

Some Remarks on Trigonometric Interpolation on the n -Torus

W. SICKEL

Let \mathbf{T}^n be the n -torus and $(I_j f)_{j=0}^\infty$,

$$I_j f(x) = \sum_{k_1=-j}^j \dots \sum_{k_n=-j}^j f\left(\frac{2\pi k}{2j+1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2j+1}{2}(x_i - \frac{2\pi k_i}{2j+1})\right)}{(2j+1) \sin \frac{1}{2}(x_i - \frac{2\pi k_i}{2j+1})} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n \\ k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n \end{array} \right)$$

the sequence of Lagrange interpolating polynomials. Then we give a complete characterization of the set of functions f with

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty [j^s \|f - I_j f\|_{L_p(\mathbf{T}^n)}]^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \quad \text{if } 1 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty, s > n/p$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^\infty [j^s |f(x) - I_j f(x)|]^q \right\|_{L_p(\mathbf{T}^n)}^{1/q} < \infty \quad \text{if } 1 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty, s < n/p$$

in terms of Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on \mathbf{T}^n .

Key words: *Periodic spaces of Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin type, Lagrange interpolating polynomials, approximation of functions*

AMS subject classification: 41A25, 42A15, 46E15

0. Introduction

As usual, \mathbf{R}^n denotes the Euclidean n -space, \mathbf{Z}^n the set of all lattice points having integer components, \mathbf{N} the set of all natural numbers and \mathbf{N}_0 the set of all non-negative integers. The aim of the paper is to show that the Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on the n -torus \mathbf{T}^n can be completely characterized by the sequence $(I_j f)_{j=0}^\infty$ of Lagrange interpolating polynomials. Here $I_j f$ is given by

$$\sum_{k_1=-j}^j \dots \sum_{k_n=-j}^j f\left(\frac{2\pi k}{2j+1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2j+1}{2}x_i - k_i \pi\right)}{(2j+1) \sin \frac{1}{2}\left(x_i - \frac{2\pi k_i}{2j+1}\right)}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{T}^n, \quad k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n. \quad (0.1)$$

It turns out that for periodic continuous functions f the following equivalences are true ($1 < p < \infty, s > n/p$):

$$f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbf{T}^n) \Leftrightarrow \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty [j^{s-1/q} \|f - I_j f\|_{L_p(\mathbf{T}^n)}]^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \quad (0 < q \leq \infty),$$

$$f \in F_{p,q}^s(\mathbf{T}^n) \Leftrightarrow \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty [j^{s-1/q} |f(x) - I_j f(x)|]^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbf{T}^n)} < \infty \quad (1 < q < \infty).$$

The main tools of proof used here are the characterization of the underlying function spa-

ces via approximation and the L_p -stability of trigonometric polynomials t of degree $t \leq j$ expressed by the following inequalities:

$$c_1 \left(\frac{1}{(2j+1)^n} \sum_{k_1=-j}^j \dots \sum_{k_n=-j}^j \left| t \left(\frac{2\pi k}{2j+1} \right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|t\|_{L_p(\mathbf{T}^n)} \leq c_2 \sum_{k_1=-j}^j \dots \sum_{k_n=-j}^j \left| t \left(\frac{2\pi k}{2j+1} \right) \right|^p \quad (0.2)$$

($1 < p < \infty$) for some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$, independent of t and j (cf. A. Zygmund [19], P.1. Lizorkin and D.G. Orlovskij [4]).

The paper is organized as follows:

After collecting some necessary informations about Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on \mathbf{T}^n in the first section, Section 2 deals with our main result concerning the characterization of the function spaces. Therefore we investigate the uniform boundedness of I_j in $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\mathbf{T}^n)}$, $1 < p < \infty$. As a complement and more or less to show the great similarity between approximation via partial sums and approximation via Lagrange interpolating polynomials the aliasing error $f - I_j f$ is also treated in $\|\cdot\|_{C(\mathbf{T}^n)}$. Finally, in Section 3 we deal with approximation in stronger norms than $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\mathbf{T}^n)}$, for instance in $\|\cdot\|_{W_p^m(\mathbf{T}^n)}$.

1. Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces

1.1 Notations and definitions. The n -torus \mathbf{T}^n may be represented by the set

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: -\pi \leq x_j \leq \pi \ (j = 1, \dots, n)\},$$

where opposite sides are identified. D_π and D'_π denote the set of all complex-valued infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbf{T}^n and its dual space, respectively. Furthermore we put

$$\hat{f}(k) = (2\pi)^{-n} f(e^{-ikx}) \ (k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, f \in D'_\pi).$$

Then any $f \in D'_\pi$ can be represented by its Fourier series

$$f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} \ (\text{convergence in } D'_\pi)$$

(cf. H.-J. Schmeißer and H. Triebel [14]). The space of continuous functions on \mathbf{T}^n is denoted by $C(\mathbf{T}^n)$, the space of p -th power integrable functions by $L_p(\mathbf{T}^n)$. If there is no confusion possible we drop \mathbf{T}^n in notations.

Let ψ be an infinitely differentiable function with the properties

$$\psi(x) = 1 \ \text{if } |x| \leq 1 \ \text{and } \psi(x) = 0 \ \text{if } |x| \geq 3/2. \quad (1.1)$$

Further we put

$$\varphi_0(x) = \psi(x), \ \varphi_1(x) = \psi(x/2) - \psi(x), \ \varphi_l(x) = \varphi_1(2^{-l+1}x) \ (l = 2, 3, \dots). \quad (1.2)$$

Hence, we have

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \varphi_l(x) = 1 \ (x \in \mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1.3)$$

Definition: Let $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $-\infty < s < \infty$.

(i) Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then

$$B_{p,q}^s(\mathbf{T}^n) = \left\{ f \in D'_\pi: \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} = \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{lsq} \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \varphi_l(k) \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} \right\|_{L_p}^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\}.$$

(ii) Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then

$$F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}^n) = \left\{ f \in D_{\pi}^s : \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s} = \left\| \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{lsq} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \varphi_l(k) \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L_p} < \infty \right\}.$$

Remark 1: All spaces defined above are quasi-Banach spaces (Banach spaces if $q \geq 1$). They are independent of the special choice of ψ in (1.1) (equivalent quasi-norms). These periodic spaces of Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin type are extensively investigated in the book by H.-J. Schmei\ss er and H. Triebel [14].

Remark 2: The above definition can be understand as a uniform approach to different types of classical function spaces. In particular, we have

(i) $F_{p,2}^0 = L_p,$

(ii) $F_{p,2}^m = W_p^m$ (Sobolev spaces) if $m \in \mathbb{N},$

(iii) $B_{p,q}^s = \Lambda_{p,q}^s$ (Besov-Lipschitz classes) if $s > 0,$ and

(iv) $B_{\infty,\infty}^s = C^s$ (Hoelder-Zygmund classes) if $s > 0$

(cf. H.-J. Schmei\ss er and H. Triebel [14]).

Remark 3: Of some importance are the embedding relations

$$(B_{p,q}^s \cup F_{p,q}^s) \hookrightarrow L_p \text{ if } s > 0 \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$(B_{p,q}^s \cup F_{p,q}^s) \hookrightarrow C \text{ if } s > n/p \tag{1.5}$$

(cf. H.-J. Schmei\ss er and H. Triebel [14]).

1.2 Characterization via approximation. The spaces defined above are well-adapted to problems in approximation theory. To show this we recall the following facts. Let

$$T_j = \left\{ t \in D_{\pi}^s : \hat{f}(k) = 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |k| > j \right\} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Let X be an appropriate quasi-Banach space. Then we put

$$E_j(f, X) = \inf_{g \in T_j} \|f - g\|_X \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Proposition 1: Let $0 < q \leq \infty$.

(i) Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $s > 0$. Then

$$B_{p,q}^s = \left\{ f \in L_p : \|f\|_{L_p} + \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} [(1+l)^{s-1/q} E_l(f, L_p)]^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\}$$

in the sense of equivalent quasi-norms.

(ii) Let

$$S_j f(x) = \sum_{|k_1| \leq j} \dots \sum_{|k_n| \leq j} \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} \quad (k = (k_1, \dots, k_n), j \in \mathbb{N}_0). \tag{1.6}$$

We can replace $E_j(f, L_p)$ by $\|f - S_j f\|_{L_p}$ in (i) if $1 < p < \infty$.

(iii) Let

$$V_j f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \psi(j^{-1}k) \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{1.7}$$

where ψ is the function from (1.1). Then $E_j(f, L_p)$ can be replaced by $\|f - V_j f\|_{L_p}$ in (i).

Proposition 2: Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $s > 0$. Then

$$F_{p,q}^s = \left\{ f \in L_p : \begin{array}{l} \exists \{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, g_j \in T_j \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ such that } g_j \rightarrow f \text{ in } L_p \text{ and} \\ \|g_1\|_{L_p} + \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty [j^{s-1/q} |f(x) - g_j(x)|]^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L_p} < \infty \end{array} \right\}$$

in the sense of equivalent norms. Moreover, we can choose $g_j = S_j f$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$).

Remark 4: Proofs of Propositions 1 and 2 may be found in H.-J. Schmeißer and H. Triebel [14] and W. Sickel [15] (cf. also H.-J. Schmeißer and W. Sickel [12, 13]).

Remark 5: For later use we mention also that ($0 < q < \infty, s \geq 0$)

$$\|f - S_j f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0 \text{ if } 1 < p < \infty \text{ and } \|f - V_j f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0 \text{ if } 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

These are consequences of

$$\hat{f}(k) - S_j \hat{f}(k) = 0 \text{ if } |k_i| < j \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \text{ and } \hat{f}(k) - V_j \hat{f}(k) = 0 \text{ if } |k| < j$$

and of

$$\sup \|S_j f\|_{L_p} \leq c \|f\|_{L_p} \quad (1 < p < \infty) \text{ and } \sup \|V_j f\|_{L_p} \leq c \|f\|_{L_p} \quad (1 \leq p \leq \infty).$$

2. Trigonometric interpolation

We start with a uniform lattice on \mathbb{T}^n , characterized by the nodes

$$x^r = (x_1^r, \dots, x_n^r) = \left(\frac{2\pi r_1}{2j+1}, \dots, \frac{2\pi r_n}{2j+1} \right) \quad (-j \leq r_i \leq j \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), j \in \mathbb{N}_0), r \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Let

$$Q_m^j = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{Z}^n : -j - 1/2 \leq k_i - m_i(2j+1) \leq j + 1/2 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \right\} \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, j \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

and $f \in C$. Then the function $I_j f$ defined by (0.1) is the unique solution of

$$g(x^r) = f(x^r), \quad r \in Q_0^j \text{ and } \hat{g}(k) = 0 \text{ if } k \notin Q_0^j.$$

Suppose additionally

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\hat{f}(k)| < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

Then we can rewrite

$$I_j f(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(\sum_{k \in Q_m^j} \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} \right) e^{-im(2j+1)x} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}_0) \tag{2.2}$$

(cf. A. Zygmund [19]).

Remark 6: Formula (2.2) shows the great similarity between Lagrange polynomials and Whittaker's cardinal series. The latter one is defined as

$$I_j^* f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f\left(\frac{2\pi k}{2^j+1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2^j+1}{2} x_i - k_i \pi\right)}{\left(\frac{2^j+1}{2} x_i - k_i \pi\right)} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

We have the identity

$$I_j^* f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (F^{-1}[\chi_m^j Ff])(x) e^{-im(2^j+1)x},$$

where F, F^{-1} are the Fourier transform and its inverse, respectively, and χ_m^j denotes the characteristic function of Q_m^j (cf. P.L. Butzer [3], W. Sickel [16]).

Remark 7: If we put $A_\pi = \{f \in D_\pi: \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\hat{f}(k)| < \infty\}$, then $B_{2,1}^{n/2} \hookrightarrow A_\pi \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,1}^0$ (cf. H. Triebel [17]).

In our investigations a crucial role is played by the following

Lemma 1: Let $1 < p < \infty$.

(i) There exists a constant c such that

$$\|I_j f|_{L_p}\| \leq c(1+j)^{-n/p} \|f|_{B_{p,1}^{n/p}}\| \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}_0) \tag{2.3}$$

holds for all $f \in B_{p,1}^{n/p}$ with $\hat{f}(k) = 0, k \in Q_0^j$.

(ii) There exists a constant c such that

$$\|f - I_j f|_{L_p}\| \leq c(1+j)^{-n/p} \|f|_{B_{p,1}^{n/p}}\| \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}_0) \tag{2.4}$$

holds for all $f \in B_{p,1}^{n/p}$.

Proof: First, note that I_j is a projection, that means $I_j f = f$ for all f with $\hat{f}(k) = 0, k \in Q_0^j$. Now we split

$$f - I_j f = f - S_j f + I_j(S_j f - f). \tag{2.5}$$

To prove (2.4) we can use Proposition 1 and (2.3). So, it remains to prove (2.3). Let $\{\varphi_j\}$ be the system defined in (1.2). We put $f_j(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \varphi_j(k) \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}_0)$. Let $2^t \leq j \leq 2^{t+1}$. The properties of ψ guarantee $I_j(S_j f - f) = \sum_{l=t-1}^\infty I_j(S_j f_l - f_l)$ in D_π . Applying (0.2), using the interpolation property of $I_j f, I_j f(k) = 0$ if $k \in Q_0^j$ and $\hat{f}_l(k) = 0$ if $|k| > (3/2)2^{l-1}$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_j(S_j f_l - f_l)|_{L_p}\| &\leq c(1+j)^{-n/p} \left(\sum_{k \in Q_0^j} \left| I_j(S_j f_l - f_l)\left(\frac{2\pi k}{2^j+1}\right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq c(1+j)^{-n/p} \left(\sum_{k \in Q_0^j} \left| (S_j f_l - f_l)\left(\frac{2\pi k}{2^j+1}\right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where c is independent of j, l , and f . Next we pick out a sequence of meshes $\{M_l\}$ such that

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{2\pi k}{2^j+1}\right) : k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \right\} \subset M_l = \left\{ \left(\frac{2\pi k}{2M_{l+1}}\right) : k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \right\} \quad (l = t-1, \dots),$$

where $(3/2)2^{l-1} \leq M_l \leq c2^l$ (c independent of l and t) holds. According to M_l we apply

again (0.2). This leads to

$$\left(\sum_{k \in Q_0^j} \left| (S_j f_I - f_I) \left(\frac{2\pi k}{2j+1} \right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c 2^{ln/p} \|S_j f_I - f_I\|_{L_p} \leq c 2^{ln/p} \|f_I\|_{L_p}. \tag{2.7}$$

Putting (2.7) into (2.6), summing up from $t - 1$ to ∞ the desired inequality (2.3) follows ■

Remark 8: Using Remark 5 we can sharpen (2.4) a little bit. We have

$$j^{n/p} \|f - I_j f\|_{L_p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } j \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.8}$$

for any $f \in B_{p,1}^{n/p}$. In case $n = 1$ this was observed first by K.I. Oskolkov [5].

Remark 9: In the one-dimensional case J. Prestin [7 - 10] has proved a result similar to (2.8), but with $B_{p,1}^{1/p}(\mathbb{T}^1)$ replaced by the set of functions with bounded variation.

As a consequence of Lemma 1 one obtains some estimates of the approximation error in $\|\cdot\|_C$.

Lemma 2: Let $p < \infty$ and $s > 0$.

(i) For any $f \in B_{p,1}^{n/p} \cup A_\pi$ there holds

$$\|f - I_j f\|_C \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.9}$$

(ii) There exists a constant c such that

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} (1+j)^s \|f - I_j f\|_C \leq c \|f\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+n/p}} \text{ for all } f \in B_{p,\infty}^{s+n/p}. \tag{2.10}$$

(iii) There exists a constant c such that

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} (1+j)^s (\log(1+j))^{-n} \|f - I_j f\|_C \leq c \|f\|_{C^s} \text{ for all } f \in C^s = B_{\infty,\infty}^s. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof: (i) Let $f \in A_\pi$. Then (2.9) follows from (2.2) since

$$|f(x) - I_j f(x)| \leq \sum_{|m|>0} \sum_{k \in Q_m^j} |\hat{f}(k)|.$$

Let $f \in B_{p,1}^{n/p}$. Then we use the decomposition

$$f - I_j f = f - V_{j/2} f + I_j(V_{j/2} f - f), \tag{2.12}$$

with $V_{j/2}$ defined in (1.7). From the embeddings $B_{p,1}^{n/p} \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,1}^0 \hookrightarrow C$ (cf. H.-J. Schmei\sser and H. Triebel [14]) and Remark 5 we know that

$$\|f - V_{j/2} f\|_C \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.13}$$

Next we apply the Nikol'skij inequality (cf. H.-J. Schmei\sser and H. Triebel [14]) and (2.3). This yields

$$\|I_j(V_{j/2} f - f)\|_C \leq c(1+j)^{n/p} \|I_j(V_{j/2} f - f)\|_{L_p} \leq c \|f - V_{j/2} f\|_{B_{p,1}^{n/p}}.$$

Using again Remark 5 we find

$$\|I_j(V_{j/2} f - f)\|_C \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.14}$$

Now, (2.13) and (2.14) complete the proof of (2.9).

(ii) We use the splitting stated in (2.12), Proposition 1, and (2.3). This yields

$$\|f - I_j f\|_C \leq \|f - V_{j/2} f\|_C + c \|f - V_{j/2} f\|_{B_{p,1}^{n/p}} \leq c(1+j)^s \|f\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+n/p}}.$$

For the last step we have used on the one hand the embedding $B_{p,\infty}^{n/p+s} \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,\infty}^s = C^s$ and on the other hand Proposition 3 (see Section 3). This proves (2.10).

(iii) Since the first part of inequality (0.2) remains true if $p = 1$ (cf. A. Zygmund [19]) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_j f(x)| &= \left(\frac{1}{2^{j+1}}\right)^n \left| \sum_{r \in \mathcal{O}_j} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{O}_j} f(x^r) e^{ik(x-x)^r} \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{r \in \mathcal{O}_j} |f(x^r)| \left(\frac{1}{2^{j+1}}\right)^n \sum_{r \in \mathcal{O}_j} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathcal{O}_j} e^{ik(x-x)^r} \right| \\ &\leq c \|f\|_C \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathcal{O}_j} e^{ikx} \right\|_{L_1} \leq c(\log(1+j))^n \|f\|_C. \end{aligned}$$

Using this with $f - V_{j,2} f$ instead of f , the desired inequality follows from (2.12) as in (ii) ■

The main result of this paper is formulated in the next

Theorem 1: Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $s > n/p$.

(i) Let $0 < q \leq \infty$. Then

$$B_{p,q}^s = \left\{ f \in C : |f(0)| + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [(1+j)^{s-1/q} \|f - I_j f\|_{L_p}]^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\}$$

in the sense of equivalent quasi-norms.

(ii) Let $1 < q < \infty$. Then

$$F_{p,q}^s = \left\{ f \in C : |f(0)| + \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [(1+j)^{s-1/q} |f(x)|^q]^{1/q} \right) \right\|_{L_p} < \infty \right\}$$

in the sense of equivalent norms.

Proof: (i) Comparing the above characterization of $B_{p,q}^s$ with Proposition 1 it remains to prove that

$$|f(0)| + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [(1+j)^{s-1/q} \|f - I_j f\|_{L_p}]^q \right)^{1/q} \leq c \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} \tag{2.15}$$

with c independent of f . Let $0 < q < \infty$. Again we use (2.3) and Proposition 1. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [(1+j)^{s-1/q} \|f - I_j f\|_{L_p}]^q \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [(1+j)^{s-1/q} (\|f - S_j f\|_{L_p} + \|I_j(f - S_j f)\|_{L_p})]^q \\ &\leq c \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s}^q + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [(1+j)^{s-1/q} (1+j)^{-n/p} \|f - S_j f\|_{B_{p,1}^{n/p}}]^q. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

We proceed with an estimate of the second term on the right-hand side of (2.16). Using $f_j(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \varphi_j(k) \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx}$ ($l \in \mathbb{N}_0$) we find

$$\left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=2^{l-1}}^{2^{l+1}-2} 2^{l(s-n/p)q} 2^{-l} \left(\sum_{i=l-1}^{\infty} 2^{ln/p} \| (S_j f - f)_l \|_{L_p} \right)^q \right\}^{\min(1,q)/q}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq c \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} 2^{t(s-n/p)q} 2^{(l+t)qn/p} \|f_{l+t-1}\|_{L_p}^q \right)^{\min(1,q)/q} \\
 &\leq c \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{-l(s-n/p)\min(1,q)} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} 2^{tsq} \|f_t\|_{L_p}^q \right)^{\min(1,q)/q} \\
 &\leq c \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s}^{\min(1,q)},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

since $s > n/p$ and

$$\sup_j \| (S_j f)_l \|_{L_p} = \sup_j \| S_j(f_l) \|_{L_p} \leq c \|f_l\|_{L_p}$$

(put $\varphi_{-1} = 0$). Note that $l_0 f = f(0)$. In view of this fact, Lemma 1 and (2.16), (2.17) the desired inequality (2.15) follows if $q < \infty$. In case $q = \infty$ one has to modify the above considerations in an obvious way.

(ii) Using Proposition 2 the proof is reduced to establish the inequality

$$\|f(0)\| + \left\| \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [(1+j)^{s-1/q} |f(x) - I_j f(x)|]^q \right]^{1/q} \right\|_{L_p} \leq c \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s}. \tag{2.18}$$

Step 1: In order to prove (2.18) we consider at first the case $s > n$. Because of $F_{p,q}^s \hookrightarrow A_{\pi}$ (cf. Remark 7) we can apply (2.2). This yields

$$f(x) - I_j f(x) = f(x) - S_j f(x) - \sum_{|m|>0} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \chi_m^j(k) \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} \right) e^{-ixm(2j+1)},$$

where χ_m^j is the characteristic function of Q_m^j . With the help of Proposition 1 a proof of (2.18) is now reduced to a proof of

$$\left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[(1+j)^{s-1/q} \left| \sum_{|m|>0} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \chi_m^j(k) \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} \right) e^{-ixm(2j+1)} \right|^q \right]^{1/q} \right\|_{L_p} \leq c \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s}. \tag{2.19}$$

In order to obtain (2.19) we make use of Lizorkin's vector-valued Fourier-multiplier theorem for cubes with sides parallel to the axis (cf. H.-J. Schmei\sser and H. Triebel [14]) and of

$$Q_{m}^j \in K_{t+1}^{N_0, N_1} \text{ if } 2^t - 1 \leq j \leq 2^{t+1} - 2, 2^t \leq |m| < 2^{t+1},$$

where

$$K_0^{N_0, N_1} = \{x: |x_j| \leq 2^{-N_1} (j = 1, \dots, n)\},$$

$$K_t^{N_0, N_1} = \{x: |x_j| \leq 2^{t+N_0} (j = 1, \dots, n)\} \setminus \{x: |x_j| \leq 2^{t-1-N_1} (j = 1, \dots, n)\} \quad (t \in \mathbb{N})$$

for appropriate $N_0, N_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $\chi(K_t^{N_0, N_1}, \cdot)$ be the characteristic function of $K_t^{N_0, N_1}$. These yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} 2^{tsq} \sum_{j=2^{t-1}}^{2^{t+1}-2} 2^{-t} \left| \sum_{|m|>0} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \chi_m^j(k) \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} \right) e^{-ixm(2j+1)} \right|^q \right\|_{L_p} \\
 &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{2^j \leq |m| < 2^{j+1}} \left\| \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} 2^{tsq} \sum_{j=2^{t-1}}^{2^{t+1}-2} 2^{-t} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \chi_m^j(k) \chi(K_{t+1}^{N_0, N_1}, k) \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L_p}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{ln} \left\| \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} 2^{tsq} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \chi(K_{t+1}^{N_0, N_1, k}) \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L_p} \\ &\leq c \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{l(n-s)} \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s} \leq c \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s}, \end{aligned}$$

according to a so-called Lizorkin-type representation of $F_{p,q}^s$ (cf. H.-J. Schmeißer and H. Triebel [14]).

Step 2: We remove the restriction $s > n$. Note, that $B_{p,p}^s = F_{p,p}^s$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [F_{p_0, q_0}^{s_1}, F_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}]_{\vartheta} &= F_{p, q}^s & s &= (1 - \vartheta)s_0 + \vartheta s_1 \\ [L_{p_0}(A), L_{p_1}(B)]_{\vartheta} &= L_p([A, B]_{\vartheta}) & \text{with} & \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1 - \vartheta}{p_0} + \frac{\vartheta}{p_1} \\ [I_{q_0}(A_j), I_{q_1}(B_j)]_{\vartheta} &= I_q([A_j, B_j]_{\vartheta}) & \frac{1}{q} &= \frac{1 - \vartheta}{q_0} + \frac{\vartheta}{q_1} \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

(cf. Triebel [18]). We shall use (2.21) with $A_j = j^{s_0} \mathbb{C}$, $B_j = j^{s_1} \mathbb{C}$, and $A = I_{q_0}(A_j)$, $B = I_{q_1}(B_j)$. Here \mathbb{C} is the complex plane. Considering the linear operator $R: F_{p,q}^s \rightarrow L_p(I_q(j^{s-1/q} \mathbb{C}))$, $Rf = \{f - I_j f\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ we know from the proof of (i) and from Step 2 that R is bounded if $s > n/p$ and $p = q$ or $s > n$ and $1 < p, q < \infty$. Hence, R is bounded as a mapping with respect to the intermediate spaces $R: F_{p,q}^s \rightarrow L_p(I_q(j^{s-1/q} \mathbb{C}))$ ($1 < p, q < \infty; s > n/p$). That means, (2.18) is true also under these restrictions ■

Remark 10: The restriction $s > n/p$ in Theorem 1 seems to be natural. If $s < n/p$, then unbounded functions are contained in $B_{p,q}^s$ and hence, $I_j f$ makes no sense in general.

Remark 11: Parts of the assertions of Theorem 1 and of the Lemmas 1 and 2 are known if $n = 1$. We refer to J. Prestin [7, 10] and K.I. Oskolkov [5]. Corresponding results in case of Whittaker’s cardinal series are obtained in Sickel [16].

We are also interested in a characterization of function spaces if $p = \infty$. To this end we can employ an inequality due to Leindler [3]. Let $0 < \mu < \infty$. Then

$$\left\| \left(2^{-l} \sum_{j=2^l}^{2^{l+1}-1} |f(x) - S_j f(x)|^{\mu} \right)^{1/\mu} \right\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^1)} \leq c E_{2^j}(f, C(\mathbb{T}^1)), \tag{2.22}$$

where c is independent of f and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This implies

$$\sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{(1+1/\mu)l} \left\| \left(2^{-l} \sum_{j=2^l}^{2^{l+1}-1} |f(x) - I_j f(x)|^{\mu} \right)^{1/\mu} \right\|_{C(\mathbb{T}^1)} \leq c \|f\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1+1/\mu}(\mathbb{T}^1)} \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$\sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{sl} \left\| \left(2^{-l} \sum_{j=2^l}^{2^{l+1}-1} |f(x) - I_j f(x)|^{\mu} \right)^{1/\mu} \right\|_{C(\mathbb{T}^1)} \leq c \|f\|_{C^s(\mathbb{T}^1)} \tag{2.24}$$

if $1 \leq \mu < \infty$ and $s > 1 + 1/\mu$. Extending (2.24) to I_q -norms one obtains a characterization of $B_{\infty,q}^s(\mathbb{T}^1)$.

Theorem 2: Let $1 \leq \mu < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $s > 1/\min(1, q) + 1/\mu$. Then

$$B_{\infty,q}^s(\mathbb{T}^1) = \left\{ f \in C(\mathbb{T}^1) : \|f\|_{C(\mathbb{T}^1)} \right\}$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{lsq} \left\| \left(2^{-l} \sum_{j=2^l}^{2^{l+1}-1} |f(x) - I_j f(x)|^{\mu} \right)^{1/\mu} \Big| C(\mathbb{T}^1) \right\|^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \Big\}$$

in the sense of equivalent quasi-norms.

Remark 12: Assertions of this type with $I_j f$ replaced by $S_j f$ may be found in H.-J. Schmeißer and W. Sickel [13].

3. Approximation in Besov and Sobolev norms

In several papers the approximation order of $f - I_j f$ is studied in stronger norms than $\|\cdot\|_{L_p}$ (cf. R. Haverkamp [2], J. Prestin [7 - 10], S. Pröbldorf and B. Siibermann [11]). The results derived in the preceding section can be generalized in a convenient way. The first step in doing this is the following characterization of Besov spaces (cf. A. Pietsch [6]).

Proposition 3: Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty, 0 < q_0, q_1 \leq \infty$, and $t, s > 0$.

(i) We have

$$B_{p, q_0}^{s+t} = \left\{ f \in B_{p, q_1}^t : \|f\|_{B_{p, q_1}^t} + \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} [j^{s-1/q_0} E_j(f, B_{p, q_1}^t)]^{q_0} \right)^{1/q_0} < \infty \right\}$$

in the sense of equivalent quasi-norms.

(ii) If $1 < p < \infty$, then $E_j(f, B_{p, q_1}^t)$ can be replaced by $\|f - S_j f\|_{B_{p, q_1}^t}$ in (i).

As a consequence of this proposition and Theorem 1 we obtain the following

Theorem 3: Let $1 < p < \infty, 0 < q_0, q_1 \leq \infty, t \geq 0$ and $s > 0$. Let additionally $s + t > n/p$. Then we have

$$B_{p, q_0}^{s+t} = \left\{ f \in B_{p, q_1}^t : \|f\|_{B_{p, q_1}^t} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [(1+j)^{s-1/q_0} \|f - I_j f\|_{B_{p, q_1}^t}]^{q_0} \right)^{1/q_0} < \infty \right\}$$

in the sense of equivalent quasi-norms.

Proof: By Proposition 3 it is sufficient to prove

$$\|f\|_{B_{p, q_1}^t} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [(1+j)^{s-1/q_0} \|f - I_j f\|_{B_{p, q_1}^t}]^{q_0} \right)^{1/q_0} \leq c \|f\|_{B_{p, q_0}^{s+t}}$$

for some constant c , independent of f . Therefore, we use the splitting from (2.5). Again by applying Proposition 3 it suffices to consider the term $I_j(S_j f - f)$. Let $2^v \leq j < 2^{v+1}$. Then (2.3) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_j(S_j f - f)\|_{B_{p, q_1}^t} &\leq \left(\sum_{l=0}^{v+1} 2^{ltq_1} \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \varphi_l(k) I_j(S_j f - f)(k) e^{ikx} \Big| L_p \right\|^{q_1} \right)^{1/q_1} \\ &\leq c(1+j)^t \|I_j(S_j f - f)\|_{L_p} \leq c(1+j)^t (\|f - S_j f\|_{L_p} + \|f - I_j f\|_{L_p}). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [(1+j)^{s-1/q_0} \|f - I_j f\|_{B_{p, q_1}^t}]^{q_0} \right)^{1/q_0}$$

$$\leq c \left(\|f\|_{B_{p,q_0}^{s+t}} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [(1+j)^{s+t} (\|f - S_j f\|_{L_p} + \|f - I_j f\|_{L_p})] \right)^{q_0} \right)^{1/q_0} \leq c \|f\|_{B_{p,q_0}^{s+t}}$$

since $s + t > n/p$ ensures that Theorem 1 can be applied ■

Remark 13: As a consequence of embeddings for Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on the n -torus one obtains characterizations of $B_{p,q}^s$ via approximation by Lagrange interpolating polynomials in certain norms. For instance, by $B_{p,1}^0 \hookrightarrow L_p \hookrightarrow B_{p,\infty}^0$ one obtains Theorem 1 as an application of Theorem 3. Furthermore, by $B_{p,1}^t \hookrightarrow W_p^t \hookrightarrow B_{p,\infty}^t$ ($t \in \mathbb{N}$) one can replace B_{p,q_1}^t in (3.1) by the Sobolev spaces W_p^t . This improves some results of S. Pröbldorf and B. Silbermann [11] and J. Prestin [7, 10].

REFERENCES

- [1] BUTZER, P.L.: *A survey of the Whittaker-Shannon sampling theorem and some of its extensions*. J. Math. Res. Exp. **3** (1983), 185 - 212.
- [2] HAVERKAMP, R.: *Approximationsgüte der Ableitungen bei trigonometrischer Interpolation*. Math. Z. **179** (1982), 59 - 67.
- [3] LEINDLER, L.: *On summability of Fourier series*. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **29** (1968), 147 - 162.
- [4] LIZORKIN, P.I., and D.G. ORLOVSKIJ.: *Some interpolation formulas for trigonometric and exponential polynomials and estimates connected with them*. (Russian.) Trudy Sem. Sobolev Math. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Sib. Otd. **1** (1976), 60 - 71.
- [5] OSKOLKOV, K.I.: *Inequalities of the "large sieve" type and applications to trigonometric approximation*. Anal. Math. **12** (1986), 143 - 166.
- [6] PIETSCH, A.: *Approximation spaces*. J. Approx. Theory **32** (1981), 115 - 134.
- [7] PRESTIN, J.: *Approximation in periodischen Lipschitz-Räumen*. Thesis. Rostock: Wilhelm-Pieck-Universität 1985.
- [8] PRESTIN, J.: *Trigonometrische Approximation von Funktionen beschränkter Variation*. Math. Nachr. **122** (1985), 29 - 43.
- [9] PRESTIN, J.: *Trigonometric interpolation of functions of bounded variation*. In: Constructive Theory of Functions. Proc. Int. Conf. Varna 1984. Sofia: Publ. House Bulg. Acad. Sci. 1984, 699 - 703.
- [10] PRESTIN, J.: *On the approximation by de la Vallée Poussin means and interpolatory polynomials in Lipschitz norms*. Anal. Math. **13** (1987), 251 - 259.
- [11] PRÖSDORF, S., and B. SILBERMANN.: *Projektionsverfahren und die näherungsweise Lösung singulärer Gleichungen* (Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik: Vol. 12). Leipzig: B.G. Teubner Verlagsges. 1977.
- [12] SCHMEISSER, H.-J., and W. SICKEL: *On strong summability of multiple Fourier series and smoothness properties of functions*. Anal. Math. **8** (1982), 57 - 70.
- [13] SCHMEISSER, H.-J., and W. SICKEL: *On strong summability of multiple Fourier series and approximation of periodic functions*. Math. Nachr. **133** (1987), 211 - 236.
- [14] SCHMEISSER, H.-J., and H. TRIEBEL.: *Topics in Fourier Analysis and Function Spaces*. Leipzig: Akad. Verlagsges. Geest & Portig 1986, and Chichester: Wiley 1986.
- [15] SICKEL, W.: *Periodische Räume: Maximalungleichungen, Fouriersche Multiplikatoren und Beziehungen zur starken Summierbarkeit mehrdimensionaler trigonometrischer Reihen*. Thesis. Jena: Friedrich-Schiller-Universität 1984.
- [16] SICKEL, W.: *Characterization of Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces via approximation by Whittaker's cardinal series*. Preprint. Jena: Friedrich-Schiller-Universität 1990, 1 - 20.
- [17] H. TRIEBEL: *Fourier Analysis and Function Spaces* (Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik: Vol. 7). Leipzig: B.G. Teubner Verlagsges. 1977.
- [18] H. TRIEBEL: *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*. Am-

sterdam-New York-Oxford: North-Holland Publ. Comp. 1978, and Berlin: Dt. Verlag Wiss. 1978.

- [19] ZYGMUND, A.: *Trigonometric Series*, Vol. I and II. Sec. ed. Cambridge: Cambr. Univ. Press 1977.

Received 13.02.1990; in revised form 30.01.1991

Dr. Winfried Sickel
Institut für Mathematik der Friedrich-Schiller-Universität
Universitäts-Hochhaus, 17. OG
D (Ost) - 6900 Jena