Generalized Abel Integral Operators on Spaces with Rooney Weights
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We prove a Fredholm criterion for generalized Abel integral equations on the half-line on weighted $L^p$-spaces for a class of weight functions introduced by Rooney. We obtain the equivalence of these integral equations to singular integral equations.
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0. Introduction

In this paper we study the Fredholm properties of the system of generalized Abel integral equations

$$a(x)z^{-\alpha} \int_0^z \frac{u(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt + \frac{b(x)z^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_z^{\infty} \frac{u(t)}{(t-z)^{1-\alpha}} dt = g(x)$$

in weighted $L^p$-spaces. For a survey of the history, applications, and the theory of this type of equations we refer the reader to [8: p. 441], [3: pp. 61 - 121], [5] and the literature cited therein. In [5] the theory is developed for weight functions of the type $\rho_\mu(x) = x^\mu$. Recent results in [1, 9] for singular integral equations with general weights are used here to study the Fredholm criteria of the integral operator defined by (1) and its equivalence to a singular integral equation. In Section 3 on generalizations we point out some interesting results for generalized Abel integral equations on a compact interval and for the case of systems.
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1. Preliminaries

The following notation due to [7] is used.

Definition 1.1: Let $w$ be a non-negative locally integrable function on $(0, \infty)$. If $\mu \in IR$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, we define $L_{w,\mu,p}$ to consist of all those complex-valued functions,
which are measurable on \((0, \infty)\) and which satisfy
\[
||f||_{w, \mu, p} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty w(x)|x^{\mu}f(x)|^p \frac{dx}{x} \right\}^{1/p} < \infty.
\]

The definition of a Rooney weight [7] is given in the following.

**Definition 1.2:** Suppose \(w\) is a non-negative locally integrable function on \((0, \infty)\) and \(1 < p < \infty\). We say \(w\) is a *Rooney weight* if there is a constant \(K\) such that, for all positive real \(a, b\) with \(a < b\),
\[
\left\{ \int_a^b w(x) \frac{dx}{x} \right\} \left\{ \int_a^b x^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dx}{x} \right\}^{p-1} \leq K \left( \log \frac{b}{a} \right)^p.
\]
If \(w\) satisfies this inequality, we write \(w \in A_p\).

**Definition 1.3:** For given \(\alpha > 0\) let \(I_{\alpha}\) and \(J_{\alpha}\) be the fractional integral operators
\[
(I_{\alpha}f)(x) = \frac{x^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{f(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt \quad \text{and} \quad (J_{\alpha}f)(x) = \frac{x^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^\infty \frac{f(t)}{(t-x)^{1-\alpha}} dt
\]
for \(x > 0\).

In [7: pp. 260 - 261] the following result was obtained.

**Theorem 1.4:** Suppose \(1 < p < \infty\), \(0 < \alpha < \mu < 1\), and \(w \in A_p\). Then \(I_{\alpha}\) and \(J_{\alpha}\) are compact, injective operators mapping \(L_{w, \mu, p}\) into itself. It holds \(I_{\alpha}(L_{w, \mu, p}) = J_{\alpha}(L_{w, \mu, p})\). The operators \(I_{\alpha}^{-1}J_{\alpha}\) and \(J_{\alpha}^{-1}I_{\alpha}\) map \(L_{w, \mu, p}\) one-to-one and onto itself.

**Definition 1.5:** A function \(a : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}\) is called *Hölder-continuous of order \(\beta, 0 < \beta \leq 1\), if there exists a constant \(C > 0\) such that
\[
|a(x) - a(y)| \leq C \frac{|x-y|^{\beta}}{(1+x)^{\beta}(1+y)^{\beta}} \quad (2)
\]
for all \(x, y > 0\). The set of all Hölder-continuous functions of order \(\beta\) is denoted by \(H^\beta(\mathbb{R}^+\)\).

**Definition 1.6:** The singular integral operator \(S_{\gamma}\) on the half-line with weight \(x^{-\gamma}\) is defined by
\[
(S_{\gamma}f)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{y}{x} \right)^\gamma \frac{f(y)}{y-x} dy.
\]
The integral here has to be understood in the sense of a Cauchy principal value.
2. Fredholm properties of generalized Abel integral equations

The following two lemmata were obtained in [5: Proposition 4.3 and p. 614] for the special case \( w = 1 \).

**Lemma 2.1:** Suppose \( 0 < \alpha < \mu < 1, \alpha < \beta, 1 < p < \infty, a \in H^\beta(\mathbb{R}^+) \) and \( w \in A_p \). Then the operator \( I^{-1}_\alpha (aI_\alpha - I_\mu a) \) defined for functions in \( C^{\infty}_0(\mathbb{R}^+) \) can be continuously extended to a compact operator on \( L_{w,\mu,p} \).

**Proof:** By use of estimate (2) the following one for functions \( a \in H^\beta(\mathbb{R}^+) \) and \( g \in L_{1,\mu,p} \) was obtained in [4: pp. 20 - 21]:

\[
|I^{-1}_\alpha (aI_\alpha g - I_\mu ag)(x)| \leq C \left( \frac{x}{(1 + x)^2} \right)^{\beta/2} x^{-\alpha/2} \int_0^x (x - u)^{\beta - \alpha - 1} u^{-\beta/2} |I_\alpha g(u)| \, du.
\]

This estimate was proved by use of an explicit representation of the operator \( I^{-1}_\alpha \) and an estimate of the kernel of the integral operator \( I^{-1}_\alpha (aI_\alpha - I_\mu a) \). Because of Theorem 1.4 the continuity of \( I_\alpha \) follows, and it is sufficient to prove that the operator

\[
K h(x) = \left( \frac{x}{(1 + x)^2} \right)^{\beta/2} \int_0^x \frac{x}{(u - 1)} \left( \frac{x}{(x - u)} \right)^{\beta - \alpha - 1} \left( \frac{x}{u} \right)^{-\beta/2} |h(u)| \, du
\]

has a compact extension to \( L_{w,\mu,p} \). If we have verified that \( K \) is compact, then the assertion of the lemma follows from the fact that an integral operator \( L : L_{w,\mu,p} \rightarrow L_{w,\mu,p} \) defined by \( Lg(x) = \int_0^\infty k(x,t)g(t) \, dt \) is compact, if a compact operator \( M : L_{w,\mu,p} \rightarrow L_{w,\mu,p} \) exists such that \( |Lg(x)| \leq (M(|g|))(x) \) for all \( x > 0 \) and all \( g \in L_{w,\mu,p} \) [10: p. 94]. Furthermore, the operator \( K : L_{w,\mu,p} \rightarrow L_{w,\mu,p} \) is the composition of a Mellin convolution operator with an operator of multiplication by a Hölder-continuous function which vanishes at \( x = 0 \) and which vanishes if \( x \to \infty \). Therefore the operator \( K \) may be approximated in the operator norm by operators

\[
K_{\phi,\psi} h(x) = \Psi(x) \int_0^x \phi \left( \frac{x}{u} \right) |h(u)| \, du
\]

with \( \phi, \psi \in C^{\infty}_0(\mathbb{R}^+) \). The set of compact operators in \( L_{w,\mu,p} \) is closed, therefore it is sufficient to prove the compactness of all operators \( K_{\phi,\psi} \). The compactness of \( K_{\phi,\psi} \) is equivalent to that of the operator \( L_{\phi,\psi} : L_p(\mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}^+) \) defined by

\[
L_{\phi,\psi} h(x) = \Psi(x) \int_0^x \phi \left( \frac{x}{u} \right) \left( \frac{w(x)}{w(u)} \right)^{1/p} \left( \frac{x}{u} \right)^{-1/p - 1} |h(u)| \, du.
\]

Moreover, by an application of the criterion of Hille-Tamarkin for operators in \( L_p \) it is sufficient to prove that the following integral exists:

\[
I(\phi, \Psi) = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_0^x \Psi(x) \left( \frac{w(x)}{w(u)} \right)^{1/p} \phi \left( \frac{x}{u} \right) \left( \frac{x}{u} \right)^{-1/p - 1} \frac{1}{u} \right\}^{p/p'} \, du \, dx.
\]
If \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) is such that the supports of \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) are subsets of \( (\frac{1}{n}, n) \), then it is easily proved that there are numbers \( C_1, C_2 > 0 \) which depend only on \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) such that

\[
I(\Phi, \Psi) \leq C_1 \int_{1/n}^{n} \left\{ \left( \frac{w(u)}{u} \right)^{1/p} \left( \frac{1}{u} \right)^{1-1/p} \right\}^{p-1} du \leq C_2 \int_{1/n}^{n} \frac{w(x)}{x} \left\{ \int_{1/n^2}^{n} \frac{w^{1-(p-1)}(u)}{u} du \right\}^{p-1} dx < \infty.
\]

The latter follows from Definition 1.2.

**Lemma 2.2:** Suppose \( 0 < \alpha < \mu < 1, 1 < p < \infty, w \in A_p, \) and \( f \in \mathcal{L}_{w,\mu,p} \). Then

\[
I_\alpha f = -\cos(\pi(1-\alpha))J_\alpha f - i\sin(\pi(1-\alpha))S_0J_\alpha f.
\]

**Proof:** In the case \( w \equiv 1 \) relation (3) is easily computed from the formulas (8.1) and (8.2) in [5]. From [7: p. 258] we obtain that \( C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^+) \) is a dense subset of \( \mathcal{L}_{w,\mu,p} \) if \( w \in A_p \), furthermore the results in [7] imply the continuity of the operators in both sides of relation (3). By continuous extension we can conclude our result.

**Remark:** From Lemma 2.2 it is obvious that the equation (1) can be reduced by substitution (3) to the singular integral equation

\[
(cI + dS_0)v = g
\]

where \( c, d, \) and \( v \) are given by

\[
c = b - \cos(\pi(1-\alpha))a, \quad d = -i\sin(\pi(1-\alpha))a, \quad v = J_\alpha u.
\]

The spectrum and essential spectrum (which happen in this case to be the same) of the operator \( S_0 \) in spaces \( \mathcal{L}_{w,\mu,p} \) were described in [2].

**Theorem 2.3:** Suppose \( 0 < \mu < 1, 0 < \beta < 1, 1 < p < \infty, w \in A_p, \) and \( c, d \in H^\beta(\mathbb{R}^+) \). Then the operator \( A_0 = cI + dS_0 : \mathcal{L}_{w,\mu,p} \to \mathcal{L}_{w,\mu,p} \) is of Fredholm type if and only if

\[
c(x) \pm d(x) \neq 0 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^+)\quad \text{as well as} \quad \arg \frac{c(0) - d(0)}{c(0) + d(0)} \neq 2\pi\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \arg \frac{c(\infty) - d(\infty)}{c(\infty) + d(\infty)} \neq 2\pi\mu.
\]

**Proof:** Consider the space \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{w,\mu,p} \) of complex-valued functions defined on \( \mathbb{R} \) and such that \( ||f|| := (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(|x|)|x|^\mu f(x)\frac{dx}{|x|})^{1/p} < \infty \). Of course, \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{w,\mu,p} \) is a direct sum of \( \mathcal{L}_{w,\mu,p} \) with its image \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_{w,\mu,p} \) under the reflection \( (Tf)(x) = f(-x) \). The singular integral operator \( S \) defined by

\[
(Sf)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(y)}{y - x} dy.
\]
is bounded in $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$ [7: p. 261]. Put

$$
\tilde{c}(x) = \begin{cases}
c(x) & \text{for } x > 0 \\
1 & \text{for } x < 0
\end{cases}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\tilde{d}(x) = \begin{cases}
d(x) & \text{for } x > 0 \\
0 & \text{for } x < 0
\end{cases}
$$

Then $A = \tilde{c}I + \tilde{d}S$ is the direct sum of $A_0$ with the identity operator on $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$. Hence, $A$ and $A_0$ have the same Fredholm properties. But $A$ is a singular integral operator on the space $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p} = L_{p,\mu}(R)$ with the Muckenhoupt weight $\rho(x) = w(|x|)|x|^{\frac{\mu-1}{2}}$ and has coefficients which are continuous on $[-\infty, 0]$ and $[0, \infty)$. The desired criterion now follows from [1: Theorem 2.10] and [2: Lemma 3.3].

As a consequence we obtain the following result for the equation (1).

**Theorem 2.4:** Suppose $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$, $0 < \alpha < \mu < 1$, $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in A_p$, and $a, b \in H^\beta(\overline{R}^+)$. Then the following two propositions hold.

(i) The operator $I_\alpha^{-1}(aI_\alpha + bJ_\alpha) : \mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p} \to \mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$ is of Fredholm type if and only if the functions $c$ and $d$ defined by (5) fulfill the conditions (6) and (7).

(ii) If $g \in \mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$ is in the range of the operator $I_\alpha : \mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p} \to \mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$ and equation (4) has a solution $v \in \mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$, then $u = J_\alpha^{-1}v$ is a solution of equation (1).

**Proof:** As a consequence of Lemma 2.1 the operator $I_\alpha^{-1}(aI_\alpha + bJ_\alpha) - (aI + bI_\alpha^{-1}J_\alpha)$ is compact. By Lemma 2.2 we obtain $aI + bI_\alpha^{-1}J_\alpha = cI + dS_0$, therefore proposition (i) follows from Theorem 2.3/(i).

In the case $w \equiv 1$ it was proved in [5: pp. 612 - 613] that there exists a regularizer of the operator $cI + dS_0$ of the form

$$
R = \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i S_{\gamma_i} b_i + \sum_{m=1}^{2} c_m \omega S_0 \omega^{-1} d_m,
$$

with numbers $\gamma_i \in (-\mu, 1 - \mu)$ (in our notation here), functions $a_i, b_i \in H^\beta(\overline{R}^+)$, $\omega(t) = t^\gamma(1 + t)^{\gamma - \gamma_0}$ and functions $c_m, d_m$ satisfying $\text{supp } c_m \cap \text{supp } d_m = \emptyset$. This operator is bounded on $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$, and even on $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,1+p,\mu,p}$ for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small. Hence, the operators $RA - I$ and $A_0R - I$ are compact on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\mu,p}$ and bounded on $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,1+p,\mu,p}$. It then follows from the interpolation theorem for compact operators [6] that $RA_0 - I$ and $A_0R - I$ are compact on $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$. In other words, $R$ is a regularizer of $A_0$ on $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that this regularizer leaves the space $I_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p})$ invariant. By Lemma 2.1 multiplication with a function from $H^\beta(\overline{R}^+)$ maps the set $I_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p})$ into itself. Because the operators $S_{-\gamma_i}$ are Mellin convolutions it follows from the results in [7] that these operators leave the space $I_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p})$ invariant. Thus the first sum maps $I_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p})$ into itself.

The second sum represents a "smoothing" operator. It was proved in the case $w \equiv 1$ in [5] that those operators map $\mathcal{L}_{1,\mu,p}$ continuously into $I_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{1,\mu,p})$. It is easily seen that these arguments carry over to the case of arbitrary $w \in A_p$. All (possible) solutions of equation (4) are obtained by application of the regularizer of $cI + dS_0$ and, in addition, if $g$ in equation (4) is in the range of $I_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}) = J_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p})$, then we proved that the same holds for the solution $v$.\hfill\blacksquare
3. Generalizations

We would like to mention two generalizations.

First, our results may be extended to systems of generalized Abel integral equations in the case where we impose the stronger assumption that the coefficients in (1) be Hölder-continuously differentiable. A combination of the results obtained here and those of [9: Theorem 3.1] and of [5: Theorem 8.1] is then obvious.

Secondly, we obtain new results for the Fredholm property and the solution of generalized Abel integral equations on a compact interval \([r, R]\). If we use the substitution \(t = \frac{x-r}{R-r}\) and \(x = \frac{y-r}{R-r}\), then the equation

\[
\frac{a(x)x^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{u(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt + \frac{b(x)x^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\pi}^{\infty} \frac{u(t)}{(t-x)^{1-\alpha}} dt = \frac{x^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{h(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt
\]

is transformed into

\[
A(y) \int_{r}^{y} \frac{u^*(\tau)}{(R-\tau)^{1+\alpha}(y-\tau)^{1-\alpha}} d\tau + B(y) \int_{y}^{\infty} \frac{u^*(\tau)}{(R-\tau)^{1+\alpha}(\tau-y)^{1-\alpha}} d\tau
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{r}^{y} \frac{h^*(\tau)}{(R-\tau)^{1+\alpha}(y-\tau)^{1-\alpha}} d\tau
\]

with

\[A(y) = a \left( \frac{y-r}{R-y} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad B(y) = b \left( \frac{y-r}{R-y} \right)\]

as well as

\[u^*(\tau) = u \left( \frac{\tau-r}{R-\tau} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad h^*(\tau) = h \left( \frac{\tau-r}{R-\tau} \right).\]

Furthermore we have that

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} w(x) |x^\mu u(x)|^p dx = \int_{r}^{R} w \left( \frac{y-r}{R-y} \right) |u^*(y)|^p \left( \frac{y-r}{R-y} \right)^{\mu p} \left( \frac{R-y}{y-r} \right)^{1+\mu p} dy
\]

and that \(A\) and \(B\) are Hölder continuous of order \(\beta\) on the closed interval \([r, R]\) if and only if \(a, b \in H^\beta(\mathbb{R}^+)\). From these calculations the results of Theorem 2.4 can be easily used for a discussion of equation (9). Even in non-weighted \(L^p\)-spaces \(L^p([r, R])\) this discussion was not possible from the theory of equation (1) in the space \(L_{1, w, p}\).
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