences corresponding to $\dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (see Proposition 4.2 below). This combined with the $\varphi$-transform characterizations of both $\dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ then yields the desired conclusion of Theorem 1.3.

Finally we make some conventions on notation. Throughout the whole paper, we denote by $C$ a positive constant which is independent of the main parameters, but it may vary from line to line, while $C(\alpha, \beta, \ldots)$ denotes a positive constant depending on the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$. The symbol $A \lesssim B$ means that $A \leq C B$. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, then we write $A \sim B$. If $E$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we denote by $\chi_{E}$ the characteristic function of $E$. For a dyadic cube $Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, set $\varphi_{Q}(x) \equiv|Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\left(2^{j_{Q}}\left(x-x_{Q}\right)\right)$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_{Q}(x) \equiv|Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{Q}(x)$, and for $r>0$, let $r Q$ be the cube concentric with $Q$ having the side length $r \ell(Q)$. We also set $\mathbb{N} \equiv\{1,2, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{+} \equiv \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$.

## 2. An equivalent characterization of ${ }_{V} \dot{\boldsymbol{F}}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

In this section, we establish an equivalent characterization of ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the closure of $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; precisely, we prove that ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ coincides with the closure of $C_{c, M}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for certain $M$, which further implies that $\operatorname{CMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a special case of ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

For all $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \cup\{-1\}$, denote by ${ }_{M} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the closure of $C_{c, M}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap$ $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Obviously, ${ }_{M_{1}} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset{ }_{M_{2}} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if $M_{1} \geq M_{2}$. Throughout the whole paper, for all $p, q \in(0, \infty]$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
J \equiv \frac{1}{\min \{1, p, q\}} \quad \text { and } \quad N \equiv \max \{\lfloor J-n-s\rfloor,-1\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where and in what follows, for any $a \in \mathbb{R},\lfloor a\rfloor$ denotes the maximal integer no more than $a$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(0, \infty), q \in(0, \infty], M \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \cup\{-1\}$. Let $J$ and $N$ be as in (5).
(i) Let $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1+\lfloor J-s\rfloor-J+s}{n}\right)$ when $N \geq 0$ or $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{s+n-J}{n}\right)$ when $N<0$. Then ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset{ }_{M} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
(ii) Let $\tau \in[0, \infty)$. Then ${ }_{M} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset{ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if $M>\max \left\{\frac{n}{p \wedge q}-n-\right.$ $\left.1,-s+\frac{n}{p}-n-1\right\}$.
As an immediately corollary of Theorem 2.1, we have the following conclusion.

Corollary 2.2. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(0, \infty), q \in(0, \infty]$, and $J$ and $N$ be as in (5). Let $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1+\lfloor J-s\rfloor-J+s}{n}\right)$ when $N \geq 0$ or $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{s+n-J}{n}\right)$ when $N<0$ and $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \cup\{-1\}$ such that $M>\max \left\{\frac{n}{p \wedge q}-n-1,-s+\frac{n}{p}-n-1\right\}$. Then ${ }_{M} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)={ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Notice that $\dot{F}_{2}^{0,1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\mathrm{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and ${ }_{-1} \dot{F}_{2}^{0,1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\mathrm{CMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Applying Corollary 2.2 , we then have ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{2}^{0,1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\operatorname{CMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

For all $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, set $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{L}} \equiv \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \sup _{|\gamma| \leq L}\left|\partial^{\gamma} \varphi(x)\right|(1+$ $|x|)^{n+L+|\gamma|}$, where and in what follows, for all $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)^{n}, \partial^{\gamma} \equiv$ $\frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{\gamma_{1}^{1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{\gamma_{n}}}{\partial x_{n}^{\gamma_{n}}}$. To prove Theorem 2.1, we need the following lemma. Its proof is similar to that of [22, Lemma 2.2]. We omit the details.
Lemma 2.3. Let $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \cup\{-1\}, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $f \in C_{c, M}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
(i) If $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, then for all $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, there exists a positive constant $C(L, n)$, depending only on $L$ and $n$, such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\left|\varphi_{j} * f(x)\right| \leq C(L, n)\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{L+1}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{S}_{L+1}} 2^{-j L}(1+|x|)^{-n-L-1}
$$

(ii) If $j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, then there exists a positive constant $C(M, n)$, depending only on $M$ and $n$, such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\left|\varphi_{j} * f(x)\right| \leq C(M, n)\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M+2}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M+2}}\left(2^{-j}+|x|\right)^{-n-M-1}
$$

We now recall the sequence space corresponding to $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; see $[23$, Definition 3.1].

Definition 2.4. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(0, \infty), q \in(0, \infty]$ and $\tau \in[0, \infty)$. The sequence space $\dot{f}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is defined to be the set of all $t \equiv\left\{t_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|t\|_{f_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}<\infty$, where

$$
\|t\|_{f_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \equiv \sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int_{P}\left[\sum_{j=j_{P}}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-j}} 2^{j\left(s+\frac{n}{2}\right) q}\left|t_{Q}\right|^{q} \chi_{Q}(x)\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

An important tool used in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is the smooth atomic decomposition characterization of $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in [23, Theorem 4.3] (see also [10, Theorem 4.1]). Recall that a smooth atom for $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is defined as follows.

Definition 2.5 ([23, Definition 4.1]). Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(0, \infty), q \in(0, \infty]$, and $J$ and $N$ be as in (5). Let $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1+\lfloor J-s\rfloor-J+s}{n}\right)$ when $N \geq 0$ or $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{s+n-J}{n}\right)$ when $N<0$. A $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ function $a_{Q}$ is called a smooth atom for $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ supported near a dyadic cube $Q$ if there exist integers $K \geq$ $\max \{\lfloor s+n \tau+1\rfloor, 0\}$ and $\widetilde{N} \geq N$ such that $\operatorname{supp} a_{Q} \subset 3 Q, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{\gamma} a_{Q}(x) d x=0$ if $|\gamma| \leq \widetilde{N}$ and $\left|\partial^{\gamma} a_{Q}(x)\right| \leq|Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{|\gamma|}{n}}$ for all $x \in 3 Q$ if $|\gamma| \leq K$.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. (i) Since ${ }_{M_{1}} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset{ }_{M_{2}} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if $M_{1} \geq M_{2}$, we only need to prove (i) when $M \geq N \equiv \max \{\lfloor J-n-s\rfloor,-1\}$; equivalently, it suffices to prove that for any $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, there exists a function $g \in C_{c, M}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $M \geq N$ such that $\|f-g\|_{\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}<\varepsilon$.

Let $\varphi$ be as in Definition 1.1. By [23, Theorem 4.3] and its proof together with $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (see [23, Proposition 3.1(ix) $]$ ), we know that each $f \in$ $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ has a representation $f=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} t_{Q} a_{Q}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where $a_{Q}$ is a smooth atom for $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ supported near $Q$ satisfying that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{\gamma} a_{Q}(x) d x=0$ for all $|\gamma| \leq M$,

$$
t_{Q} \equiv C_{1}\left[\sum_{\left\{R \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right): \ell(R)=\ell(Q)\right\}} \frac{\left|\left\langle f, \varphi_{R}\right\rangle\right|^{p \wedge q}}{\left(1+[\ell(Q)]^{-1}\left|x_{R}-x_{Q}\right|\right)^{\lambda}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p \wedge q}}
$$

and $\left\|\left\{t_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right\|_{f_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C_{2}\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$, where $\lambda>n$ can be sufficiently large, which is determined later, and $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are positive constants independent of $f$.

For $L \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$
f_{L} \equiv \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} t_{Q} a_{Q} \chi_{\left\{R \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right): 2^{-L} \leq \ell(R) \leq 2^{L}, R \subset\left[-2^{L}, 2^{L}\right)^{n}\right\}}(Q)
$$

Obviously, $f_{L} \in C_{c, M}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. By [23, Theorem 4.3] again, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| f & -f_{L} \|_{\dot{F}_{F, \tau}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
\leq & C_{2}\left\|\left\{t_{Q} \chi_{\left\{R \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right): \ell(R) \notin\left[2^{-L}, 2^{L}\right] \text { or } R \notin\left[-2^{L}, 2^{L}\right)^{n}\right\}}(Q)\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right\| \|_{f_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
\leq & C C_{2}\left(\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int_{P}\left[\sum_{\substack{j=j_{P} \\
|j|>L}}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-j}} 2^{j\left(s+\frac{n}{2}\right) q}\left|t_{Q}\right|^{q} \chi_{Q}(x)\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right. \\
& \left.+\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int_{P}\left[\sum_{\substack{j=j p_{P} \\
|j| \leq L}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\left.\ell(Q)=2^{-j} \\
Q \notin \mid-2^{L}, 2^{L}\right)^{n}}} 2^{j\left(s+\frac{n}{2}\right) q}\left|t_{Q}\right|^{q} \chi_{Q}(x)\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\
\equiv & C C_{2}\left(\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \mathrm{I}_{P}+\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \mathrm{J}_{P}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $f$ and $L$.
Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, by [22, Lemma 2.2], we see that for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{Q_{j k}} \lesssim C_{1}\left[\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{2^{-\left[\frac{j n}{2}+|j| K+(j \wedge 0) K\right](p \wedge q)}}{(1+|l-k|)^{\lambda}\left(2^{-(j \wedge 0)}+\left|2^{-j} l\right|\right)^{(n+K)(p \wedge q)}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p \wedge q}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we chose $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$such that $K>\max \left\{n\left[\frac{1}{p \wedge q}-1\right], s+n\left[\tau+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \vee\right.\right.$ $\left.0],-s+n\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right)\right\}$.

If $j_{P} \geq-L$, we then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_{P} \lesssim & C_{1} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int _ { P } \left[\sum_{j=\left(j_{P} \vee L\right)}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j(s-K) q} \chi_{Q_{j k}}(x)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{1}{(1+|l-k|)^{\lambda}\left(1+\left|2^{-j} l\right|\right)^{(n+K)(p \wedge q)}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p \wedge q}}\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $j \geq\left(j_{P} \vee L\right) \geq 1$. Then $\left|2^{-j} k\right| \leq\left|2^{-j} l\right|+|l-k|$, which implies that $1+\left|2^{-j} l\right| \geq\left(1+\left|2^{-j} k\right|\right)(1+|k-l|)^{-1}$. In what follows, we always choose
$\lambda>n+(n+K)(p \wedge q)$. By this, we then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_{P} \lesssim & C_{1} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int _ { P } \left[\sum_{j=\left(j_{P} \vee L\right)}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j(s-K) q} \chi_{Q_{j k}}(x)\left(1+\left|2^{-j} k\right|\right)^{-(n+K) q}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{1}{(1+|l-k|)^{\lambda-(n+K)(p \wedge q)}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p \wedge q}}\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\lesssim & C_{1} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int_{P}\left[\sum_{j=\left(j_{P} \vee L\right)}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j(s-K) q} \chi_{Q_{j k}}(x)\left(1+\left|2^{-j} k\right|\right)^{-(n+K) q}\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

When $p \leq q$, by $K>\max \left\{s+n\left[\tau+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \vee 0\right], n\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right)\right\},\left(j_{P} \vee L\right) \geq L$ and the inequality that for all $d \in(0,1]$ and $\left\{\alpha_{j}\right\}_{j} \subset \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{j}\left|\alpha_{j}\right|\right)^{d} \leq \sum_{j}\left|\alpha_{j}\right|^{d} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain that $\mathrm{I}_{P} \lesssim C_{1}|P|^{-\tau}\left\{\sum_{j=\left(j_{P} \vee L\right)}^{\infty} 2^{j(s-K) p}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim C_{1} 2^{\left(j_{P} \vee L\right) L(s+n \tau-K)} \lesssim$ $C_{1} 2^{L(s+n \tau-K)}$. When $p>q$, by Minkowski's inequality, we also have

$$
\mathrm{I}_{P} \lesssim C_{1} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\sum_{j=\left(j_{P} \vee L\right)}^{\infty} 2^{j(s-K) q} 2^{j n\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right) q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim C_{1} 2^{L\left[s-K+n\left(\tau+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right]}
$$

If $j_{P}<-L$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_{P} \leq & C C_{1}\left(\frac { 1 } { | P | ^ { \tau } } \left\{\int _ { P } \left[\sum_{j=j_{P}}^{-L-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j(s+n+K) q} \chi_{Q_{j k}}(x)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{1}{(1+|l-k|)^{\lambda}(1+|l|)^{(n+K)(p \wedge q)}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p \wedge q}}\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& +\frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int _ { P } \left[\sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j(s-K) q} \chi_{Q_{j k}}(x)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\times\left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{1}{(1+|l-k|)^{\lambda}\left(1+\left|2^{-j} l\right|\right)^{(n+K)(p \wedge q)}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p \wedge q}}\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\
\equiv & C C_{1}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}+\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $L$. The estimate of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ is the same as the estimate for $\mathrm{I}_{P}$ in the case that $j_{P} \geq-L$, by noticing that in the estimate for $\mathrm{I}_{P}$, we did not use the fact that $j_{P} \geq-L$. To estimate $\mathrm{I}_{1}$, by $|P|^{-\tau} \leq 1$, $(1+|l-k|)(1+|l|) \geq(1+|k|)$ and $K>-s+n\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right)$, applying (7) when $p \leq q$ or Minkowski's inequality when $p>q$, we obtain

$$
\mathrm{I}_{1} \lesssim\left\{\int_{P}\left[\sum_{j=j_{P}}^{-L} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j(s+n+K) q}(1+|k|)^{-(n+K) q} \chi_{Q_{j k}}(x)\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim 2^{-L\left[s+K+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right]}
$$

Therefore, we have $\mathrm{I}_{P} \lesssim C_{1} \max \left\{2^{L\left\{s+n\left[\tau+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \mathrm{V} 0\right]-K\right\}}, 2^{-L\left[s+K+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right]}\right\}$.
Next we estimate $\mathrm{J}_{P}$. Notice that $(1+|l-k|)\left(2^{-(j \wedge 0)}+\left|2^{-j} l\right|\right) \geq 2^{-(j \wedge 0)}(1+$ $\left.\left|2^{-(j \vee 0)} k\right|\right)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l, k \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)^{n}$. By (6) and $\lambda>n+(n+K)(p \wedge q)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{J}_{P} & \lesssim C_{1} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int _ { P } \left[\sum_{\substack{j=j_{P} \\
|j| \leq L}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} n \\
Q_{j k} \nsubseteq\left[-L^{L}, 2 L\right)^{n}}} 2^{j\left(s+\frac{n}{2}\right) q} \chi_{Q_{j k}}(x)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{2^{-\left[\frac{j n}{2}+|j| K+(j \wedge 0) K\right](p \wedge q)}}{(1+|l-k|)^{\lambda}\left(2^{-(j \wedge 0)}+\left|2^{-j}\right| \mid\right)^{(n+K)(p \wedge q)}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p \wedge q}}\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \lesssim C_{1} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int_{P}\left[\sum_{\substack{j=j_{P} \\
|j| \leq L}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \\
Q_{j k} L\left\lfloor-2^{L}, L^{L}\right)^{n}}} 2^{j s q} \chi_{Q_{j k}}(x) \frac{2^{-[|j| K-(j \wedge 0) n] q}}{\left(1+\left|2^{-(j \vee 0)} k\right|\right)^{(n+K) q}}\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $p \leq q$, applying (7) yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{J}_{P} & \lesssim C_{1} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\sum_{\substack{j=j_{p} \\
j \mid \leq L \leq L}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \\
a_{j k} \notin\left\lfloor-2^{L}, 2^{L}\right)^{n}}} 2^{j s p} \frac{2^{-|j| K p p+(j \wedge 0) n p-j n}}{\left(1+\left|2^{-(j \vee 0)} k\right|\right)^{(n+K) p}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \lesssim C_{1} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\sum_{\substack{j=j_{D} \\
j \mid \leq L}}^{\infty} 2^{j s p} 2^{-|j| K p+(j \wedge 0) n p-j n+(j \vee 0) n} 2^{-[L+(j \wedge 0)][(n+K) p-n]}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $j_{P}>L$, then $\mathrm{J}_{P}=0$. If $0 \leq j_{P} \leq L$, then

$$
\mathrm{J}_{P} \lesssim C_{1} 2^{-L\left[K+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right]} 2^{j_{P}(s+n \tau-K)} \lesssim C_{1} 2^{-L\left[K+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right]}
$$

If $j_{P}<0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{J}_{P} & \lesssim C_{1} 2^{-L\left[K+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right]}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{L} 2^{j(s-K) p}+\sum_{j=j p \vee(-L)}^{-1} 2^{j s p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \lesssim C_{1} \max \left\{L 2^{-L\left[K+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right]}, 2^{-L\left[s+K+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right]}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we always have $\mathrm{J}_{P} \lesssim C_{1} \max \left\{L 2^{-L\left[K+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right]}, 2^{-L[s+K+n(1-1 / p)]}\right\}$.
When $p>q$, applying Minkowski's inequality, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{J}_{P} & \lesssim C_{1} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\sum_{\substack{j=j_{P} \\
|j| \leq L}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \\
Q_{j k} \notin \notin[-2 L, 2 L) n}} 2^{j s q} \frac{2^{-|j| K q+(j \wedge 0) n q-\frac{j n q}{p}}}{\left(1+\left|2^{-(j \vee 0)} k\right|\right)^{(n+K) q}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \lesssim C_{1} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\sum_{\substack{j=j_{P} \\
|j| \leq L}}^{\infty} 2^{j s q} 2^{-|j| K q+(j \wedge 0) n q-\frac{j n q}{p}+(j \vee 0) n} 2^{-[L+(j \wedge 0)][(n+K) q-n]}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have $\mathrm{J}_{P} \lesssim C_{1} \max \left\{L 2^{-L\left[K+n\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)\right]}, 2^{-L\left[s+K+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right]}\right\}$.
Combining the estimates of $\mathrm{I}_{P}$ and $\mathrm{J}_{P}$ implies that there exists a positive constant $C$, independent of $L$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|f-f_{L}\right\|_{\tilde{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
& \leq C C_{1} C_{2} \max \left\{2^{L\left\{s+n\left[\tau+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \mathrm{V} 0\right]-K\right\}}, L 2^{-L\left(K+n\left[1-\frac{1}{(p \wedge q)}\right]\right)}, 2^{-L\left[s+K+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right]}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any given $\varepsilon>0$, choosing $L$ large enough such that

$$
C C_{1} C_{2} \max \left\{2^{L\left\{s+n\left[\tau+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \mathrm{V} 0\right]-K\right\}}, L 2^{-L\left(K+n\left[1-\frac{1}{(p \wedge q)}\right]\right)}, 2^{-L\left[s+K+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right]}\right\}<\varepsilon,
$$

we then have $\left\|f-f_{L}\right\|_{\dot{F}_{F, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}<\varepsilon$, which completes the proof of (i).
(ii) To prove ${ }_{M} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset{ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, it suffices to show that for any $\varepsilon \in$ $(0, \infty)$ and $f \in C_{c, M}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $\|f-g\|_{\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}<\varepsilon$. Since the proof is similar to that of (i), we only give a sketch.

Let $\varphi$ be as in Definition 1.1. By [11, Lemma (6.9)], there exists a function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying (1) such that $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\hat{\varphi}\left(2^{j} \xi\right)} \widehat{\psi}\left(2^{j} \xi\right)=1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$. Then by the Calderón reproducing formula in [23, Lemma 2.1], we know that $f=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left\langle f, \varphi_{Q}\right\rangle \psi_{Q}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. For $L \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$
g_{L} \equiv \sum_{\substack{|j| \leq L}} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \\ Q \subset\left[-2^{2}, 2 L\right)^{n}}}\left\langle f, \varphi_{Q}\right\rangle \psi_{Q} \equiv \sum_{\substack{|j| \leq L}} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \\ Q \subset\left[-2^{L}, L^{L}\right)^{n}}} \lambda_{Q} \psi_{Q} .
$$

Obviously, $g_{L} \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. From the $\varphi$-transform characterization of $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$
(see [23, Theorem 3.1]), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| f & -g_{L} \|_{\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
\leq & C\left(\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int_{P}\left[\sum_{\substack{j=j_{P} \\
|j|>L}}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-j}} 2^{j\left(s+\frac{n}{2}\right) q}\left|\lambda_{Q}\right|^{q} \chi_{Q}(x)\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right. \\
& \left.+\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int_{P}\left[\sum_{\substack{j=j_{P} \\
|j| \leq L}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\left.\ell(Q)=2-j \\
Q \nsubseteq-2 L_{, 2 L} L\right)^{n}}} 2^{j\left(s+\frac{n}{2}\right) q}\left|\lambda_{Q}\right|^{q} \chi_{Q}(x)\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\
& \equiv C\left(\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \widetilde{\mathrm{I}}_{P}+\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{P}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $f$ and $L$.
The estimate of $\widetilde{\mathrm{I}}_{P}$ is similar to the estimate of $\mathrm{I}_{P}$ in (i). In fact, since $f \in C_{c, M}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, by Lemma 2.3, we see that for $Q=Q_{j k}$, $\left|\lambda_{Q}\right| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j n}{2}-j K}\left(1+\left|2^{-j} k\right|\right)^{-n-K-1}$ when $j \geq 0$, where $K$ is the same as in (6), and $\left|\lambda_{Q}\right| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j n}{2}} 2^{j(n+M+1)}(1+|k|)^{-n-M-1}$ when $j<0$.

If $j_{P} \geq-L$, similarly to the estimate of $\mathrm{I}_{P}$, we have

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{I}}_{P} \lesssim \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int_{P}\left[\sum_{j=\left(j_{P} \vee L\right)}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{2^{j(s-K) q} \chi_{Q_{j k}}(x)}{\left(1+\left|2^{-j} k\right|\right)^{(n+K+1) q}}\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim 2^{L\left(s-K+n\left[\tau+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \vee 0\right]\right)} .
$$

If $j_{P}<-L$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathrm{I}}_{P} \leq & C\left(\frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int_{P}\left[\sum_{j=j_{P}}^{-L-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{2^{j(s+n+M+1) q} \chi_{Q_{j k}}(x)}{(1+|k|)^{(n+M+1) q}}\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left\{\int_{P}\left[\sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{2^{j(s-K) q} \chi_{Q_{j k}}(x)}{\left(1+\left|2^{-j} k\right|\right)^{(n+K+1) q}}\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\
\equiv & C\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{I}}_{1}+\widetilde{\mathrm{I}}_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $L$. Similarly to the estimate of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ in (i), we obtain $\widetilde{\mathrm{I}}_{2} \lesssim 2^{L\left(s-K+n\left[\tau+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \mathrm{V} 0\right]\right)}$. For $\widetilde{\mathrm{I}}_{1}$, by $|P|^{-\tau} \leq 1$ and $M>\max \left\{n\left[\frac{1}{(p \wedge q)}-1\right]-1,-s+n\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right)-1\right\}$, similarly to the estimate of $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ in (i), we see that $\widetilde{\mathrm{I}}_{1} \lesssim 2^{-L\left(s+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+M+1\right)}$. Thus,

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{I}}_{P} \lesssim \max \left\{2^{L\left(s-K+n\left[\tau+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \mathrm{V} 0\right]\right)}, 2^{-L\left(s+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+M+1\right)}\right\} .
$$

The estimate of $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{P}$ is similar to that of $\mathrm{J}_{P}$. Indeed, if $j_{P}>L$, then $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{P}=0$. If $0 \leq j_{P} \leq L$, then $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{P} \lesssim 2^{-L\left\{K+1+n\left[1-\frac{1}{p \wedge q}\right]\right\}}$. If $J_{P}<0$, then

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{P} \lesssim \max \left\{2^{-L\left(s+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+M+1\right)}, L 2^{-L\left\{M+1+n\left[1-\frac{1}{p \wedge q}\right]\right\}}\right\},
$$

which together with the estimate of $\widetilde{\mathrm{I}}_{P}$ yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f-g_{L}\right\|_{\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C \max \{ & 2^{L\left(s-K+n\left[\tau+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \vee 0\right]\right)}, 2^{-L\left\{K+1+n\left[1-\frac{1}{p \wedge q}\right]\right\}} \\
& \left.2^{-L\left[s+M+n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+1\right]}, L 2^{-L\left\{M+1+n\left[1-\frac{1}{p \wedge q}\right]\right\}}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $L$. For any given $\varepsilon>0$, choosing $L$ sufficiently large, we then have $\left\|f-g_{L}\right\|_{\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}<\varepsilon$, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Finally, we point out that Theorem 2.1 is also true for Besov-type spaces $\dot{B}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Since the proof is similar, we omit the details.

Theorem 2.6. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(0, \infty), q \in(0, \infty], M \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \cup\{-1\}, \widetilde{J} \equiv \frac{1}{\min \{1, p\}}$ and $\widetilde{N} \equiv \max \{\lfloor\widetilde{J}-n-s\rfloor,-1\}$.
(i) Let $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1+\lfloor\widetilde{J}-s\rfloor-\widetilde{J}+s}{n}\right)$ when $\widetilde{N} \geq 0$ or $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{s+n-\widetilde{J}}{n}\right)$ when $\widetilde{N}<0$. Then ${ }_{V} \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset{ }_{M} \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
(ii) Let $\tau \in[0, \infty)$. Then ${ }_{M} \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset{ }_{V} \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if $M>\max \left\{n\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right)-\right.$ $\left.1,-s+n\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right)-1\right\}$.

## 3. Dual properties of tent spaces

In this section, we focus on the tent spaces $F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $F \dot{W}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$. These tent spaces are originally introduced in [22, Definition 4.2] and applied therein to establish the dual relation between $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We first recall some results on $F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $F \dot{W}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ in [22], and then establish the duality that for $s, \tau, p$ as in Theorem $1.3,\left({ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)\right)^{*}=$ $F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$, where ${ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ denotes the closure of the set of all functions in $F \dot{W}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}_{+}}^{n+1}\right)$ with compact support.

We begin with recalling the notions of $F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $F \dot{W}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$. For all functions $F$ on $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}$ or $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set $F^{j}(x) \equiv F\left(x, 2^{-j}\right)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For any set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, define $T(A) \equiv\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}: B(x, t) \subset A\right\}$.

Definition 3.1 ( [22, Definition 4.2]). Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
(i) Let $p, q \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{(p \vee q)^{\prime}}\right]$. The tent space $F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ is defined to be the set of all functions $F$ on $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}$ such that $\left\{F^{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are Lebesgue measurable and $\|F\|_{F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}<\infty$, where

$$
\|F\|_{F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)} \equiv \inf _{\omega}\left\|\left\{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j s q}\left|F^{j}\right|^{q}\left[\omega^{j}\right]^{-q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all nonnegative Borel measurable functions $\omega$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$ satisfying (3) and with the restriction that $\omega$ is allowed to vanish only where $F$ vanishes.
(ii) Let $p \in(1, \infty), q \in(1, \infty]$ and $\tau \in[0, \infty)$. The tent space $F \dot{W}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ is defined to be the set of all functions $F$ on $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}$ such that $\left\{F^{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are Lebesgue measurable and $\|F\|_{F \dot{W}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}<\infty$, where

$$
\|F\|_{F \dot{W}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)} \equiv \sup _{B} \frac{1}{|B|^{\tau}}\left\{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j s q}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|F^{j}(x)\right|^{p} \chi_{T(B)}\left(x, 2^{-j}\right) d x\right]^{\frac{q}{p}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

where $B$ runs over all balls in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Also, for simplicity, we use $F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $F \dot{W}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ to denote the spaces $F \dot{T}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $F \dot{W}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$, respectively.
Remark 3.2. (i) We recall that when $s=\frac{n-d}{2}, \tau=\frac{d}{2 n}$ and $p=q=2$, the tent spaces $F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $F \dot{W}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ are, respectively, the discrete variants of $T_{d}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}\right)$ and $T_{d}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}\right)$ in [7, p. 391]; see [22, p. 2786]. In particular, when $s=0, \tau=\frac{1}{2}$ and $p=q=2, F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $F \dot{W}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ are, respectively, the discrete variants of $T_{2}^{1}$ and $T_{2}^{\infty}$, the well-known tent spaces introduced by Coifman, Meyer and Stein in [5].
(ii) We also remark that the space $F \dot{W}_{q^{\prime}}^{0,1 / p-1 / q}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ is a discrete variant of $T_{q^{\prime}}^{p, \infty}$, where $T_{q^{\prime}}^{p, \infty}$ is introduced in [18, Definition 1.3].
(iii) It was pointed out in $\left[22\right.$, p. 2786, (4.6)] that $\|\cdot\|_{F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z,}^{n+1}\right)}$ is a quasinorm, namely, there exists a positive constant $\rho$ such that for all functions $F$ and $G$ on $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}$,

$$
\|F+G\|_{F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \leq 2^{\rho}\left\{\|F\|_{F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}+\|g\|_{F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}\right\}
$$

Let $\varphi$ be as in Definition 1.1. Define an operator $\rho_{\varphi}$ by setting, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}, \rho_{\varphi}(f)(x, t) \equiv \varphi_{t} * f(x)$. It is easy to check that $\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \sim\left\|\rho_{\varphi}(f)\right\|_{F \dot{W}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}$ and $\|f\|_{F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \sim\left\|\rho_{\varphi}(f)\right\|_{F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}$. We also recall the dual relation between $F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $F \dot{W}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ in $[22]$ as follows.

Theorem 3.3 ( [22, Theorem 4.1(iii)]). Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p, q \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in$ $\left(0, \frac{1}{(p \vee q)^{\prime}}\right]$. Then the dual space of $F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ is $F \dot{W}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ under the following pairing:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle F, G\rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}^{\infty} F^{j}(x) G^{j}(x) d x \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F \in F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $G \in F \dot{W}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$.
Now we turn to the main result of this section.
Theorem 3.4. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left(0, \frac{1}{p}\right]$. The dual space of ${ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ is $F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ under the pairing (8).

To prove this theorem, we need the atomic decomposition characterization of the space $F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ established in [22, Theorem 4.1(i)]. We first recall the notion of $F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$-atoms; see [22, Definition 4.3].

Definition 3.5. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left(0, \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right]$. A function $a$ on $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}$ is called an $F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$-atom associated to a ball $B$, if $a$ is supported in $T(B) \equiv\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}: B(x, t) \subset B\right\}$ and satisfies that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j s p}\left|a^{j}(x)\right|^{p} \chi_{T(B)}\left(x, 2^{-j}\right) d x \leq|B|^{-\tau p}
$$

Proposition 3.6. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left(0, \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right]$. If $F \in F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$, then there exist a sequence $\left\{a_{j}\right\}_{j}$ of $F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$-atoms and a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{j} \subset$ $\mathbb{C}$ such that $F=\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} a_{j}$ in $F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $\sum_{j}\left|\lambda_{j}\right| \leq C\|F\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)}$.

Conversely, for a sequence $\left\{a_{j}\right\}_{j}$ of $F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$-atoms and an $l^{1}$-sequence $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{j} \subset \mathbb{C}, F \equiv \sum_{j} \lambda_{j} a_{j}$ converges in $F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $\|F\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)} \leq$ $C \sum_{j}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|$, where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $F$.

For all $F \in F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$, set

$$
|||F|||_{F T_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \equiv \inf \left\{\sum_{j}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|: f=\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} a_{j}\right\}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible atomic decompositions of $F$ as in Proposition 3.6. It is easy to see that $\left|\|\cdot \mid\| \|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)}\right.$ is a norm of $F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$. Furthermore, by Proposition 3.6, we know that $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{F T_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}$ and hence $\left(F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right),\| \| \cdot\| \|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}\right)$ is a Banach space.

Lemma 3.7. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left(0, \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right]$. Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that for all $F \in F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$,

$$
C^{-1}\|F\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)} \leq \sup _{\substack{\|G\|_{F \dot{W}_{p}^{-s}, \tau_{\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right.}^{n+1} \leq 1} \leq 1 \\ G \text { has compact support }}}\left\{\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} F^{j}(x) G^{j}(x) d x\right|\right\} \leq C\|F\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)}
$$

Proof. The second inequality is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.3. To finish the proof of Lemma 3.7, we still need to show the first inequality.

Recall that $\|\cdot\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{F T_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}$ and the space $\left(F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right),\| \| \cdot\| \|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)}\right)$ is a Banach space. For each $F \in$ $F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$, by Theorem 3.3 and the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists a function $H \in F \dot{W}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ with $\|H\|_{F \dot{W}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)} \leq 1$ such that

$$
\|F\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \sim\left\|| | F\left|\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \sim\right| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} F^{j}(x) H^{j}(x) d x \mid .\right.
$$

For any $M \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$
H_{M}\left(x, 2^{-j}\right) \equiv H\left(x, 2^{-j}\right) \chi_{\left\{\left(x, 2^{-j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}:|x| \leq M, M^{-1} \leq 2^{-j} \leq M\right\}}\left(x, 2^{-j}\right) .
$$

Then $\left\|H_{M}\right\|_{F \dot{W}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \leq\|H\|_{F \dot{W}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \leq 1$ and $H_{M}$ has compact support. Notice that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|F^{j}(x)\left\|H^{j}(x) \mid d x \lesssim\right\| F\left\|_{F T_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)}\right\| H\left\|_{F \dot{W}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)} \lesssim\right\| F \|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)}\right.
$$

Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem implies that if $M$ is large enough,

$$
\|F\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \sim\left|\left\|F\left|\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)} \sim\right| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}^{\infty} F^{j}(x) H_{M}^{j}(x) d x \mid,\right.\right.
$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 3.7.
The following lemma is a variation of [6, Lemma 4.2] for tent spaces.
Lemma 3.8. Let $p \in(1, \infty), \tau \in\left(0, \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right]$ and $\left\{F_{m}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a uniformly bounded sequence in $F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$. Then there exist a function $F \in F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and a subsequence $\left\{F_{m_{i}}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\left\{F_{m}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for all $G \in F \dot{W}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ with compact support, $\left\langle F_{m_{i}}, G\right\rangle \rightarrow\langle F, G\rangle$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, where $\langle F, G\rangle$ is defined as in (8), and $\|F\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \leq C \sup _{m \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|F_{m}\right\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}$ with $C$ being a positive constant independent of $F$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\left\|F_{m}\right\|_{F T_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \leq 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

By [22, Theorem 4.1] and its proof (see [22, pp. 2792-2793]), each $F_{m}$ has an atomic decomposition representation $F_{m}=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in I_{j}^{(m)}} \lambda_{m, j, Q} a_{m, j, Q}$ in $F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$, where $I_{j}^{(m)} \subset \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \lambda_{m} \equiv\left\{\lambda_{m, j, Q}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, Q \in I_{j}^{(m)}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ satisfies that $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in I_{j}^{(m)}}\left|\lambda_{m, j, Q}\right| \lesssim 1$ and each $a_{m, j, Q}$ is an $F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$-atom supported in $T\left(B_{Q}\right)$, where and in what follows, for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), B_{Q} \equiv B\left(c_{Q}, \frac{5}{2} \sqrt{n} l(Q)\right)$.

For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define a sequence $\widetilde{\lambda}_{m} \equiv\left\{\tilde{\lambda}_{m, j, Q}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \subset \mathbb{C}$ by setting, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}, \widetilde{\lambda}_{m, j, Q} \equiv \lambda_{m, j, Q}$ when $Q \in I_{j}^{(m)}$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}_{m, j, Q} \equiv 0$ otherwise, and a set $\left\{\widetilde{a}_{m, j, Q}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ of functions on $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}$ by setting, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}, \widetilde{a}_{m, j, Q} \equiv a_{m, j, Q}$ when $Q \in I_{j}^{(m)}$ and $\widetilde{a}_{m, j, Q} \equiv 0$ otherwise. We see that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{\lambda}_{m}\right\|_{l^{1}}=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left|\widetilde{\lambda}_{m, j, Q}\right|=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in I_{j}^{(m)}}\left|\lambda_{m, j, Q}\right| \lesssim 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and each $\widetilde{a}_{m, j, Q}$ is still an $F \dot{T}_{p_{\sim}^{0}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$-atom supported in $T\left(B_{Q}\right)$. Moreover, we have $F_{m}=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \widetilde{\lambda}_{m, j, Q} \widetilde{a}_{m, j, Q}$ in $F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$.

Since (9) holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a diagonalization argument yields that there exist a sequence $\lambda \equiv\left\{\lambda_{j, Q}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \in l^{1}$ and a subsequence $\left\{\tilde{\lambda}_{m_{i}}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\left\{\widetilde{\lambda}_{m}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\widetilde{\lambda}_{m_{i}, j, Q} \rightarrow \lambda_{j, Q}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and $\|\lambda\|_{l^{1}} \lesssim 1$.

On the other hand, recall that $\operatorname{supp} \widetilde{a}_{m, j, Q} \subset T\left(B_{Q}\right)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. From Definition 3.5, it follows that $\left\{\left\|\widetilde{a}_{m, j, Q}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(l^{p}\left(T\left(B_{Q}\right)\right)\right)}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a uniformly bounded sequence in $L^{p}\left(l^{p}\left(T\left(B_{Q}\right)\right)\right)$, where $L^{p}\left(l^{p}\left(T\left(B_{Q}\right)\right)\right)$ consists of all functions on $T\left(B_{Q}\right)$ equipped with the norm that

$$
\|F\|_{L^{p}\left(l^{p}\left(T\left(B_{Q}\right)\right)\right)} \equiv\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|F\left(x, 2^{-j}\right)\right|^{p} \chi_{T\left(B_{Q}\right)}\left(x, 2^{-j}\right) d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Then by the Alaoglu theorem, there are a unique function $a_{j, Q} \in L^{p}\left(l^{p}\left(T\left(B_{Q}\right)\right)\right)$ and a subsequence of $\left\{\widetilde{a}_{m_{i}, j, Q}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, denoted by $\left\{\widetilde{a}_{m_{i}, j, Q}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ again, such that for all functions $G \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(l^{p^{\prime}}\left(T\left(B_{Q}\right)\right)\right),\left\langle\widetilde{a}_{m_{i}, j, Q}, G\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle a_{j, Q}, G\right\rangle$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and each $a_{j, Q}$ is also a constant multiple of an $F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$-atom supported in $T\left(2 B_{Q}\right)$ with the constant independent of $j$ and $Q$. Applying a diagonalization argument again, we conclude that there exists a subsequence, denoted by $\left\{\widetilde{a}_{m_{i}, j, Q}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ again, such that for all $G \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(l^{p^{\prime}}\left(T\left(B_{Q}\right)\right)\right),\left\langle\widetilde{a}_{m_{i}, j, Q}, G\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle a_{j, Q}, G\right\rangle$ as $i \rightarrow$ $\infty$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Let $F \equiv \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \lambda_{j, Q} a_{j, Q}$. By Proposition 3.6, we see that $F \in F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $\|F\|_{F T_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)} \lesssim 1$.

Let $G \in F \dot{W}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} G \subset B\left(0,2^{M}\right) \times\left\{2^{-M}, \ldots, 2^{M}\right\}$ for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|G\|_{F \dot{W}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}=1$. We need to show that $\left\langle F_{m_{i}}, G\right\rangle \rightarrow\langle F, G\rangle$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to see that $\|G\|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(l p^{\prime}\left(T\left(B\left(0,2^{M}\right)\right)\right)\right)}} \lesssim\|G\|_{F W_{p^{\prime}}^{0} \tau^{\tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \sim 1$. Therefore, by the above argument, $\left\langle\widetilde{a}_{m_{i}, j, Q}, G\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle a_{j, Q}, G\right\rangle$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Recall that $\|a\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \leq \widetilde{C}$ for all $F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$-atoms $a$, where $\widetilde{C}$ is a positive constant independent of $a$. By $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left|\widetilde{\lambda}_{m_{i}, j, Q}\right| \lesssim 1$, we see that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\sum_{\{j \in \mathbb{Z}:|j|>L\}} \sum_{\left\{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right):\left|j_{Q}\right|>L \text { or } Q \nsubseteq\left[-2^{L}, 2^{L}\right)^{n}\right\}}\left|\widetilde{\lambda}_{m_{i}, j, Q}\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{\widetilde{C}}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \\
|j|\rangle L}} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \\
\left|j_{Q}\right|>L \text { or } Q\left(-2^{L}, 2^{L}\right)^{n}}}\left|\widetilde{\lambda}_{m_{i}, j, Q}\right| \cdot\left|\left\langle\widetilde{a}_{m_{i}, j, Q}, G\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \\
|j|>L}} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \\
|j Q\\
| j^{2} \mid>L \text { or } Q \&\left(-2^{L}, 2 L\right)^{n}}}\left|\widetilde{\lambda}_{m_{i}, j, Q}\right| \cdot\left\|\widetilde{a}_{m_{i}, j, Q}\right\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}\|G\|_{F \dot{W}_{p^{\prime}}^{0},^{\tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \\
& \leq \widetilde{C} \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \\
|j|>L}} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \\
\left|j_{Q}\right|>L \text { or } Q £\left(-2 L, 2^{2}\right)^{n}}}\left|\widetilde{\lambda}_{m_{i}, j, Q}\right|<\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, by $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left|\lambda_{j, Q}\right| \lesssim 1$, there exists an $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \\|j|>L}} \sum_{\substack{\left.Q \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \\\left|j j_{Q}\right|>L \text { or } Q \notin-2 L, L^{L}\right)^{n}}}\left|\lambda_{j, Q}\right| \cdot\left|\left\langle a_{j, Q}, G\right\rangle\right|<\varepsilon,
$$

which further implies that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle F_{m_{i}}, G\right\rangle=\langle F, G\rangle$ and completes the proof of Lemma 3.8.

Now we turn to prove Theorem 3.4
Proof of Theorem 3.4. By Theorem 3.3 and the definition of ${ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$, we have that ${ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right) \subset F \dot{W}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)=\left(F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)\right)^{*}$, which implies that $F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right) \subset\left(F{\dot{p^{\prime}}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)^{* *} \subset\left({ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)\right)^{*}\right.$.

To show $\left({ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)\right)^{*} \subset F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$, we first claim that if this is true when $s=0$, then it is also true for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. To see this, for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$, define an operator $A_{u}$ by setting, for all functions $F$ on $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z},\left(A_{u} F\right)\left(x, 2^{-j}\right) \equiv 2^{j u} F\left(x, 2^{-j}\right)$. Obviously, $A_{u}$ is an isometric isomorphism from $F \dot{W}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ to $F \dot{W}_{p}^{s+u, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and from $F \dot{T}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ to
$F \dot{T}_{p}^{s+u, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$. If $L \in\left({ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)\right)^{*}$, then $L \circ A_{s} \in\left({ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)\right)^{*}$ and hence, by the above assumption, there exists a function $G \in F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ such that $L \circ A_{s}(F)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} F^{j}(x) G^{j}(x) d x$ for all $F \in{ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$. Notice that $A_{s} \circ A_{-s}$ is the identity on ${ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $A_{-s}$ is an isometric isomorphism from ${ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ onto ${ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(F)=L \circ A_{s} \circ A_{-s}(F) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(A_{-s} F\right)^{j}(x) G^{j}(x) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} F^{j}(x)\left(A_{-s} G\right)^{j}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $F \in{ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$. Since $G \in F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$, we obtain that $A_{-s} G \in$
 is true.

Next we prove that $\left({ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)\right)^{*} \subset F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$. To this end, we chose $L \in\left({ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)\right)^{*}$. It suffices to show that there exists a $G \in F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ such that for all $F \in F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ with compact support, $L$ has a form as in (8). In fact, for $F \in F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ with compact support, if $\langle H, F\rangle=0$ holds for all $H \in F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$, then Theorem 3.3 implies that $F$ must be the zero element of $F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$. Thus, $F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ is a total set of linear functionals on ${ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.4, we need the following functional analysis result (see [8, p. 439, Exercise 41]): Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a locally convex linear topological space and $\mathcal{Y}$ be a linear subspace of $\mathcal{X}^{*}$. Then $\mathcal{Y}$ is $\mathcal{X}$-dense in $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ if and only if $\mathcal{Y}$ is a total set of functionals on $\mathcal{X}$. From this functional result and the fact that $F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ is a total set of linear functionals on ${ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$, we deduce that $F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ is weak $*$-dense in $\left({ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)\right)^{*}$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{G^{(m)}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ such that $\left\langle G^{(m)}, F\right\rangle \rightarrow L(F)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for all $F$ in ${ }_{C} F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$. Applying the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, we conclude that the sequence $\left\{\left\|G^{(m)}\right\|_{F T_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded. Then by Lemmas 3.8 and 3.7, we obtain a subsequence $\left\{G^{\left(m_{i}\right)}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $G \in F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ such that $L(F)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle G^{\left(m_{i}\right)}, F\right\rangle=\langle G, F\rangle$ for all $F \in F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ with compact support and
which completes the proof of Theorem 3.4.

Remark 3.9. It is still unclear whether Theorem 3.4 is true for the spaces ${ }_{C} F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $F \dot{W}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ when $p \neq q$ or not. The difficulty lies in the fact that the space $F \dot{T}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ when $p \neq q$ is only known to be a quasi-Banach space so far. Thus, Lemma 3.7 in the case that $p \neq q$ seems not available, due to the Hahn-Banach theorem is not valid for these spaces.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.3. We begin with recalling the notion of the sequence space corresponding to $F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; see $[24$, Definition 2.1].
Definition 4.1. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p, q \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{(p \vee q)^{\prime}}\right]$. The space $f \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is then defined to be the set of all $t \equiv\left\{t_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|t\|_{f \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}<\infty$, where

$$
\|t\|_{f \dot{H}_{p, \boldsymbol{q}}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \equiv \inf _{\omega}\left\|\left\{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j\left(s+\frac{n}{2}\right) q}\left(\sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-j}}\left|t_{Q}\right| \chi_{Q}\left[\omega\left(\cdot, 2^{-j}\right)\right]^{-1}\right)^{q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

and the infimum is taken over all nonnegative Borel measurable functions $\omega$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$ such that $\omega$ satisfies (3) and with the restriction that for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\omega\left(\cdot, 2^{-j}\right)$ is allowed to vanish only where $\sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-j}}\left|t_{Q}\right| \chi_{Q}$ vanishes.

Recall that for $s, \tau, p, q$ as in Theorem 3.3, it was established in [24, Proposition 2.1] that the dual space of $f \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is $\dot{f}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. In what follows, for simplicity, we write $\dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \equiv \dot{f}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $f \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \equiv f \dot{H}_{p, p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Let ${ }_{V} \dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be the set of all sequences with finite non-vanishing elements, which is obviously a subspace of $\dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We have the following conclusion.
Proposition 4.2. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p}\right]$. Then

$$
\left({ }_{V} \dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}=f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

in the following sense: for each $t \equiv\left\{t_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \in f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \equiv\left\{\lambda_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \mapsto\langle\lambda, t\rangle \equiv \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \lambda_{Q} \overline{t_{Q}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

induces a continuous linear functional on ${ }_{V} \dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with the operator norm no more than a positive constant multiple of $\|t\|_{f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$.

Conversely, every $L \in\left(V \dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}$ is of the form (10) for a certain $t \in$ $f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\|t\|_{f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ is no more than a positive constant multiple of $\|L\|$.

Proof. Since Proposition 4.2 when $\tau=0$ is just the classic result on $\dot{f}_{p, p}^{s,}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in [10, Remark 5.11], we only need consider the case that $\tau>0$. By [24, Proposition 2.1] and the definition of ${ }_{V} \dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we have that ${ }_{V} \dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset$ $\dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left(f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}$, which implies that $f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset\left(f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{* *} \subset$ $\left.{ }_{V}{ }_{f}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}$.

To show $\left({ }_{V} \dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*} \subset f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we first claim that if this is true when $s=0$, then it is also true for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$, define an operator $T_{u}$ by setting, for all sequences $t \equiv\left\{t_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),\left(T_{u} t\right)_{Q} \equiv$ $|Q|^{-\frac{u}{n}} t_{Q}$. Then $T_{u}$ is an isometric isomorphism from $\dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $\dot{f}_{p}^{s+u, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and from $f \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $f \dot{H}_{p}^{s+u, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. If $L \in\left({ }_{V} \dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}(\mathbb{R})^{*}\right.$, then $L \circ T_{s} \in\left({ }_{V} \dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}$ and hence there exists a sequence $\lambda \equiv\left\{\lambda_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \in f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $L \circ T_{s}(t)=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} t_{Q} \overline{\lambda_{Q}}$ for all $t \in_{V} \dot{f_{p}^{0, \tau}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Since $T_{s} \circ T_{-s}$ is the identity on ${ }_{{ }_{V}} \dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $T_{-s}$ is an isometric isomorphism from ${ }_{V} \dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ onto ${ }_{V} \dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then

$$
L(t)=L \circ T_{s} \circ T_{-s}(t)=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left(T_{-s} t\right)_{Q} \overline{\lambda_{Q}}=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} t_{Q} \overline{\left(T_{-s} \lambda\right)_{Q}}
$$

for all $t \in{ }_{V} \dot{f_{p}^{s, \tau}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Since $\lambda \in f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we see that $T_{-s} \lambda \in f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\left\|T_{-s} \lambda\right\|_{f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}=\|\lambda\|_{f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$. Thus, the above claim is true.

Next we prove that $\left({ }_{V} \dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*} \subset f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Notice that ${ }_{V} \dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ consists of all sequences in $\dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with finite non-vanishing elements. We know that every $L \in\left({ }_{V} \dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}$ is of the form $\lambda \mapsto \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \lambda_{Q} \overline{t_{Q}}$ for a certain $t \equiv\left\{t_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \subset \mathbb{C}$. In fact, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let ${ }_{V}^{m} \dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ denote the set of all sequences $\lambda \equiv\left\{\lambda_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \in \dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where $\lambda_{Q}=0$ if $Q \cap\left(-2^{m}, 2^{m}\right]^{n}=\emptyset$ or $\ell(Q)>2^{m}$ or $\ell(Q)<2^{-m}$. Then $L \in\left(\begin{array}{l}m \\ V \\ \dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau} \\ \left.\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*} \text {. It is easy to see that }\end{array}\right.$ each linear functional in $\left(\underset{V}{m} \dot{f_{p}^{0, \tau}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}$ has the form (10). Thus, there exists $t_{m} \equiv\left\{\left(t_{m}\right)_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$, where $\left(t_{m}\right)_{Q}=0$ if $Q \cap\left(-2^{m}, 2^{m}\right]^{n}=\emptyset$ or $\ell(Q)>2^{m}$ or $\ell(Q)<2^{-m}$, such that $L(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in{ }_{V}^{m} \dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ has the form (10) with $t$ replaced by $t_{m}$. By this construction, we are easy to see that $\left(t_{m+1}\right)_{Q}=\left(t_{m}\right)_{Q}$ if $Q \subset\left(-2^{m}, 2^{m}\right]^{n}$ and $2^{-m} \leq \ell(Q) \leq 2^{m}$. Thus, if let $t_{Q} \equiv\left(t_{m}\right)_{Q}$ when $Q \subset\left(-2^{m}, 2^{m}\right]^{n}$ and $2^{-m} \leq \ell(Q) \leq 2^{m}$, then $t \equiv\left\{t_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ is the desired sequence. We need to show that

$$
\|t\|_{f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \lesssim\|L\|_{\left(V \dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}} .
$$

To this end, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\chi_{m}$ by setting $\chi_{m}(Q) \equiv 1$ if $Q \subset\left(-2^{m}, 2^{m}\right]^{n}$ and $2^{-m} \leq \ell(Q) \leq 2^{m}$, and $\chi_{m}(Q) \equiv 0$ otherwise. For all $\lambda \equiv\left\{\lambda_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \in$ $\dot{f_{p}^{0, \tau}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\|\lambda\|_{\dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq 1$, we see that $\lambda_{m} \equiv\left\{\lambda_{Q} \chi_{m}(Q)\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \in_{V} \dot{\dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$
and $\left\|\lambda_{m}\right\|_{f_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq 1$. Thus, using Fatou's lemma yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left|\lambda_{Q}\right|\left|t_{Q}\right| & \leq \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left|\lambda_{Q}\right| \chi_{m}(Q)\left|t_{Q}\right| \\
& =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \frac{\left|\lambda_{Q}\right| t_{Q}}{\left|t_{Q}\right|} \chi_{m}(Q) \overline{t_{Q}}  \tag{11}\\
& \leq \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\|L\|_{\left(V f_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}}\left\|\lambda_{m}\right\|_{f_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
& \leq\|L\|_{\left(V f_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}, t_{m} \equiv\left\{t_{Q} \chi_{m}(Q)\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \in f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. For each $m$, we define the function $F^{(m)}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}$ by setting, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
F^{(m)}\left(x, 2^{-j}\right) \equiv \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}|Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left|t_{Q}\right| \chi_{m}(Q) \chi_{Q}(x)
$$

Then $F^{(m)} \in F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $\left\|F^{(m)}\right\|_{F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \sim\left\|t_{m}\right\|_{f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$. Applying Theorem 3.4, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|F^{(m)}\right\|_{F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} & \sim \sup \left\{\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} F^{(m)}\left(x, 2^{-j}\right) G\left(x, 2^{-j}\right) d x\right|\right\} \\
& \lesssim \sup \left\{\left.\left|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right| t_{Q}\left|\chi_{m}(Q)\right| Q\right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{Q} G\left(x, 2^{-j}\right) d x \mid\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all functions $G \in F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ with compact support satisfying $\|G\|_{F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \leq 1$. Define, for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, $\lambda_{Q} \equiv$ $|Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{Q} G\left(x, 2^{-j}\right) d x$ and $\lambda \equiv\left\{\lambda_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$. Hölder's inequality yields that $\|\lambda\|_{f_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \lesssim\|G\|_{F \dot{W}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \lesssim 1$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|t_{m}\right\|_{f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} & \sim\left\|F^{(m)}\right\|_{F \vec{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \sup \left\{\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left|\lambda_{Q}\left\|t_{Q} \mid: \lambda \in \dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),\right\| \lambda \|_{f_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq 1\right\},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with (11) implies that $\left\|t_{m}\right\|_{f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \sim\left\|F^{(m)}\right\|_{F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \lesssim$ $\|L\|_{\left({ }_{\left(f_{p}^{j, \tau}\right.}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}}$.

To show $t \in f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, let $F$ be the function on $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}$ defined by setting, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}, F\left(x, 2^{-j}\right) \equiv \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}|Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left|t_{Q}\right| \chi_{Q}(x)$. Obviously, $F^{(m)} \rightarrow F$ pointwise as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Notice that $\|t\|_{f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \sim\|F\|_{F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)}$. It
suffices to prove that $F \in F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$.
Recall that $\left\|F^{(m)}\right\|_{F \dot{T}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \lesssim\|L\|_{\left(V \dot{f}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}}$. By Lemma 3.8, there exist a subsequence $\left\{F^{\left(m_{i}\right)}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a function $\widetilde{F} \in F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ such that for all $G \in F \dot{W}_{p^{\prime}}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ with compact support, $\left\langle F^{\left(m_{i}\right)}, G\right\rangle \rightarrow\langle\widetilde{F}, G\rangle$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and its quasi-norm $\|\widetilde{F}\|_{F \tilde{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \lesssim\|L\|_{\left({ }_{\left(f_{p}^{\dot{0}}, \tau\right.}^{\left.\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}}\right.}$, which together with the uniqueness of the weak limit and the fact that $F^{(m)} \rightarrow F$ pointwise as $m \rightarrow \infty$ yields that $F=\widetilde{F}$ in $F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}\right)$ and $\|F\|_{F \dot{T}_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{Z}^{n+1}\right)} \lesssim\|L\|_{\left(v f_{p}^{0, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}}$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.2.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3, which is a consequence of Proposition 4.2 and the $\varphi$-transform characterizations of $F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ obtained in [24, Theorem 2.1] and [23, Theorem 3.1].

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since the case that $\tau=0$ is known (see, for example, [17, p. 180] and [10, Remark 5.14]), we only need consider the case that $\tau>0$. By [22, Theorem 5.1] and the definition of ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we have that ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset \dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left(F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}$, which implies that $F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset$ $\left(F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{* *} \subset\left({ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}$.

To show $\left({ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*} \subset F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, let $\varphi$ satisfy (1) such that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\widehat{\varphi}\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)\right|^{2}=1$. If $L \in\left({ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}$, then applying the $\varphi$-transform characterization of $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in [23, Theorem 3.1], we see that $\widetilde{L} \equiv$ $L \circ T_{\varphi} \in\left({ }_{V} \dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}$, where $T_{\varphi}$ is the inverse $\varphi$-transform (see [10, p. 46]). By Proposition 4.2, there exists a $\lambda \equiv\left\{\lambda_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \in f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $\widetilde{L}(t)=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} t_{Q} \overline{\lambda_{Q}}$ for all $t \equiv\left\{t_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \in{ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\|\lambda\|_{f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \lesssim$ $\|\widetilde{L}\|_{\left(V \dot{f}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}} \lesssim\|L\|_{\left(V \dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}}$. Notice that $\widetilde{L} \circ S_{\varphi}=L \circ T_{\varphi} \circ S_{\varphi}=L$, where $S_{\varphi}$ is the $\varphi$-transform (see [10, p. 46]). Set $g \equiv T_{\varphi}(\lambda) \equiv \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \lambda_{Q} \varphi_{Q}$. Hence, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), L(f)=\widetilde{L} \circ S_{\varphi}(f)=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left\langle f, \varphi_{Q}\right\rangle \overline{\lambda_{Q}}=\langle f, g\rangle$. Furthermore, by the $\varphi$-transform characterization of $F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in [24, Theorem 2.1], we have $\|g\|_{F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \lesssim\|\lambda\|_{f \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \lesssim\|L\|_{\left(V \dot{F}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}}$, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

We end this section by the following interesting remark.
Remark 4.3. (i) We first claim that when $\tau>0$, the dual property in Theorem 1.3 is not possible to be correct for ${ }_{V} \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $B \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $p \in(1, \infty), q \in[1, \infty)$ and $q>p$, which is quite different from the case that $\tau=0$. Recall that when $\tau=0, p \in(1, \infty)$ and $q \in[1, \infty),{ }_{V} \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\dot{B}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\left(\dot{B}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}=B_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; see [17, p. 244].

To show the claim, by [24, Propositions 2.2(i) and 2.3(i)], we see that if $1<$ $p_{0}<p_{1}<\infty,-\infty<s_{1}<s_{0}<\infty, q \in[1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left[0, \min \left\{\frac{1}{\left(p_{0} \vee q\right)^{\prime}}, \frac{1}{\left(p_{q} \vee q\right)^{\prime}}\right\}\right]$
such that $s_{0}-\frac{n}{p_{0}}=s_{1}-\frac{n}{p_{1}}$, then $B \dot{H}_{p_{0}, q}^{s_{0}, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset B \dot{H}_{p_{1}, q}^{s_{1}, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if and only if $\tau\left(p_{0} \vee q\right)^{\prime}=\tau\left(p_{1} \vee q\right)^{\prime}$. When $\tau>0$, the sufficient and necessary condition that $\tau\left(p_{0} \vee q\right)^{\prime}=\tau\left(p_{1} \vee q\right)^{\prime}$ is equivalent to that $q \geq p_{1}$. If we assume that Theorem 1.3 is correct for ${ }_{V} \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $B \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\tau>0$ and certain $1<p<q<\infty$, then by this assumption together with an argument by duality and the embedding $\dot{B}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset \dot{B}_{q, q}^{s-n / p+n / q, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (see [23, Proposition 3.3]), we see that $B \dot{H}_{q^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s+n / p-n / q, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset B \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, which is not true since $q^{\prime}<p^{\prime}$. Thus, the claim is true.

From the above claim, it follows that if $\tau>0$ and $p \neq q$, only when $1 \leq q<p<\infty$, the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 may be true for the spaces ${ }_{V} \stackrel{\dot{B_{p}^{s}, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}{ }$ and $B \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, which is unclear so far to us; see also Remark 3.9.
(ii) Similarly, we claim that when $\tau>0$, the dual property in Theorem 1.3 is not possible to be correct for all ${ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $p, q \in(1, \infty)$ and $q>p$.

In fact, by [24, Propositions 2.2(i) and 2.3(ii)], we know that the embedding $\left.F \dot{H}_{p_{0}, r}^{s_{0}, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset F \dot{H}_{p_{1}, q}^{s_{1}, \tau} \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is true only when $\tau\left(p_{0} \vee r\right)^{\prime} \leq \tau\left(p_{1} \vee q\right)^{\prime}+\tau\left(\frac{1}{p_{0}}-\right.$ $\left.\frac{1}{p_{1}}\right)\left(p_{0} \vee r\right)^{\prime}\left(p_{1} \vee q\right)^{\prime}$. If we assume that $\left.\left({ }_{V} \dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{*}=F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau} \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\tau>0$ and $1<p<q<\infty$, then by the embedding $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset \dot{F}_{q, r}^{s-n / p+n / q, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (see [23, Proposition 3.3]) with $r>q$ together with an argument by duality, we have $F \dot{H}_{q^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}^{-s+n / p-n / q, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset F \dot{H}_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, which is not possible by the above conclusion. Thus, the claim is also true.

It is also unclear that when $p \neq q$, for which range of $p, q \in(1, \infty)$, the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 is true.

## 5. Some applications

We give some applications of Theorem 1.3 in this section. The first one is the following Sobolev-type embedding property of $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Proposition 5.1. Let $s_{0}, s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, p_{0}, p_{1} \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right]$ such that $p_{0}<p_{1}$ and $s_{0}-\frac{n}{p_{0}}=s_{1}-\frac{n}{p_{1}}$. Then $F \dot{H}_{p_{0}}^{s_{0}, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset F \dot{H}_{p_{1}}^{s_{1}, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Proposition 5.1 follows from the corresponding Sobolev-type embedding property of $\dot{F}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in [23, Proposition 3.3], Theorem 1.3 and a dual argument. We omit the details.

In [24, Proposition 2.2(ii)], it was proved that for all parameters $s_{0}, s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$, $p_{0}, p_{1}, q, r \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left[0, \min \left\{\frac{1}{\left(\max \left\{p_{0}, r\right\}\right)^{\prime}}, \frac{1}{\left.\left(\max \left\{p_{1}, q\right\}\right)^{)^{\prime}}\right\}}\right\}\right]$ such that $p_{0}<$ $p_{1}, s_{0}-\frac{n}{p_{0}}=s_{1}-\frac{n}{p_{1}}$ and $\tau\left(\max \left\{p_{0}, r\right\}\right)^{\prime} \leq \tau\left(\max \left\{p_{1}, q\right\}\right)^{\prime}, F \dot{H}_{p_{0}, r}^{s_{0}, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset$ $F \dot{H}_{p_{1}, q}^{s_{1}, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Proposition 5.1 improves [24, Proposition 2.2 (ii)] in the case that $p=q$.

Recall that for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$, the $\varepsilon$-almost diagonal operators on $\dot{f_{p, q}^{s, \tau}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ are bounded when $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(0, \infty), q \in(0, \infty]$ and $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2 n}\right)$; see [23, Theorem 3.1]. We now recall the notion of $\varepsilon$-almost diagonal operators on $f \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in [23, Definition 3.1].

Definition 5.2. Let $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty), s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right]$. For all $Q, P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, define

$$
\omega_{Q P}(\varepsilon) \equiv\left[\frac{\ell(Q)}{\ell(P)}\right]^{s}\left[1+\frac{\left|x_{P}-x_{Q}\right|}{\max (\ell(Q), \ell(P))}\right]^{-n-\varepsilon} \min \left\{\left[\frac{\ell(P)}{\ell(Q)}\right]^{\frac{n+\varepsilon}{2}},\left[\frac{\ell(Q)}{\ell(P)}\right]^{\frac{n+\varepsilon}{2}}\right\} .
$$

An operator $A$ associated with a matrix $\left\{a_{Q P}\right\}_{Q, P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$, namely, for all sequences $t=\left\{t_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, $A t \equiv\left\{(A t)_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ with $(A t)_{Q} \equiv \sum_{P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} a_{Q P} t_{P}$, is called $\varepsilon$-almost diagonal on $f \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, if the matrix $\left\{a_{Q P}\right\}_{Q, P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ satisfies $\sup _{Q, P \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \frac{\left|a_{Q P}\right|}{\omega_{Q P}(\varepsilon)}<\infty$.

By [23, Theorem 3.1], Theorem 1.3 and a dual argument, we obtain the following proposition. The details are omitted.

Proposition 5.3. Let $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty), s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right]$. Then all the $\varepsilon$-almost diagonal operators are bounded on $f \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

We remark that Proposition 5.3 improves [24, Theorem 3.1] in the case that $p=q$, since in [24, Theorem 3.1], we need an additional condition that $\varepsilon>2 n \tau$.

Using Proposition 5.3 and repeating the arguments in [24, Sections 3], we can establish the smooth atomic and molecular decomposition characterizations of $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ as follows. We first introduce a slight variant of the smooth molecules in [24].

Definition 5.4. Let $p \in(1, \infty), s \in \mathbb{R}, \tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}, N \equiv \max (\lfloor-s\rfloor,-1), Q \in\right.$ $\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), L \equiv\lfloor s+n \tau\rfloor$ and $s^{*} \equiv s-\lfloor s\rfloor$.
(i) A function $m_{Q}$ is called a smooth synthesis molecule for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ supported near $Q$, if there exist a $\delta \in\left(\max \left\{s^{*},(s+n \tau)^{*}\right\}, 1\right]$ and $M>n$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{\gamma} m_{Q}(x) d x=0$ if $|\gamma| \leq N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|m_{Q}(x)\right| & \leq|Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+[\ell(Q)]^{-1}\left|x-x_{Q}\right|\right)^{-\max (M, M-s)} \\
\left|\partial^{\gamma} m_{Q}(x)\right| & \leq|Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{|\gamma|}{n}}\left(1+[l(Q)]^{-1}\left|x-x_{Q}\right|\right)^{-M} \quad \text { if }|\gamma| \leq L
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\partial^{\gamma} m_{Q}(x)-\partial^{\gamma} m_{Q}(y)\right| \\
& \quad \leq|Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{|\gamma|}{n}-\frac{\delta}{n}}|x-y|^{\delta} \sup _{|z| \leq|x-y|}\left(1+[l(Q)]^{-1}\left|x-z-x_{Q}\right|\right)^{-M} \quad \text { if }|\gamma|=L .
\end{aligned}
$$

A set $\left\{m_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ of functions is said to be a family of smooth synthesis molecules for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if each $m_{Q}$ is a smooth synthesis molecule for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ supported near $Q$.
(ii) A function $b_{Q}$ is called a smooth analysis molecule for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ supported near $Q$, if there exist a $\rho \in\left((n-s)^{*}, 1\right]$ and $M>n$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{\gamma} b_{Q}(x) d x=0$ for all $|\gamma| \leq L$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|b_{Q}(x)\right| & \leq|Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+[\ell(Q)]^{-1}\left|x-x_{Q}\right|\right)^{-\max (M, M+s+n \tau)} \\
\left|\partial^{\gamma} b_{Q}(x)\right| & \leq|Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\gamma \mid}{n}}\left(1+[l(Q)]^{-1}\left|x-x_{Q}\right|\right)^{-M} \quad \text { if }|\gamma| \leq N
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\partial^{\gamma} b_{Q}(x)-\partial^{\gamma} b_{Q}(y)\right| \\
& \quad \leq|Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{|\gamma|}{n}-\frac{\delta}{n}}|x-y|^{\delta} \sup _{|z| \leq|x-y|}\left(1+[\ell(Q)]^{-1}\left|x-z-x_{Q}\right|\right)^{-M} \quad \text { if }|\gamma|=N .
\end{aligned}
$$

A set $\left\{b_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ of functions is said to be a family of smooth analysis molecules for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, if each $b_{Q}$ is a smooth analysis molecule for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ supported near $Q$.

We remark that the molecules in Definition 5.4 are "weaker" than those in [24, Definition 3.2] in the case that $p=q$, since in [24, Definition 3.2], the corresponding numbers $N \equiv \max (\lfloor-s+2 n \tau\rfloor,-1), L \equiv\lfloor s+3 n \tau\rfloor$ and $M>n+2 n \tau$.

Following the arguments in [24, Section 3], we obtain the following conclusion, which improves [24, Theorem 3.2] in the case that $p=q$. We also omit the details.

Theorem 5.5. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\tau \in\left[0,1 / p^{\prime}\right]$.
(i) If $\left\{m_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ is a family of smooth synthesis molecules for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} t_{Q} m_{Q}\right\|_{F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C\|t\|_{f \dot{H}_{P}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

for all $t=\left\{t_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \in f \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
(ii) If $\left\{b_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ is a family of smooth analysis molecules for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\left\|\left\{\left\langle f, b_{Q}\right\rangle\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right\|_{f \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

for all $f \in F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

We now introduce the following smooth atoms for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Definition 5.6. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty), \tau$ and $N$ be as in Definition 5.2. A function $a_{Q}$ is called a smooth atom for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ supported near a dyadic cube $Q$, if there exist $\widetilde{K}$ and $\widetilde{N}$ with $\widetilde{K} \geq \max (\lfloor s+n \tau+1\rfloor, 0)$ and $\widetilde{N} \geq N$ such that $a_{Q}$ satisfies the following support, regularity and moment conditions: $\operatorname{supp} a_{Q} \subset 3 Q,\left\|\partial^{\gamma} a_{Q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq|Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{|\gamma|}{n}}$ if $|\gamma| \leq \widetilde{K}$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{\gamma} a_{Q}(x) d x=0$ if $|\gamma| \leq \widetilde{N}$.

A set $\left\{a_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ of functions is called a family of smooth atoms for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, if each $a_{Q}$ is a smooth atom for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ supported near $Q$.

Recall that in [24, Definition 3.3], the number $N \equiv \max (\lfloor-s+2 n \tau\rfloor,-1)$ and the condition on $\widetilde{K}$ is that $\widetilde{K} \geq \max (\lfloor s+3 n \tau+1\rfloor, 0)$. In this sense, the smooth atoms in Definition 5.6 are "weaker" than those in [24, Definition 3.3]. Similarly to the proof of [24, Theorem 3.3], we obtain the following conclusion, which improves [24, Theorem 3.3] in the case that $p=q$. The details are omitted.

Theorem 5.7. Let $s, p, \tau$ be as in Theorem 5.5. Then for each $f \in F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, there exist a family $\left\{a_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ of smooth atoms for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, a coefficient sequence $t \equiv\left\{t_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \in f \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and a positive constant $C$ such that $f=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} t_{Q} a_{Q}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\|t\|_{f \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$.

Conversely, there exists a positive constant $C$ such that for all families $\left\{a_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ of smooth atoms for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and coefficient sequences $t \equiv$ $\left\{t_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \in f \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),\left\|\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} t_{Q} a_{Q}\right\|_{F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C\|t\|_{f \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$.

By Theorems 5.5, 5.7 and the arguments in [24, Section 4], the results in [24, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2] about the mapping properties of pseudo-differential operators and the trace properties on $F \dot{H}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ can be improved when $p=q$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The class $\dot{\mathcal{S}}_{1,1}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the collection of all smooth functions $a$ on $\mathbb{R}_{x}^{n} \times\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ satisfying that, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$,

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \xi \in\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{\eta} \backslash\{0\}\right)}|\xi|^{-m-|\alpha|+|\beta|}\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} a(x, \xi)\right|<\infty ;
$$

see, for example, [12] or [24, Definition 4.1]. For $a \in \dot{\mathcal{S}}_{1,1}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the corresponding pseudo-differential operator $a(x, D)$ is defined by setting, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and smooth synthesis molecules for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s+m, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
a(x, D) f(x) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} a(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{i x \xi} d \xi
$$

Similarly to the proof of [24, Theorem 4.1], we obtain the following result.

Proposition 5.8. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1, \infty), \tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right]$ and $a \in \mathcal{S}_{1,1}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Assume that $a(x, D)$ is the corresponding pseudo-differential operator to $a$ and its formal adjoint $a(x, D)^{*}$ satisfies $a(x, D)^{*}\left(x^{\beta}\right)=0$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for all $\beta \in$ $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ with $|\beta| \leq \max \{-s,-1\}$. Then $a(x, D)$ is a bounded linear operator from $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s+m, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Recall that in [24, Theorem 4.1], the condition on $\beta$ is $|\beta| \leq \max \{-s+$ $2 n \tau,-1\}$. Thus, Proposition 5.8 improves [24, Theorem 4.1] in the case that $p=q$.

Similarly to the proof of [24, Theorem 4.2], we have the following trace property of $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Proposition 5.9. Let $n \geq 2, p \in(1, \infty), \tau \in\left[0, \frac{n-1}{n p^{\prime}}\right]$ and $s \in\left(\frac{1}{p}, \infty\right)$. Then there exists a surjective and continuous operator

$$
\operatorname{Tr}: f \in F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(f) \in F \dot{H}_{p}^{s-1 / p, n /(n-1) \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right)
$$

such that $\operatorname{Tr}(a)\left(x^{\prime}\right)=a\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)$ holds for all $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and smooth atoms a for $F \dot{H}_{p}^{s, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Notice that the condition $s \in\left(\frac{1}{p}+2 n \tau, \infty\right)$ in [24, Theorem 4.2] is replaced by $s \in\left(\frac{1}{p}, \infty\right)$ in Proposition 5.9. Thus, Proposition 5.9 improves [24, Theorem 4.2] in the case that $p=q$. The proofs of Propositions 5.8 and 5.9 are also omitted.
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