Existence of Solutions for some
Quasiaffine PDEs

Flavia Giannetti and Giovanni Pisante

Abstract. We prove the existence of solutions of problems of the type
\[
\begin{cases}
\Phi(Du(x)) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\
u(x) = \xi_0 x & \text{on } \partial \Omega
\end{cases}
\]
with \( \Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n\times n} \to \mathbb{R} \) quasiaffine function and \( \xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n} \) fixed.
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1. Introduction

In 1965, J. Moser proved that two positive \( C^\infty \) volume forms on a compact
manifold with the same total mass are related by a diffeomorphism \cite{7}. Later
on B. Dacorogna and J. Moser in \cite{5} considered problems of the type
\[
\begin{cases}
\det Du(x) = f & \text{in } \Omega \\
u(x) = x & \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{cases}
\tag{1}
\]
where \( \Omega \) is a bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with regular boundary and \( f \) is a positive
function on \( \Omega \) verifying the assumption
\[
\int_\Omega f \, dx = |\Omega|,
\]
where \( |\Omega| \) denotes the Lebesgue measure of \( \Omega \). The equation in (1) is called
the prescribed volume form equation and has a lot of applications in physics,
in particular in elasticity theory.
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The main difficulty in solving (1) is in the non-linearity of the Jacobian determinant. In [5], Dacorogna and Moser overcame this problem in the case $f$ belonging to the Hölder spaces $C^{m,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Later on, in [10], the author obtained the best regularity for $f$ in the case $f$ belonging to the Sobolev space $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ with $\max(1, \frac{n}{m}) < p < \infty$, that is $u \in W^{m+1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

The intent of this paper is to continue this theme from a more general and unifying perspective. In particular we study problems of the type

\[
\begin{cases}
\Phi(Du(x)) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\
u(x) = \xi_0 x & \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]

where $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a quasiaffine function and $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is fixed. Clearly, in this case we shall require that the function $f$ satisfies the compatibility condition

\[\int_{\Omega} f(x) \, dx = \Phi(\xi_0)|\Omega| .\]

Similar arguments to those used in [5] allow us to obtain, for $f \in C^{m,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\|f - \Phi(\xi_0)\|_{C^{0,\alpha}}$ sufficiently small, a solution in $C^{m+1,\alpha}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and an analogous result in the setting of Sobolev spaces. The main difference between our results and the ones contained in [5] and [10] is in the linearization procedure.

2. Notation and preliminaries

In this section we introduce some notation and recall some results useful in the sequel. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $u : D \to \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and say

\[[u]_{\alpha,D} := \sup_{x,y \in D \atop x \neq y} \left\{ \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\alpha}} \right\} .\]

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Set $\mathcal{A}_k := \{a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n a_j = k\}$. An element of $\mathcal{A}_k$ is called a multi-index of order $k$. Moreover, if we write $|a| = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$, we mean by $D^a u$ the derivative $D^a u = \frac{\partial^{|a|}}{\partial x_1^{a_1} \cdots \partial x_n^{a_n}}$.

Define the different spaces of Hölder continuous functions in the following way:

- $C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$ is the set of $u \in C(\Omega)$ such that $[u]_{\alpha,K} < \infty$ for every compact set $K \subset \Omega$.
- $C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ is the set of functions $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $[u]_{\alpha,\bar{\Omega}} < \infty$. It is a complete space when equipped with the norm

\[\|u\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} := \|u\|_{C^0(\bar{\Omega})} + [u]_{\alpha,\bar{\Omega}} .\]
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\[ C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega) \text{ is the set of } u \in C^k(\Omega) \text{ such that } [D^a u]_{\alpha,K} < \infty \text{ for every compact set } K \subset \Omega \text{ and every multi-index } a \in \mathbb{A}_k. \]

\[ C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \text{ is the set of } u \in C^k(\overline{\Omega}) \text{ such that } [D^a u]_{\alpha,\overline{\Omega}} < \infty \text{ for every multi-index } a \in \mathbb{A}_k. \]

It is a Banach space equipped with the norm
\[ \|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}} := \|u\|_{C^k} + \max_a [D^a u]_{\alpha}. \]

In the next proposition we state the useful property of Hölder spaces \(C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)\) of being a multiplication algebra. For further references on these spaces we refer to [1] and [6].

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be open, \( k \geq 0 \) an integer and \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \). If \( u, v \in C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega) \) then \( uv \in C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega) \). More precisely there exists a constant \( c > 0 \) such that
\[ \|uv\|_{C^{k,\alpha}} \leq c(\|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}}\|v\|_{C^0} + \|u\|_{C^0}\|v\|_{C^{k,\alpha}}) \leq 2c\|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}}\|v\|_{C^{k,\alpha}}. \]

We shall need also the lower semicontinuity property of the \( \| \cdot \|_{C^{k,\alpha}} \) norm stated in the following

**Proposition 2.2.** For an integer \( k \geq 0 \) and \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be a bounded open set with \( C^{k+1,\alpha} \) boundary. Let \( r > 0 \) and
\[ B_r = \{ u \in C^{k+1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) : \|u\|_{C^{k+1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq r \}. \]

Let \( \{u_h\}_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B_r \) such that \( u_h \to u \) in \( L^\infty(\Omega) \), then \( u \in B_r \) and
\[ \|u\|_{C^{k+1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq \liminf_{h \to \infty} \|u_h\|_{C^{k+1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}. \]

For the proof we suggest the book of Dacorogna ([3], Prop. 12.8).

The following theorem, fundamental for the proof of our main result, has been investigated by several authors, see for example [4].

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( k \geq 0 \) an integer and \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \). Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be a bounded connected open set with orientable \( C^{k+3,\alpha} \) boundary consisting of finitely many connected components. The following conditions are then equivalent:

(i) \( f \in C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \) satisfies
\[ \int_\Omega f(x) \, dx = 0. \]

(ii) There exists \( u \in C^{k+1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n) \) verifying
\[ \begin{cases} \text{div } u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases} \]

Furthermore, there exists \( C_0 = C_0(\alpha, k, \Omega) > 0 \) such that
\[ \|u\|_{C^{k+1,\alpha}} \leq C_0\|f\|_{C^{k,\alpha}}. \]
Let us recall now the definition and some structure properties of quasiaffine functions.

A Borel measurable and locally integrable function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be quasiconvex (in the sense of Morrey) if

$$\Phi(A) \leq \frac{1}{|D|} \int_D \Phi(A + \nabla \phi(x)) \, dx$$

for every bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, for every $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$ and for every $\phi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(D; \mathbb{R}^N)$.

We say that a Borel measurable and locally integrable function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is quasiaffine if $\Phi$ and $-\Phi$ are quasiconvex. Quasiaffine functions are completely characterized in [2] as linear functions of minors. In order to write the representations of a quasiaffine function let us fix the terminology used.

For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$ we denote by $T(A)$ the vector

$$T(A) = (A, \text{adj}_2 A, \ldots, \text{adj}_{n \land N} A),$$

where $\text{adj}_s A$ stands for the matrix of all $s \times s$ minors of the matrix $A$, with $2 \leq s \leq n \land N = \min\{n, N\}$. Observe that $T$ can be seen as an operator $T : \mathbb{R}^{n N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\tau(n, N)}$ where $\tau(n, N) = \sum_{s=1}^{n \land N} \binom{N}{s} \binom{n}{s}$ is the euclidean dimension of the space of all minors of $A$.

The following proposition holds true.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \to \mathbb{R}$. Then $\Phi$ is quasiaffine if and only if there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{\tau(n, N)}$ such that

$$\Phi(A) = \Phi(0) + \langle \beta; T(A) \rangle$$

for every $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$, where $\langle \cdot; \cdot \rangle$ denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{\tau(n, N)}$.

We refer to the book [3] and to the references therein for further properties and characterizations of quasiaffine functions.

### 3. The equation $\Phi(Du) = f$

In this section we present our main result in the setting of Hölder spaces. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ a quasiaffine function and $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $\det D\Phi(\xi_0) \neq 0$. The following result holds:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $m \geq 0$ an integer and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded connected open set with orientable $C^{m+3,\alpha}$ boundary consisting of finitely many connected components. Assume $f \in C^{m,\alpha}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) \, dx = \Phi(\xi_0)|\Omega|.$$
Then there exists \( \epsilon = \epsilon(\alpha, m, \Omega) > 0 \) such that if \( \|f - \Phi(\xi_0)\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \epsilon \), then there exists \( u \in C^{m+1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n) \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi(Du(x)) &= f(x), \quad x \in \Omega \\
u(x) &= u_{\xi_0}(x) := \xi_0 x, \quad x \in \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}
\]

Furthermore, there exists a constant \( \gamma = \gamma(\alpha, m, \Omega) \) such that

\[
\|u - u_{\xi_0}\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \gamma \|f - \Phi(\xi_0)\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}.
\]

**Remark 3.2.** Assuming in addition that \( \det \xi_0 > 0 \), we also have that \( u(x) \) is a diffeomorphism provided \( \|f - \Phi(\xi_0)\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \) is sufficiently small. Indeed \( u_{\xi_0}(x) \) is one to one and, in virtue of (3), for \( \epsilon \) small enough there exists \( \epsilon' > 0 \) such that \( \det Du(x) \geq \det \xi_0 - \epsilon' > 0 \) (see for example [8]).

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** We divide the proof into two steps.

**Step 1.** Setting \( v(x) = u(x) - u_{\xi_0}(x) \), the problem in (2) can be read as

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi(\xi_0 + Dv(x)) &= f(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \\
v(x) &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand, since \( \Phi \) is an affine function of the minors (see Proposition 2.4), it is a polynomial function of its arguments. It follows that its Taylor expansion has a finite number of entries and then we can write

\[
\Phi(\xi_0 + Dv(x)) = \Phi(\xi_0) + \langle D\Phi(\xi_0); Dv(x) \rangle + Q_{\xi_0}(Dv(x)),
\]

where \( Q_{\xi_0}(\zeta) \) is a polynomial function that does not involve any linear terms in the \( \zeta \) variable. Therefore the problem (4) can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle D\Phi(\xi_0); Dv(x) \rangle + Q_{\xi_0}(Dv(x)) &= f(x) - \Phi(\xi_0) \quad \text{in } \Omega \\
v(x) &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}
\]

Let us show, by using some arguments of linear algebra, that the linear term in (5) can be simplified in a divergence.

Let \( H \) be an invertible \( n \times n \) matrix and consider the change of variables \( y = Hx \). Define \( w(y) = v(H^{-1}y) \); its gradient will be given by

\[
Dw(y) = Dv(H^{-1}y)H^{-1} = Dv(x)H^{-1},
\]

and therefore the gradient of \( v \) can be written as \( Dv(x) = Dw(y)H \). The linear term in (5) becomes \( \langle D\Phi(\xi_0); Dw(y)H \rangle \) or equivalently \( \langle D\Phi(\xi_0)H^T; Dw(y) \rangle \).

Since \( \det D\Phi(\xi_0) \neq 0 \), we can choose \( H := (D\Phi(\xi_0)^T)^{-1} \) and have

\[
\langle D\Phi(\xi_0)H^T; Dw(y) \rangle = \text{div } w.
\]
Then the problem (5) assumes the form
\[
\begin{cases}
\text{div } w = \tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0) - \tilde{Q}_{\xi_0}(Dw) & \text{in } H\Omega \\
w = 0 & \text{on } \partial(H\Omega),
\end{cases}
\]  

(6)

where we have defined \( \tilde{f} := f \circ H^{-1} \) and \( \tilde{Q}_{\xi_0} := Q_{\xi_0} \circ H^{-1} \).

**Step 2.** Denote by \( H\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) the domain obtained by the deformation of the domain \( \Omega \) via the linear mapping represented by \( H \) and consider the linear spaces

\[
X = \left\{ b \in C^{m,\alpha}(H\Omega) : \int_{H\Omega} b(x) \, dx = 0 \right\}
\]

\[
Y = \left\{ a \in C^{m+1,\alpha}(H\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) : a = 0 \text{ on } \partial(H\Omega) \right\}.
\]

By Theorem 2.3 we know that there exists a bounded linear operator \( L : X \to Y \) which associates to every \( b \in X \) a unique \( a \in Y \) such that

\[
\begin{cases}
\text{div } a = b & \text{in } H\Omega \\
a = 0 & \text{on } \partial(H\Omega).
\end{cases}
\]  

(7)

Furthermore, there exists a constant \( C_0 > 0 \) such that

\[ \| Lb \|_{C^{m+1,\alpha}(H\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_0 \| b \|_{C^{m,\alpha}(H\Omega)}. \]

If we define for \( w \in C^{m+1,\alpha}(H\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \) the operator

\[ N(w) = \tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0) - \tilde{Q}_{\xi_0}(Dw), \]

we can easily deduce that (6) is solved for any \( w \in Y \) which is a fixed point of the operator \( LN \), i.e.,

\[ w = LN(w). \]  

(8)

Let us remark that the equation (8) is well defined for any \( w \in Y \). Indeed, being \( \tilde{Q}_{\xi}(\zeta) \) analytic, we obviously have \( N(w) \in C^{m,\alpha} \). Moreover, using an integration by parts argument and the structure of \( Q_{\xi_0} \), we infer that

\[ \int_{H\Omega} N(w) \, dy = \int_{H\Omega} [\tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0) - \tilde{Q}_{\xi_0}(Dw)] \, dy = 0 \]

since \( w = 0 \) on \( \partial(H\Omega) \) and \( \int_{H\Omega} [\tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0)] \, dy = 0 \).

Our aim is to use the contraction mapping principle to solve (8). For \( r > 0 \), consider the set

\[ B_r = \left\{ w \in C^{m+1,\alpha}(H\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) : w = 0 \text{ on } \partial(H\Omega), \| w \|_{C^{1,\alpha}(H\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq r, \| w \|_{C^{m+1,\alpha}(H\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2C_0 \| \tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0) \|_{C^{m,\alpha}(H\Omega)} \right\}. \]
This is a complete space when endowed with the $C^{1,\alpha}$ norm (see Proposition 2.2). We shall prove the existence of $w \in B_r$ satisfying (8). First of all, note that there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$
\| \tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0) \|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C_1 \| f - \Phi(\xi_0) \|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}.
$$

Moreover, from Proposition 2.1 we get the existence of a constant $c > 0$ such that if $w_1, w_2 \in C^{m+1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\| w_1 \|_{C^{1,\alpha}}, \| w_2 \|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq 1$, then

$$
\| \tilde{Q}_{\xi_0}(Dw_1) - \tilde{Q}_{\xi_0}(Dw_2) \|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \leq c(\| w_1 \|_{C^{1,\alpha}} + \| w_2 \|_{C^{1,\alpha}}) \| w_1 - w_2 \|_{C^{1,\alpha}}.
$$

Fix $\epsilon = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{4cC_1^0}, \frac{1}{2cC_1^0}, \frac{1}{4cC_1^0} \right\}$ and $r = 2C_0\| \tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0) \|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$. If we note that $r \leq 1$, for $w_1, w_2 \in B_r$ we have

$$
\| LN(w_1) - LN(w_2) \|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq C_0 \| N(w_1) - N(w_2) \|_{C^{0,\alpha}} = C_0 \| \tilde{Q}_{\xi_0}(Dw_1) - \tilde{Q}_{\xi_0}(Dw_2) \|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \leq cC_0(\| w_1 \|_{C^{1,\alpha}} + \| w_2 \|_{C^{1,\alpha}}) \| w_1 - w_2 \|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq 2rC_0 \| w_1 - w_2 \|_{C^{1,\alpha}} = 4cC_0^2\| \tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0) \|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \| w_1 - w_2 \|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq 4cC_1^0 \| f - \Phi(\xi_0) \|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \| w_1 - w_2 \|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \| w_1 - w_2 \|_{C^{1,\alpha}}.
$$

Moreover, observing that for $w \in B_r$

$$
\| \tilde{Q}_{\xi_0}(Dw) \|_{C^{m,\alpha}} \leq c \| w \|_{C^{m+1,\alpha}} \| w \|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq 2cC_0 \| w \|_{C^{m+1,\alpha}} \| \tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0) \|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \leq 2cC_1^0 C_0 \| w \|_{C^{m+1,\alpha}} \| f - \Phi(\xi_0) \|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{4cC_0} \| w \|_{C^{m+1,\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \| \tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0) \|_{C^{m,\alpha}}
$$

we get

$$
\| LN(w) \|_{C^{m+1,\alpha}} \leq C_0 \| N(w) \|_{C^{m,\alpha}} \leq C_0 \| \tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0) \|_{C^{m,\alpha}} + C_0 \| \tilde{Q}_{\xi_0}(Dw) \|_{C^{m,\alpha}} \leq 2C_0 \| \tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0) \|_{C^{m,\alpha}}.
$$

Finally,

$$
\| LN(w) \|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq \| LN(w) - LN(0) \|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \| LN(0) \|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \| w \|_{C^{1,\alpha}} + C_0 \| N(0) \|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \leq \frac{r}{2} + C_0 \| \tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0) \|_{C^{0,\alpha}} = r.
$$
In conclusion $LN : B_r \to B_r$ is a contraction mapping, hence there exists a solution $v$ in $C^{m+1,\alpha}$ of the problem (5).

Furthermore, since the function $w$ found above satisfies the inequality

$$\|w\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq 2C_0\|\tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0)\|_{C^{0,\alpha}}$$

and there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $\|v\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq K\|w\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)}$ we get the existence of $\gamma = \gamma(\alpha, m, \Omega)$ such that $\|u - u_{\xi_0}\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \gamma\|\tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0)\|_{C^{0,\alpha}}$.

Theorem 3.1 is proved.

**Remark 3.3.** Note that, choosing in the proof of Theorem 3.1 instead of $B_r$, the set $B$ defined as

$$B = \left\{ w \in C^{m+1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n) \left| w = 0 \text{ on } \partial(H\Omega), \forall k \in \{0, \ldots, m\} : \|w\|_{C^{k+1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2C_0\|\tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0)\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)} \right\},$$

we can also obtain the estimates

$$\|u - u_{\xi_0}\|_{C^{k+1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \gamma\|\tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0)\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)},$$

just replacing $m$ with $k$ in the previous proof.

4. The Sobolev case

In this last section we point how to extend Theorem 3.1 to the setting of Sobolev spaces. We shall start stating the result and then we shall comment about the proof. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ a quasilinear function and $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $\det D\Phi(\xi_0) \neq 0$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p > \frac{n}{m}$ and $0 < \alpha \leq m - \frac{n}{p}$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded connected open set with orientable $C^{m+3}$ boundary consisting of finitely many connected components. Assume $f \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) \, dx = \Phi(\xi_0)|\Omega|.$$

Then there exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(p, m, \Omega) > 0$ such that if $\|f - \Phi(\xi_0)\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \epsilon$, then there exists $u \in W^{m+1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{cases}
\Phi(Du(x)) = f(x), & x \in \Omega \\
u(x) = u_{\xi_0}(x) := \xi_0x, & x \in \partial\Omega.
\end{cases}$$
Theorem 4.1 can be seen as the analogous of the result of Ye [10] on the prescribed volume form equation. Since the proof of Theorem 4.1 retraces the one of Theorem 3.1 here we shall just make some comments on the arguments needed to justify all the steps. Step 1 goes exactly as in Theorem 3.1.

Concerning Step 2, we first note that if \( p > \frac{n}{m} \), since the regularity of \( \partial\Omega \) implies the strong local Lipschitz property, the Sobolev space \( W^{m,p}(\Omega) \) is embedded in the Hölder space \( C^{k,\beta}(\Omega) \), with \( k := \max\{j : (m - j)p > n\} \) and \( 0 < \beta < 1 \) if \( (m - k - 1)p = n \) or \( 0 < \beta \leq m - k - \frac{n}{p} \) otherwise (see [1]). Accordingly, in our hypotheses, we always have \( W^{m,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega) \) and therefore \( f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega) \). This justifies our assumption on the difference \( f - \Phi(\xi_0) \).

The existence of the bounded linear operator \( L \) can still be proved using elliptic equations arguments (see for example Theorem 2 in [10]). The spaces \( X \) and \( Y \) are replaced by

\[
\tilde{X} = \left\{ b \in W^{m,p}(H\Omega) : \int_{H\Omega} b(x) \, dx = 0 \right\}
\]

\[
\tilde{Y} = \left\{ a \in W^{m+1,p}(H\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) : a = 0 \text{ on } \partial(H\Omega) \right\},
\]

respectively, and endowed with the Sobolev norms, in such a way that the boundedness of \( L \) can be rephrased as

\[
\|Lb\|_{W^{m+1,p}(H\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_0 \|b\|_{W^{m,p}(H\Omega)}.
\]

We note also that in this framework it is enough to suppose \( \partial\Omega \) of class \( C^{m+3} \) and that, in virtue of the recalled Sobolev embedding, the condition on the boundary in the problem (7) has a pointwise meaning.

The contraction mapping principle can be applied to the set

\[
\tilde{B} = \left\{ w \in W^{m+1,p}(H\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) : \begin{array}{l}
\|w\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{H\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2C_0\|\tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0)\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{H\Omega})}, \\
\|w\|_{W^{m+1,p}(H\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2C_0\|\tilde{f} - \Phi(\xi_0)\|_{W^{m,p}(H\Omega)}
\end{array} \right\}.
\]

The completeness of \( \tilde{B} \) with respect to the \( C^{1,\alpha} \) norm follows from the sequential weak relative compactness of bounded sets in \( W^{m+1,p} \), the lower semicontinuity of the \( W^{m+1,p} \) norm with respect to the weak convergence, and from the completeness of \( C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{H\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n) \).

Finally, in order to estimate \( \|\tilde{Q}_{\xi_0}\|_{W^{m,p}} \), we need the property of \( W^{m,p}(H\Omega) \) of being a Banach algebra. This property, when \( mp > n \), follows easily from the Sobolev embedding theorems (see [1] for details).

We conclude observing that the choice of \( \tilde{B} \) implies for \( u \) the estimate

\[
\|u - u_{\xi_0}\|_{W^{m+1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \gamma\|f - \Phi(\xi_0)\|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)}.
\]
and following the same argument used in Remark 3.3 we can estimate the distance from $u$ and $u_{\xi_0}$ in any norm which generates a smaller topology with respect to the $W^{m,p}$ one and such that the bounded sets are, for example, sequentially relatively compact with respect to the $L^\infty$ convergence. For instance, we can infer the following $W^{k,p}$ estimates for $k < m$:

$$\|u - u_{\xi_0}\|_{W^{k+1,p}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \gamma \|f - \Phi(\xi_0)\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)}.$$ 
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