

Atomic Positive Linear Maps in Matrix Algebras

By

Kil-Chan HA*

Abstract

We show that all of the known generalizations of the Choi maps are atomic maps.

§1. Introduction

Let M_n be the C^* -algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices over the complex field and $\mathcal{P}_s[M_n]$ (respectively, $\mathcal{P}^s[M_n]$) the convex cone of all s -positive (respectively, s -copositive) linear maps between M_n . One of the basic problems about the structures of the positive cone $\mathcal{P}_1[M_n]$ is if this cone can be decomposed as the algebraic sum of some simpler subcones. It is well known [22, 25] that every positive linear map between M_2 is *decomposable*, that is, it can be written as the sum of a completely positive linear map and a completely copositive linear map. But, this is not the case for higher dimensional matrix algebras.

The first example of an indecomposable positive linear map in M_3 was given by Choi [5]. Choi and Lam [7] also gave an example of an indecomposable extremal positive linear map in M_3 (see also [6]). Another examples of indecomposable extremal positive linear maps are found in [9, 16, 21]. These maps are neither 2-positive nor 2-copositive, and so they become *atomic* maps in the sense in [24], that is, they can not be decomposed into the sums of 2-positive linear maps and 2-copositive linear maps. Several authors [1, 2, 10, 15, 17, 24] considered indecomposable positive linear maps as extensions of the Choi's example. The first two examples [2, 10] are generalizations of the Choi's map [5, 6] in M_3 and the other maps $\tau_{n,k}$ in [1, 15, 17, 24] (see Section 2 for the definition) are extensions of the Choi map [7] in

Communicated by T. Miwa, June 19, 1998.

1991 Math. Subject Classifications: 46L05, 15A30.

partially supported by GARC-KOSEF

* Department of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151–742, KOREA.

higher dimensional matrix algebra M_n . Among them, examples in [10] and the map $\tau_{n,1}$ ($n \geq 3$) [17] are known to be atomic maps. But the atomic properties of the other indecomposable maps are not determined. Even decomposabilities of the maps $\tau_{n,k}$ are remained open except for some special cases [17]. The usual method to show the atomic property of a positive linear map depends on a tedious matrix manipulation.

The purpose of this note is to show that all of the above mentioned examples are atomic maps, using the recent result of Eom and Kye [8]. Generalizing the Woronowicz’s argument [25], they considered the duality between the space $M_n \otimes M_m (= M_{nm})$ of all $nm \times nm$ matrices over the complex field and the space $\mathcal{L}(M_m, M_n)$ of all linear maps from M_m into M_n , which is given by

$$(1.1) \quad \langle A, \phi \rangle = \text{Tr} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^m (\phi(e_{i,j}) \otimes e_{i,j}) A^t \right] = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \langle \phi(e_{i,j}), a_{i,j} \rangle,$$

for $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{i,j} e_{i,j} \in M_n \otimes M_m$ and a linear map $\phi: M_m \rightarrow M_n$, where $\{e_{i,j}\}$ is the matrix units of M_m and the bilinear form in the right-side is given by $\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{Tr}(YX^t)$ for $X, Y \in M_n$ with the usual trace Tr . For the convenience of readers, we briefly explain the results in [8]. For a matrix $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^m x_{i,j} e_{i,j} \in M_n \otimes M_m$, we denote by A^t the *block-transpose* $\sum_{i,j=1}^m x_{j,i} e_{i,j}$ of A . We say that a vector $z = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i \otimes e_i \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ is an *s-simple* if the linear span of $\{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ has the dimension $\leq s$, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is the usual orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^m . Let $V_s[M_n]$ (respectively $V^s[M_n]$) denote the convex cone in $M_n \otimes M_n$ generated by $zz^* \in M_n \otimes M_n$ (respectively $(zz^*)^t \in M_n \otimes M_n$) with all *s-simple* vectors $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$. It turns out that $V_s[M_n]$ (respectively $V^s[M_n]$) is the dual cone of $P_s[M_n]$ (respectively $P^s[M_n]$) with respect to the pairing (1.1). With this machinery, the maximal faces of $P_s[M_n]$ and $P^s[M_n]$ are characterized in terms of *s-simple* vectors (see also [12, 13, 14]). Another consequence is a characterization of the cone $P_s[M_n] + P^t[M_n]$: For a linear map $\phi: M_m \rightarrow M_n$, the map ϕ is the sum of an *s-positive* linear map and a *t-copositive* linear map if and only if $\langle A, \phi \rangle \geq 0$ for each $A \in V_s[M_n] \cap V^t[M_n]$. This result provides us a useful method to examine the atomic property for the generalizations of the Choi maps mentioned before.

Throughout this note, every vector in the space \mathbb{C}^r will be considered as an $r \times 1$ matrix. The usual orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^r and matrix units of M_r will be denoted by $\{e_i: i=1, \dots, r\}$ and $\{e_{i,j}: i, j=1, \dots, r\}$ respectively, regardless of the dimension r .

§2. The Maps $\tau_{n,k}$

Let ε be the canonical projection of M_n to the diagonal part and S be the rotation matrix in M_n which sends e_i to $e_{i+1} \pmod n$ for $i=1, \dots, n$. The map $\tau_{n,k}: M_n \rightarrow M_n$ is defined by

$$\tau_{n,k}(X) = (n-k)\varepsilon(X) + \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon(S^i X S^{*i}) - X, \quad X \in M_n,$$

for $k=1, 2, \dots, n-1$. The map $\tau_{n,0}: M_n \rightarrow M_n$ is also defined by

$$\tau_{n,0}(X) = n\varepsilon(X) - X, \quad X \in M_n.$$

It is easy to see that $\tau_{n,0}$ is completely positive and $\tau_{n,n-1}$ is completely copositive. The positivity of $\tau_{n,k}$ is equivalent [1] to a certain cyclic inequality, which was shown by Yamagami [26]. The map $\tau_{3,1}$ is the Choi and Lam's example mentioned in the introduction. For $n \geq 4$, Osaka showed that $\tau_{n,n-2}$ is not the sum of a 3-positive linear map and a 3-copositive linear map [15], and $\tau_{n,1}$ is atomic [17]. In this section, we show that the map $\tau_{n,k}$ is an atomic map for each $n \geq 3$ and $k=1, 2, \dots, n-2$.

For each fixed natural number $n=1, 2, \dots$, let $\{\omega_i: 1 \leq i \leq 3^n\}$ be the 3^n -th roots of unity. Then we have

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{3^n} \omega_i^k = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 3^n - 1.$$

For each $k=1, 2, \dots, n$, we define $m_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ by $m_k = \frac{3}{2}(3^{k-1} - 1)$. Then it is easy to see the following:

$$(2.2) \quad m_k - m_l = m_i - m_j \text{ if and only if } (k, i) = (l, j) \text{ or } (k, l) = (i, j).$$

For any $\gamma > 0$, we define $a_{ik}, c_r \in \mathbb{C}^n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i1} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_i^{m_j} e_j, & 1 \leq i \leq 3^n, \\ a_{ik} &= \omega_i^{-m_k} a_{i1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 3^n, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n, \\ c_1 &= e_1 + \gamma e_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{n-1} e_k + \frac{1}{\gamma} e_n, \\ c_r &= S^{r-1} c_1, & 2 \leq r \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

For each $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 3^n$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we define $b_{rij} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ by

$$b_{rij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij}, & j \neq r \\ c_j \circ a_{ij}, & j = r, \end{cases}$$

where \circ is the Schur product of $n \times 1$ matrices c_j and a_{ij} . We also define $z_{ri} \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ and $A_r \in M_n \otimes M_n$ by

$$z_{ri} = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{rij} \otimes e_j, \quad 1 \leq r \leq n, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3^n,$$

$$A_r = \frac{1}{3^n} \sum_{i=1}^{3^n} z_{ri} z_{ri}^*, \quad 1 \leq r \leq n.$$

Then we see that each z_{ri} is a 2-simple vector and so $A_r \in V_2[M_n]$. If we write A_r by $A_r = \sum_{p,q=1}^n (A_r)_{p,q} \otimes e_{p,q} \in M_n \otimes M_n$, then it is easy to see that

$$(2.3) \quad (A_r)_{p,q} = \begin{cases} e_{p,q}, & p \neq q, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n e_{i,i}, & p = q, p \neq r, \\ S^{r-1} (e_{1,1} + \gamma^2 e_{2,2} + \sum_{i=3}^{n-1} e_{i,i} + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} e_{n,n}) S^{*r-1}, & p = q = r, \end{cases}$$

by (2.1) and (2.2).

Now we define $A \in V_2[M_n]$ by $A = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^n A_r = \sum_{p,q=1}^n A_{p,q} \otimes e_{p,q} \in M_n \otimes M_n$.

Then, by (2.3), we have

$$A_{p,q} = \begin{cases} e_{p,q}, & p \neq q, \\ e_{1,1} + \frac{1}{n} (\gamma^2 + (n-1)) e_{2,2} + \sum_{i=3}^{n-1} e_{i,i} + \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2} + (n-1) \right) e_{n,n}, & p = q = 1, \\ S^{p-1} A_{1,1} S^{*p-1}, & p = q, p \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

In order to show that $A \in V^2[M_n]$, define u_i, v_i, α_i and $\beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ by

$$u_i = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{n}} e_{i+1} \otimes e_i + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\gamma}} e_i \otimes e_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$v_i = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} e_{i+1} \otimes e_i + \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} e_i \otimes e_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_i &= e_i \otimes e_i & 1 \leq i \leq n, \\
 \beta_{1j} &= e_j \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes e_j, & 3 \leq j \leq n-1, \\
 \beta_{ij} &= e_j \otimes e_i + e_i \otimes e_j, & 2 \leq i \leq (n-2), (i+2) \leq j \leq n,
 \end{aligned}$$

where suffixes are understood in mod n . A direct calculation shows

$$A^T = \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i u_i^* + v_i v_i^* + \alpha_i \alpha_i^*) + \sum_{j=3}^{n-1} \beta_{1j} \beta_{1j}^* + \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} \sum_{j=i+2}^n \beta_{ij} \beta_{ij}^*,$$

and so, we have $A \in V^2[M_n]$. Furthermore, we also have $\langle A, \tau_{n,k} \rangle = \gamma^2 - 1$, for each $n = 3, 4, \dots$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$, and so we see that $\langle A, \tau_{n,k} \rangle < 0$ for $0 < \gamma < 1$. By the result in [8] mentioned in the introduction, we conclude the following:

Theorem 2.1. *For $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, the map $\tau_{n,k}: M_n \rightarrow M_n$ is an atomic positive linear map.*

§3. The Generalized Choi Map

The other generalization of the Choi map is given in [2]. For nonnegative real numbers a, b , and c , the map $\Phi[a, b, c]: M_3 \rightarrow M_3$ is defined by

$$\Phi[a, b, c](X) = \begin{pmatrix} ax_{1,1} + bx_{2,2} + cx_{3,3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ax_{2,2} + bx_{3,3} + cx_{1,1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ax_{3,3} + bx_{1,1} + cx_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} - X$$

for each $X = (x_{i,j}) \in M_3$. The map $\Phi[2, 0, \mu]$ with $\mu \geq 1$ is the example of an indecomposable positive linear map given by Choi [6], and $\Phi[2, 0, 2]$ and $\Phi[2, 0, 1]$ are the examples given in [5] and [7] respectively. Choi and Lam [7] showed that $\Phi[2, 0, 1]$ is an extremal positive linear map using the theory of biquadratic forms. Later, Tanahashi and Tomiyama [24] showed the atomic property of the map $\Phi[2, 0, 1]$ which is same as the map $\tau_{3,1}$. It was shown [2] that the map $\Phi[a, b, c]$ is an indecomposable positive linear map if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(i)} \quad 1 \leq a < 3, \\
 & \text{(ii)} \quad a + b + c \geq 3, \\
 (3.1) \quad & \text{(iii)} \quad \begin{cases} (2-a)^2 \leq bc < \left(\frac{3-a}{2}\right)^2 & \text{if } 1 \leq a \leq 2 \\ 0 \leq bc < \left(\frac{3-a}{2}\right)^2 & \text{if } 2 \leq a < 3. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

In this section we show that these conditions imply that $\Phi[a, b, c]$ is an atom.

Let $\{\omega_i : i=1, 2, 3\}$ be the cube roots of unity and s be any positive real number. Define $a_{ik} \in \mathbb{C}^3$, $z_i, u_i \in \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ and $B \in \mathcal{V}_2[M_3]$ by

$$a_{i1} = (\omega_i, 0, 0)^t, \quad a_{i2} = \left(0, \omega_i, \frac{\omega_i^2}{s}\right)^t, \quad a_{i3} = \left(\frac{s}{\omega_i}\right) a_{i2}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$z_i = \sum_{k=1}^3 a_{ik} \otimes e_k, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$u_1 = e_2 \otimes e_1, \quad u_2 = e_1 \otimes e_3, \quad u_3 = e_3 \otimes e_1, \quad u_4 = e_1 \otimes e_2,$$

$$B = \frac{1}{3} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 z_i z_i^* \right) + \frac{1}{s^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 u_i u_i^* \right) + s^2 \left(\sum_{i=3}^4 u_i u_i^* \right).$$

It is clear that $B \in \mathcal{V}_2[M_3]$. To show that $B \in \mathcal{V}^2[M_3]$, we define z_i and $u_i \in \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ by

$$z_i = \frac{1}{s} (e_{i+1} \otimes e_i) + s (e_i \otimes e_{i+1}), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$u_i = e_i \otimes e_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where suffixes are understood in mod 3. A direct calculation show that

$$B^T = \sum_{i=1}^3 (z_i z_i^* + u_i u_i^*) \in \mathcal{V}_2[M_3].$$

It is also easy to calculate

$$(3.2) \quad \langle B, \Phi[a, b, c] \rangle = 3((a-3) + \frac{c}{s^2} + s^2 b).$$

We proceed to show the conditions in (3.1) imply that the pairing in (3.2) is negative. We first consider the case $bc=0$. If $b=0$ then the pairing (3.2) becomes negative for $s > \sqrt{\frac{c}{3-a}}$. If $c=0$ then (3.2) is negative for $0 < s < \sqrt{\frac{3-a}{b}}$. When $bc \neq 0$, we take $s = \left(\frac{c}{b}\right)^{1/4}$, then the pairing (3.2) is reduced to

$$\langle B, \Phi[a, b, c] \rangle = 3((a-3) + 2\sqrt{bc}),$$

which is also negative since $\sqrt{bc} < \frac{3-a}{2}$ in (3.1). Therefore we have Theorem 3.1

Theorem 3.1. *The map $\Phi[a, b, c]$ is an indecomposable positive linear map if and only if it is an atomic positive linear map.*

For the Choi’s map $\Phi[2, 0, \mu]$, the condition (3.1) is reduced to the condition $\mu \geq 1$. Therefore, we see that the Choi’s map $\Phi[2, 0, \mu]$ is atomic whenever $\mu \geq 1$.

§4. The Robertson’s Map

An example of an indecomposable positive linear map on M_4 was given by Robertson [18] by considering an extension of an automorphism on a certain spin factors. To describe this map, let $\sigma: M_2 \rightarrow M_2$ be the symplectic involution defined by

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta & -\beta \\ -\gamma & \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Robertson’s map $\Psi: M_4 \rightarrow M_4$ is defined by

$$\Psi \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{tr}(W)I_2 & \frac{1}{2}(Y + \sigma(Z)) \\ \frac{1}{2}(Z + \sigma(Y)) & \text{tr}(X)I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in M_2$, where tr is the normalized trace on M_2 . The indecomposability of this map was shown in [20] by using the Størmer’s characterization [23] of decomposability.

It turns out that this map is an extremal positive linear map which is neither 2-positive nor 2-copositive [19,21]. So this map is an atomic map. We

provide a simple proof.

Define $z_i \in C^4 \otimes C^4$ and $D \in \mathcal{V}_2[M_4]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= e_1 \otimes e_1, & z_2 &= e_1 \otimes e_3, & z_3 &= e_2 \otimes e_1, & z_4 &= e_2 \otimes e_4, \\ z_5 &= e_3 \otimes e_1, & z_6 &= e_3 \otimes e_3, & z_7 &= e_3 \otimes e_4, \\ D &= (z_1 - z_6)(z_1 - z_6)^* + (z_5 + z_4)(z_5 + z_4)^* + z_2 z_2^* + z_3 z_3^* + z_7 z_7^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then we see that

$$D^T = (z_5 - z_2)(z_5 - z_2)^* + (z_3 + z_7)(z_3 + z_7)^* + z_1 z_1^* + z_6 z_6^* + z_4 z_4^* \in \mathcal{V}_2[M_4],$$

and so $D \in \mathcal{V}_2[M_4] \cap \mathcal{V}^2[M_4]$. Furthermore, we can show that the pairing $\langle D, \Psi \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}$ by an easy calculation. Consequently, we conclude that Ψ is an atomic map.

References

- [1] Ando, T., Positivity of certain maps, *Seminar Notes*, 1985.
- [2] Cho, S. J., Kye, S.-H. and Lee, S. G., Generalized Choi maps in 3-dimensional matrix algebras, *Linear Alg. Appl.*, **171** (1992), 213–224.
- [3] Choi, M.-D., Positive linear maps on C^* -algebras, *Canad. Math. J.*, **24** (1972), 520–529.
- [4] ———, Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices, *Linear Alg. Appl.*, **10** (1975), 285–290.
- [5] ———, Positive semidefinite biquadratic forms, *Linear Alg. Appl.*, **12** (1975), 95–100.
- [6] ———, Some assorted inequalities for positive linear maps on C^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory*, **4** (1980), 271–285.
- [7] Choi, M.-D. and Lam, T. Y., Extremal positive semidefinite forms, *Math. Ann.*, **231** (1977), 1–18.
- [8] Eom, M. H. and Kye, S.-H., Duality for positive linear maps in matrix algebras, *Math. Scand.*, to appear.
- [9] Kim, H.-J. and Kye, S.-H., Indecomposable extreme positive linear maps in matrix algebras, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **26** (1994), 575–581.
- [10] Kye, S.-H., *Elementary Operators and Applications*, World-Scientific, 1992.
- [11] ———, Positive linear maps between Matrix algebras which fix diagonals, *Linear Alg. Appl.*, **216** (1995), 239–256.
- [12] ———, Facial structures for positive linear maps between matrix algebra, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **39** (1996), 74–82.
- [13] ———, Boundaries of the cone of positive linear maps and its subcones in matrix algebras, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **33** (1996), 669–677.
- [14] ———, On the convex set of all completely positive linear maps in matrix algebras, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **122** (1997), 45–54.
- [15] Osaka, H., Indecomposable positive maps in low dimensional matrix algebras, *Linear Alg. Appl.*, **153** (1991), 73–83.
- [16] ———, A class of extremal positive maps in 3×3 matrix algebras, *Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Univ.*, **28** (1992), 747–756.

- [17] Osaka, H., A series of absolutely positive maps in matrix algebras, *Linear Alg. Appl.*, **186** (1993), 45–53.
- [18] Robertson, A. G., Automorphisms of spin factors and the decomposition of positive maps, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2), **34** (1983), 87–96.
- [19] ———, Positive extensions of automorphisms of spin factors, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **94** (1983), 71–77.
- [20] ———, Schwarz inequalities and the decomposition of positive maps on C^* -algebras, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **94** (1983).
- [21] ———, Positive projections on C^* -algebra and an extremal positive map, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **32** (1985), 133–140.
- [22] Størmer, E., Positive linear maps of operator algebras, *Acta Math.*, **110** (1963), 233–278.
- [23] ———, Decomposable positive maps on C^* -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **86** (1982), 402–404.
- [24] Tanahashi, K. and Tomiyama, J., Indecomposable positive maps in matrix algebras, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **31** (1988), 308–317.
- [25] Woronowicz, S. L., Positive maps of low dimensional matrix algebras, *Rep. Math. Phys.*, **10** (1976), 165–183.
- [26] Yamagami, S., Cyclic inequalities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **118** (1993), 521–527.

