
The Möbius transform and the infinitude of primes

Paul Pollack

Paul Pollack received his Ph.D. from Dartmouth College in 2008. He is currently finishing a postdoctoral appointment at the University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, USA. His research focuses on easily-stated problems in the theory of numbers.

Recall that the Möbius μ -function from elementary number theory is defined so that $\mu(n) = (-1)^k$ if n is a product of k distinct primes, and $\mu(n) = 0$ if n is divisible by the square of a prime. (So $\mu(1) = (-1)^0 = 1$.) For any arithmetic function f (i.e., any $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$), its Dirichlet transform \hat{f} is defined by

$$\hat{f}(n) := \sum_{d|n} f(d),$$

and its Möbius transform \check{f} by

$$\check{f}(n) := \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) f(d).$$

The well-known Möbius inversion formula ([2, Theorems 266, 267]) says precisely that the Möbius and Dirichlet transforms are inverses of each other: for any f , we have

$$f = \check{\hat{f}} = \hat{\check{f}}.$$

Es gibt eine Vielzahl von Beweisen zur Unendlichkeit der Menge \mathbb{P} der Primzahlen. Der vermutlich den meisten Lesern bekannte Beweis geht von der Annahme $\mathbb{P} = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ aus und führt diese Annahme durch Betrachtung der natürlichen Zahl $n = p_1 \cdots p_m + 1$ zum Widerspruch, da diese Zahl einen Primteiler p mit $p \notin \mathbb{P}$ besitzt; dieser Beweis wird Euklid zugeschrieben. Ein anderer, auf Euler zurückgehender Beweis, basiert auf der Eulerschen Produktentwicklung der Riemannschen Zetafunktion $\zeta(s)$ und der Tatsache, dass $\zeta(s)$ an der Stelle $s = 1$ einen Pol erster Ordnung hat. In der vorliegenden Arbeit finden wir einen weiteren Beweis zur Unendlichkeit von \mathbb{P} , der elementare Eigenschaften arithmetischer Funktionen f, g , welche die Beziehung $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) erfüllen, verwendet.

Our proof of the infinitude of primes is based on the following lemma. By the *support* of f , we mean the set of natural numbers n for which $f(n) \neq 0$.

Lemma (Uncertainty principle for the Möbius transform). *If f is an arithmetic function which does not vanish identically, then the support of f and the support of \check{f} cannot both be finite.*

Proof. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that both f and \check{f} are of finite support. Let

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)z^n.$$

Then F is entire (in fact, a polynomial function). On the other hand, for $|z| < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{d|n} \check{f}(d) \right) z^n \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \check{f}(d) (z^d + z^{2d} + z^{3d} + \dots) = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \check{f}(d) \frac{z^d}{1 - z^d}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here the interchange of summation is justified by observing that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} |\check{f}(d)| |z|^n \leq A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |z|^n = A \frac{|z|}{(1 - |z|)^2} < \infty,$$

where $A := \max_{d=1,2,3,\dots} |\check{f}(d)|$.

Since f is not identically zero, neither is \check{f} (by Möbius inversion). Let D be the largest natural number for which $\check{f}(D) \neq 0$. The expression on the right-hand side of (1) represents a rational function with a pole at $z = e^{2\pi i/D}$. This contradicts that F is entire (and so bounded in the open unit disc). \square

Theorem. *There are infinitely many primes.*

Proof. Suppose that there are only finitely many primes. Then there are only finitely many products of distinct primes; i.e., μ is of finite support. But $\mu = \check{f}$, where f is the function satisfying $f(1) = 1$ and $f(n) = 0$ for $n > 1$. For this f , both f and \check{f} are of finite support, contradicting the lemma. \square

Remarks.

- 1) We have borrowed the term ‘‘uncertainty principle’’ from harmonic analysis. One of the simplest manifestations of this principle is the theorem that a nonzero function and its Fourier transform cannot both be compactly supported. This has a certain surface similarity to our lemma. The analogy can be more deeply appreciated if one brings into play the fact, first discerned by Ramanujan [3], that many arithmetic

functions admit a type of Fourier expansion. For example, if $\sigma(n) := \sum_{d|n} d$ denotes the sum-of-divisors function, then

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^2} c_2(n) + \frac{1}{3^2} c_3(n) + \dots \right),$$

where

$$c_q(n) := \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq n \\ \gcd(a, q) = 1}} e^{2\pi i \frac{an}{q}}.$$

In general, the (natural) coefficients in the Ramanujan-Fourier expansion of f are intimately connected with the values of \check{f} . For suitably “nice” f , the support of \check{f} is finite precisely when the sequence of Ramanujan-Fourier coefficients of f is finitely supported. (Cf. paragraphs 27 and following in [5].)

- 2) The strategy for our proofs goes back to Sylvester [4], who gave an argument in the same spirit for the infinitude of primes $p \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$ when $m = 4$ or $m = 6$. There is also some resonance with Mirsky and Newman’s demonstration that there is no exact covering system with distinct moduli greater than 1 (see [1]).

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Enrique Treviño and Carl Pomerance for helpful comments.

References

- [1] Erdős, P.: On a problem concerning congruence systems. *Mat. Lapok* 3 (1952), 122–128.
- [2] Hardy, G.H.; Wright, E.M.: *An introduction to the theory of numbers*. Sixth ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford 2008. Revised by Heath-Brown, D.R.; Silverman, J.H.
- [3] Ramanujan, S.: On certain trigonometrical sums and their applications in the theory of numbers. *Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 22 (1918), 259–276.
- [4] Sylvester, J.J.: On the theorem that an arithmetical progression which contains more than one, contains an infinite number of prime numbers. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* IV (1871), 7–8.
- [5] Wintner, A.: *Eratosthenian Averages*. Waverly Press, Baltimore 1943.

Paul Pollack
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
 Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA
 e-mail: twonth@gmail.com