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#### Abstract

The products of variances of adjoint positive definite densities have a greatest lower bound $\Lambda$. We improve the known estimates of $\Lambda$ showing $0.527<\Lambda \leq 0.8609 \ldots$.
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## 1. Introduction

Recall that a function $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called positive definite if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p\left(x_{j}-x_{i}\right) c_{i} \overline{c_{j}} \geq 0
$$

for all $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c_{i} \in \mathbb{C}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the sequel, when writing a positive definite density, we mean a density that is positive definite and continuous. The density of the normal distribution with mean zero and variance $\sigma^{2}$ is an example of a positive definite density.

By Bochner's Theorem (see [4: Theorem 1.9.6]) we know that a function $f$ is a characteristic function if and only if $f$ is positive definite, continuous and $f(0)=1$. Now let $p$ be a positive definite probability density. Then its characteristic function $f$ is integrable and non-negative (see [4: Theorem 1.9.8]). Therefore the function

$$
\tilde{p}(x)=\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) d x\right)^{-1} f(x) \quad(x \in \mathbb{R})
$$

is also a positive definite density. It is called the adjoint density of $p$. A density $p$ is said to be selfadjoint if $f=\sqrt{2 \pi} p$. Note that $p$ is selfadjoint if and only if $p=\tilde{p}$.

Denoting by $\sigma^{2}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^{2}$ the variances of $p$ and $\tilde{p}$, respectively, the product $\sigma^{2} \tilde{\sigma}^{2}$ cannot be arbitrarily small. This fact is closely related to the uncertainty principles investigated in harmonic analysis and physics (see [1]). Roughly speaking, it is impossible for a non-zero function and its Fourier transform to be simultaneously very small.
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We denote by $\Lambda$ the greatest lower bound for all products of variances $\sigma^{2} \tilde{\sigma}^{2}$ of adjoint densities. It is known that $0.454<\Lambda<0.8907$. The lower bound can be found in [3: p. 365] and the upper bound in [2: Resultat 3.12]. From [2: Satz 3.7] it is also known that there is a selfadjoint positive definite density such that its product of variances is $\sigma^{4}=\Lambda$. In this note we will improve the lower and upper estimates for $\Lambda$ showing $0.527<\Lambda \leq 0.8609 \ldots$.

## 2. The upper estimate for $\Lambda$

In this section we give an upper estimation for $\Lambda$ by considering special selfadjoint densities. These densities are of the form

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} \frac{(2 k)!}{(4 k)!} H_{4 k}(x) \quad(n \geq 0)
$$

where the $H_{k}$ are Hermite polynomials defined by

$$
H_{k}(x)=(-1)^{k} \mathrm{e}^{x^{2}} \frac{d^{k}}{d x^{k}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-x^{2}}\right) \quad(k \geq 0)
$$

. Note that every selfadjoint density $p$ satisfying $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|x| p(x) d x<\infty$ has the form

$$
p(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} \frac{(2 k)!}{(4 k)!} H_{4 k}(x)
$$

with pointwise convergence (see [2: Satz 1.13]). If the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} \frac{(2 k)!}{(4 k)!} H_{4 k}(x) \geq 0 \quad(x \in \mathbb{R}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied, then

$$
p_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} \frac{(2 k)!}{(4 k)!} H_{4 k}(x) \quad(n \geq 0)
$$

is a selfadjoint density. This can be proved using the equality

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} t x} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} H_{k}(x) d x=\mathrm{i}^{k} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2}} H_{k}(t) \quad(t \in \mathbb{R} ; k \geq 0)
$$

(see [5: Theorem 57]). The variance of this density is given by $1+8 \sum_{k=1}^{n} k a_{k}$ (see [2: p. 49]). Hence the product of variances, denoted by $\lambda_{n}$, is

$$
\lambda_{n}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\left(1+8 \sum_{k=1}^{n} k a_{k}\right)^{2} \quad(n \geq 1) .
$$

We search for coefficents $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}$ such that the conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied and the product of variances is as low as possible. Because the coefficient of $x^{n}$ in the Hermite polynomial $H_{n}$ is positive condition (2) implies that $a_{n}$ is non-negative. If the product of variances is lower than 1 , then at least one $a_{k}(k=1, \ldots, n-1)$ is negative. Therefore we consider the case $n \geq 2$. Obviously the conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent to the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\sum_{k=1}^{n} G_{4 k}(x) a_{k} \geq 0 \quad(n \geq 2) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G_{4 k}(x)=\frac{(2 k)!}{(4 k)!} H_{4 k}(x)-1 \quad(x \in \mathbb{R} ; k \geq 1)
$$

and

$$
a_{0}=1-\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \quad(n \geq 2)
$$

The product of variances is minimal if and only if the value $c_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k a_{k}$ is minimal. So we search for a point $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \in P_{n}=\left\{\mathrm{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \left\lvert\, a_{1} \geq-\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{G_{4 k}(x)}{G_{4}(x)} a_{k}-\frac{1}{G_{4}(x)} \quad(x>\sqrt{3})\right.\right\} \\
\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \in \tilde{P}_{n}=\left\{\mathrm{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \left\lvert\, a_{1} \leq-\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{G_{4 k}(x)}{G_{4}(x)} a_{k}-\frac{1}{G_{4}(x)} \quad(0<x<\sqrt{3})\right.\right.
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k \tilde{a}_{k} \longrightarrow \min
$$

Note that the function $G_{4}$ has its only zero in $(0,+\infty)$ at $\sqrt{3}$.
Now we consider the case $n=2$.
Theorem 2.1. There are coefficents $\tilde{a}_{0}, \tilde{a}_{1}$ and $\tilde{a}_{2}$ such that the conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied and

$$
\lambda_{2}\left(\tilde{a}_{1}, \tilde{a}_{2}\right)=\min _{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \in P_{2} \cap \tilde{P}_{2}} \lambda_{2}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=0.8609 \ldots
$$

holds.

Proof. First we minimize $c_{2}=a_{1}+2 a_{2}$ in the set $P_{2}$. For each $x \in(\sqrt{3},+\infty)$

$$
u=-\frac{G_{8}(x)}{G_{4}(x)} v-\frac{1}{G_{4}(x)}
$$

is a straight line in the Cartesian coordinate system $(v, u)$. In the sequel we exchange the coordinates writing $(u, v)$. A point $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ is in the set $P_{2}$ if it is above all lines of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=-\frac{G_{8}(x)}{G_{4}(x)} v-\frac{1}{G_{4}(x)} \quad(x>\sqrt{3}) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the line $a_{1}=-2 a_{2}+c_{2}$ the value $c_{2}$ is to minimize. We shift this line downwards within the set $P_{2}$. So the line $a_{1}=-2 a_{2}+c_{2}$ is one of the lines which limit the set $P_{2}$, that is there is an $x_{s} \in(\sqrt{3},+\infty)$ such that the corresponding line (4) has the slope -2 . We denote the obtained point by ( $\tilde{a}_{1}, \tilde{a}_{2}$ ). First we calculate the product of variances $\lambda_{2}\left(\tilde{a}_{1}, \tilde{a}_{2}\right)$. Then we prove that the point $\left(\tilde{a}_{1}, \tilde{a}_{2}\right)$ is in the sets $\tilde{P}_{2}$ and $P_{2}$. Last we show that the obtained product of variances is minimal.

The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2=-\frac{G_{8}\left(x_{s}\right)}{G_{4}\left(x_{s}\right)} \quad\left(x_{s}>\sqrt{3}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. We obtain

$$
x_{s, 1}=\sqrt{7-\sqrt{14}} \quad \text { and } \quad x_{s, 2}=\sqrt{7+\sqrt{14}}
$$

Moreover,

$$
-\frac{1}{G_{4}\left(x_{s, 1}\right)}=-0.890981 \ldots \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{1}{G_{4}\left(x_{s, 2}\right)}=-0.00901895 \ldots
$$

Because of the definition of the set $P_{2}, x_{s, 2}=\sqrt{7+\sqrt{14}}$ is a solution of equation (5). Therefore

$$
\tilde{c}_{2}:=-\frac{1}{G_{4}\left(x_{s, 2}\right)}=-0.00901895 \ldots
$$

For the product of variances we obtain

$$
\lambda_{2}\left(\tilde{a}_{1}, \tilde{a}_{2}\right)=\left(1+8 \tilde{c}_{2}\right)^{2}=0.860903 \ldots
$$

and for the point $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$

$$
\tilde{a}_{1}=-2 \tilde{a}_{2}+\tilde{c}_{2}=-\frac{G_{8}\left(x_{s, 2}\right)}{G_{4}\left(x_{s, 2}\right)} \tilde{a}_{2}-\frac{1}{G_{4}\left(x_{s, 2}\right)}
$$

In view of the above consideration we can suppose that $\tilde{a}_{1}<0$ and $\tilde{a}_{2}>0$. Since $-\frac{G_{9}}{G_{4}}>-2$ and $-\frac{1}{G_{4}}>0$ on $(0, \sqrt{3})$, we see that

$$
\tilde{a}_{1}=-2 \tilde{a}_{2}+\tilde{c}_{2}<-\frac{G_{8}(x)}{G_{4}(x)} \tilde{a}_{2}-\frac{1}{G_{4}(x)} \quad(x \in(0, \sqrt{3}))
$$

and therefore $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \in \tilde{P}_{2}$.
Now we prove that $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \in P_{2}$. We show that

$$
\tilde{a}_{1}=\max _{x>\sqrt{3}}\left(-\frac{G_{8}(x)}{G_{4}(x)} \tilde{a}_{2}-\frac{1}{G_{4}(x)}\right) .
$$

First the functions $-\frac{1}{G_{4}}$ and $-\frac{G_{8}}{G_{4}}$ are considered on the intervall $(\sqrt{3},+\infty)$. They have the following properties: $-\frac{1}{G_{4}}<0,-\left(\frac{1}{G_{4}}\right)^{\prime}>0,-\left(\frac{1}{G_{4}}\right)^{\prime \prime}<0,-\left(\frac{G_{8}}{G_{4}}\right)^{\prime}$ is strictly decreasing, and there is an $x_{0} \in(2,3)$ with $-\left(\frac{G_{8}\left(x_{0}\right)}{G_{4}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right)^{\prime}=0$ : Up to now it was sufficient to know that $\tilde{a}_{1}=-2 \tilde{a}_{2}+\tilde{c}_{2}$. Next we show that the coordinate $\tilde{a}_{2}$ has the value

$$
\tilde{a}_{2}=-\left(-\frac{1}{G_{4}\left(x_{s, 2}\right)}\right)^{\prime} /\left(-\frac{G_{8}\left(x_{s, 2}\right)}{G_{4}\left(x_{s, 2}\right.}\right)^{\prime}
$$

Obviously $x_{s, 2}=3.2774 \ldots>x_{0}$. Therefore $\tilde{a}_{2}>0$ and the function $-\frac{G_{8}}{G_{4}} \tilde{a}_{2}-\frac{1}{G_{4}}$ has a local maximum at $x_{s, 2}$. Now we suppose that there is another extremum at $x_{w} \neq x_{s, 2}$. So

$$
\tilde{a}_{2}=-\left(-\frac{1}{G_{4}\left(x_{w}\right)}\right)^{\prime} /\left(-\frac{G_{8}\left(x_{w}\right)}{G_{4}\left(x_{w}\right)}\right)^{\prime}
$$

follows. It is clear that also $x_{w}$ must be greater than $x_{0}$. Furthermore the derivative of the function $-\left(-\frac{1}{G_{4}}\right)^{\prime} /\left(-\frac{G_{8}}{G_{4}}\right)^{\prime}$ must have a zero $x_{d}$ between $x_{w}$ and $x_{s, 2}$. Hence

$$
\left(-\frac{1}{G_{4}\left(x_{d}\right)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(-\frac{G_{8}\left(x_{d}\right)}{G_{4}\left(x_{d}\right)}\right)^{\prime}=\left(-\frac{1}{G_{4}\left(x_{d}\right)}\right)^{\prime}\left(-\frac{G_{8}\left(x_{d}\right)}{G_{4}\left(x_{d}\right)}\right)^{\prime \prime}
$$

but this is not possible because the left side is positive and the right side is negative on the interval $\left(x_{0},+\infty\right)$. Applying

$$
\lim _{x!\sqrt{3}}\left(-\frac{G_{8}(x)}{G_{4}(x)} \tilde{a}_{2}-\frac{1}{G_{4}(x)}\right)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left(-\frac{G_{8}(x)}{G_{4}(x)} \tilde{a}_{2}-\frac{1}{G_{4}(x)}\right)=-\infty
$$

it follows that

$$
\tilde{a}_{1}=-\frac{G_{8}\left(x_{s, 2}\right)}{G_{4}\left(x_{s, 2}\right)} \tilde{a}_{2}-\frac{1}{G_{4}\left(x_{s, 2}\right)}=\max _{x>\sqrt{3}}\left(-\frac{G_{8}(x)}{G_{4}(x)} \tilde{a}_{2}-\frac{1}{G_{4}(x)}\right)
$$

and also $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \in P_{2} .{ }^{1)}$ Obviously the point $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ is in the boundary $\partial P_{2}$ of the set $P_{2}$. So the product of variances is minimal.

Now we have found a point $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \in \partial P_{2} \cap \tilde{P}_{2}$, that is, $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ satisfies condition (3). Hence

$$
p_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{2} a_{k} \frac{(2 k)!}{(4 k)!} H_{4 k}(x)
$$

with coeffients $a_{0}=1-\tilde{a}_{1}-\tilde{a}_{2}, a_{1}=\tilde{a}_{1}$ and $a_{2}=\tilde{a}_{2}$ is a selfadjoint positive definite density with product of variances

$$
\lambda_{2}\left(\tilde{a}_{1}, \tilde{a}_{2}\right)=\min _{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \in P_{2} \cap \dot{P}_{2}} \lambda_{2}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=0.8609 \ldots
$$

Thus the assertion is proved
Collorary 2.2. The inequality $\Lambda \leq 0.8609$. $:$ holds.
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## 3. The lower estimate for $\Lambda$

In this section we give a lower estimation for $\Lambda$. First we prove that the set of all products of variances is an interval.

Lemma 3.1. The set of all products of variances $\sigma^{2} \tilde{\sigma}^{2}$ of adjoint positive definite densities is the interval $[\Lambda,+\infty)$.

Proof. Let ( $p_{1}, \tilde{p_{1}}$ ) and ( $p_{2}, \tilde{p_{2}}$ ) be pairs of adjoint positive definite densities with products of variances $\lambda_{1}=\sigma_{1}^{2}{\tilde{\sigma_{1}}}^{2}$ and $\lambda_{2}=\sigma_{2}^{2} \tilde{\sigma}_{2}^{2}$, and for $\beta \in[0,1]$ let $p$ be the positive definite density given by

$$
p(x)=\beta p_{1}(x)+(1-\beta) p_{2}(x) \quad(x \in \mathbb{R})
$$

We denote the variance of $p$ by $\sigma^{2}$, the characteristic function by $f$ and the variance of the adjoint positive definite density $\tilde{p}$ by $\tilde{\sigma}^{2}$. We have $\sigma^{2}=\beta \sigma_{1}^{2}+(1-\beta) \sigma_{2}^{2}$ and

$$
\tilde{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{\int t^{2} f(t) d t}{\int f(t) d t}=\frac{\frac{\beta}{\tilde{p}_{1}(0)}{\tilde{\sigma_{1}}}^{2}+\frac{1-\beta}{\tilde{p}_{2}(0)}{\tilde{\sigma_{2}}}^{2}}{\frac{1}{\tilde{p}(0)}}
$$

Hence

$$
\lambda(\beta):=\sigma^{2} \tilde{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{\left(\beta \sigma_{1}^{2}+(1-\beta) \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{\tilde{p}_{1}(0)}{\tilde{\sigma_{1}}}^{2}+\frac{1-\beta}{\tilde{p}_{2}(0)}{\tilde{\sigma_{2}}}^{2}\right)}{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tilde{p}}(0)}}
$$

Since $\lambda$ is a continuous function of $\beta$, and since $\lambda(0)=\lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda(1)=\lambda_{1}$ we see that the set of products of variances is an interval $I$. By [2: Satz 3.7] $\Lambda$ is the lower end point of the interval $I$.

To show that the product of variances can be arbitrarily large we give a simple example. Let $p_{\phi}$ be the density of the standard normal distribution. Then the characteristic function $f_{\phi}$ is given by $f_{\phi}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2}}$, and the variance is $\sigma_{\phi}^{2}=1$. We define for $y \geq 0$ a new density by

$$
p(x)=\frac{1}{2} p_{\phi}(x)+\frac{1}{4}\left(p_{\phi}(x-y)+p_{\phi}(x+y)\right)
$$

The characteristic function is given by

$$
f(t)=\frac{1}{2}(1+\cos y t) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2}} .
$$

Since $f$ is integrable and non-negative the density $p$ is positive definite. Recall that the variance $\sigma^{2}$ of the density $p$ is $-f^{\prime \prime}(0)$, where $f$ is the corresponding characteristic function. Since the adjoint density $\tilde{p}$ of $p$ is $\left(\int f(x) d x\right)^{-1} f$ the variance $\tilde{\sigma}^{2}$ of $\tilde{p}$ is given by $\tilde{\sigma}^{2}=\left(\int x^{2} f(x) d x\right) /\left(\int f(x) d x\right)$. We obtain for the product of variances $\lambda$ of the adjoint densities $p$ and $\tilde{p}$

$$
\lambda(y)=\frac{-f^{\prime \prime}(0) \int t^{2} f(t) d t}{\int f(t) d t}=\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+1\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{v^{2}}{2}}-y^{2}+1\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{x^{2}}{2}}+1}
$$

Since $\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(y)=+\infty$ the set of products of variances is the interval $[\Lambda,+\infty)$

Now let $p$ be a selfadjoint density with characteristic function $f$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sqrt{2 \pi} p(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will use the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)>\cos \sigma x+2 J\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad\left(0<|x \sigma|<\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
J(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}(\cos t x-\cos \sigma x)^{2} p(t) d t
$$

(see [3: Satz 3/p. 348]).
Lemma 3.2. The inequality

$$
J(x)>\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(\cos \frac{x t}{\sigma}-\cos x \sigma\right)^{2}\left(2 J\left(\frac{t}{2 \sigma}\right)+\cos t\right) d t \quad(x \in \mathbb{R})
$$

holds.
Proof. Applying (5) and (6) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(x) & =\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{+\infty}(\cos x t-\cos \sigma x)^{2} f(t) d t \\
& \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2 \sigma}(\cos x t-\cos \sigma x)^{2} f(t) d t \\
& >\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2 \sigma}(\cos x t-\cos \sigma x)^{2}\left(2 J\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)+\cos t \sigma\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and the assertion is proved
We define $J_{0}=0$ and

$$
J_{n}(x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(\cos \frac{t x}{\sigma}-\cos \sigma x\right)^{2}\left(2 J_{n-1}\left(\frac{t}{2 \sigma}\right)+\cos t\right) d t
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq 1$. It is clear that $J$ and $J_{n}(n \geq 1)$ are strictly positive and that the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
J>J_{n} \quad(n \geq 0) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold.

Lemma 3.3. If $\sigma^{2}$ is the variance of a selfadjoint density, then

$$
F_{n}(\sigma):=\sigma^{2}-\frac{\pi^{2}-8}{2 \sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma^{3}}-K_{n}(\sigma)>0
$$

where

$$
K_{n}(\sigma)=\frac{4}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma^{3}} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} J_{n}\left(\frac{x}{2 \sigma}\right) x^{2} d x \quad(n \geq 0)
$$

Proof. Applying (5) - (7) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{2} & =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{2} p(x) d x \\
& \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma^{3}} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} x^{2} f\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) d x \\
& >\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma^{3}} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} x^{2} \cos x d x+\frac{4}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma^{3}} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} J\left(\frac{x}{2 \sigma}\right) x^{2} d x \\
& =\frac{\pi^{2}-8}{2 \sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma^{3}}+\frac{4}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma^{3}} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} J\left(\frac{x}{2 \sigma}\right) x^{2} d x \\
& >\frac{\pi^{2}-8}{2 \sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma^{3}}+K_{n}(\sigma) \quad(n \geq 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the assertion is proved
Theorem 3.4. The inequality $\Lambda>0.5276 \ldots$ holds .
Proof. From [3: Satz 5/p. 364] we know that if $p$ and $\tilde{p}$ are adjoint densities with product of variances $\lambda$, then there is a selfadjoint density with the same product of variances. Therefore it is sufficient to consider only selfadjoint desities. By Lemma 3.3, the inequalities $F_{n}(\sigma)>0(n \geq 0)$ hold for $\sigma$ if $\sigma^{2}$ is the variance of a selfadjoint density. Hence $\sigma^{4}$ cannot be contained in the interval $[\Lambda,+\infty)$ if $F_{n}(\sigma) \leq 0$. We computed the following values with the program Mathematica:

| $n$ | $\sigma$ | $F_{n}(\sigma)$ | $\sigma^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.8207 | $-0.0010983517 \ldots$ | $0.453667568 \ldots$ |
| 1 | 0.8464 | $-0.0012940136 \ldots$ | $0.513218873 \ldots$ |
| 2 | 0.8511 | $-0.0011355911 \ldots$ | $0.524713649 \ldots$ |
| 3 | 0.852 | $-0.0015642939 \ldots$ | $0.526936617 \ldots$ |
| 4 | 0.8523 | $-0.0011071585 \ldots$ | $0.527679173 \ldots$ |

Hence $\Lambda>0.5276 \ldots$
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[^0]:    1) 'We note that $\tilde{a}_{1}=-0.0123976 \ldots$ and $\tilde{a}_{2}=0.0016893 \therefore$.
