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#### Abstract

In this paper, it is shown that a Hilbert-type inequality with weight $\omega(n)=\pi-\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2 n+1}}$ can be established where $\theta=\frac{17}{20}$. As application, a quite sharp result of the Hardy-Littlewood inequality is obtained and some further extensions are obtained.
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## 1. Introduction

The Hilbert inequality may be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{m} b_{n}}{m+n+1}<\pi\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(a_{n}\right)$ and $\left(b_{n}\right)$ are sequences of real numbers such that $0<\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}<$ $+\infty$ and $0<\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2}<+\infty$. It is well known that the constant factor $\pi$ herein is best possible, i.e. $\pi$ cannot be decreased any more. But we can move the factors in $\pi=\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\pi}$ under the summation sign on an average and write a Hilbert-type inequality with weights of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{m} b_{n}}{m+n+1} \leq\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega(n) a_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega(n) b_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the weight function $\omega$ is defined by

$$
\omega(n)=\pi-\frac{\theta(n)}{\sqrt{2 n+1}}
$$
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Recently, a few papers (see $[4,5]$ ) dealt with the weight function $\omega$. Namely, in [4] it was shown that $\theta(n)>\frac{4 n+1}{3(n+1)(2 n+1)}>0 \quad\left(n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)$. Clearly, this inequality is related to $n$, and $\frac{4 n+1}{3(n+1)(2 n+1)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In addition, the expression of $\theta(n)$ is relatively complicated. Further, in [5] it was shown that $\omega(n)<\pi-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{n+1}}$ where $\alpha=0.5292496^{+}$.

The purpose of the present paper is to simplify and to refine the results of $[4,5]$. The method and theory employed by us are different from those in [4, 5]. To be specific, we use the expansion of functions into power series and the approximation theory. Similarly, our results can be extended to a Hilbert-type integral inequality with weights. Applying the results to the Hardy-Littlewood inequality, a sharp result there is obtained.

For convenience, we define the function $\theta$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(x)=u(x)+v(x) \xi \quad(x \geq 0) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is a constant satisfying the condition $0<\xi<1$ and the functions $u$ and $v$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x)=2 \sqrt{2 x+1} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{3}{2 x+1}}-\frac{2 x+1}{x+1}-\frac{\sqrt{3}(2 x+1)}{6(x+2)}  \tag{4}\\
& v(x)=-\frac{\sqrt{3}(2 x+1)(x+5)}{108(x+2)^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively.

## 2. Lemmas and their proofs

In order to prove our assertions we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 1. Let $u$ be the function defined by (4). Then $u(x)>\frac{5 \sqrt{3}}{3}-2$ for $x \geq 8$.

Proof. Taking the derivative of $u$ we obtain after some simplifications

$$
u^{\prime}(x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 x+1}} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{3}{2 x+1}}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{x+2}-\frac{1}{(x+1)^{2}}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2(x+2)^{2}} .
$$

Let us expand $u^{\prime}$ into power series of $\frac{1}{2 x+1}$ and drop the negative remainder which consists of all terms with powers higher than 5 . In such a way we may find via algebraic calculations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(x)<(2 \sqrt{3}-4) t^{2}+\left(8-\frac{12 \sqrt{3}}{5}\right) t^{3}+A(t) t^{4}<-\frac{1}{2} t^{2}+4 t^{3}+A(t) t^{4} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t=\frac{1}{2 x+1}$ and $A(t)=-\left(12+\frac{54 \sqrt{3}}{7}\right)+(16+234 \sqrt{3}) t$. In fact, when $0<\alpha<1$, using the inequality $\arctan \alpha<\alpha-\frac{1}{3} \alpha^{3}+\frac{1}{5} \alpha^{5}-\frac{1}{7} \alpha^{7}+\frac{1}{9} \alpha^{9}$ we get

$$
2 \sqrt{t} \arctan \sqrt{3 t}<2 \sqrt{3} t-2 \sqrt{3} t^{2}+\frac{18 \sqrt{3}}{5} t^{3}-\frac{54 \sqrt{3}}{7} t^{4}+18 \sqrt{3} t^{5}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{x+2} & =-\frac{2 \sqrt{3} t}{1+3 t}<-2 \sqrt{3} t+6 \sqrt{3} t^{2}-18 \sqrt{3} t^{3}+54 \sqrt{3} t^{4} \\
-\frac{1}{(x+1)^{2}} & =-\frac{4 t^{2}}{(1+t)^{2}}<-4 t^{2}+8 t^{3}-12 t^{4}+16 t^{5} \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2(x+2)^{2}} & =-\frac{2 \sqrt{3} t^{2}}{(1+3 t)^{2}}<-2 \sqrt{3} t^{2}+12 \sqrt{3} t^{3}-54 \sqrt{3} t^{4}+216 \sqrt{3} t^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding these inequalities, we get inequality (6). Notice that for $A(t)$ contained in (6) we have $A(t)<-25+422 t$. Evidently, $A(t)<0$ when $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{17}\right)$. Hence inequality (6) can be reduced to $u^{\prime}(x)<\left(-\frac{1}{2}+4 t\right) t^{2}<0$ where $t=\frac{1}{2 x+1}$ and $x \geq 8$. It follows that $u(x)$ is monotone decreasing in the interval $[8,+\infty)$ whence we have $\inf _{x \geq 8} u(x)=u(\infty)=\frac{5 \sqrt{3}}{3}-2$ and the lemma is proved

Lemma 2. Let $v$ be the function defined by (5). Then $v(x) \geq-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{48}$ for $x \geq 0$.

Proof. Taking the derivative, after simplifications we get $v^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\sqrt{3}(x-4)}{36(x+2)^{3}}$. Evidently, $v(4)$ is a minimun of $v$ in $[0,+\infty)$. This implies that the lemma is true

Lemma 3. Let $\theta$ be the function defined by (3). Then $\theta(n)>\frac{17}{20}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

Proof. For $n \geq 8$ we have with the use of Lemmas 1 and 2

$$
\theta(n)=u(n)+v(n) \xi>u(n)+v(n)>\left(\frac{5 \sqrt{3}}{3}-2\right)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{48}>\frac{17}{20}
$$

where $\xi$ is a constant satisfying $0<\xi<1$. It remains to prove only that $u(n)>\frac{5 \sqrt{3}}{3}-2$ when $0 \leq n \leq 7$. By direct computations we attain from (4)

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
u(0)=0.9500 & u(1)=0.9320 & u(2)=0.9198 & u(3)=0.9130 \\
u(4)=0.9085 & u(5)=0.9054 & u(6)=0.9031 & u(7)=0.9013
\end{array}
$$

This way $\theta(n)>\frac{17}{20}$ for all $n \geq 0$ and the lemma is proved

## 3. Main results

Now let us came to our main results.
Theorem 1. If $0<\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}<\infty$ and $0<\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2}<+\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{m} b_{n}}{m+n+1}<\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\pi-\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2 n+1}}\right) a_{n}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\pi-\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2 n+1}}\right) b_{n}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta=\frac{17}{20}$.
Proof. We apply Cauchy's inequality to estimate the left-hand side of (7) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} & \frac{a_{m} b_{n}}{m+n+1} \\
= & \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{m} b_{n}}{(m+n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\frac{2 m+1}{2 n+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{b_{n}}{(m+n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\frac{2 n+1}{2 m+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
\leq & \left\{\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{m}^{2}}{m+n+1}\left(\frac{2 m+1}{2 n+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n}^{2}}{m+n+1}\left(\frac{2 n+1}{2 m+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
= & \left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m+n+1}\left(\frac{2 n+1}{2 m+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) a_{n}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \times\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m+n+1}\left(\frac{2 n+1}{2 m+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) b_{n}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
= & \left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega(n) a_{n}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega(n) b_{n}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\omega(n)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m+n+1}\left(\frac{2 n+1}{2 m+1}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Let us define the function $F$ by

$$
F(t)=\frac{1}{t+n+1}\left(\frac{2 n+1}{2 t+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Applying the Euler-Maclaurin summation fomula to $\omega(n)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(n)=F(0)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} F(m)=F(0)+\int_{1}^{\infty} F(t) d t+\frac{1}{2} F(1)+R(n) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R(n)$ is the remainder. See $[2,3]$ for various expressions of it. Here we give the remainder in the form $R(n)=-\frac{\xi}{12} F^{\prime}(1) \quad(0<\xi<1)$. By
computation we obtain the relation $\sqrt{2 n+1} R(n)=v(n) \xi$ where $v$ is the function defined by (5), and $\int_{1}^{\infty} F(t) d t=\pi-2 \arctan \sqrt{3 /(2 n+1)}$. In view of (4) we may write (8) in form

$$
\omega(n)=\pi-\frac{u(n)+v(n) \xi}{\sqrt{2 n+1}}=\pi-\frac{\theta(n)}{\sqrt{2 n+1}}
$$

where $\theta$ is the function defined by (3). Basing on Lemma 3 we get $\omega(n)<$ $\pi-\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2 n+1}}$ where $\theta=\frac{17}{20}$ and the proof of the theorem is completed

Remark. Theorem 1 is obviously an improvement on the result of [5] because $\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2 n+1}}=\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}>\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}>\frac{\alpha}{(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ where $\theta=\frac{17}{20}$ and $\alpha=$ $0.5292496^{+}$.

Corollary 1. If $0<\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}<+\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{m} a_{n}}{m+n+1}<\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\pi-\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2 n+1}}\right) a_{n}^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta=\frac{17}{20}$.
Clearly, this is an immediate consequence of (7).
Theorem 2. Let $f, g \in L^{2}[0,+\infty)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x) g(y)}{x+y+1} d x d y \\
& \leq \pi\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2 x+1}}\right) f^{2}(x) d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2 x+1}}\right) g^{2}(x) d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Equality herein holds if and only if $f=0$ or $g=0$.
Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x) g(y)}{x+y+1} d x d y \leq\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} p(x) f^{2}(x) d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} p(x) g^{2}(x) d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where the weight function $p$ is defined by
$P(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x+y+1}\left(\frac{2 x+1}{2 y+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d y=\pi-2 \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 x+1}}=\pi-\frac{\alpha(x)}{\sqrt{2 x+1}}$
where $\alpha(x)=2 \sqrt{2 x+1} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 x+1}}$. It is easy to prove that the function $\alpha$ is monotonely increasing in the interval $[0,+\infty)$. In fact,

$$
\alpha^{\prime}(x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 x+1}} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 x+1}}-\frac{1}{x+1} .
$$

Notice that $\arctan t>t-\frac{1}{3} t^{3}$ when $0<t<1$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{\prime}(x) & >\frac{2}{2 x+1}-\frac{2}{3(2 x+1)^{2}}-\frac{1}{x+1} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 x+1)(x+1)}-\frac{2}{3(2 x+1)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 x+1}\left(\frac{1}{x+1}-\frac{1}{3 x+\frac{3}{2}}\right) \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\alpha^{\prime}(0)=\frac{\pi}{2}-1>0$. So our assertion is proved. Hence $\inf _{x \geq 0} \alpha(x)=$ $\alpha(0)=\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $p(x) \leq \pi-\frac{\alpha(0)}{\sqrt{2 x+1}}=\pi\left(1-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2 x+1}}\right)$. It follows that (10) is valid and the theorem is proved

Corollary 2. If $f \in L^{2}[0,+\infty)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x) f(y)}{x+y+1} d x d y \leq \pi \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2 x+1}}\right) f^{2}(x) d x \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality herein holds if and only if $f=0$.

## 4. Applications

Let $f \in L^{2}(0,1)$ and $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$. If $a_{n}=\int_{0}^{1} x^{n} f(x) d x \quad\left(n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)$, then we get the Hardy-Littlewood inequality (cf. [1]) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}<\pi \int_{0}^{1} f^{2}(x) d x \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi$ is the best constant that keeps (12) valid. The following improvement of (12) will be obtained by means of Corollary 1.

Theorem 3. Under the assumptions just described we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}\right)^{2}<\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\pi-\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2 n+1}}\right) a_{n}^{2}\right\} \int_{0}^{1} f^{2}(x) d x \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta=\frac{17}{20}$.

Proof. By our assumption, $a_{n}^{2}=\int_{0}^{1} a_{n} x^{n} f(x) d x$. Using the CauchySchwarz inequality and Corollary 1 we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}\right)^{2} & =\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} a_{n} x^{n} f(x) d x\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}\right) f(x) d x\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}\right)^{2} d x \int_{0}^{1} f^{2}(x) d x  \tag{14}\\
& =\left\{\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{m} a_{n}}{m+n+1}\right\} \int_{0}^{1} f^{2}(x) d x \\
& \leq\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\pi-\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2 n+1}}\right) a_{n}^{2}\right\} \int_{0}^{1} f^{2}(x) d x
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta=\frac{17}{20}$. Since $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x, a_{n} \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Therefore it is impossible to take equality in (14). It follows that (13) is valid

Remark. If in (13) we replace $\theta$ by zero, then (12) follows. Clearly, this is a refinement of the Hardy-Littlewood inequality.

Theorem 4. Let $g \in L^{2}(0,1)$ with $g(t) \neq 0$ for all $t$ and define $f$ by

$$
f(x)=\int_{0}^{1} t^{x} g(t) d t \quad(x \geq 0)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{2}(x) d x\right)^{2}<\pi\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 x+1}}\right) f^{2}(x) d x\right) \int_{0}^{1} g^{2}(t) d t \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We may write $f^{2}$ in the form $f^{2}(x)=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) t^{x} g(t) d t$. Applying
the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and using Corollary 2 we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{2}(x) d x\right)^{2} & =\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) t^{x} g(t) d t\right) d x\right\}^{2} \\
& =\left\{\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) t^{x} d x\right) g(t) d t\right\}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) t^{x} d x\right)^{2} d t \int_{0}^{1} g^{2}(t) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) t^{x} d x\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f(y) t^{y} d y\right) d t \int_{0}^{1} g^{2}(t) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) f(y) t^{x+y} d x d y\right) d t \int_{0}^{1} g^{2}(t) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x) f(y)}{x+y+1} d x d y \int_{0}^{1} g^{2}(t) d t \\
& \leq \pi \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2 x+1}}\right) f^{2}(x) d x \int_{0}^{1} g^{2}(t) d t \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $g(t) \neq 0$ for all $t$, whence $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$. It is impossible to take equality in (16). Hence (15) is valid

Remark. We point out that if $\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2 x+1}}$ contained in (15) is replaced by zero, then we obtain immediately a new inequality of the form $\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{2}(x) d x<$ $\pi \int_{0}^{1} g^{2}(t) d t$. Obviously, this is an extension of the Hardy-Littlewood inequality (12).
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