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Abstract

As a generalization of a fundamental result about the Alexander polynomial of links, we give a description of a Torres condition for the twisted Alexander polynomial of links associated to a unimodular representation.

§1. Introduction

The theory of twisted Alexander polynomial was introduced by Lin [13] and Wada [18]. Lin defined it for knots in the 3-sphere using regular Seifert surfaces. On the other hand, Wada defined the twisted Alexander polynomial for finitely presentable groups, which include the link groups. In particular, as an application, Wada told the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot from the Conway knot by means of his invariant. Shortly afterward, several significant results on the original Alexander polynomial were generalized to the twisted case. For example, equivalence of the twisted Alexander polynomial and the Reidemeister torsion, and its symmetry [9], [7], sliceness obstruction for knots and a relation to the Casson-Gordon invariant [7], [8], monicness of the twisted Alexander polynomial for fibered knots [1], [2] and so on. Recently the twisted Alexander polynomials are extensively investigated. See for instance [3], [4], [5], [6], [10], [11], [12], [14], [15] and [16].
However, almost all results mentioned above are basically about knots in the 3-sphere and it seems that there are few generalized results on links. The purpose of the present paper is to give a generalization of the following well-known formula for the Alexander polynomial of links.

**Theorem 1.1** (Torres [17]). The Alexander polynomial $\Delta_L(t_1, \ldots, t_\mu)$ of a $\mu$-component link $L = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_\mu$ satisfies

$$
\Delta_L(t_1, \ldots, t_\mu, 1) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{t_1^{l_i} - 1}{t_1 - 1} \Delta_{L'}(t_1) & \text{if } \mu = 2 \\
(t_1^{l_i} \cdots t_{\mu-1}^{l_{\mu-1}} - 1) \Delta_{L'}(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1}) & \text{if } \mu > 2,
\end{cases}
$$

where $L' = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_{\mu-1}$ is the link obtained from $L$ by removing $L_\mu$ and $l_i$ denotes the linking number of the components $L_i$ and $L_\mu$.

More precisely, we give a description of a Torres condition for the twisted Alexander polynomial of links associated to a unimodular representation. In the next section, we briefly recall the definition of the twisted Alexander polynomial for a link group. The precise statement and the proof of the main theorem of this paper are given in Section 3.

**§2. Twisted Alexander Polynomial for Links**

Let $L = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_\mu$ be a $\mu$-component link in the 3-sphere. We denote the fundamental group of its exterior $E$ by $G(L)$. Namely, we put $G(L) = \pi_1(E)$ and call it the link group. We choose and fix a Wirtinger presentation of $G(L)$. That is, given a regular projection of the link $L$, we assign to each overpass a generator $x_i$ as in Figure 1, a relator $x_i x_k x_i^{-1} x_j^{-1}$ or $x_i^{-1} x_j x_i x_k^{-1}$. Thus we obtain a presentation of $G(L)$ with $u$ generators and $u$ relators,

$$\langle x_1, \ldots, x_u \mid r_1, \ldots, r_u \rangle.$$
After some reordering of the indices, the relators $r_1, \ldots, r_u$ satisfy
\[
\prod_{i=1}^u r_i^{\pm 1} = 1.
\]
This means that any one of the relators is a consequence of the other $u - 1$ relators. We remove one of the relators and call the resulting presentation $G(L) = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_u \mid r_1, \ldots, r_{u-1} \rangle$ a Wirtinger presentation of $G(L)$.

The abelianization homomorphism
\[
\alpha : G(L) \to H_1(E; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \mu} = \langle t_1 \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \langle t_\mu \rangle
\]
is given by assigning to each generator $x_i$ the meridian element $t_k \in H_1(E; \mathbb{Z})$ of the corresponding component $L_k$ of $L$. In this paper, we consider a linear representation $\rho : G(L) \to SL(n; F)$, where $F$ denotes a field.

These maps naturally induce two ring homomorphisms $\tilde{\rho} : \mathbb{Z}[G(L)] \to M(n; F)$ and $\tilde{\alpha} : \mathbb{Z}[G(L)] \to \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_\mu^{\pm 1}]$, where $\mathbb{Z}[G(L)]$ is the group ring of $G(L)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ and $M(n; F)$ is the matrix algebra of degree $n$ over $F$. Taking the tensor of $\tilde{\rho}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$, we obtain a ring homomorphism
\[
\tilde{\rho} \otimes \tilde{\alpha} : \mathbb{Z}[G(L)] \to M(n; F[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_\mu^{\pm 1}]).
\]
Let $F_u$ denote the free group on generators $x_1, \ldots, x_u$ and
\[
\Phi : \mathbb{Z}[F_u] \to M(n; F[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_\mu^{\pm 1}])
\]
the composite of the surjection $\mathbb{Z}[F_u] \to \mathbb{Z}[G(L)]$ induced by the presentation and the map $\tilde{\rho} \otimes \tilde{\alpha}$.

Let us consider the $(u-1) \times u$ matrix $M = M(t_1, \ldots, t_\mu)$ whose $(i,j)$th component is the $n \times n$ matrix
\[
\Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial x_j} \right) \in M(n; F[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_\mu^{\pm 1}]),
\]
where $\partial/\partial x$ denotes the free differential calculus. This matrix $M$ is called the Alexander matrix of $G(L)$ associated to the representation $\rho$.

For $1 \leq j \leq u$, let us denote by $M_j = M_j(t_1, \ldots, t_\mu)$ the $(u-1) \times (u-1)$ matrix obtained from $M$ by removing the column corresponding to a generator $x_j$. We also regard $M_j$ as an $n(u-1) \times n(u-1)$ matrix with coefficients in $F[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_\mu^{\pm 1}]$. 

Twisted Alexander Polynomials
Then Wada’s twisted Alexander polynomial of a link $L$ for a representation $\rho: G(L) \to SL(n; F)$ is defined to be a rational function

$$\Delta_{L,\rho}(t_1, \ldots, t_\mu) = \frac{|M_j|}{|\Phi(x_j - 1)|},$$

where $|M_j|$ denotes the determinant of the matrix $M_j$, and it is well-defined up to a factor $\pm t_1^{k_1} \cdots t_\mu^{k_\mu}$ ($k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$) if $n$ is odd and up to only $t_1^{k_1} \cdots t_\mu^{k_\mu}$ if $n$ is even (see [18] Section 5 for details).

**Remark 2.1.** In general, the twisted Alexander polynomial for a finitely presentable group is a rational function, but it is actually a polynomial for a link group (see [18] Proposition 9 and [10] Theorem 3.1).

§3. A Torres Condition

In this section, we state and prove a generalized Torres condition for the twisted Alexander polynomial of links. An advantage of our description here is that we need not separate the case for $\mu = 2$ from the one for $\mu > 2$. We first prove the theorem in the case of an $SL(2; F)$-representation. After reading the proof for it, one can easily show the similar result for general cases.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $L = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_\mu$ be a $\mu$-component link and $L' = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_{\mu-1}$. For a given representation $\rho': G(L') \to SL(2; F)$, it holds that

$$\Delta_{L,\rho}(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1}, 1) = \{ (t_1^{l_1} \cdots t_{\mu-1}^{l_{\mu-1}})^2 + \varepsilon_{\rho'} t_1^{l_1} \cdots t_{\mu-1}^{l_{\mu-1}} + 1 \} \Delta_{L',\rho'}(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1}),$$

where $\rho : G(L) \to SL(2; F)$ is the composite of the natural surjection $G(L) \to G(L')$ and $\rho'$, $l_i$ denotes the linking number of $L_i$ and $L_{\mu}$, and $\varepsilon_{\rho'}$ is an element of $F$.

**Proof.** For the link group $G(L)$, we choose a Wirtinger presentation:

$$G(L) = \langle x_{ij} \mid r_{kl} \rangle,$$

where $x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{ij_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq \mu$) are generators corresponding to the component $L_i$ and the relator

$$r_{kl} = x_{k'} x_{k} x_{k'}^{-1} x_{k,l+1}^{-1}$$

or

$$x_{k'}^{-1} x_{k} x_{k'} x_{k,l+1}^{-1}$$

corresponds to a crossing of $L_{k'}$ over $L_k$. In the above presentation, we arrange the generators and relators in lexicographic order, which is determined by the
order of components $L_1, \ldots, L_\mu$ and the orientation of each component $L_i$. We should note that the link group $G(L)$ has the deficiency one (namely, the number of relators is less than that of generators).

Let us consider the Alexander matrix of $G(L)$ associated to the representation $\rho : G(L) \to SL(2; F)$:

$$M(t_1, \ldots, t_\mu) = \left( \Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{kl}}{\partial x_{ij}} \right) \right)_{k,i \neq \mu} = \left( \Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{kl}}{\partial x_{ij}} \right)_{k \neq \mu, 1 \leq i \leq j} \Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{kl}}{\partial x_{ij}} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq \mu} \right).$$

Then we know that if we remove the column corresponding to a generator $x_{ij}$ ($i \neq \mu$),

$$|M(t_1, \ldots, t_\mu)| = |\Phi(x_{ij} - 1)| \Delta_{L, \rho}(t_1, \ldots, t_\mu)$$

holds. Thus setting $t_\mu = 1$ in $M(t_1, \ldots, t_\mu)$, it follows that

$$|M(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1}, 1)| = |\Phi(x_{ij} - 1)| \Delta_{L, \rho}(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1}, 1)$$

if $i \neq \mu$.

Now the generators $\{x_{\mu j}\}$ $(1 \leq j \leq j_\mu)$ appear in the following two kinds of relators:

(i) $r_{\mu j} = x_{-vw}^{\pm 1} x_{\mu j} x_{-vw}^{\pm 1} x_{-j_\mu + 1}^{-1}$ and (ii) $r_{pq} = x_{\mu l}^{\pm 1} x_{pq} x_{\mu l}^{\pm 1} x_{p,q+1}^{-1}$,

where the relator (i) corresponds to crossings of $L_v$ over $L_\mu$ and (ii) corresponds to that of $L_\mu$ over $L_p$. Let us see which are the contributions of these relators to the matrix $M(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1}, 1)$.

Claim 1. The contributions of $r_{\mu j}$ are as follows:

(i) $\Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{\mu j}}{\partial x_{vw}} \right)_{t_\mu = 1} = O$, 

(ii) $\Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{\mu j}}{\partial x_{\mu l}} \right)_{t_\mu = 1} = \begin{cases} t_v^{\pm 1} \rho(x_{vw})^{\pm 1} & \text{if } \mu \neq v \\ I & \text{if } \mu = v \end{cases}$,

(iii) $\Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{\mu j}}{\partial x_{\mu, j+1}} \right)_{t_\mu = 1} = -I$,

where $O$ and $I$ denote the zero and the identity matrix respectively.
Proof. (i) An easy calculation shows that
\[
\frac{\partial r_{ij}}{\partial x_{vw}} = 1 - x_{vw}x_{ij}x_{vw}^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad -x_{vw}^{-1} + x_{vw}^{-1}x_{ij}.
\]
Putting \( t_{\mu} = 1 \), we obtain
\[
\Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{ij}}{\partial x_{vw}} \right)_{t_{\mu} = 1} = I - t_{\mu}t_{v}^{-1}\rho(x_{vw})\rho(x_{ij})\rho(x_{vw})^{-1} = O
\]
or
\[
\Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{ij}}{\partial x_{vw}} \right)_{t_{\mu} = 1} = -t_{v}^{-1}\rho(x_{vw})^{-1} + t_{v}^{-1}\rho(x_{vw})^{-1}\rho(x_{ij}) = O,
\]
because \( \rho(x_{ij}) = I \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq j_{\mu} \). (ii) and (iii) follow from the similar calculation. This completes the proof of Claim 1.

Claim 2. The contributions of \( r_{pq} \) are as follows:

(i) \( \Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{pq}}{\partial x_{\mu l}} \right)_{t_{\mu} = 1} = \pm (I - t_{p}\rho(x_{pq})) \),

(ii) \( \Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{pq}}{\partial x_{pq}} \right)_{t_{\mu} = 1} = I \),

(iii) \( \Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{pq}}{\partial x_{p,q+1}} \right)_{t_{\mu} = 1} = -\rho(x_{pq})\rho(x_{p,q+1})^{-1} \) if \( p \neq \mu \)

and the case \( p = \mu \) has already been considered.

Proof. We only show (iii). Since
\[
\frac{\partial r_{pq}}{\partial x_{p,q+1}} = -x_{\mu l}^{\pm 1}x_{pq}x_{\mu l}^{\mp 1}x_{p,q+1}^{-1},
\]
putting \( t_{\mu} = 1 \) and using \( \rho(x_{\mu l}) = I \), we have
\[
\Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{pq}}{\partial x_{p,q+1}} \right)_{t_{\mu} = 1} = -t_{p}t_{p}^{-1}\rho(x_{pq})^{\pm 1}\rho(x_{pq})\rho(x_{\mu l})^{\mp 1}\rho(x_{p,q+1})^{-1} = -\rho(x_{pq})\rho(x_{p,q+1})^{-1},
\]
if \( p \neq \mu \). The proof of Claim 2 is completed.

From the above two claims, we see that the matrix \( M(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{\mu - 1}, 1) \) has the following form:
\[
M(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{\mu - 1}, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ O & C \end{pmatrix},
\]
where

\[ A = \left( \Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{kl}}{\partial x_{ij}} \right) \bigg|_{t_{\mu} = 1} \right) (k, i \neq \mu), \quad B = \left( \Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{kl}}{\partial x_{\mu j}} \right) \bigg|_{t_{\mu} = 1} \right) (k \neq \mu, 1 \leq j \leq j_\mu), \]

and

\[ C = \left( \Phi \left( \frac{\partial r_{kl}}{\partial x_{\mu j}} \right) \bigg|_{t_{\mu} = 1} \right) (1 \leq j, l \leq j_\mu) \]

\[ = \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
\delta v_1 \rho(x_{v_1 w_1})^{\delta v_1} & -I & t_{v_2}^{\delta v_2} \rho(x_{v_2 w_2})^{\delta v_2} & -I \\
-I & t_{v_3}^{\delta v_3} \rho(x_{v_3 w_3})^{\delta v_3} & \cdots & -I \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
& & -I & t_{v_{j_\mu}}^{\delta v_{j_\mu}} \rho(x_{v_{j_\mu} w_{j_\mu}})_{v_{j_\mu}}^{\delta v_{j_\mu}}
\end{array} \right). \]

Here \( \delta v_1 = 1 \) or \(-1\) according to crossings of \( L_{v_1} \) over \( L_\mu \).

**Claim 3.** The determinant of the submatrix \( C \) is given by

\[ |C| = (t_1^{j_1} \cdots t_{j_\mu - 1}^{j_\mu - 1})^2 + \varepsilon \rho t_1^{j_1} \cdots t_{j_\mu - 1}^{j_\mu - 1} + 1, \]

where \( \varepsilon \rho \) is an element of \( F \).

**Proof.** By definition of the determinant of a matrix, we have

\[ |C| = \prod_{i=1}^{j_\mu} |\rho(x_{v_i w_i})_{vi}^{\delta v_i}| t_{v_i}^{2\delta v_i} + (-1)^{j_\mu} \sum_{\sigma \in S} (\text{sgn} \ \sigma) \gamma_1^\sigma \cdots \gamma_{j_\mu}^\sigma t_{v_1}^{\delta v_1} \cdots t_{v_{j_\mu}}^{\delta v_{j_\mu}} + (\text{sgn} \ \sigma_0)(-1)^{2j_\mu}, \]

where \( \gamma_i^\sigma \in F \) denotes a component of the \( 2 \times 2 \)-matrix \( \rho(x_{v_i w_i})_{vi}^{\delta v_i} \) determined by a permutation \( \sigma \), \( S \) is a subset of the symmetric group \( \mathfrak{S}_{2j_\mu} \) consisting of permutations which choose just one component from each submatrix \( \rho(x_{v_i w_i})_{vi}^{\delta v_i} \) and

\[ \sigma_0 = (135 \ldots 2j_\mu - 1)(246 \ldots 2j_\mu) \in \mathfrak{S}_{2j_\mu}. \]

For example, when \( j_\mu = 2 \), the permutation \( \sigma = (1342) \in S \subset \mathfrak{S}_4 \) assigns the coefficient

\[ \gamma_1^\sigma \gamma_2^\sigma = c_1 b_2, \]

where \( c_1, b_2 \) are components of the images

\[ \rho(x_{v_i w_i})_{vi}^{\delta v_i} = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (i = 1, 2). \]
On the other hand, in the matrix $C$, there is an appearance of $t_i^{\delta_i}$ for each crossing of $L_i$ over $L_{\mu}$ $(1 \leq i \leq \mu)$ and $\delta_i = 1$ or $-1$ according as $L_i$ crosses over $L_{\mu}$ from left to right or from right to left. Thus $t_i^{\delta_{i_1}} \cdots t_{i_{\mu}}^{\delta_{i_{\mu}}} = t_1^{\delta_1} \cdots t_{\mu-1}^{\delta_{\mu-1}}$ holds. Since $\text{sgn } \sigma_0 = 1$, if we put $$\varepsilon_{\rho'} = (-1)^{\delta_0} \sum_{\sigma \in S} (\text{sgn } \sigma) \gamma_{\sigma_1} \cdots \gamma_{\sigma_{\mu}}^\rho \in F,$$
we obtain
$$|C| = (t_1^{\delta_1} \cdots t_{\mu-1}^{\delta_{\mu-1}})^2 + \varepsilon_{\rho'} t_1^{\delta_1} \cdots t_{\mu-1}^{\delta_{\mu-1}} + 1.$$ This completes the proof of Claim 3. \hfill \Box

Next the matrix $A$ is equivalent to the Alexander matrix $M'(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1})$ of $G(L')$ associated to the representation $\rho' : G(L') \rightarrow SL(2; F)$. Hence if we remove a column corresponding to a generator $x_{ij}$ ($i \neq \mu$), then we have
$$|M_{ij}(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1}, 1)| = |A_{ij}||C|$$
$$= \{(t_1^{\delta_1} \cdots t_{\mu-1}^{\delta_{\mu-1}})^2 + \varepsilon_{\rho'} t_1^{\delta_1} \cdots t_{\mu-1}^{\delta_{\mu-1}} + 1\}|M'_{ij}(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1})|,$$
where $A_{ij}$ is the matrix obtained from $A$ by removing the column corresponding to $x_{ij}$. Therefore, by definition of the twisted Alexander polynomial, we see that
$$\Delta_{L, \rho}(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1}, 1) = \{(t_1^{\delta_1} \cdots t_{\mu-1}^{\delta_{\mu-1}})^2 + \varepsilon_{\rho'} t_1^{\delta_1} \cdots t_{\mu-1}^{\delta_{\mu-1}} + 1\} \Delta_{L', \rho'}(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1}).$$
This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. \hfill \Box

Remark 3.2. The fact that $\Delta_{L, \rho}(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1}, 1)$ is divisible by $\Delta_{L', \rho'} (t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1})$ also follows from a recent result of Kitano, Suzuki and Wada in [12]. However, we can have no detailed information on the quotient from their result.

A linear representation $\rho : G(L) \rightarrow GL(n; F)$ is called reducible if it has a nontrivial invariant subspace in $F^n$. In this case, we can obtain a piece of information about the coefficient $\varepsilon_{\rho'}$.

**Corollary 3.3.** Under the setting as in Theorem 3.1, if $\rho' : G(L') \rightarrow SL(2; F)$ is a reducible representation, then we have
$$\varepsilon_{\rho'} = -\left( \prod_{i=1}^{\mu-1} \lambda_i^{\delta_i} + \prod_{i=1}^{\mu-1} \lambda_i^{-\delta_i} \right),$$
where $\lambda_i$ is an eigenvalue of the image of a generator $x_{ij}$ ($i \neq \mu$) of $G(L')$. 

---

Takayuki Morifuji

150
Twisted Alexander Polynomials

Proof. First we can assume that the images of generators in a Wirtinger presentation of $G(L)$ have the following forms:

$$\rho(x_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{ij} & b_{ij} \\ 0 & a_{ij}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (i \neq \mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(x_{\mu j}) = I,$$

where $a_{ij} \in F^\times$ and $b_{ij} \in F$. Because the representation $\rho'$ has a 1-dimensional invariant subspace in $F^2$.

Since $x_{ij}x_{ik}^{-1} (i \neq \mu, j \neq k)$ is an element of the commutator subgroup of $G(L)$, we see that $a_{ij} = a_{ik}$ holds for these generators. We then put $\lambda_i = a_{ij}$ for simplicity. Each lower left component of $\rho(x_{ij})$ is zero, so that the nontrivial terms appeared in the coefficient of $t^{\delta_{ik}}_{ij} \cdots t^{\delta_{\mu j}}_{ij}$ are just

$$(−1)^{j_\nu}(\text{sgn } \sigma_1)\lambda_1^{\delta_{ij}} \cdots \lambda_{\nu j}^{\delta_{\mu j}} + (−1)^{j_\nu}(\text{sgn } \sigma_2)\lambda_1^{-\delta_{ij}} \cdots \lambda_{\nu j}^{-\delta_{\mu j}},$$

where $\sigma_1 = (246 \ldots 2j_\mu)$ and $\sigma_2 = (135 \ldots 2j_\mu - 1)$ are elements of the symmetric group $S_{2j_\mu}$. Then it is easy to check that $(-1)^{j_\nu}\text{sgn } \sigma_1 = (-1)^{j_\nu}\text{sgn } \sigma_2 = -1$ holds. Therefore we can have the desired formula. This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

Example 3.4. Let $\rho': G(L') \to SL(2; F)$ be a reducible representation of a knot $L' = L_1$. Then the twisted Alexander polynomial of $L'$ associated to $\rho'$ is given by

$$\Delta_{L', \rho'}(t_1) = \frac{\Delta_{L'}(\lambda_1)\Delta_{L'}(\lambda^{-1}t_1)}{(t_1 - \lambda)(t_1 - \lambda^{-1})},$$

where $\Delta_{L'}(t_1)$ is the original Alexander polynomial of $L'$ and $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of the image of a generator of $G(L')$ (see the proof of [10] Theorem 3.1 for instance). Hence we have

$$\Delta_{L, \rho}(1, 1) = \frac{(2 - (\lambda^1 + \lambda^{-1}))\Delta_{L', \rho'}(1)}{(1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda^{-1})} \Delta_{L'}(\lambda)\Delta_{L'}(\lambda^{-1})$$

$$= \frac{(1 + \lambda + \ldots + \lambda^{l_1-1})(1 + \lambda^{-1} + \ldots + \lambda^{-(l_1-1)})\Delta_{L'}(\lambda)\Delta_{L'}(\lambda^{-1})}{(1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda^{-1})}.$$ 

In particular, if $\rho'$ has the eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$, then we obtain $\Delta_{L, \rho}(1, 1) = l_1^2$ (because $\Delta_{L'}(1) = \pm 1$).

Example 3.5. Let $\rho': G(L') \to SL(2; F)$ be the trivial representation. In this case $\epsilon_{\rho'} = -2$ holds, so that we have

$$\Delta_{L, \rho}(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu - 1}, 1) = (t_1^{l_1} \cdots t_{\mu - 1}^{l_{\mu - 1}} - 1)^2\Delta_{L', \rho'}(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu - 1}).$$
This formula corresponds to the square of Torres’ original formula in Theorem 1.1. In particular, \( \Delta_{L, \rho}(1, \ldots, 1) = 0 \) holds for \( \mu > 2 \).

If we slightly modify the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following general formula for a unimodular representation \( \rho' : G(L') \to SL(n; F) \). We omit here the repetitious proof.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \( L = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_\mu \) be a \( \mu \)-component link and \( L' = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_{\mu-1} \). For a given representation \( \rho' : G(L') \to SL(n; F) \), it holds that

\[
\Delta_{L, \rho}(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1}, 1) = \left( t_1^{l_1} \cdots t_{\mu-1}^{l_{\mu-1}} \right)^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{k, \rho'} (t_1^{l_1} \cdots t_{\mu-1}^{l_{\mu-1}})^{n-k} + (-1)^n \right)
\times \Delta_{L', \rho'}(t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu-1}),
\]

where \( \rho : G(L) \to SL(n; F) \) is the composite of the natural surjection \( G(L) \to G(L') \) and \( \rho' \), \( l_i \) denotes the linking number of \( L_i \) and \( L_\mu \), and \( \varepsilon_{k, \rho'} \) (\( 1 \leq k \leq n - 1 \)) are elements of \( F \).

**Remark 3.7.** If \( \rho \) is a representation to the general linear group \( GL(n; F) \), then the coefficient of the leading term \( (t_1^{l_1} \cdots t_{\mu-1}^{l_{\mu-1}})^n \) becomes a unit element \( \varepsilon_{0, \rho'} \in F^\times \).

Finally, we extend Corollary 3.3 when all the images of the representation \( \rho' : G(L') \to SL(n; F) \) are upper triangle matrices.

**Corollary 3.8.** Under the setting as in Theorem 3.6, if \( \text{Im}(\rho') \) are upper triangle matrices, then the coefficient \( \varepsilon_{k, \rho'} \) is given by

\[
\varepsilon_{k, \rho'} = (-1)^k \sum_{\lambda_{ij} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{ij} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{ij} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{ij}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_{ij} \cdots \lambda_{ij} \cdots \lambda_{ij} \cdots \lambda_{ij})^{l_i},
\]

where \( \lambda_{im} \) (\( 1 \leq m \leq n \)) are the eigenvalues of the image of a generator \( x_{ij} \) (\( i \neq \mu \)) of \( G(L') \) and \( \hat{\lambda}_{im} \) implies that \( \lambda_{im} \) is removed from the product.
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