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## § 1. Introduction and Results

In this paper we consider the weak solution $u$ of the Dirichlet boundary value problem for a certain quasilinear elliptic equation, whose coefficients degenerate on the boundary. Our aim is to study the regularity behavior of $u$ near the boundary.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ with boundary $\partial \Omega$. We suppose that for a function $\phi \in C^{3}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$

$$
\Omega=\left\{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n} ; \phi(x)>0\right\}, \quad \partial \Omega=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ; \phi(x)=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
d \phi(x) \neq 0 \quad \text { for } x \in \partial \Omega,
$$

where $d \phi$ is the differential of $\phi$.
It is assumed that the usual function spaces $C^{k}(\bar{\Omega}), C_{0}^{k}(\Omega), L^{q}(\Omega)$ are known. For real numbers $\mu$ and $q$ with $1 \leqq q<\infty$ we define

$$
L_{\mu}^{q}(\Omega)=\left\{u ; \phi^{\mu / q} u \in L^{q}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

and we write

$$
\|u\|_{q}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}, \quad\|u\|_{L_{\mu}^{q}}=\left\|\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\mu / q} u\right\|_{q} .
$$

If $q>1$, the space $L_{\mu}^{q}(\Omega)$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space. It is seen that the dual space of $L_{\mu}^{q}(\Omega)$ is $L_{-\mu /(q-1)}^{q^{*}}(\Omega)$, where $q^{*}=q /(q-1)$. Denoting by $\nabla u$ the gradient of $u$, we define

$$
W_{\mu}^{1, q}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L_{\mu}^{q}(\Omega) ;\left\|\phi^{\mu / q} \nabla u\right\|_{q}<\infty\right\}
$$

and we write

$$
\|u\|_{W_{\mu}^{1, q}}=\|u\|_{L_{\mu}^{q}}+\|\nabla u\|_{L_{\mu}^{q}} .
$$

[^0]Then $W_{\mu}^{1, q}(\Omega)$ is also a separable and reflexive Banach space, which was studied by P. Grisvard [6]. We denote by $\mathscr{W}_{\mu}^{1, q}(\Omega)$ and $\widetilde{W}_{\mu}^{1, q}(\Omega)$ the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$, respectively with respect to the norm $\left\|\|_{W_{\mu}^{1, q}}\right.$.

Throughout this paper let us suppose that $p>2$ and $0 \leqq \alpha<p-2$. And we consider the following boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\nabla \cdot\left(\phi|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+|u|^{\alpha} u=f \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $f$ is in the dual space of ${ }^{\circ}{ }_{1}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, we can find a unique weak solution $u$ of (1.1) belonging to $\dot{W}_{1}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ (see Lemma 2.3 and part (b) of Lemma 2.2).

Let $\theta$ be a vector field of class $C^{1}$ tangent to $\partial \Omega$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \in\left[C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})\right]^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta \cdot \boldsymbol{n}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the outer normal of $\partial \Omega$ with respect to $\Omega$. We write $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{n}\right)$ and $\theta \cdot \nabla=\sum_{l=1}^{n} \theta_{\imath} \partial_{x_{i}}$, which is a tangential differential operator of first order.

Our aim is to prove the following theorems.
Theorem 1. Let $f \in \widetilde{W}_{-1 /(p-1)}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. If $u \in \dot{W}_{1}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (1.1). Then it holds that

$$
\phi^{1 / 2}(\theta \cdot \nabla)|\nabla u|^{p / 2} \in L^{2}(\Omega)
$$

and

$$
\left.\left\|\phi^{1 / 2}(\theta \cdot \nabla)|\nabla u|^{p / 2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqq C_{[ }^{-}\|f\|_{W_{1}^{1}, p *(p-1)}+\left(\|f\|_{p *}\right)^{(1+\alpha) /(p-1)}\right]^{p *},
$$

where $C$ is independent of $f$.
Theorem 2. Let $0<\beta<1$. Under the assumptions in Theorem 1 it holds that

$$
\phi^{-\beta / p}(\theta \cdot \nabla) u \in L^{p}(\Omega)
$$

and

$$
\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\phi}^{-\beta / p}(\theta \cdot \nabla) u\right\|_{p}\right)^{p} \leqq C(\beta)\left[\|f\|_{w_{-1}^{1, p}(p-1)}+\left(\|f\|_{p^{*}}\right)^{(1+\alpha) /(p-1)}\right]^{p^{*}},
$$

where $C(\beta)$ is a constant depending on $\beta$ and not on $f$.
Theorem 3. Let $\gamma>1 /(p-1)$. Under the assumptions in Theorem 1 it holds that

$$
\phi^{\gamma / p} \nabla u \in L^{p}(\Omega)
$$

and

$$
\left(\left\|\phi^{\gamma / p} \nabla u\right\|_{p}\right)^{p} \leqq C(\gamma)\left[\|f\|_{W_{-1}^{1, p} /(p-1)}^{*}+\left(\|f\|_{p * *}{ }^{(1+\alpha) /(p-1)}\right]^{p *},\right.
$$

where $C(\gamma)$ is a constant depending on $\gamma$ and not on $f$.
The interior regularity for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\nabla \cdot\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=f \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

was studied by several authors. For example, L.C. Evans [3] proved that weak solutions of (1.3) are of class $C^{1+\delta}(0<\delta<1)$ if $f$ is smooth. For more general equations $C^{1+\delta}$-regularity was shown by P. Tolksdorf [11], where detailed references are given.

Secondly we consider the Dirichlet boundary value problem for (1.3) under Dirichlet data 0. More explicitely,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\nabla \cdot\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=f \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.4}\\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\Omega$ and $\phi$ be the domain and the function, respectively, in the beginning of this Section. It is well-known that the existence of a weak solution $u$ for (1.4) is shown by the " monotone" method (cf., e. g., [8]). The global regularity of $u$ gives rise to a question. By the result of I. M. Vishik [12] it is known that

$$
\phi \partial_{x_{\imath}}\left(|\nabla u|^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{x_{j}} u\right) \in L^{2}(\Omega), \quad i, j=1, \cdots, n,
$$

if $f$ and $\nabla f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. His method is the use of Galerkin procedure. G. N. Jakolev extended the above result to more general equations in a series of his papers (cf., [7]), where the method of difference quotients is used. J. Simon [10] also proved the global regularity of $u$ by estimating the fractional derivatives of $u$ in Besov spaces. If we proceed along the line of [10], it is unnecessary to prepare a coordinates transformation for the estimation of normal derivatives of $u$. However we require an adequate coordinates transformation in this paper (see Section 3).

Now we shift our attention to the degenerate linear elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\nabla \cdot(\phi \nabla u)=f, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was studied by M. S. Baouendi and C. Goulaouic [1]. They showed the global regularity for the weak solution $u \in W_{1}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ of (1.5). In particular $\nabla u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ results from [1]. Recently C. Goulaouic and N. Shimakura [5] have proved that (1.5) gives an isomorphism from $C^{2+\delta}(\bar{\Omega})$ onto $C^{\delta}(\bar{\Omega})$, for any $\delta$ with $0<\delta<1$. In connection with (1.5), J.P. Dias [2] treated the variational inequality for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\nabla \cdot\left(\phi^{\mu}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=f, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it is assumed that $0 \leqq \mu<\min (p-1, p / n)$. He proved the global boundedness for weak solutions under some assumptions.

From the viewpoint of mathematical physics, the simplest unsteady twodimensional equation related to (1.6) appears in J.R. Philip's work [9, p. 2], where transfer processes were treated. Thus it seems to us that the Dirichlet problem (1.1) is meaningful to study. Finally we give an example showing that the conclusion of Theorem 3 is sharp for $p>1+\sqrt{2}$.

Example. Let $n=1$ and $\Omega$ be the open interval $(0,1)$. Thus $\phi \in C^{3}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{1}\right)$ is a function such that $\phi(t)>0$ for $0<t<1, \phi(0)=\phi(1)=0$ and $\phi^{\prime}(0), \phi^{\prime}(1) \neq 0$.

There is a positive constant $c$ such that $c^{-1} t \leqq \phi \leqq c t$, if $0 \leqq t \leqq 1 / 2$. Since $t^{-1} \phi(t)=\int_{0}^{1} \phi^{\prime}(t s) d s$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{-1} \phi\right)^{\prime} \in C^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{1}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take a function $\zeta \in C^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{1}\right)$ in such a way that

$$
\zeta(t)= \begin{cases}1 & (-\infty<t<1 / 2) \\ 0 & (t>1)\end{cases}
$$

Let us set $u(t)=\zeta(t) t^{(p-2) /(p-1)}$. It is easily seen that $u \in W_{1}^{1, p}((0,1))$. From the condition with $u(0)=u(1)=0$ we conclude that $u \in W_{1}^{1, p}((0,1))$, in virtue of $[6$, p. 262] (see Lemma 2.2 in this paper).

From (1.7), $-\left(\phi\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \in C^{1}$ in a neighborhood of $t=0$. Let $t_{0}\left(0<t_{0}<1\right)$ be a zero point of $u^{\prime}=0$ with its order $N$. Then near $t=t_{0}$

$$
!\left(\phi\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}|\leqq C| t-\left.t_{0}\right|^{N(p-1)-2} \leqq C\left|t-t_{0}\right|^{p-3}
$$

Since $p^{*}(p-3)>-1$ for $p>1+\sqrt{2}$, it follows that $-\left(\phi\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \in W^{1, p *}$ in a neighborhood of $t=t_{0}$. Hence $-\left(\phi\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \in W_{-1}^{1, p^{*}(p-1)}((0,1))$, moreover we can easily verify that $-\left(\phi\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \in \widetilde{W}_{-1}^{1, p^{*}(p-1)}((0,1))$. If $\gamma>1 /(p-1), \phi^{\gamma / p} u^{\prime} \in$ $L^{p}((0,1))$. And we see that $\phi^{r / p} u^{\prime} \oplus L^{p}((0,1))$ if $\gamma<1 /(p-1)$.

## § 2. Preliminaries

We use the notations in Section 1. Throughout this paper the notation "っ" means the weak convergence.

Lemma 2.1 (J.L. Lions [8, p. 12]). Let $u \in L^{q}(\Omega)(1<q<\infty)$ and suppose that $\left\{\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{q}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded and $u_{j} \rightarrow u$ pointwise a.e. in $\Omega$. Then $u_{\rho} \rightharpoondown u$ in $L^{q}(\Omega)$.

If $u$ is a function in $\Omega$ and the trace of $u$ on $\partial \Omega$ exists, it is written by $\gamma u$. We denote by $\left\rangle_{q}\right.$ the norm in $L^{q}(\partial \Omega)$.

Lemma 2.2 (P. Grisvard [6]). Let $0 \leqq \mu<q-1$. Then the following css 3 . hold:
(a) If $u \in W_{\mu}^{1, q}(\Omega)$, then $\gamma u \in L^{q}(\partial \Omega)$ and

$$
\langle\gamma u\rangle_{q} \leqq C\|u\|_{W_{\mu}^{1, q}}
$$

(b) The space $W_{\mu}^{1, q}(\Omega)$ consists of all $u \in W_{\mu}^{1, q}(\Omega)$ with $\gamma u=0$.
(c) If $u \in \dot{W}_{\mu}^{1, q}(\Omega)$, then $u \in L_{\mu-q}^{q}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\|u\|_{L_{\mu-q}^{q}} \leqq C\|\nabla u\|_{L_{\mu}^{q}}
$$

The above constant $C$ are all independent of $u$.
From now on we assume that $0 \leqq \alpha<p-2$ and $0 \leqq \mu<p-1$. The norm and inner product in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ are simply denoted by $\|\|$ and (,), respectively. We set $V={ }^{1}{ }_{\mu}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Thus $\left\|\|_{V}\right.$ is the norm in $\mathscr{W}_{\mu}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

For $u \in V$ we define $A(u)$ as follows:

$$
\left.\langle A(u), v\rangle=\left.\left\langle\phi^{\mu}\right| \nabla u\right|^{p-2} \nabla u, \nabla v\right)+\left(\mid u i^{a} u, v\right), \quad v \in V .
$$

Then by Hölder's inequality

$$
|\langle A(u), v\rangle| \leqq\left(\|u\|_{V}\right)^{p-1}\|v\|_{V}+\left\||u|^{1+\alpha}\right\|_{p *}\|v\|_{p} .
$$

Since $1+\alpha<p-1$, we have from part (c) of Lemma 2.2

$$
\left\||u|^{1+a}\right\|_{p^{\star}}\|v\|_{p} \leqq C\left(\|u\|_{V}\right)^{1+a}\|v\|_{V} .
$$

Hence $A$ is a mapping from $V$ into its dual space $V^{\prime}$. And denoting by $\left\|\|_{V^{\prime}}\right.$ the norm in $V^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A(u)\|_{V^{\prime}} \leqq C\left[\left(\|u\|_{V}\right)^{p-1}+\left(\|u\|_{V}\right)^{1+\alpha}\right], \quad u \in V, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $u$.
For any given $f \in V^{\prime}$ we consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(u)=f, \quad u \in V \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using part (b) of Lemma 2, we see that (2.2) is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\nabla \cdot\left(\phi^{\mu}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+|u|^{\alpha} u=\rho \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{2.2}\\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now we have
Lemma 2.3. The equation (2.2) has a unique solution $u \in V$ and it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{V} \leqq C\left(\|f\|_{V^{\prime}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $u_{0}$
Proof. In order to prove the existence of solutions of (2.2), it is enough to show the following properties for $A$ (cf., e. g., [8]):
(it) $A$ is bounded; (ii) $A$ is hemicontinuous; (iii) $A$ is monotone; (iv) $A$ is coercive。

First property (i) is (2.1) itself. We prove property (ii). For $u, v, w \in V$ and $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle A(u+\lambda v), w\rangle= & \left(\phi^{\mu}|\nabla(u+\lambda v)|^{p-2} \nabla(u+\lambda v), \nabla w\right) \\
& +\left(|u+\lambda v|^{\alpha}(u+\lambda v), w\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

And for $\lambda$ with $|\lambda| \leqq \lambda_{0}$ there is a constant $C$ independent of $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi^{\mu}|\nabla(u+\lambda v)|^{p-1}|\nabla w| \leqq C \phi^{\mu}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-1}+|\nabla v|^{p-1}\right)|\nabla w|, \\
& |u+\lambda v|^{1+\alpha}|w| \leqq C\left(|u|^{1+\alpha}+|v|^{1+\alpha}\right)|w| .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way as deriving (2.1), we see that each term on the right-hand side of these inequalities is integrable in $\Omega$. Thus $(A(u+\lambda v), w)$ is continuous with the variable $\lambda$ by Lebesgue's theorem, which implies property (ii).

Next we easily see that there is a positive constant $c_{1}$ such that for $u, v \in V$

$$
\langle A(u)-A(v), u-v\rangle \geqq c_{1}\left(\left\|\phi^{\mu / p} \nabla(u-v)\right\|_{p}\right)^{p} .
$$

Thus from part (c) of Lemma 2.2 it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A(u)-A(v), u-v\rangle \geqq c_{2}\left(\|u-v\|_{V}\right)^{p} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for another positive constant $c_{2}$. Hence property (iii) is correct. Setting $v=0$ particularly in (2.4), we have

$$
c_{2}\left(\|u\|_{V}\right)^{p-1} \leqq\langle A(u), u\rangle /\|u\|_{V}
$$

from which $\langle A(u), u\rangle /\|u\|_{V} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\|_{V} \rightarrow \infty$ and (iv) is established.
The uniqueness of solutions of (2.2) also follows from (2.4). The inequality (2.3) is clear from (2.4).
Q.E.D.

For $\varepsilon>0$ we consider the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\nabla \cdot\left((\varepsilon+\phi)\left(\varepsilon+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla u\right)+|u|^{a} u=g \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{2.5}\\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This is an elliptic regularization of (1.1). From our assumptions $\partial \Omega$ is of class $C^{2+\delta}$ for any $\delta$ with $0<\delta<1$. The following lemma is due to D. Gilberg and N. S. Trudinger [4, Chap. 14].

Lemma 2.4. ([4]). If $g \in C^{\delta}(\bar{\Omega})$ for $\delta$ with $0<\delta<1$, then there is a solution $u \in C^{2+\delta}(\bar{\Omega})$ of (2.5).

Let $f \in \widetilde{W}^{1,-1 /(p, 1)}(\Omega)$ and let us take an approximating sequence $\left\{f_{j}\right\} \subset C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $f_{j} \rightarrow f$ in $W_{\substack{1, p \times(p-1)}}^{\left.1, \Omega_{\Omega}^{*}\right)}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Further let $\left\{\varepsilon_{j}\right\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers tending to zero. By Lemma 2.4 there is a solution $u_{l_{j}} \in C^{2+\delta}(\bar{\Omega})$ for each $j$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\nabla \cdot\left(\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\phi\right)\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla u_{\jmath}\right)+\left|u_{\jmath}\right|^{a} u_{\jmath}=f_{\jmath} \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{2.6}\\
u_{\jmath}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\delta$ is any number with $0<\delta<1$. Integrating by parts, we have from (2.6)

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\left|\nabla u_{\rho}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{2} d x \leqq\left(f_{\jmath}, u_{\jmath}\right) .
$$

By Hölder's inequality and part (c) of Lemma 2.2 it follows that

$$
\left|\left(f_{j}, u_{j}\right)\right| \leqq C\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p^{*}}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{W_{1}^{1}, p}
$$

We denote by the same $C$ all constants independent of $j$. Combining the above inequalities we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{W_{1}^{1, p}} \leqq C\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p *)^{1 /(p-1)}} .\right. \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore it follows that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{2} d x \leqq C\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p *)^{7^{*}}}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\phi\right)\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d x \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{\left.p^{*}\right)^{p^{*}}}\right] .\right. \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## §3. Coordinates Transformation

As stated in the first section we are obliged to take an adequate coordinates transformation, in order to estimate the normal derivative of weak solutions of (1.1). Thus we prepare such a coordinates transformation.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathscr{D}$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $\boldsymbol{v}$ be a real-valued vector function belonging to $\left[C^{m}(\mathscr{D})\right]^{n}$. Then there is a set of functions $\left\{u_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ such that $u_{j} \in C^{m}(\mathscr{D}),\left|\nabla u_{j}\right| \neq 0, \nabla u_{j} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}=0$ and $\nabla u_{\imath} \cdot \nabla u_{j}=0$ in $\mathscr{D}$ if $i \neq j$.

Proof. If $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(0, \cdots, 0, v_{n}\right)$ particularly, it is enough to take $u_{\rho}=x_{\jmath}$.
For the general case it is easily seen that there is an orthogonal matrix ( $a_{i_{\jmath}}$ ) of order $n$ such that $a_{i \jmath} \in C^{m}(\mathscr{D})$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\jmath} a_{\rho k} v_{j}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathscr{D}, \quad k=1, \cdots, n-1, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{n}\right)$. We define $\boldsymbol{e}_{2}=(0, \cdots, 0, \stackrel{\imath}{1}, 0, \cdots, 0)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{e}_{\imath}^{\prime}=\sum_{\jmath} a_{\jmath \imath} \boldsymbol{e}_{\jmath}, \quad i=1, \cdots, n . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\boldsymbol{e}_{\imath}=\sum_{\jmath} a_{\imath \jmath} \boldsymbol{e}_{j}^{\prime} .
$$

Denoting by $\partial_{\imath}^{\prime}$ the differentiation in the direction $\boldsymbol{e}_{\imath}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\partial_{i}^{\prime} f\right)(x) & =\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h}\left[f\left(x+h \boldsymbol{e}_{i}^{\prime}\right)-f(x)\right] \\
& =\sum_{j} a_{j i}\left(\partial_{x_{j}} f\right)(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it holds that

$$
\sum_{i} \partial_{x_{i}} f \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{i}=\sum_{i} \partial_{i}^{\prime} f \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{i}^{\prime},
$$

from which $\nabla f \cdot \nabla g$ is invariant for any two functions $f, g$ under the coordinates transformation (3.2). On the other hand we can write $\boldsymbol{v}=\sum_{i} v_{i} a_{i n} \boldsymbol{e}_{n}^{\prime}$. Therefore the assertion is reduced to the first simple case. This completes the proof.
Q.E.D.

Let $\phi$ and $\Omega$ be the function and the domain in the first Section, respectively. Let $P$ be any fixed point in $\partial \Omega$ and let $U$ be a sufficiently small neighborhood of $P$, which will be determined later. From our assumption $\nabla \phi \neq 0$ in $U$. We take the set $\left\{u_{\jmath}\right\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ in Lemma 3.1, by setting $\mathscr{D}=U, \boldsymbol{v}=\nabla \phi$ and $m=2$.

We define the following mapping from $U$ into $R^{n}$

$$
\Phi:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{1}=u_{1}(x),  \tag{3.3}\\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
y_{n-1}=u_{n-1}(x), \\
y_{n}=\phi(x) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\Phi$ is a one-to-one mapping. Further $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{-1}$ are of class $C^{2}$. The coordinates system $\left(y_{1}(x), \cdots, y_{n}(x)\right)$ defines that of orthogonal curvilinear coordinates. We set $g_{i j}=\sum_{k} \partial_{y_{i}} x_{k} \cdot \partial_{y_{j}} x_{k}$ for the original coordinate system $\left(x_{1}(y), \cdots, x_{n}(y)\right)$. Then it is easily seen that $g_{i j}=0(i \neq j)$ and $g_{i i}>c$ for some positive constant $c$. And we have

$$
\nabla_{x} f \cdot \nabla_{x} h=\sum_{j}\left(g_{j J}\right)^{-1} \partial_{y_{j}} f \cdot \partial_{y_{j}} h
$$

for any functions $f$ and $h$. In addition, the Jacobian of $\Phi^{-1}$ is written as

$$
\left|\frac{\partial\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)}{\partial\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)}\right|=\sqrt{g_{11} \cdots g_{n n}}
$$

We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{(i)}=\left(\partial_{y_{i}} x_{1}, \cdots, \partial_{y_{i}} x_{n}\right), \quad i=1, \cdots, n-1 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\theta^{(i)} \in\left[C^{1}(U)\right]^{n} . \quad \theta^{(i)} \neq O$ and $\theta^{(i)} \cdot \nabla_{x} \phi=0$ in $U$. Hence $\left\{\theta^{(i)}\right\}_{\imath=1}^{n-1}$ is a vector field tangent to $\partial \Omega$ and it is an orthogonal system.

Let $v$ be in $C_{0}^{1}(U)$ and $v=0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Integrating by parts, we have from (2.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla u_{j}, \nabla v\right)+\left(\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha} u_{j}, v\right)=\left(f_{j}, v\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewriting this with $\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$-variables, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} d\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}\right. & \left.+\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{j} \cdot \partial_{y_{k}} v\right) d y \\
& +\int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} d\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha} u_{j} v d y=\int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} d f_{\jmath} v d y
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d=\sqrt{g_{11} \cdots g_{n n}}$ and $a_{k}=\left(g_{k k}\right)^{-1}$. We note that $d, a_{k} \in C^{1}(\Phi(U))$ and $d$, $a_{k}>0$ in $\Phi(U)$. From now on we denote by $(,)_{y}$ the inner product of $L^{2}\left(\left\{y_{n} \geqq 0\right\}\right)$ with respect to $\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$-variables. The above equality is again rewritten as follows :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}, \sum_{k} b_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath} \cdot \partial_{y_{k}} v\right)_{y}  \tag{3.6}\\
+\left(d\left|u_{\jmath}\right|^{\alpha} u_{\jmath}, v\right)_{y}=\left(d f_{j}, v\right)_{y} .
\end{array}
$$

Here $b_{k}=d a_{k}$ and $v$ is an arbitrary function in $C_{0}^{1}(\Phi(U))$ such that $v=0$ on $y_{n}=0$.

## §4. Propositions

Let $U$ be the neighborhood in the previous Section and $\left\{\theta^{(2)}\right\}_{\imath=1}^{n-1}$ be the orthogonal system in (3.4). Then we have

Proposition 4.1. Let $\eta \in C_{0}^{1}(U)$, and let $u$, be the solution of (2.6). Then it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{J}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / \pm}\left(\theta^{(2)} \cdot \nabla\right) \nabla u_{j}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{W_{-1 /(p-1)}^{1, p *}}\right)^{p^{*}}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{\left.\left.p^{*}\right)^{p p(1+a) /(p-1)}\right]},\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i \neq n$ and $C$ is a constant independent of $j$.
Proof. As we have remarked in the previous Section, (2.6) is reduced to (3.6) in $U \cap \Omega$. We take a neighborhood $V$ of $\Phi(P)$ such that $\Phi(U \cap \Omega)=$ $V \cap\left\{y_{n}>0\right\}$. And we define the following function space with $\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ variables

$$
\dot{C}^{m}\left(\bar{V} \cap\left\{y_{n} \geqq 0\right\}\right)=\left\{u ; u \in C^{m}\left(\bar{V} \cap\left\{y_{n} \geqq 0\right\}\right) \text { and } u=0 \text { on } y_{n}=0\right\} .
$$

From now on we denote by $\left\|\|_{y}\right.$ the norm in $L^{2}\left(\left\{y_{n} \geqq 0\right\}\right)$. Let $\zeta \in C_{0}^{\infty}(V)$ with $\zeta \geqq 0$ and $v \in \dot{C}^{1}\left(\bar{V} \cap\left\{y_{n} \geqq 0\right\}\right)$. The test function $v$ in (3.6) can be replaced with $-\zeta \partial_{y_{i}} w$, where $i \neq n$ and $w \in \dot{C}^{2}\left(\bar{V} \cap\left\{y_{n} \geqq 0\right\}\right)$. We write $\partial_{y_{i}} w$ simply by $\partial^{\prime} w$. Then (3.6) becomes

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\left(\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}, \sum_{k} b_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath} \cdot \partial_{y_{k}}\left(\zeta \partial^{\prime} w\right)\right)_{y}  \tag{4.1}\\
-\left(d\left|u_{\jmath}\right|^{\alpha} u_{j}, \zeta \partial^{\prime} w\right)_{y}=-\left(d f_{j}, \zeta \partial^{\prime} w\right)_{y}
\end{array}
$$

Now we calculate each term on the both sides of (4.1). First we see

$$
\begin{align*}
- & \left(\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}, \sum_{k} b_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{j} \cdot \partial_{y_{k}}\left(\zeta \partial^{\prime} w\right)\right)_{y}  \tag{4.2}\\
= & -\left(\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \zeta, \sum_{k} b_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath} \cdot \partial^{\prime} \partial_{y_{k}} w\right)_{y} \\
& -\left(\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \partial^{\prime} w, \sum_{k} b_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath} \cdot \partial_{y_{k}} \zeta\right)_{y}
\end{align*}
$$

By integration by parts

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \zeta, \sum_{k} b_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath} \cdot \partial^{\prime} \partial_{y_{k}} w\right)_{y}  \tag{4.3}\\
& =\left(\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+y_{n}\right) \partial^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}, \zeta \sum_{k} b_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{j} \cdot \partial_{y_{k}} w\right)_{y} \\
& \quad+\left(\left(\varepsilon_{J}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \partial^{\prime} \zeta, \sum_{k} b_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath} \cdot \partial_{y_{k}} w\right)_{y} \\
& \quad+\left(\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \zeta, \sum_{k} \partial^{\prime} b_{k} \cdot \partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath} \cdot \partial_{y_{k}} w\right)_{y} \\
& \quad+\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{j}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \zeta, \sum_{k} b_{k} \partial^{\prime} \partial_{y_{k}} w\right)_{y} .
\end{align*}
$$

In addition

$$
\begin{align*}
-\left(d\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha} u_{j}, \zeta \partial^{\prime} w\right)_{y}= & (1+\alpha)\left(d\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha} \partial^{\prime} u_{j}, \zeta w\right)_{y}  \tag{4.4}\\
& +\left(d\left|u_{\jmath}\right|^{\alpha} u_{j}, \partial^{\prime} \zeta \cdot w\right)_{y}+\left(\partial^{\prime} d \cdot\left|u_{\jmath}\right|^{\alpha} u_{j}, \zeta w\right)_{y}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(d f_{j}, \zeta \partial^{\prime} w\right)_{y}=\left(d \partial^{\prime} f_{j}, \zeta w\right)_{y}+\left(d f_{j}, \partial^{\prime} \zeta \cdot w\right)_{y}+\left(\partial^{\prime} d \cdot f_{j}, \zeta w\right)_{y} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we remember that $w \in \dot{C}^{2}\left(\bar{V} \cap\left\{y_{n} \geqq 0\right\}\right)$. However, at most first derivatives only appear for $w$ in each term on the right-hand sides of (4.2)-(4.5). Hence it is enough to assume that $w \in \dot{C}^{1}\left(\bar{V} \cap\left\{y_{n} \geqq 0\right\}\right)$, if we take an approximating sequence of $w$. This implies that we can put $w=\partial^{\prime} u_{\rho}$. By an easy computation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \\
& =(p-2)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-4) / 2}\left(\sum_{k} a_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath} \cdot \partial^{\prime} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2}(p-2)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-4) / 2}\left(\sum_{k} \partial^{\prime} a_{k} \cdot\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath}\right)^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $b_{\dot{r}}=d a_{k}$, we obtain the following equality from the above mentioned

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \partial^{\prime 2} u_{j}, \sum_{k} b_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} \zeta \cdot \partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)_{y}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2}(p-2)\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-1) / 2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \cdot\left(\sum_{k} \partial^{\prime} a_{k} \cdot\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right) \zeta, \sum_{k} b_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath} \cdot \partial^{\prime} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)_{y} \\
& +\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \partial^{\prime} \zeta, \sum_{k} b_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{j} \cdot \partial^{\prime} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)_{y} \\
& +\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \zeta, \sum_{k} \partial^{\prime} b_{k} \cdot \partial_{y_{k}} u_{j} \cdot \partial^{\prime} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)_{y}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \zeta, \sum_{k} b_{k}\left(\partial^{\prime} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath}\right)^{2}\right)_{y} \\
& +\left(d\left|u_{\jmath}\right|^{\alpha} u_{\jmath}, \partial^{\prime} \zeta \cdot \partial^{\prime} u_{\jmath}\right)_{y}+\left(\partial^{\prime} d \cdot\left|u_{\jmath}\right|^{\alpha} u_{\jmath}, \zeta \partial^{\prime} u_{\jmath}\right)_{y} \\
\leqq & \left(d \partial^{\prime} f_{j}, \zeta \partial^{\prime} u_{j}\right)_{y}+\left(d f_{j}, \partial^{\prime} \zeta \cdot \partial^{\prime} u_{\jmath}\right)_{y}+\left(\partial^{\prime} d \cdot f_{\jmath}, \zeta \partial^{\prime} u_{\jmath}\right)_{y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We set the left-hand side of $(4.6)=\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} I_{i}$. And we put $\zeta=\eta^{2}$, where $\eta(y) \in C_{0}^{1}(V)$, namely, $\eta(x) \in C_{0}^{1}(U)$. Let us estimate each $I_{i}$.

It is easily seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|I_{1}\right|,\left|I_{2}\right|,\left|I_{3}\right|,\left|I_{4}\right| \\
& \leqq C\left\|\eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 4} \partial^{\prime} \nabla u_{j}\right\|_{y} \\
& \quad \cdot\left\|(\eta+|\nabla \eta|)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right\|_{y},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nabla=\left(\partial y_{1}, \cdots, \partial y_{n}\right)$. Denoting by $\left\|\|_{q, y}\right.$ the norm in $L^{q}\left(\left\{y_{n} \geqq 0\right\}\right)$, we have

$$
\left|I_{6}\right|,\left|I_{7}\right| \leqq C\left\|\eta y_{n}^{-1 / p}\left|u_{j}\right|^{1+a}\right\|_{p r, y}\left\|(\eta+|\nabla \eta|) y_{n}^{1 / p} \nabla u_{j}\right\|_{p, y} .
$$

Further there is a positive constant $c_{0}$ such that

$$
c_{0}\left\|\eta\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+y_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{\rho}\right|^{2}\right)^{(\eta-2) / 4} \partial^{\prime} \nabla u_{\rho}\right\|_{y}^{\rho} \leqq I_{5} .
$$

Next estimating each term on the right-hand side of (4.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(d \partial^{\prime} f_{j}, \zeta \partial^{\prime} u_{j}\right)_{y}\right|+\left|\left(d f_{j}, \partial^{\prime} \zeta \cdot \partial^{\prime} u_{j}\right)_{y}\right|+\left|\left(\partial^{\prime} d \cdot f_{j}, \zeta \partial^{\prime} u_{j}\right)_{y}\right| \\
& \leqq C\left(\left\|\eta y_{n}^{-1 / p} f_{j}\right\|_{p^{*}, y}+\left\|\eta y_{n}^{-1 / p} \nabla f_{j}\right\|_{p^{*, y}}\right)\left\|(\eta+|\nabla \eta|) y_{n}^{1 / p} \nabla u_{j}\right\|_{p, y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the above inequalities with (4.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 4} \partial^{\prime} \nabla u_{j}\right\|_{y}^{2}  \tag{4.7}\\
& \leqq C\left[\left\|(\eta+|\nabla \eta|)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right\|_{y}^{2}\right. \\
& \quad+\left\|(\eta+|\nabla \eta|) y_{n}^{1 / p} \nabla u_{j}\right\|_{p, y}\left(\left\|\eta y_{n}^{-1 / p}\left|u_{j}\right|^{1+a}\right\|_{p^{*, y}}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left\|\eta y_{n}^{-1 / p} f_{j}\right\|_{p^{*, y}}+\left\|\eta y_{n}^{-1 / p} \nabla f_{j}\right\|_{p^{k, y}}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Coming back to the original ( $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ )-space, we use (2.7) and (2.8). Then it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|(\eta+|\nabla \eta|) y_{n}^{1 / p} \nabla u_{j}\right\|_{p y} \leqq C\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p^{*}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}, \\
& \left\|(\eta+|\nabla \eta|)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right\|_{y}^{2} \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p^{*}}\right)^{p *}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

And obviously

$$
\left\|\eta y_{n}^{-1 / p} f_{j}\right\|_{p^{*}, y}+\left\|\eta y_{n}^{-1 / p} \nabla f_{\jmath}\right\|_{p x, y} \leqq C\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{W^{1}, p_{1} *(p-1)} .
$$

Further we have

$$
\left\|\eta y_{n}^{-1 / p}\left|u_{j}\right|^{1+\alpha}\right\|_{p^{*}, y} \leqq C\left(\left\|\phi^{-1 /(p(1+a))} u_{\jmath}\right\|_{\left.(1+\alpha) p^{*}\right)^{1+a}} .\right.
$$

From our assumptions on $p$ and $\alpha$ we see that $(1+\alpha) p^{*}<p$ and $(1 / p)-1<-(1 / p(1+\alpha))$. Thus by (2.7) and part (c) of Lemma 2.2 we have

$$
\left\|\phi^{-1 /(p(1+\alpha))} u_{j}\right\|_{(1+\alpha) p *} \leqq C\left\|\phi^{(1-p) / p} u_{j}\right\|_{p} \leqq C\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p *}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} .
$$

Combining the above inequalities with (4.7), we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 4} \partial^{\prime} \nabla u_{j}\right\|_{y}^{2}  \tag{4.8}\\
& \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{\left.W_{-1 /(p-1)}^{1, p}\right)^{*}}\right)^{p *}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p *}^{p *}\right)^{p *(1+\alpha) /(p-1)}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\partial^{\prime}=\partial_{y_{i}}=\theta^{(i)} \cdot \nabla(i \neq n)$, the proof is completed with the aid of (2.8) and (4.8).
Q.E.D.

We repeat the proof of Proposition 4.1 without reducing (2.6) to (3.6). However we replace $\eta \in C_{0}^{1}(U)$ with $\eta \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. And as a test function we take $-\eta^{2} \partial_{x_{i}} w$, where $w=\partial_{x_{i}} u_{j}$ with $1 \leqq i \leqq n$. Then the following proposition is easily obtained:

Proposition 4.2. Let $\eta \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, and let $u_{j}$ be the solution of (2.6). Then it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 4} \partial_{x_{k}} \partial_{x_{l}} u_{j}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{J}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{W_{-1 /(p-1)}^{1, p *}}\right)^{p *}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p *}\right)^{p *(1+a) /(p-1)}\right], \quad 1 \leqq k, l \leqq n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a constant depending on $\eta$ and not on $j$.

## § 5. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\left\{\theta^{(2)}\right\}_{l=1}^{n-1}$ be the vector fields in (3.4). We supplement $\theta^{(n)}=$ $\left(\partial_{y_{n}} x_{1}, \cdots, \partial_{y_{n}} x_{n}\right)$ to them. Then $\left\{\theta^{(i)}\right\}_{\imath=1}^{n}$ are linearly independent in $U$. Hence there are functions $\xi_{i}(x) \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \cap U)(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$ such that

$$
\theta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}(x) \theta^{(i)} \quad \text { in } \bar{\Omega} \cap U
$$

From the assumption on $\theta$ we see that $\theta \cdot \nabla \phi=0$ on $\partial \Omega$. On the other hand $\theta^{(i)} \cdot \nabla \phi=0$ for $i \neq n$ and $\theta^{(n)} \cdot \nabla \phi=1$ in $U$. Thus $\xi_{n}(x)=0$ on $\partial \Omega \cap U$.

Let $\tilde{\theta}=\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \xi_{i}(x) \theta^{(2)}$ and $\eta \in C_{0}^{1}(U)$. Then we have the following inequality by Proposition 4.1:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 4}(\tilde{\theta} \cdot \nabla) \nabla u_{j}\right\|^{2}  \tag{5.1}\\
& \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{W_{-1 /(p-1)}^{1, p}}\right)^{p^{*}}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{\left.\left.p^{*}\right)^{p *(1+\alpha) /(p-1)}\right]}\right.\right.
\end{align*}
$$

In the proof Proposition 4.1 we replace by $-\eta^{2} \xi_{n}^{2} \partial_{y_{n}} w$ the test function $v$ in (3.6), where $w \in C^{2}\left(\bar{V} \cap\left\{y_{n} \geqq 0\right\}\right)$. By taking an approximating sequence, we can take $w$ from $C^{1}\left(\bar{V} \cap\left\{y_{n} \geqq 0\right\}\right)$. We put next $w=\partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}$ particularly. Since $\partial_{y_{n}}=$ $\theta^{(n)} \cdot \nabla$, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 4} \xi_{n}\left(\theta^{(n)} \cdot \nabla\right) \nabla u_{j}\right\|^{2}  \tag{5.2}\\
& \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{W_{-1 /(p)}^{1, p^{*}}}\right)^{p *}+\left(\left\|f_{J}\right\|_{\left.\left.p^{*}\right)^{p *(1+\alpha) /(p-1)}\right]}\right] .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.1) and (5.2) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 4}(\theta \cdot \nabla) \nabla u_{j}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{\left.w_{-1 /(p-1)}^{1, p}\right)^{*}}\right)^{p *}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p *}\right)^{p *(1+\alpha) /(p-1)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by Proposition 4.2 and by a partition of unity in $\Omega$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{\rho}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 4}(\theta \cdot \nabla) \nabla u_{j}\right\|^{2}  \tag{5.3}\\
& \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{W^{1}, p_{1 /(p-1)}}\right)^{p *}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p *}\right)^{p *(1+\alpha) /(p-1)}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

From now on we denote by the same $\left\{u_{j^{\prime}}\right\}$ any subsequence of $\left\{u_{j}\right\}$. And we write simply by $\partial$ any differential $\partial_{x_{i}}(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$. We omit sometimes the notation of sums with respect to $i$. Obviously

$$
\left|\nabla\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \partial u_{j}\right)\right| \leqq C\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}\left|\nabla \partial u_{j}\right| .
$$

Let $\Omega^{\prime}$ be a subdomain of $\Omega$ with $\bar{\Omega}^{\prime} \subset \Omega$ such that $\partial \Omega^{\prime}$ is appropriately smooth. Since $p^{*}(p-2) /\left(2-p^{*}\right)=p$, we get by Hölder's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left|\nabla\left(\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \partial u_{j}\right)\right|^{p *} d x \\
& \leqq C\left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d x\right)^{\left(2-p^{*}\right) / 2} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}\left|\nabla \partial u_{\jmath}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{p * / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We write the space $W_{0}^{1, p *}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$ simply by $W^{1, p^{*}}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$, where $W_{0,}^{1}{ }^{p *}$ is in the sense of $W_{\mu}^{1, p^{*}}$ with $\mu=0$. Thus the norm $\left\|\|_{W_{0}^{1}, p^{*}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}\right.$ equals $\| \|_{W^{1}, p^{*}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}$. Combining the above inequality, (2.8) and Proposition 4.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla u_{j}\right\|_{W^{1}, p^{*}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)} \leqq C\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right), \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$ is a constant depending on $\Omega^{\prime}$ and not on $j$.
By (5.4) and Sobolev's compact imbedding theorem there are $\left\{g_{\imath}\right\}_{2=1}^{n} \subset L_{\text {loc }}^{p *}(\Omega)$ such that for any subdomain $\Omega^{\prime}$ of $\Omega$ with $\bar{\Omega}^{\prime} \subset \Omega$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath^{\prime}}+\left|\nabla u_{\jmath^{\prime}}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{x_{i}} u_{\jmath^{\prime}} \longrightarrow g_{\imath} \text { in } L^{p *}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right), \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath^{\prime}}+\left|\nabla u_{\jmath^{\prime}}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{\jmath^{\prime}} \longrightarrow g_{2} \text { a. e. in } \Omega .
$$

Accordingly there are $\left\{h_{i}\right\}_{l=1}^{n}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x_{2}} u_{j^{\prime}} \longrightarrow h_{i} \text { a.e. in } \Omega \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
g_{i}=\left(\sum_{k} h_{k}^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} h_{i} .
$$

Since $\sum_{i} g_{\imath}^{2}=\left(\sum_{i} h_{\imath}^{2}\right)^{p-1}$, we have $h_{i} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{p}(\Omega)$.
On the other hand each $u_{j}$ is in $W_{1}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ by part (b) of Lemma 2.2. And $\left\{\|u,\|_{W_{1}^{1}, p}\right\}$ are uniformly bounded by (2.7). Hence there is a function $u \in W_{1}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
u_{\jmath^{\prime}} \longrightarrow u \text { in } \stackrel{\circ}{W}_{1}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

And by Sobolev's compact imbedding theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{j^{\prime}} \longrightarrow u \text { in } L^{p}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\Omega^{\prime}$ with $\bar{\Omega}^{\prime} \subset \Omega$. By virtue of Lemma 2.1 we see that $h_{i}=\partial_{x_{i}} u$. Thus $g_{i}=|\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_{x_{i}} u$.

From (5.5) we have

$$
\left(\phi\left(\varepsilon_{j^{\prime}}+\left|\nabla u_{j^{\prime}}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla u_{j^{\prime}}, \nabla v\right) \longrightarrow\left(\phi|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \nabla v\right), \quad v \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .
$$

Since $(\alpha+1) p^{*}<p$, it follows from part (c) of Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha} u_{j}\right\|_{p^{*}} & \leqq C\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{p}\right)^{1+\alpha}  \tag{5.8}\\
& \leqq C\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{W_{1}^{1, p}}\right)^{1+\alpha}
\end{align*}
$$

so that $\left\{\left\|\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha} u_{j}\right\|_{p^{*}}\right\}$ are uniformly bounded. Since $u \in L^{p}(\Omega),|u|^{\alpha} u \in L^{p *}(\Omega)$. Thus it holds from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\left(\left|u_{j^{\prime}}\right|^{\alpha} u_{j}, v\right) \longrightarrow\left(|u|^{\alpha} u, v\right), \quad v \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .
$$

And naturally

$$
\left(f_{j}, v\right) \longrightarrow(f, v), \quad v \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .
$$

From the above and (2.6) it follows that for any $v \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \nabla v\right)+\left(|u|^{\alpha} u, v\right)=(f, v) . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that (5.9) is valid for any $v \in W_{1_{1}^{1, p}}^{1}(\Omega)$. We take an approximating sequence $\left\{v_{j}\right\} \subset C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $v_{j} \rightarrow v$ in $W_{1}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. From (5.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \nabla v_{\jmath}\right)+\left(|u|^{\alpha} u, v_{\jmath}\right)=\left(f, v_{\jmath}\right) . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\left|\left(\phi|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \nabla\left(v_{j}-v\right)\right)\right| \leqq C\left(\|u\|_{W_{1}^{1}, p}\right)^{p-1}\left\|v_{J}-v\right\|_{W_{1}^{1}, p}
$$

the first term on the left-hand side of (5.10) tends to $\left(\phi|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \nabla v\right)$. Similarly as in (5.8) we have

$$
\left|\left(|u|^{\alpha} u, v_{j}-v\right)\right| \leqq C\left(\|u\|_{W_{1}^{1}, p}^{1+\alpha}\right)^{1+\alpha}\left\|v_{j}-v\right\|_{W_{1}^{1, p}} .
$$

Hence the second term on the left-hand side of (5.10) tends to $\left(|u|^{\alpha} u, v\right)$. And
the inequality

$$
\left|\left(f, v_{j}-v\right)\right| \leqq C\|f\|_{p *}\left\|v_{j}-v\right\|_{w_{1}^{1, p}}
$$

yields that $\left(f, v_{\jmath}\right) \rightarrow(f, v)$. From the above mentioned we conclude that $u \in \dot{W}_{1}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (2.2) with $\mu=1$.

Now by using the coordinates transformation (3.3), we have the following inequality from the assumption on $\phi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|(\theta \cdot \nabla) \phi| \leqq C \dot{\phi} \quad \text { in } \Omega . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider again the solution $u$, of (2.6). From (5.11)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|(\theta \cdot \nabla)\left[\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right]\right\| \\
& \leqq C\left[\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{\rho}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}(\theta \cdot \nabla)\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right\|\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the family $\left\{\left\|(\theta \cdot \nabla)_{[ }\left[\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right]\right\|\right\}$ is uniformly bounded by (5.3) and (2.8). Accordingly there is a function $w \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((\theta \cdot \nabla)\left[\left(\varepsilon_{j^{\prime}}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j^{\prime}}+\left|\nabla u_{j^{\prime}}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right], v\right) \longrightarrow(w, v), \quad v \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left((\theta \cdot \nabla)\left[\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right], v\right)  \tag{5.13}\\
& =-\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4},(\theta \cdot \nabla) v\right) \\
& \quad-\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4},(\nabla \cdot \theta) v\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and the family $\left\{\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right\|\right\}$ is uniformly bounded from (2.8). Further $u \in W_{1}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and $\partial_{x_{i}} u_{j} \rightarrow \partial_{x_{i}} u$ a.e. in $\Omega$ from (5.6). Therefore by Lemma 2.1 we see that the first term (the second term) on the right-hand side of $(5.13) \rightarrow-\left(\phi^{1 / 2}|\nabla u|^{p / 2},(\theta \cdot \nabla) v\right)\left(-\left(\phi^{1 / 2}|\nabla u|^{p / 2},(\nabla \cdot \theta) v\right)\right)$, which implies that

$$
w=(\theta \cdot \nabla)\left(\phi^{1 / 2}|\nabla u|^{p / 2}\right)
$$

from (5.12) and (5.13). Thus we obtain

$$
\left\|(\theta \cdot \nabla)\left(\phi^{1 / 2}|\nabla u|^{p / 2}\right)\right\| \leqq \lim _{j^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|(\theta \cdot \nabla)\left[\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath^{\prime}}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath^{\prime}}+\left|\nabla u_{\jmath^{\prime}}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right]\right\| .
$$

Combining (5.3), (5.11), (2.8) and this inequality, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.
Q.E.D.

## §6. Proof of Theorems 2 and 3

First we prepare the following lemma:
Lemma 6.1. Let $0<\beta<1$. Then for $v \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$

$$
\int_{\Omega} \phi^{-\beta} v^{2} d x \leqq C(\beta) \int_{\Omega} \phi^{2-\beta}\left(v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right) d x
$$

where $C(\beta)$ is a constant depending on $\beta$ and not on $v$.
Proof. For $P \in \partial \Omega$ we take the neighborhood $U$ of $P$ such that (3.3) is defined. It is enough to show that for $\eta \in C_{0}^{1}(U)$

$$
\int_{\Omega} \phi^{-\beta}(\eta v)^{2} d x \leqq C \int_{\Omega} \phi^{2-\beta}|\nabla(\eta v)|^{2} d x
$$

For this sake it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-\beta} w(t)^{2} d t \leqq C \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2-\beta} w^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w \in C^{1}([0, \infty)$ ) and $w(t)=0$ for large $t$. By an integration by parts

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-\beta} w(t)^{2} d t=\frac{2}{\beta-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{1-\beta} w w^{\prime} d t
$$

Using Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-\beta} w(t)^{2} d t \leqq C\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-\beta} w(t)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2-\beta} w^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

from which (6.1) follows.
Q. E. D.

Proof of Theorem 2. First we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\nabla\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|(\theta \cdot \nabla) u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right| \\
& \leqq C\left[\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 4}\left|(\theta \cdot \nabla) \nabla u_{j}\right|+\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p_{i 4}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2} \nabla\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|(\theta \cdot \nabla) u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqq C\left[\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 4}(\theta \cdot \nabla) \nabla u_{j}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right\|^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this inequality, (2.8) and (5.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\phi\right)^{1 / 2} \nabla\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|(\theta \cdot \nabla) u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right\|^{2}  \tag{6.2}\\
& \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{W_{-1}^{1, p(p-1)}}\right)^{p *}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p *}\right)^{x *(1+a) /(p-1)}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore it follows from (2.8) and Lemma 6.1 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\left\|\phi^{-\beta / p}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|(\theta \cdot \nabla) u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{p}\right)^{p}  \tag{6.3}\\
& \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{W_{-1 /(p-1)}^{1, p *}}\right)^{p *}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p *}\right)^{p *(1+\alpha) /(p-1)}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for $\beta$ with $0<\beta<1$.

By (6.2) and Sobolev's compact imbedding theorem there is a function $w \in L_{\text {loc }}^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath^{\prime}}+\left|(\theta \cdot \nabla) u_{\jmath^{\prime}}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4} \longrightarrow w \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)
$$

for any subdomain $\Omega^{\prime}$ with $\bar{\Omega}^{\prime} \subset \Omega$. On the other hand from (5.6)

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{j^{\prime}}+\left|(\theta \cdot \nabla) u_{j^{\prime}}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 4} \longrightarrow|(\theta \cdot \nabla) u|^{p / 2} \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega \text {. }
$$

Hence we have

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath^{\prime}}+\left|(\theta \cdot \nabla) u_{J^{\prime}}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 1} \longrightarrow|(\theta \cdot \nabla) u|^{p / 2} \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Combining this with (6.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \phi^{-\beta}|(\theta \cdot \nabla) u|^{p} d x=\lim _{j^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega^{\prime}} \phi^{-\beta}\left(\varepsilon_{j^{\prime}}+\left|(\theta \cdot \nabla) u_{j^{\prime}}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d x \\
& \leqq C\left[\left(\|f\|_{\left.W^{1}, p_{1 /(p-1)}\right)^{2 *}}+\left(\|f\|_{p^{*}}\right)^{z *(1+a) /(p-1)}\right],\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $\Omega^{\prime}$ and $f$. Since $\Omega^{\prime}$ is an arbitrary subdomain of $\Omega$ with $\bar{\Omega}^{\prime} \subset \Omega$, we complete the proof of Theorem 2 .
Q.E.D.

Before proving Theorem 3 we prepare the following proposition:
Proposition 6.1. Let $u_{j}$ be the solution of (2.6). If $\gamma>1 /(p-1)$, it holds that

$$
\varepsilon_{J}^{1+\gamma} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\varepsilon_{\rho}+\left|\nabla u_{\rho}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d S \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty,
$$

where $d S$ is the surface element of $\partial \Omega$.
Proof. Taking the new coordinates $\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ defined in (3.3), we consider in ( $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}$ )-space. Let $U$ be the neighborhood of $P \in \partial \Omega$ such that (3.3) is defined. We take $\eta \in C_{0}^{1}(U)$ and denote $y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, \cdots y_{n-1}\right)$. Then it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{J}^{1+\gamma} \int_{y_{n}=0} \eta^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d y^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.6) we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{y_{n}}\left(\eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} b_{n} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{\jmath}\right)  \tag{6.5}\\
& =-\eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)_{k \neq n} \partial_{y_{k}}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} b_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath}\right) \\
& \quad+\eta d\left|u_{\jmath}\right|^{\alpha} u_{\jmath}-\eta d f_{j} \\
& \quad+\partial_{y_{n}} \eta \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath}\right)^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} b_{n} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{J}=\varepsilon_{j}+\sum_{k} a_{k}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath}\right)^{2}, \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\left|\partial_{y_{k}}\left(F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} b_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)\right| \leqq C\left(F_{j}^{(p-1) / 2}+F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left|\partial_{y_{k}} \nabla u_{j}\right|\right) .
$$

By integrating both sides of (6.5) with $y_{n}$, we obtain therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{\jmath} \eta\left(y^{\prime}, 0\right) F_{j}\left(y^{\prime}, 0\right)^{(p-2) / 2}\left|\left(\partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right)\left(y^{\prime}, 0\right)\right|  \tag{6.7}\\
& \leqq C\left[\int_{0}^{\infty}(\eta+|\nabla \eta|)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{(p-1) / 2} d y_{n}\right. \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{\infty} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\sum_{k \neq n}\left|\partial_{y_{k}} \nabla u_{j}\right|\right) d y_{n} \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{0}^{\infty} \eta\left|u_{j}\right|^{1+\alpha} d y_{n}+\int_{0}^{\infty} \eta\left|f_{j}\right| d y_{n}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

In general it holds that for $\varepsilon, A \geqq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{p *}\left(\varepsilon+A^{2}\right)^{p / 2} \leqq C\left[\varepsilon^{p *(p+1) / 2}+\varepsilon^{p *}\left(A\left(\varepsilon+A^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}\right)^{p *}\right], \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $\varepsilon$ and $A$. In fact

$$
\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon+A^{2}\right)^{(p-1) / 2} \leqq C\left[\varepsilon^{3 / 2}\left(\varepsilon+A^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}+\varepsilon A\left(\varepsilon+A^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}\right] .
$$

And by Young's inequality we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{3 / 2}\left(\varepsilon+A^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} & =\varepsilon^{(p+1) /(2(p-1))} \cdot \varepsilon^{(p-2) /(p-1)}\left(\varepsilon+A^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \\
& \leqq \delta \varepsilon\left(\varepsilon+A^{2}\right)^{(p-1) / 2}+C(\delta) \varepsilon^{(p+1) / 2}, \quad \delta>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (6.8) is correct. From (6.7) and (6.8) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{j}^{p *} \int_{y_{n}=0} \eta^{p *}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d y^{\prime}  \tag{6.9}\\
& \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p *(p+1) / 2}+\int_{y_{n}=0}\left(\varepsilon_{j} \eta F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left|\left(\partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right)\right|\right)^{p^{*}} d y^{\prime}\right] \\
& \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p *(p+1) / 2}+\int_{y_{n} \geq 0}(\eta+|\nabla \eta|)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{p *} F_{j}^{p / 2} d y\right. \\
& \quad+\int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta^{p *}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{p *} F_{j}^{p *(p-2) / 2}\left(\sum_{k \neq n}\left|\partial_{y_{k}} \nabla u_{j}\right|^{p *}\right) d y \\
& \left.\quad \quad+\int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left|u_{j}\right|^{p *(1+\alpha)} d y+\int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left|f_{j}\right|^{p *} d y\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we have for $k \neq n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta^{p *}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{p^{*} *} F_{j}^{p *(p-2) / 2}\left|\partial_{y_{k}} \nabla u_{j}\right|^{p \times} d y \\
& \leqq C \int_{i y_{n} \geqq 01 \cap \text { supp } \eta}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{p * / 2} F_{j}^{p *(p-2) / 4} \\
& \quad \cdot \eta^{p *}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{p * / 2} F_{j}^{p *(p-2) / 4}\left|\partial_{y_{k}} \nabla u_{j}\right|^{p *} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqq C\left(\int_{i y_{n} \geq 0 \cap \text { nupp } \eta}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{p /(p-2)}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d y\right)^{\left(2-p^{*}\right) / 2} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\mid \nabla u u^{2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}\left|\partial_{y_{k}} \nabla u_{\rho}\right|^{2} d y\right)^{p * / 2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the equality $p^{*}(p-2) /\left(2-p^{*}\right)=p$. And similarly as in (5.8)

$$
\int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta|u|^{p *(1+a)} d y \leqq C\left(\|u\|_{W_{1}^{1, p}}\right)^{p *(1+a)} .
$$

Combining the above, (6.9), (2.8) and Proposition 4.1, we obtain

$$
\varepsilon_{\gamma}^{p *} \int_{y_{n}=0} \eta^{p *}\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+\left|\partial_{y_{n}} u\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d y^{\prime} \leqq C .
$$

By using Theorem 2 we can prove more easily that for $k \neq n$

$$
\varepsilon_{j}^{p *} \int_{y_{n}=0} \eta^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+\left|\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d y^{\prime} \leqq C .
$$

Therefore we conclude that

$$
\varepsilon_{J}^{p *} \int_{y_{n}=0} \eta^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{J}+\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d y^{\prime} \leqq C,
$$

which implies (6.4), because $1+\gamma>p^{*}$. Thus we have finished the proof.
Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 3. We consider in $\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$-space defined in (3.3). Let $U$ be the neighborhood of $P \in \partial \Omega$ where (3.3) is defined. Let $\eta \in C_{0}^{1}(U)$ and $F$ be the function in (6.6).

By an integration by parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma} F_{j}^{p / 2} d y= & -\frac{\varepsilon_{j}^{1+\eta}}{1+\gamma} \int_{y_{n}=0} \eta F_{j}^{p / 2} d y^{\prime} \\
& -\frac{p}{2(1+\gamma)} \int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{y_{n}} F_{j} d y \\
& -\frac{1}{1+\gamma} \int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \partial_{y_{n}} \eta \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{p / 2} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\partial_{y_{n}} F_{j}=2 \sum_{k} a_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath} \cdot \partial_{y_{k}} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{\jmath}+\sum_{k} \partial_{y_{n}} a_{k} \cdot\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{\jmath}\right)^{2},
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{y_{n}} F_{j} d y \\
& =2 \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta a_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{\jmath} \cdot \partial_{y_{n}}^{2} u_{\jmath} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +2 \sum_{k \neq n} \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta a_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{j} \cdot \partial_{y_{k}} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{j} d y \\
& +\sum_{k} \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta \partial_{y_{n}} a_{k} \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta a_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{j} \cdot \partial_{y_{n}}^{2} u_{j} d y \\
& =-\varepsilon_{j}^{1+\gamma} \int_{y_{n}=0} \eta a_{n} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right)^{2} d y^{\prime} \\
& \quad-\int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta a_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma} \partial_{y_{n}}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right) \partial_{y_{n}} u_{j} d y \\
& \quad-\gamma \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta a_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right)^{2} d y \\
& \quad-\int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \partial_{y_{n}}\left(\eta a_{n}\right) \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right)^{2} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the above inequalities we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma} F_{j}^{p / 2} d y  \tag{6.10}\\
&=-\frac{\varepsilon_{j}^{1+\gamma}}{1+\gamma} \int_{y_{n}=0} \eta F_{j}^{p / 2} d y^{\prime} \\
&+\frac{p}{1+\gamma} \varepsilon_{j}^{1+\gamma} \int_{y_{n}=0} \eta a_{n} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right)^{2} d y^{\prime} \\
&+\frac{p}{1+\gamma} \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta a_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma} \partial_{y_{n}}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right) \cdot \partial_{y_{n}} u_{j} d y \\
&+\frac{p \gamma}{1+\gamma} \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta a_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right)^{2} d y \\
&+\frac{p}{1+\gamma} \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \partial_{y_{n}}\left(\eta a_{n}\right) \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right)^{2} d y \\
&-\frac{p}{1+\gamma} \sum_{k \neq n} \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta a_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{j} \cdot \partial_{y_{k}} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{j} d y \\
&-\frac{p}{2(1+\gamma)} \sum_{k} \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta \partial_{y_{n}} a_{k} \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2} d y \\
&-\frac{1}{1+\gamma} \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \partial_{y_{n}} \eta \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{p / 2} d y .
\end{align*}
$$

On the right-hand side of (6.10) the integral of the fourth term is rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta a_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{r} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right)^{2} d y \\
& =\int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{r} F_{j}^{p / 2} d y-\varepsilon_{j} \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{r} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-\sum_{k \neq n} \int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta a_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2} d y .
$$

We insert this in the fourth term on the right-hand side of (6.10). Then using the inequality $p \gamma /(1+\gamma)>1$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma} F_{j}^{p / 2} d y  \tag{6.11}\\
& \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{1+\gamma} \int_{y_{n}=0} \eta F_{j}^{p / 2} d y^{\prime}\right. \\
& \quad+\int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma}\left|\partial_{y_{n}}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right)\right|\left|\nabla u_{j}\right| d y \\
& \quad+\varepsilon_{j} \int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} d y \\
& \quad+\sum_{k \neq n} \int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2} d y \\
& \quad+\int_{y_{n} \geq 0}(\eta+|\nabla \eta|)\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{p / 2} d y \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{k \neq n} \int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-1) / 2}\left|\partial_{y_{k}} \nabla u_{j}\right| d y\right] \\
& \equiv C \sum_{l=1}^{6} J_{l}, \text { say. }
\end{align*}
$$

First we have by Proposition 6.1

$$
J_{1} \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Next

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{2} \leqq\left(\int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma p}\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{p} d y\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{y_{n \geq 0}} \eta\left|\partial_{y_{n}}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right)\right|^{p *} d y\right)^{1 / p^{*}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\gamma \beta>1$, it follows from (2.8) that

$$
\int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{r^{p}}\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{p} d y \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{\left.p *)^{p * *}\right] .} .\right.\right.
$$

From (3.6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{n} \partial_{y_{n}}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right)= & -\partial_{y_{n}} b \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{j} \\
& -\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) \sum_{k \neq n} \partial_{y_{k}}\left(F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} b_{k} \partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right) \\
& +d\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha} u_{j}-d f_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\partial_{y_{n}}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right)\right|^{p *} \\
& \leqq C\left[\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\varepsilon_{\jmath}+y_{n}\right) \sum_{k \neq n} F_{j}^{p *(p-2) / 2}\left|\partial_{y_{k}} \nabla u_{j}\right|^{p *}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left|u_{\jmath}\right|^{(1+\alpha) p ;}+\left|f_{j}\right|^{p *}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore using the equality $p^{*}(p-2) /\left(2-p^{*}\right)=p$, we obtain by Hölder's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left|\partial_{y_{n}}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2} \partial_{y_{n}} u_{j}\right)\right|^{p *} d y \\
& \leqq C\left[\int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{p / 2} d y\right. \\
& \quad+\sum_{k \neq n}\left(\int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{p / 2} d y\right)^{(2-p *) / 2} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left|\partial_{y_{k}} \nabla u_{j}\right|^{2} d y\right)^{p * / 2} \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{y_{n} \geqslant 0} \eta\left|u_{j}\right|^{(1+\alpha) p *} d y+\int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left|f_{j}\right|^{p *} d y\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further we use (2.7), (2.8), Proposition 4.1 and the similar inequality as in (5.8). Then we conclude that

$$
J_{2} \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{W_{-1}^{1, p / p-1)}}\right)^{p *}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p^{*}}\right)^{p *(1+\alpha) /(p-1)}\right] .
$$

By Young's inequality it is obvious that

$$
J_{3} \leqq \delta \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma} F_{j}^{p / 2} d y+C(\delta) \varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}, \quad \delta>0 .
$$

If $k \neq n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right)^{2} d y \\
& \leqq\left(\int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{p \gamma /(p-2)} F_{j}^{p / 2} d y\right)^{(p-2) / p}\left(\int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left|\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right|^{p} d y\right)^{2 / p} \\
& \leqq \delta \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma} F_{j}^{p / 2} d y+C(\delta) \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left|\partial_{y_{k}} u_{j}\right|^{p} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it follows from (6.3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{4} \leqq & \delta \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{\gamma} F_{j}^{p / 2} d y \\
& +C(\delta)\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{W_{-1}^{1, p /(p-1)}}\right)^{p *}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p *}\right)^{p *(1+\alpha) /(p-1)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have immediately from (2.8)

$$
J_{5} \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p^{*}}\right)^{p *}\right] .
$$

Lastly we estimate $J_{6}$. If $k \neq n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{1+\gamma} F_{j}^{(p-1) / 2}\left|\partial_{y_{k}} \nabla u_{j}\right| d y \\
& \leqq\left(\int_{y_{n} \geqq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{p / 2} d y\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right) F_{j}^{(p-2) / 2}\left|\partial_{y_{k}} \nabla u_{j}\right|^{2} d y\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get from (2.8) and Proposition 4.1

$$
J_{6} \leqq C\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{p / 2}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{\left.W_{-1}^{1, p} / p-1\right)}\right)^{p *}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p^{*}}\right)^{2 *(1+a) /(p-1)}\right] .
$$

Combining the above inequalities with (6.11), we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{y_{n} \geq 0} \eta\left(\varepsilon_{j}+y_{n}\right)^{r} F_{\rho}^{p / 2} d y \\
& \leqq C\left[\mu_{j}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{W^{1}, p^{1}(p-1)}\right)^{p}+\left(\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p r}\right)^{p^{*}(1+a) /(p-1)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu_{j} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore it follows by partition of unity for $\Omega$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \phi^{\gamma}\left|\nabla u_{\jmath}\right|^{p} d x \leqq C\left[\mu_{\jmath}+\left(\left\|f_{\jmath}\right\|_{W^{1}, p_{1}^{*}(p-1)}\right)^{p^{p+}}+\left(\left\|f_{\jmath}\right\|_{p+t}\right)^{p^{p(1+a)}(p-1)}\right] \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\gamma<p-1$. From parc (b) of Lemma 2.2 we see that $u_{\jmath} \in \dot{W}_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \cdot p(\Omega)$. Moreover, the family $\left\{\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{W_{1}^{1}}, p\right\}$ is uniformly bounded by virtue of (6.12) and part (c) of Lemma 2.2. Hence there is a function $v \in W_{\frac{\circ}{\gamma}}, p(\Omega)$ such that $u_{\jmath^{\prime}} \checkmark v$ in $W_{\gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. From this and (5.7) we have $v=u$, where $u$ is the solution of (1.1). Therefore we obtain

$$
\|u\|_{W_{r}^{1}, p} \leqq \lim _{j^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u u_{\prime^{\prime}}\right\|_{W_{r}^{1}, p} .
$$

Combining this inequality with (6.12), we complete the proof of Theorem 3.
Q.E.D.
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