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Abstract. In this paper we consider the pair diffusion process in more than two spatial dimensions. In this case we are able to prove just a local existence result, since it is not possible to deduce global a priori estimates for the equations as it can be done in the two-dimensional case. The model includes a nonlinear system of reaction-drift-diffusion equations, a nonlinear ordinary differential equation in Banach spaces and an elliptic equation for the electrostatic potential. The local existence result is based on the fixed point theorem of Schauder.
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1. Introduction

During the doping process impurity atoms of higher or lower chemical valence as silicon are introduced into a silicon layer to influence its electrical properties. Such dopants penetrate under high temperatures, usually around 1000°C, with the so-called pair diffusion mechanism into the (homogeneous) layer. A precise description of the process can be found in [1, 2, 6, 12] and in the literature cited therein.

Usually, dopant atoms (denoted by $A$) occupy substitutional sites in the silicon crystal lattice, loosing (donors, such as Arsenic and Phosphorus) or gaining (acceptors, such as Boron) by this an electron. The dopants move by interacting with native point defects called interstitials (denoted by $I$) and vacancies (denoted by $V$). Interstitials are silicon atoms which are not placed on a lattice site and move through the crystal unconstrained, and vacancies are empty lattice sites. Both can form mobile pairs with dopant atoms ($AI$, $AV$), while the unpaired dopants are immobile. The formation and decay of such
pairs as well as the recombination of defects cause a movement of the dopants. These interactions can be modelled in terms of chemical reactions. The resulting nonlinear model contains a set of reaction-drift-diffusion equations for the point defects and pairs, reaction equations for the immobile dopants and a Poisson equation for the electrostatic potential, which may not be neglected if the doping concentrations are high, the situation we are concerned with here.

The aim of this paper is to present a local existence result of the nonlinear system in more than two spatial dimensions. However, the derivation of global estimates (with the help of monotonicity properties of energy functionals) is possible only in two spatial dimensions. For this statement, see [4, 7] where global estimates and the asymptotic behaviour of a two-dimensional model, similar to the present one, are investigated. The domain of the system considered there represents a heterogeneous structure, meaning that the coefficients appearing in the equations may have jumps. Whereas strong existence results in two space dimensions can be found in [12 - 15]. The equations there are considered in homogeneous structures (pure silicon wafers for instance) and therefore, the coefficients are smooth functions.

In Sections 2 and 3 we state the mathematical model and summarize the properties of the quantities appearing in the equations. Section 4 is devoted to the nonlinear ordinary differential equation in Banach spaces which describes the evolution of the immobile dopants. We formulate an existence and compactness result, which we will prove with special techniques required for this type of equation. In Section 5 we collect results concerning the Poisson equation. For a detailed analysis of this we refer the reader to the literature. Both, the ordinary differential equation and the Poisson equation are crucial ingredients in Section 6, where we state a local existence and uniqueness result of the complete model. For this we use the fixed point theorem of Schauder. We apply well known embedding theorems in Sobolev spaces and the theory of linear parabolic differential equations in order to verify the assumptions required in the fixed point theorem. Whereas the uniqueness is an immediate consequence of stability estimates and Gronwall’s lemma.

2. The model

For \( i \in \{I,V, AI, AV, A\} \) we consider the species \( X_i \) and denote their concentrations by \( C_i \). We distinguish between mobile and immobile species defining

\[
J = \{I, V, AI, AV\} \quad \text{and} \quad J' = \{A\},
\]

respectively. We denote by \( \mathbf{C} = (C_I, C_V, C_{AI}, C_{AV}, C_A) \) the corresponding concentration vector. Each of the \( X_i \) \( (i \in J \cup J') \) is considered as the union of charged species \( X_i^{(j)} \), with the charged states \( j \in S_i \), where each \( S_i \subset \mathbb{Z} \).
Thus, if \( C_i^{(j)} \) denotes the concentration of \( X_i^{(j)} \), the total concentrations \( C_i \) are defined as
\[
C_i = \sum_{j \in S_i} C_i^{(j)} \quad (i \in J \cup J').
\] (2.2)

The immobile species \( X_i (i \in J') \) usually obey one single charged state, which is \(-1\) for acceptors and \(+1\) for donors. In this case we just have \( S_i \in \{-1, +1\} \).

The charge density of the electrons \( n \) and holes \( p \) are assumed to obey the Boltzmann statistics, meaning that
\[
n = n_i \exp \left( \frac{\psi}{U_T} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad p = n_i \exp \left( -\frac{\psi}{U_T} \right).
\] (2.3)

Therein, \( n_i \) and \( U_T \) are physical constants.

The chemical potential of the electrons is denoted by \( \psi \), and
\[
P_i(\psi) = \sum_{j \in S_i} K_i^{(j)} e^{-j \frac{\psi}{U_T}}
\]
are reference concentrations with positive constants \( K_i^{(j)} \). Set
\[
a_i = \frac{C_i}{P_i(\psi)} \quad (i \in J \cup J')
\] (2.4)
which represents the electrochemical activity of the \( i \)-th component.

We define \( Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T) \), where \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), \( 0 < T < \infty \) and \( 3 \leq n \in \mathbb{N} \), with the lateral surface \( \Sigma_T = \partial \Omega \times (0, T) \). We consider the following equations:

The mobile species for \( i \in J \) obey reaction-drift-diffusion equations
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} + \text{div} \ J_i &= R_i((C_k)_{k \in J}, C_A, \psi) \quad \text{in } Q_T \\
C_i(\cdot, 0) &= C_i^0(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \Omega \\
J_i \cdot n &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_T
\end{align*}
\] (2.5)

The immobile dopant concentration \( C_A \) obeys the reaction equation
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial C_A}{\partial t} &= R_A((C_k)_{k \in J}, C_A, \psi) \quad \text{in } Q_T \\
C_A(\cdot, 0) &= C_A^0(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \Omega
\end{align*}
\] (2.6)

The Poisson equation for the chemical potential of the electrons reads
\[
-\frac{\varepsilon}{e} \Delta \psi + 2n_i \sinh \left( \frac{\psi}{U_T} \right) = \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} Q_i(\psi) C_i \quad \text{in } Q_T \\
\nabla \psi \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_T
\] (2.7)
where $\varepsilon$ and $e$ are physical quantities. For $i \in J$ the drift-diffusion term is given by

$$J_i = -D_i(\psi) \left\{ \nabla C_i + Q_i(\psi) \nabla \left( \frac{\psi}{U_T} \right) C_i \right\}$$  \hspace{2cm} (2.8)

with the diffusivity

$$D_i(\psi) = \sum_{j \in S_i} D_i^{(j)} K_i^{(j)} e^{-j \psi} \frac{P_i(\psi)}{P_i(\psi)}$$

where $D_i^{(j)}$ are positive constants. Whereas,

$$Q_i(\psi) = \sum_{j \in S_i} j K_i^{(j)} e^{-j \psi} \frac{P_i(\psi)}{P_i(\psi)}$$

represents the total charge of the $i$-th species for $i \in J \cup J'$.

Next, we put the reactions in concrete form. The source terms $R_i(C, \psi)$ result from the reactions occurring during the redistribution of the dopants. All relevant reactions occurring during the (single) dopant diffusion are of second order. We have, due to (2.4),

$$R_{A,I} := K_{A,I}(\psi)(a_{AI} - a_{AI}) \hspace{2cm} R_{AV,I} := K_{AV,I}(\psi)(a_{AV} - a_{AV})$$

$$R_{A,V} := K_{A,V}(\psi)(a_{AV} - a_{AV}) \hspace{2cm} R_{AI,V} := K_{AI,V}(\psi)(a_{AI} - a_{AI})$$

$$R_{I,V} := K_{I,V}(\psi)(a_{AV} - a_{AV} - 1) \hspace{2cm} R_{AI,AV} := K_{AI,AV}(\psi)(a_{AI} - a_{AI})$$

where for certain $i, k \in J \cup J'$ the reaction rate coefficients are

$$K_{i,k}(\psi) = \sum_{j \in S_{i,k}} K_{i,k}^{(j)} e^{-j \psi}$$

with $K_{i,k}^{(j)}$ positive constants and $S_{i,k} \subset Z$ special sets of indices. Thus, the source terms $R_i(C, \psi)$ are for $i \in J$ with (2.9) of the form

$$R_I(C, \psi) = -R_{A,I} - R_{AV,I} - R_{I,V} \hspace{2cm} R_V(C, \psi) = -R_{A,V} - R_{AI,V} - R_{I,V}$$

$$R_{AI}(C, \psi) = R_{A,I} - R_{AI,V} - R_{AI,AV} \hspace{2cm} R_{AV}(C, \psi) = R_{A,V} - R_{AV,I} - R_{AI,AV}$$

and for $i \in J'$ we have

$$R_A(C, \psi) = -R_{A,I} - R_{AV} + R_{AI,V} + R_{AV,I} + 2R_{AI,AV}.$$  \hspace{2cm} (2.10)

For a detailed description and physical meaning of the coefficients mentioned above see, for instance, [2]. Moreover, we set the constants $\varepsilon, e, U_T, 2n_i$ equal to one for the analytical investigations.
3. Problem (P)

Now we summarize the basic properties of the coefficients appearing in the equations. The notation of the function spaces corresponds to that in [8, 9]. If we consider some function space $Y$, we denote by $Y_+$ the cone of its non-negative elements. Operations on vectors have to be understood componentwise. Throughout the paper $\Lambda > 0$ denotes a generic constant, which we supply with indices if the occasion arises.

As can easily be seen, the coefficients appearing in the equations for $i \in J \cup J'$ and $k \in J$ have the following properties:

$D_k, Q_i, P_i \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$

$0 < \Lambda_1 \leq D_k(\psi) \leq \Lambda_2$

$|D_k^{(l)}(\psi)|, |Q_i^{(l)}(\psi)| \leq \Lambda_3$ with $Q_i'(\psi) < 0$

$P_i(\psi) = P_i(0) \exp \left( -\int_0^\psi Q_i(s) \, ds \right), P_i(0) > 0$

(3.1)

for all $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$ and derivatives $(l = 0, 1, 2)$ of required order two. Furthermore,

$0 < K_{i,j} \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$

(3.2)

for certain $i, j \in J \cup J'$. Moreover,

$P_i(\psi), K_{i,j}(\psi) \leq \Lambda_4 \exp(\Lambda_5|\psi|)$.

(3.3)

The source terms (2.10), (2.11) obey the growth conditions

$R_i(C, \psi) \leq \lambda_1(\psi) \left( \sum_{k \in J \cup J'} (C_k)^2 + 1 \right) \quad (i \in J)$

(3.4)

$R_A(C, \psi) \leq -\lambda_2(\psi)(C_A)^2 + \lambda_3(\psi) \left( \sum_{k \in J} (C_k)^2 + 1 \right)$,

(3.5)

respectively, where $\lambda_r \in C(\mathbb{R})$ $(r = 1, 2, 3)$ with $\lambda_r(\psi) > 0$ for all $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$ and under the assumption of non-negative concentrations $C = (C_k)_{k \in J \cup J'}$. For $i \in J \cup J'$ the source terms satisfy the property

$R_i(C, \psi) \geq 0$

(3.6)

for all $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^5_+$ if $C_i = 0$. 
Finally, we assume

$$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is bounded and } n \geq 3$$

$$\partial \Omega \in C^{1,1}$$

$$C^0_i \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega \text{ for } i \in J \cup J'$$

$$C^0_i \in W^{2-\frac{2}{p}}_p(\Omega) \text{ for } i \in J$$

$$C^0_A \in C(\Omega)$$

$$p \in (n+2, \infty)$$

(3.7)

Since in our case $|J| = 4$ and $|J'| = 1$ are the numbers of mobile and immobile species, respectively, the formulation of the problem reads as follows:

**Definition 1.** We denote the system of equations (2.5) - (2.7) by (P) and call the vector $((C_i)_{i \in J}, C_A, \psi)$ a solution of (P) if

$$((C_i)_{i \in J}, C_A, \psi) \in W^{2,1}_p(Q_{T_f})^4 \times C^1([0, T_f]; C(\Omega)) \times W^1_p(0, T_f; W^2_p(\Omega))$$

and satisfies (P) for some $T_f \in (0, \infty)$.

(3.8)

4. Ordinary differential equation

In this section we consider the ordinary differential equation in Banach spaces (2.6). For given functions $C_k$ ($k \in J$) and $\psi$ with the properties

$$C_k \geq 0$$

$$C_k, \psi \in C([0, T]; C(\Omega))$$

(4.1)

we state an existence result, which we need in the next section.

In accordance with the results and notation used in [11], the reference we apply in the following analysis, we extend problem (2.6) to the whole interval $[0, \infty)$ and write it in the form

$$\dot{u} + \alpha u^2 + \beta u = \gamma \quad \text{in } [0, \infty)$$

$$u(0) = u_0$$

(4.2)

Here the bounded coefficients $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are obtained via (2.11), where we have inserted the extensive functions $\bar{C}_k, \bar{\psi} \in C([0, \infty); C(\Omega))$ given by

$$\bar{C}_k(t, \cdot) = \begin{cases} 
C_k(t, \cdot) & \text{if } t \in [0, T] \\
C_k(T, \cdot) & \text{if } t \in (T, \infty)
\end{cases}$$
(the same with $\psi$). With (3.1) and (3.2) we conclude that
\begin{align}
\alpha, \beta, \gamma &\in C([0, \infty); C(\overline{\Omega})) \\
\alpha(t, x) &\geq c_0 > 0 \text{ and } \beta(t, x), \gamma(t, x) \geq 0 \text{ in } [0, \infty) \times \overline{\Omega}.
\end{align}
(4.3)

We have $C_+(\overline{\Omega}) \subset C(\overline{\Omega})$ is closed and convex. The map $f$ defined by
\begin{align}
f : [0, \infty) \times C_+(\overline{\Omega}) &\to C(\overline{\Omega}) \\
f(t, u)(x) &= \gamma(t, x) - \alpha(t, x)u^2(x) - \beta(t, x)u(x)
\end{align}
(4.4)
is continuous and maps bounded sets into bounded sets. Moreover, set
\begin{align}
m_{\pm}[v, w] &= \lim_{h \to 0^\pm} \frac{h}{1} \left( \|v + hw\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} - \|v\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} \right).
\end{align}
(4.5)

Then, clearly,
\begin{align}
m_-[v, w] &= -m_+[v, -w].
\end{align}
(4.6)

We apply [11: p. 238/Theorem 5.1] to prove

**Lemma 1.** Let (4.3) be satisfied. Then problem (4.2) has a unique, non-negative solution $u \in C^1([0, \infty); C(\overline{\Omega}))$ which satisfies the estimate
\begin{align}
\|u(t)\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} &\leq t \|f(\cdot, u_0)\|_{C([0, t]; C(\overline{\Omega}))} + \|u_0\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})}
\end{align}
(4.7)
for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.

**Proof.** We proceed in Steps I - III.

**Step I.** We have to ensure that
\begin{align}
\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \text{dist}(v + hf(t, v), C_+(\overline{\Omega})) &= 0
\end{align}
for all $(t, v) \in [0, \infty) \times C_+(\overline{\Omega})$. Since $C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ is convex, it is sufficient to show that for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and for all $v \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ there is a $h_0(t, v) > 0$ such that, for all $h > 0$ with $h \leq h_0(t, v)$, the relation $v + hf(t, v) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ holds. Using that $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C([0, \infty); C(\overline{\Omega}))$ with $\gamma(t, x) \geq 0$ and $\alpha(t, x) \geq c_0 > 0$, a short calculation yields
\begin{align}
v(x) + hf(t, v)(x) &\geq v(x) - hv(x)(\alpha(t, x)v(x) + \beta(t, x)) \geq 0
\end{align}
if $h < \left( \|\beta(t)\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} + \|\alpha(t)\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})}\|v\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} \right)^{-1}$.

**Step II.** We deduce the one-sided estimate
\begin{align}
m_-[v - w, f(t, v) - f(t, w)] \leq 0
\end{align}
for all \( t \in [0, \infty) \) and \( v, w \in C_+ (\Omega) \). We get
\[
m_+ [v - w, -(f(t, v) - f(t, w))] \\
= m_+ [v - w, \alpha(t, \cdot) v^2 + \beta(t, \cdot) v - \alpha(t, \cdot) w^2 - \beta(t, \cdot) w] \\
= \lim_{h \to 0+} \frac{1}{h} \left[ \|v - w\| + h \left( \alpha(t, \cdot) v^2 + \beta(t, \cdot) v - \alpha(t, \cdot) w^2 - \beta(t, \cdot) w \right) \right]_{C(\Omega)} \\
- \|v - w\|_{C(\Omega)}).
\]
(4.8)

Let \( h > 0 \). Then
\[
|v(x) - w(x) + h \left( \alpha(t, x) v^2(x) + \beta(t, x) v(x) - \alpha(t, x) w^2(x) - \beta(t, x) w(x) \right)| \\
= |v(x) - w(x)| |1 + h \alpha(t, x)(v(x) + w(x)) + h \beta(t, x)| \\
\geq |v(x) - w(x)|.
\]
From this and (4.8) we deduce
\[
\|v - w\|_{C(\Omega)} \leq \left\| \left( v - w \right) + h \left( \alpha(t, \cdot) v^2 + \beta(t, \cdot) v - \alpha(t, \cdot) w^2 - \beta(t, \cdot) w \right) \right\|_{C(\Omega)},
\]
i.e. \( m_+ [v - w, -(f(t, v) - f(t, w))] \geq 0 \). Thus, from (4.6) we conclude the desired estimate \( m_- [v - w, f(t, v) - f(t, w)] \leq 0 \). So all assumptions of the indicated theorem in [11] are satisfied, saying that for any initial function \( u_0 \in C_+ (\Omega) \) there exists a unique solution \( u \in C^1 ([0, \infty); C_+ (\Omega)) \) of problem (4.2).

**Step III.** In order to derive estimate (4.7) we set
\[
p(t) = \|u(t) - u_0\|_{C(\Omega)} \quad (t \in [0, \infty)).
\]
Then \( p \) is left-sided differentiable in \((0, \infty)\) with
\[
p'_-(t) = m_- [u(t) - u_0, u'(t)] \\
= m_- [u(t) - u_0, f(t, u(t))] \\
\leq m_- [u(t) - u_0, f(t, u(t)) - f(t, u_0)] + \|f(t, u_0)\|_{C(\Omega)} \\
\leq \|f(t, u_0)\|_{C(\Omega)}
\]
where the last inequality is a consequence of the previous step. Thus, since \( p(0) = 0 \), we deduce
\[
p(t) \leq \int_0^t \|f(s, u_0)\|_{C(\Omega)} ds \leq t \|f(\cdot, u_0)\|_{C([0, t]; C(\Omega))}.
\]
In summary we have
\[
\|u(t)\|_{C(\Omega)} \leq p(t) + \|u_0\|_{C(\Omega)} \leq t \|f(\cdot, u_0)\|_{C([0, t]; C(\Omega))} + \|u_0\|_{C(\Omega)}
\]
which is the desired estimate.\( \blacksquare \)
For later use, we state a compactness result concerning problem (4.2). Let \( n + 2 < p < \infty \) and \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C([0, T]; C_+ (\overline{\Omega})) \cap L^1 (0, T; W^1_p (\Omega)) \). Then we define the operator

\[
L : \left[ C([0, T]; C_+ (\overline{\Omega})) \cap L^1 (0, T; W^1_p (\Omega)) \right]^3 \rightarrow C([0, T]; C_+ (\overline{\Omega})) \quad \text{with} \quad L(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = u \tag{4.9}
\]

where \( u \) is a solution of problem (4.2).

We use Ascoli’s theorem (see [11]) to state the following

**Lemma 2.** Let (4.3) be satisfied. Then the mapping stated in (4.9) is compact.

**Proof.** Let

\[
\{ (\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n) \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \left[ C([0, T]; C_+ (\overline{\Omega})) \cap L^1 (0, T; W^1_p (\Omega)) \right]^3
\]

be a sequence satisfying

\[
\| (\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n) \|_{[C([0, T]; C_+ (\overline{\Omega}))]^3} + \| (\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n) \|_{[L^1 (0, T; W^1_p (\Omega))]^3} \leq \Lambda \tag{4.10}
\]

with a constant \( \Lambda > 0 \). We consider \( \{ u_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C([0, T]; C_+ (\overline{\Omega})) \) defined by

\[
u_n = L(\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n)\]

and again proceed in several steps.

**Step I.** We have to show that \( \{ u_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is equicontinuous. Let

\[
f_n(t, v) = \gamma_n(t, \cdot) - \alpha_n(t, \cdot)v^2 - \beta_n(t, \cdot)v.
\]

For \( s < t \) we have the estimate

\[
\| u_n(t) - u_n(s) \|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} \leq \int_s^t \| f_n(\tau, u_n(\tau)) \|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} d\tau \leq (t - s) \tilde{\Lambda}
\]

where the constant \( \tilde{\Lambda} > 0 \) is independent of \( n \). This proves the equicontinuity.

**II.** Finally, we have to verify that for any \( t \in [0, T] \) the set \( \{ u_n(t) \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C(\overline{\Omega}) \) is relatively compact. We apply the theorem of Ascoli-Arcelá.

1. From (4.7) we get the estimate

\[
| u_n(t)(x) | \leq \| u_n(t) \|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} \leq T \tilde{\Lambda} + \| u_0 \|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} =: \hat{\Lambda}
\]

for all \( x \in \overline{\Omega} \), which is independent on \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).
2.) It remains to prove the equicontinuity in $\Omega$. Let $x \neq y \in \Omega$. A short calculation and the application of Gronwall’s lemma yield
\[
|u_n(t)(x) - u_n(t)(y)| \\
\leq \exp(\Lambda_1 T) \Lambda_2 \left( |u_0(x) - u_0(y)| + \int_0^T |\alpha_n(s, x) - \alpha_n(s, y)| \, ds \\
+ \int_0^T |\beta_n(s, x) - \beta_n(s, y)| \, ds + \int_0^T |\gamma_n(s, x) - \gamma_n(s, y)| \, ds \right)
\]
for all $t \in [0, T]$ and some constants $\Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda_2$, which are composed of the quantities $\Lambda$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$ introduced in the present derivation. Since $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in L^1(0, T; W^1_2(\Omega))$, it results from embedding theorems (see [8]) that $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in C^\lambda(\Omega)$ with $0 < \lambda \leq 1 - \frac{n}{p}$. Thus we get
\[
\int_0^T \frac{|\alpha_n(s, x) - \alpha_n(s, y)|}{|x - y|^\lambda} \, ds \|x - y\|^\lambda \leq \int_0^T \|\alpha_n(s)\|_{C^\lambda(\Omega)} \, ds \|x - y\|^\lambda \\
\leq T\Lambda \|x - y\|^\lambda
\]
and similarly with $\beta_n$ and $\gamma_n$. We conclude with
\[
|u_n(t)(x) - u_n(t)(y)| \leq \Lambda (|u_0(x) - u_0(y)| + \|x - y\|^\lambda)
\]
which yields the desired equicontinuity and completes the proof of compactness.

5. Poisson equation

Next, we collect results concerning the elliptic equation (2.7). We sketch the results and refer the reader for a detailed analysis to [12, 15]. Therein, the existence results are restricted to two spatial dimensions as a consequence of an $L^\infty$-estimate for the chemical potential of the electrons and the embedding theorem of Trudinger [16]. We do not need these ingredients in the subsequent sections. Thus, the following results regarding the Poisson equation are valid in any space dimension.

We use the fixed point theorem of Leray-Schauder (see [3]) to prove the following

**Lemma 3.** Let $n < p < \infty$ and $C \in [L^p_+(\Omega)]^5$. Then there exists a unique solution $\psi \in W^2_p(\Omega)$ of problem (2.7). Moreover, there exists a constant $\Lambda_p > 0$ such that
\[
\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_{W^2_p(\Omega)} \leq \Lambda_p \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} \|C_i - \tilde{C}_i\|_{L^p_+(\Omega)}
\] (5.1)
for \( C, \tilde{C} \in [L^p_+(\Omega)]^5 \) and the corresponding \( \psi, \tilde{\psi} \) satisfying the Poisson equation.

**Proof.** We define the mapping

\[
Z : W^1_p(\Omega) \times [0, 1] \to W^1_p(\Omega), \quad Z(\phi, \tau) = \psi
\]

where \( \psi \) is the solution of the linear problem

\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta \psi + \lambda \psi = \tau \left( \lambda \phi - \sinh \phi + \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} Q_i(\phi) C_i \right) := \tau g & \text{in } \Omega \\
\nabla \psi \cdot n = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega
\end{cases}
\]

(5.2)

with an appropriate constant \( \lambda > 0 \). We proceed in Steps I - V.

**Step I.** The mapping \( Z \) is well defined, since Sobolev's lemma yields \( \phi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \), and so does \( \sinh(\phi) \). This combined with (3.1) results in \( g \in L^p(\Omega) \).

Thus, from the elliptic theory (see [5, 9]) we conclude the existence of a unique solution \( \psi \in W^2_p(\Omega) \) of problem (5.2).

**II.** \( Z(\phi, 0) = 0 \) for all \( \phi \in W^1_p(\Omega) \).

**III.** From the compact embedding of \( W^2_p(\Omega) \) into \( W^1_p(\Omega) \) we get the compactness of the mapping \( Z \).

**IV.** Obviously, for \( \phi \) from bounded sets in \( W^1_p(\Omega) \) the mapping \( Z(\phi, \cdot) \) is uniformly continuous. We show the continuity in the first argument. Let \( \tau = 1 \) and set \( \tilde{\psi} = \psi_1 - \psi_2 \) as well as \( \tilde{\phi} = \phi_1 - \phi_2 \). Moreover, we deduce from the mean value theorem

\[
sinh(\phi_1) - sinh(\phi_2) = \int_0^1 \sinh(\tilde{\phi}(s)) \, ds \, \tilde{\phi}
\]

(similarly with \( Q_i \)) where \( \tilde{\phi} \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \tilde{\phi}(s) = s\phi_1(s) + (1-s)\phi_2(s) \) \((s \in [0,1])\).

We get

\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta \tilde{\psi} + \lambda \tilde{\psi} = \lambda \tilde{\phi} - \int_0^1 \cosh(\tilde{\phi}) \, ds \, \tilde{\phi} + \sum_i \int_0^1 Q'_i(\tilde{\phi}) \, ds \, \tilde{\phi} C_i & \text{in } \Omega \\
\nabla \tilde{\psi} \cdot n = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega
\end{cases}
\]

(5.2)

From the linear elliptic theory and Sobolev's embedding theorem we conclude that there exists a constant \( \Lambda > 0 \) such that

\[
\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{W^2_p(\Omega)} \leq \Lambda \left( \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} \|C_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} \right) \leq \Lambda \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{W^1_p(\Omega)}
\]
from which the continuity follows.

Step V. In order to derive the $\tau$-independent a priori estimates in $W^2_p(\Omega)$ of the fixed points we start to test the corresponding equation with $\psi|\psi|^{p-2}$ to deduce for $\tau \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$

$$
\int_\Omega -\Delta \psi |\psi|^{p-2} dx + (1 - \tau) \lambda \int_\Omega |\psi|^p dx + \tau \int_\Omega \sinh(\psi) |\psi|^{p-2} dx
= \tau \int_\Omega \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} Q_i(\psi) C_i |\psi|^{p-2} dx.
$$

Obviously, the first and the third integral are non-negative. So we get with Young’s inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \lambda \int_\Omega |\psi|^p dx \leq \Lambda_\delta \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} \int_\Omega |C_i|^p dx + f(\delta) \int_\Omega |\psi|^p dx
$$

where $f(\delta) \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$. Thus, taking $\delta > 0$ small, there exists a constant $\Lambda_p > 0$ such that

$$
\|\psi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \Lambda_p \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} \|C_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)}. \tag{5.3}
$$

Next, we test with $\sinh(\psi)|\sinh(\psi)|^{p-2}$ to get for $\tau \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$

$$
n\int_\Omega -\Delta \psi \sinh(\psi) |\sinh(\psi)|^{p-2} dx + (1 - \tau) \lambda \int_\Omega \psi \sinh(\psi) |\sinh(\psi)|^{p-2} dx + \tau \int_\Omega |\sinh(\psi)|^p dx = \tau \int_\Omega \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} Q(\psi) C_i \sinh(\psi) |\sinh(\psi)|^{p-2} dx.
$$

The first (see, e.g., [15]) and the second integral on the left-hand side are non-negative. Again, Young’s inequality yields the existence of a constant $\Lambda_p > 0$ such that

$$
\|\sinh(\psi)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \Lambda_p \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} \|C_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)}. \tag{5.4}
$$

Since $|\psi| \leq |\sinh(\psi)|$ we get from (5.3) and (5.4) that

$$
\|\psi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \Lambda_p \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} \|C_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \tag{5.5}
$$

which is independent of $\tau$. 
Finally, we take $-\Delta \psi |\Delta \psi|^{p-2}$ as a test function, use (5.5) and the inequality of Young, saying that there exists a constant $\Lambda_p > 0$ such that

$$\|\Delta \psi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \Lambda_p \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} \|C_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)}. \quad (5.6)$$

With (5.5) and (5.6) the elliptic theory comes out with

$$\|\psi\|_{W^2_p(\Omega)} \leq \Lambda_p \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} \|C_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \quad (5.7)$$

which is the desired estimate.

Using the non-negativity of the concentration vector $(C_i)_{i \in J \cup J'}$ it is easy to prove stability estimate (5.1) from which the uniqueness of the solution of the Poisson equation follows.

We include the time regularity of $\psi$. So, if $C_i \in C([0, T]; L^p(\Omega))$ for $i \in J \cup J'$, then we immediately get

$$\psi \in C([0, T]; W^2_p(\Omega)). \quad (5.8)$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $\Lambda_p > 0$ such that

$$\|\psi\|_{C([0, T]; W^2_p(\Omega))} \leq \Lambda_p \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} \|C_i\|_{C([0, T]; L^p(\Omega))}. \quad (5.9)$$

If in addition $C_i \in W^1_p(0, T; L^p(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T]; C(\overline{\Omega}))$ for $i \in J \cup J'$, we are able to show that

$$\psi \in W^1_p(0, T; W^2_p(\Omega)) \quad (5.10)$$

and that there exists another constant $\Lambda_p > 0$ satisfying

$$\|\psi\|_{W^1_p(0, T; W^2_p(\Omega))} \leq \Lambda_p \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} \|C_i\|_{W^1_p(0, T; L^p(\Omega))}. \quad (5.11)$$

Thus, we have summarized all results concerning $\psi$, which we need for further investigations.
6. Existence and uniqueness

Using the fixed point theorem of Schauder, we prove the existence of a strong solution of Problem (P) according to Definition 1. We are able to formulate the following main result:

**Theorem 1.** Under assumptions (3.1) – (3.7) there exists an instant of time $T_f > 0$ such that the system of equations (2.5) – (2.7) has a unique solution $\mathbf{C}$. This solution satisfies $\mathbf{C} \geq 0$.

The proof of this theorem consists of several steps, which we present in the next subsections. We start with a modification of our problem.

**Definition 2.** If we replace in problem (P) the source terms by $R_i((C_k^+_{k \in J}, C_A, \psi)$ and the right-hand side in Poisson equation (2.7) by $\sum_{i \in J} Q_i(\psi) C_i^+ + Q_A(\psi) C_A$ where

$$C_i^+ = \begin{cases} C_i & \text{if } C_i \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } C_i < 0 \end{cases}$$

we denote the modified system by $(P^+)$. In the next subsection we will show that for any solution of problem $(P^+)$ the concentrations are non-negative. Then we will prove the existence of a strong solution of problem $(P^+)$ with the help of Schauder’s fixed point theorem in Sobolev spaces and use regularity results to get the desired smoothness. This (non-negative) solution obviously solves problem (P), too. Finally, we have to show that there exists no other solution of problem (P), which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

6.1 Problem $(P^+)$. First we prove the non-negativity of the concentrations of the mobile species.

**Lemma 4.** Let

$$((C_i)_{i \in J}, C_A, \psi) \in [W^{2,1}_p(Q_T)]^4 \times C^1([0, T_f]; C(\Omega)) \times W^{1}_p(0, T_f; W^2_p(\Omega))$$

be a solution of problem $(P^+)$. Then $C_i \geq 0$ for $i \in J$.

**Proof.** For $i \in J$ we test the equation

$$\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} + \text{div} J_i = R_i((C_k^+_{k \in J}, C_A, \psi)$$
with $C_i^- = C_i^+ - C_i$ where $J_i$ is defined in (2.8). We get with appropriate constants the estimate

$$
\int_{\Omega} (C_i^-(t))^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left( \Lambda_1 (\nabla C_i^-)^2 + R_i ((C_k^+)_i \in J_C, \psi) C_i^- \right) dx ds
\leq \Lambda \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\nabla C_i^-)^2 dx ds + \Lambda \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \psi)^2 (C_i^-)^2 dx ds \right)
\leq \Lambda \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\nabla C_i^-)^2 dx ds + \Lambda \varepsilon \int_0^t ||\nabla \psi||^2_{C(\Omega)} ||C_i^-||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ds \right)
$$

where we used Young’s inequality and properties (3.1) - (3.2). Since $C_i^+ C_i^- = 0$ we are able to apply property (3.6) to omit the reaction rates. We choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{\Lambda \varepsilon}{2} = \Lambda_1$. Then we get

$$
||C_i^-(t)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \Lambda \int_0^t ||\nabla \psi||^2_{C(\Omega)} ||C_i^-||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ds.
$$

We have $\nabla \psi \in L^2(0, T; C(\Omega))$ and $C_i^- \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. So we can use Gronwall’s lemma, saying that $||C_i^-(t)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

### 6.2 Fixed point iteration for problem (P$^+$).

Now we prove the existence of a local solution of problem (P$^+$) in Sobolev spaces by means of the fixed point theorem of Schauder.

We define the constants

$$
\begin{align*}
  k_1 &= K_0 \Lambda_0 \\
  k_2 &= k(k_1 + \Lambda_0). \\
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\frac{\Lambda_0}{2} = \sum_{i \in J} ||C_i^0||_{W^{2,2}_{p,\chi}(\Omega)} + ||C_A||_{C(\Omega)} + 1
\quad \text{and} \quad
K_0 = \sum_{i \in J} K_i
$$

where the constants $K_i, k > 0$ depend on known quantities only and will be specified below. Further, we define the set

$$
X_T = \left\{ (C, \phi) \in [W^{2,1}_{p}(Q_T)]^4 \times C([0, T]; W^{1}_{p}(\Omega)) \mid \begin{array}{l}
||C||_{[W^{2,1}_{p},[Q_T]]^4} \leq k_1 \\
||\phi||_{C([0, T]; W^{1}_{p}(\Omega))} \leq k_2
\end{array} \right\}
$$

(6.3)
for some \( T \in (0, \infty) \) and consider the vector-valued mapping

\[
Z : X_T \to [W_p^{2,1}(Q_T)]^4 \times C([0,T];W_p^1(\Omega))
\]

\[
Z((C_k)_{k \in J}, \phi) = ((C_k)_{k \in J}, \psi)
\]

(6.4)

where \( C_A \) is the non-negative solution of the ordinary differential equation problem in Banach spaces

\[
\frac{\partial C_A}{\partial t} = R_A((C_k^+)_{k \in J}, C_A, \phi) \quad \text{in } Q_T
\]

\[
C_A(\cdot,0) = C_A^0 \quad \text{in } \Omega
\]

(6.5)

the chemical potential \( \psi \) is the solution of the problem

\[
-\Delta \psi + \sinh \psi = \sum_{i \in J} Q_i(\psi) C_i^+ + Q_A(\psi) C_A \quad \text{in } Q_T
\]

\[
\nabla \psi \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_T
\]

(6.6)

and for \( i \in J \) the concentrations \( C_i \) solve the problem

\[
\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} - \text{div} \left\{ D_i(\psi)[\nabla C_i + Q_i(\psi)\nabla \psi C_i] \right\} = R_i((C_k^+)_{k \in J}, C_A, \psi) \quad \text{in } Q_T
\]

\[
\nabla C_i \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_T
\]

\[
C_i(\cdot,0) = C_i^0 \quad \text{in } \Omega
\]

(6.7)

Now we check the properties of the mapping required in the fixed point theorem in the following steps Ia/b - III:

**Step Ia.** The mapping \( Z \) is well defined, since system (6.5) - (6.7) has a unique solution

\[
(C_A, \psi, (C_i)_{i \in J}) \in C^1([0,T];C(\overline{\Omega})) \times W_p^1(0,T;W_p^1(\Omega)) \times [W_p^{2,1}(Q_T)]^4.
\]

(6.8)

Indeed, in order to see the solvability of system (6.5) - (6.7) we first note that for \( i \in J \) each \( C_i \in W_p^{2,1}(Q_T) \), due to embedding theorems, also belongs to the space \( C([0,T];C(\overline{\Omega})) \) and so do the cuts. The function \( \phi \in C([0,T];W_p^1(\Omega)) \) is also continuous in both variables. Having this in mind we can say that for given \( ((C_i)_{k \in J}, \phi) \in [C([0,T];C(\overline{\Omega}))]^5 \) according to Lemma 1 the nonlinear equation (6.5) has a unique solution

\[
C_A \in C^1([0,T];C(\overline{\Omega}))
\]

(6.9)
which satisfies $C_A \geq 0$. In addition, the concentrations $C_A$ and $C_i$ $(i \in J)$ in the right-hand side of (6.6) belong to the space $W^1_p(0,T; L^p(\Omega))$. This yields due to (5.10) that

$$\psi \in W^1_p(0,T; W^2_p(\Omega)). \quad (6.10)$$

From (6.9), (6.10) and embedding theorems it follows that the coefficients as well as the right-hand side of (6.7) are continuous, and thus they belong to the space $L^p(Q_T)$ for any $p \geq 1$, especially for $p \in (n+2, \infty)$. So, with (3.7) the parabolic theory (see [8]) yields

$$\left( C_i \right)_{i \in J} \in [W^{2,1}_p(Q_T)]^4 \quad (6.11)$$

and (6.8) follows.

**Step Ib.** For later use, we state an estimate. We get by testing (6.5) with $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(C^1_A - C^2_A) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(C^1_A - C^2_A)|^{p-2}$, combined with the linear theory of ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces, and from the linear elliptic theory applied to (6.6) that there exists a constant $\Lambda > 0$ such that the stability estimate

$$\|C^1_A - C^2_A\|_{W^1_p(0,T; L^p(\Omega))} + \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{L^p(0,T; W^2_p(\Omega))} \leq \Lambda \left( \sum_{i \in J} \|C^1_i - C^2_i\|_{L^p(0,T; L^p(\Omega))} + \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{L^p(0,T; L^p(\Omega))} \right) \quad (6.12)$$

holds for all $\phi_1, \phi_2, C^1_i, C^2_i \in L^p(0,T; L^p(\Omega))$ with the corresponding solutions $C^1_A, C^2_A$ of problem (6.5) and $\psi_1, \psi_2$ of problem (6.6).

**Step II.** We show that there exists an instant of time $T_f \in (0, \infty)$ such that $Z(X_{T_f}) \subseteq X_{T_f}$. Let $T_0 \in (0, \infty)$ be fixed. In order to state the constant $k > 0$ used in (6.1) we estimate the chemical potential given by equation (6.6). According to (4.7), (5.9) and (6.3) there exists (cf. (5.9)) a constant $k > 0$ such that

$$\|\psi\|_{C([0,T]; W^2_p(\Omega))} \leq k \left( \sum_{i \in J} \|C^+_i\|_{C([0,T]; L^p(\Omega))} + \|C_A\|_{C([0,T]; L^p(\Omega))} \right) \leq k \left( k_1 + \frac{\Lambda_0}{2} + TA_\Omega \right) \left( C_k^+(C^0_k) \right) \quad (6.13)$$

where $\Lambda_\Omega > 0$ and $R_A((C^+_k)_{k \in J}, C^0_A, \phi)$ depends on known quantities, especially on $k_1, k_2 > 0$ stated in (6.3). We choose $T_1 \in (0, T_0]$ such that

$$T_1 A_\Omega \left( C_k^+(C^0_k) \right) \leq \frac{\Lambda_0}{2} \quad (6.14)$$
and conclude
\[ \| \psi \|_{C([0,T_1];W^1_p(\Omega))} \leq \| \psi \|_{C([0,T_1];W^2_p(\Omega))} \leq k_2. \] (6.15)

Moreover, the local solution \( \psi \in W^1_p(0,T_1;W^2_p(\Omega)) \) satisfies estimate (5.11) with \( C_i^+ \) instead of \( C_i \) for \( i \in J \) therein.

In order to state \( K_i > 0 \) defined in (6.2), let us write the differential equation in problem (6.7) for \( i \in J \) in the form
\[
\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} - D_i(\psi) \Delta C_i = F_i
\]
where
\[
F_i = R_i(\text{int}(C_k^+_{k\in J}, C_A, \psi)) + \text{div} \left\{ D_i(\psi)Q_i(\psi) \nabla \psi C_i \right\} + D'(\psi) \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla C_i.
\]

We set \( K_i = K_i(T_0) \). Then the parabolic theory yields the estimate
\[ \| C_i \|_{W^{2,1}_p(Q_T)} \leq \frac{K_i}{2} \left( \| C_i^0 \|_{W^{2-\frac{2}{p}}_p(\Omega)} + \| F_i \|_{L^p(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} \right) \] (6.16)
which is true for all \( T \in (0,T_0] \) and where the \( K_i > 0 \) remain bounded for any finite \( T_0 > 0 \) (see [8]). With (6.16) we get the estimates
\[ \| C_i \|_{W^{2,1}_p(Q_T)} \leq \frac{K_0}{2} \left( \frac{\Lambda_0}{2} + \| R_i(\text{int}(C_k^+_{k\in J}, C_A, \psi)) \|_{L^p(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} + \| \text{div} \left\{ D_i(\psi)Q_i(\psi) \nabla \psi C_i \right\} \|_{L^p(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} \right) \] (6.17)
for \( i \in J \) and where the constants \( K_0, \Lambda_0 \) are defined in (6.2).

We estimate the first \( L^p \)-norm in (6.17). There exists a constant \( \Lambda_1 > 0 \), just depending on known quantities (especially on \( k_1, k_2 > 0 \)) such that
\[
\| R_i(\text{int}(C_k^+_{k\in J}, C_A, \psi)) \|_{L^p(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} \leq T^{\frac{1}{p}} \Lambda_1 \| \lambda_i(\psi) \|_{C([0,T];C(\Omega))} \times \left( \sum_{k \in J} \| C_k^+ \|_{C([0,T];C(\Omega))}^2 + \| (C_A) \|_{C([0,T];C(\Omega))}^2 + 1 \right) \leq T^{\frac{1}{p}} \Lambda_1
\]
where $\lambda_i \in C(\mathbb{R})$ ($i \in J$). We consider the second $L^p$-norm in (6.17) which is

$$\text{div} \left\{ D_i(\psi)Q_i(\psi)\nabla \psi C_i \right\} = (D_i'(\psi)Q_i(\psi) + D_i(\psi)Q_i'(\psi)) (\nabla \psi)^2 C_i + D_i(\psi)Q_i(\psi)\Delta \psi C_i + D_i(\psi)Q_i(\psi)\nabla \psi \cdot \nabla C_i.$$  

(6.18)

We use (3.1) and get the estimate

$$\| D_i(\psi)Q_i(\psi)\nabla \psi \cdot \nabla C_i \|_{L^p(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} \leq T^{\frac{1}{p}}\Lambda \| \nabla \psi \|_{C([0,T];L^p(\Omega))} \| \nabla C_i \|_{L^p(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} \leq T^{\frac{1}{p}}\Lambda \| \nabla \psi \|_{C([0,T];L^p(\Omega))} \| C_i \|_{W^{2,1}_p(Q_T)}$$

where the last inequality is true for $n + 2 < p < \infty$ (see [8] for an explanation of our special choice of $p$). The other terms in (6.18) and the last $L^p$-norm in (6.17) may be estimated similarly. Again we can say that there exists a constant $\Lambda_2 > 0$ just depending on known quantities such that

$$\| \text{div} \left\{ D_i(\psi)Q_i(\psi)\nabla \psi C_i \right\} \|_{L^p(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} + \| D_i(\psi)\nabla \psi \cdot \nabla C_i \|_{L^p(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} \leq T^{\frac{1}{p}}\Lambda_2 \| C_i \|_{W^{2,1}_p(Q_T)}.$$  

Thus, in summary,

$$\left(1 - T^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{K_0\Lambda_2}{2}\right) \| C_i \|_{W^{2,1}_p(Q_T)} \leq \frac{K_0}{2} \left(\frac{\Lambda_0}{2} + T^{\frac{1}{p}}\Lambda_1\right).$$

We choose $T_f \in (0,T_1]$ such that $0 < T_f^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{K_0\Lambda_2}, \frac{\Lambda_0}{2\Lambda_1} \right\}$. Then (cf. (6.1)) we get $\| C_i \|_{W^{2,1}_p(Q_{T_f})} \leq k_1$ for $i \in J$ and so $Z : X_{T_f} \to X_{T_f}$.

**Step III**: We proceed with

**Lemma 5.** The mapping $Z : X_{T_f} \to X_{T_f}$ is compact and continuous.

**Proof.** At first we note that the embedding

$$W^1_p(0,T_f;W^2_p(\Omega)) \subset C([0,T_f];W^1_p(\Omega))$$

is compact. Thus, from (6.8) the mapping is compact in the second variable. Now let $\{(\phi_m)_i \in J, \phi_m \}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X_{T_f}$.

1. From the compact embedding of $W^{2,1}_p(Q_{T_f})$ into the space $L^p(0,T_f;W^1_p(\Omega))$ there follows the existence of a subsequence $C_i^n \to C_i$ in $L^p(0,T_f;W^1_p(\Omega))$ for $n \to \infty$. This is also true for the cuts, i.e.

$$C_i^n \to C_i^+ \quad \text{in} \quad L^p(0,T_f;W^1_p(\Omega)) \quad \text{for} \quad n \to \infty.$$  

(6.20)
2. If we apply Lemma 2 to the equation in (6.5), there follows the existence of a subsequence

$$C^n_A \to C_A \quad \text{in} \ C([0,T_f]; C(\Omega)) \text{ for } n \to \infty. \quad (6.21)$$

3. From (6.19) - (6.21) there follows the convergence of a subsequence

$$\psi_n \to \psi \quad \text{in} \ C([0,T_f]; W^1_p(\Omega)) \text{ for } n \to \infty. \quad (6.22)$$

Let $C^n_i, C_i \ (i \in J)$ be the solutions of problem (6.7). We set

$$\bar{C}^n_i = C^n_i + C_i \quad (i \in J)$$

$$\bar{C}^m_i = C^n_i - C_i \quad (i \in J \cup J')$$

$$\bar{\psi}^n = \psi_n - \psi. \quad (6.23)$$

In $Q_{T_f}$ we consider the system for $\bar{C}^n_i \ (i \in J)$ which is

$$\frac{\partial \bar{C}^n_i}{\partial t} - \text{div}\{B_1 \nabla \bar{C}^n_i\} + B_2 \nabla \bar{C}^n_i + B_3 \bar{C}^n_i = \bar{F}^n_i \quad (6.24)$$

where

$$\bar{F}^n_i = A_1(D_i(\psi_n) - D_i(\psi)) + A_2(D'_i(\psi_n) - D'_i(\psi))$$

$$+ A_3(Q_i(\psi_n) - Q_i(\psi)) + A_4(Q'_i(\psi_n) - Q'_i(\psi))$$

$$+ A_5 \nabla \bar{\psi}^n + A_6 \Delta \bar{\psi}^n$$

$$+ R_i(((C^*_k)_{k \in I, C^n_A, \psi_n}) - R_i((C^*_k)_{k \in I, C_A, \psi}))$$

and with the boundary conditions $\nabla \bar{C}^n_i \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on $\Sigma_T$ and zero initial conditions. We do not discuss the coefficients $A$ and $B$ (supplied with indices) appearing in the linear equations in detail, but mention that they belong at least to the space $C([0,T_f]; L^p(\Omega))$, which we will see in a minute. The parabolic theory (see [8]) yields

$$\sum_{i \in J} \|\bar{C}^n_i\|_{W^{2,1}_{p}}(Q_{T_f}) \leq \Lambda \sum_{i \in J} \|\bar{F}^n_i\|_{L^p(0,T_f; L^p(\Omega))}. \quad (6.25)$$

In order to show convergence of the left-hand side we have to estimate the right-hand side of (6.25) with the help of (5.1) as well as (6.20) and (6.21). For this we use the mean value theorem to get

$$Q_i(\psi_n) - Q_i(\psi) = \int_0^1 Q'_i(\tilde{\psi}) \, ds \, \tilde{\psi}^n$$
(the same with the remaining coefficients which depend on $\psi$) where $\tilde{\psi} = s\psi_n + (1 - s)\psi$. Representative, we estimate the term $A_3(Q_i(\psi_n) - Q_i(\psi))$ where a short calculation gives

$$A_3 = D'_i(\psi)C_i(\nabla\psi)^2 + D_i(\psi)\nabla C_i \cdot \nabla\psi + D_i(\psi)C_i\Delta\psi.$$  

Therein, $\Delta\psi \in C([0, T_f]; L^p(\Omega))$ whereas due to embedding results the other functions are continuous and together we get $A_3 \in C([0, T_f]; L^p(\Omega))$. Thus,

$$\left\| A_3 \int_0^1 Q'_i(\tilde{\psi}) \, ds \, \tilde{\psi}^n \right\|_{L^p(0, T_f; L^p(\Omega))} \leq A\left( \|\tilde{\psi}^n\|_{L^p(0, T_f; L^p(\Omega))} + \|\Delta\psi \tilde{\psi}^n\|_{L^p(0, T_f; L^p(\Omega))} \right) \leq A\left(1 + \|\Delta\psi\|_{C([0, T_f]; L^p(\Omega))}\right)\|\tilde{\psi}^n\|_{L^p(0, T_f; C(\Omega))} \leq A\|\tilde{\psi}^n\|_{L^p(0, T_f; W^2_{p'}(\Omega))} \leq A\|C_i^n\|_{L^p(0, T_f; L^p(\Omega))} + \|\tilde{C}_A^n\|_{L^p(0, T_f; L^p(\Omega))}.$$  

The other terms in (6.25) may be estimated similarly. Thus, we are able to show that there exists a constant $\Lambda > 0$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i \in J} \|\tilde{F}_i^n\|_{L^p(0, T_f; L^p(\Omega))} \leq A\left( \sum_{i \in J} \|C_i^n\|_{L^p(0, T_f; L^p(\Omega))} + \|\tilde{C}_A^n\|_{L^p(0, T_f; L^p(\Omega))} \right) \quad (6.26)$$  

which implies convergence in the left-hand side of (6.25). This and (6.19) prove the compactness of the mapping $Z$.

The continuity of the Mapping $Z$ can be obtained by similar arguments. More precisely, we take a sequence $\{(C^n_i)_{i \in J}, \phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X_{T_f}$ and from this we get for the cuts $C_i^{n+}$ that

$$C_i^{n+} \to C_i^+ \quad \text{in} \quad L^p(0, T_f; W^1_{p'}(\Omega)) \cap W^1_{p'}(0, T_f; L^p(\Omega))$$  

as well as

$$\phi_n \to \phi \quad \text{in} \quad C([0, T_f]; W^1_{p'}(\Omega))$$  

for $n \to \infty$. We consider the differences in equations (6.5) - (6.7), use inequalities (5.1) and (6.12) to get the continuity of the mapping $Z$.

From steps I - III we conclude the existence of a local, non-negative solution of Problem $(P^+)$.
6.3 Uniqueness of problem (P). The solution of problem \((P^+)^n\) obviously solves problem \((P)\), too. In order to show that the (non-negative) solution, which we denote by \(C^1_i, \psi^1 (i \in J \cup J')\), is the only one, we assume the existence of another, not necessarily non-negative solution \(C^2_i, \psi^2 (i \in J \cup J')\). We again consider the system for the respective differences \(\bar{C}_i = C^1_i - C^2_i (i \in J \cup J')\) which is for \(i \in J\) exactly the same as (6.24) if we replace the cuts \((C^n_k + k) k \in J^+ \) and \((C^k_k + k) k \in J^+ \) in the right-hand side \(\bar{F}_i\) by the solution vectors \((C^1_k) k \in J^+ \) and \((C^2_k) k \in J^+ \), respectively. We test the \(i\)-th equation with \(\bar{C}_i\), and if we set
\[
\| \cdot \|^2_{V^1,0(Q_t)} = \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \| \cdot \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| \cdot \|^2_{L^2(0,t;H^1(\Omega))}
\]
we get with the same methods presented in the previous sections the inequality
\[
\| \bar{C}_i \|^2_{V^1,0(Q_t)} \leq \Lambda \left( \sum_{k \in J \cup J'} \int_0^t \| g(s) \|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \| \bar{C}_k(s) \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ds + \varepsilon \sum_{k \in J} \| \bar{C}_k \|^2_{V^1,0(Q_t)} + \| \bar{\psi} \|^2_{L^2(0,t;H^1(\Omega))} \right)
\]
with some \(g \in L^2(0,t;L^\infty(\Omega))\) and \(\varepsilon > 0\) arbitrarily. Similarly, we deduce
\[
\| \bar{C}_A(t) \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \Lambda \left( \sum_{k \in J \cup J'} \int_0^t \| g(s) \|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \| \bar{C}_k(s) \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ds + \| \bar{\psi} \|^2_{L^2(0,t;H^1(\Omega))} \right).
\]
Summation over \(i \in J \cup J'\), the choice of a suitable \(\varepsilon > 0\) and the application of the stability estimate (5.1), which remains valid if only one of the concentrations is non-negative, yield
\[
\sum_{i \in J \cup J'} \| \bar{C}_i(t) \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \Lambda \sum_{i \in J \cup J'} \int_0^t (1 + \| g \|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \| \bar{C}_i \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} ds
\]
for all \(t \in [0,T_f]\). So, we conclude with Gronwall’s lemma \(\sum_{i \in J \cup J'} \| \bar{C}_i(t) \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0\) and this in turn yields with (5.1) that \(\| \psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t) \|_{H^1(\Omega)} = 0\). This proves the unique solvability of problem (P) which completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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