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## 1 Introduction

Imagine a train moving at speed $u$ with respect to the ground (as reckoned by someone sitting on the ground), and further that a person P is running with a speed $v$ on the train (as reckoned by somebody sitting in the train). Before 1905, Newtonian physics dictated that the speed of the person P as observed by someone on the ground is $u+v$, while we

In einem Brief an Hendrik Lorentz vom Mai 1905 beschrieb Henri Poincaré die Formel für die relativistische Addition von Geschwindigkeiten in einer Dimension, nämlich $u \oplus v=\frac{u+v}{1+u v}$, wenn man für die Lichtgeschwindigkeit $c=1$ setzt. Dieses Additionstheorem enspricht einer geometrischen Konstruktion, die Jerzy Kocik 2012 im American Journal of Physics veröffentlichte. Sein Originalbeweis verwendet kartesische Koordinaten. In der vorliegenden Arbeit geben die Autoren gleich drei kurze und elegante Beweise für die Korrespondenz der Poincaré-Formel und der Kocik-Konstruktion: Einer ist trigonometrischer Natur, ein zweiter ist in der euklidischen Geometrie angesiedelt, und der dritte verwendet Argumente der projektiven Geometrie. Alle drei Beweise werfen ein erhellendes Licht auf die relativistische Addition von Geschwindigkeiten.
now know better; the relativistic formula for velocity addition says that the speed should be $u \oplus v:=(u+v) /(1+u v)$, in units in which the speed of light is 1 .


In [1], a geometric diagram for the construction of $u \oplus v$ from $u$ and $v$ was given. We recall it below.

Theorem 1.1 ([1]). Draw a circle with center $O$ and radius 1. Mark points $U, V$ at distances $u, v$ from $O$ along the radius $O C$ perpendicular to a diameter $A B$. Let the line joining $B$ to $V$ meet the circle at $V^{\prime}$, and let the line joining $A$ to $U$ meet the circle at $U^{\prime}$. Then $u \oplus v=O W$, where $W$ the point of intersection of $U^{\prime} V^{\prime}$ with the radius $O C$.


This construction allows visual justification of the following properties of $\oplus$. For all $u, v \in$ $[0,1], u \oplus v \in[0,1], v \oplus 1=1, v \oplus 0=v$, and when $0 \leq u, v \ll 1$, then $u \oplus v \approx u+v$. For example, let us justify this last fact geometrically. If $u, v \ll 1$, then $\angle O B V \approx 0$, and $A V^{\prime}$ is almost parallel to $O V$.


So $\triangle B O V$ is almost similar to $\triangle B A V^{\prime}$, giving

$$
A V^{\prime} \approx \frac{A B}{O B} \cdot O V=\frac{2}{1} \cdot O V=2 v
$$

Since $A V^{\prime}$ is almost parallel to $O C, \Delta U^{\prime} U W$ is almost similar to $\Delta U^{\prime} A V^{\prime}$. Moreover, as $u, v \ll 1, U^{\prime} V^{\prime} \approx A B=2$, and $U^{\prime} W \approx O B=1$. Hence

$$
U W \approx \frac{U^{\prime} W}{U^{\prime} V^{\prime}} \cdot A V^{\prime} \approx \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 v=v
$$

Thus if $w:=O W$, then $w-u=U W \approx v$, that is, $w \approx u+v$.
In [1], Theorem 1.1 was proved using Cartesian coordinate geometry. In the next three sections, we give three alternative proofs of this result. (The more proofs, the merrier!)

## 2 A trigonometric proof



We refer to the picture above, calling

$$
\angle B A U^{\prime}=\angle O A U=: \alpha \text { and } \angle A B V^{\prime}=\angle O B V=: \beta .
$$

Let $W$ be the point of intersection of $U^{\prime} V^{\prime}$ and $O C$, and set $O W=: w$. Then by looking at the right triangles $\triangle B O V$ and $\triangle A O U$, we see that

$$
\tan \beta=v \text { and } \tan \alpha=u .
$$

Using the Sine Rule in $\Delta O W U^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\sin \angle O W U^{\prime}}=\frac{O U^{\prime}}{\sin \angle O W U^{\prime}}=\frac{O W}{\sin \angle O U^{\prime} W}=\frac{w}{\sin \angle O U^{\prime} W},
$$

giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{\sin \angle O U^{\prime} W}{\sin \angle O W U^{\prime}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof will be completed by showing (below) that $\angle O U^{\prime} W=\alpha+\beta$ and $\angle O W U^{\prime}=$ $90^{\circ}+(\alpha-\beta)$, so that (1) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
w & =\frac{\sin (\alpha+\beta)}{\sin \left(90^{\circ}+(\alpha-\beta)\right)}=\frac{\sin (\alpha+\beta)}{\cos (\alpha-\beta)}=\frac{\sin \alpha \cos \beta+\cos \alpha \sin \beta}{\cos \alpha \cos \beta+\sin \alpha \sin \beta} \\
& =\frac{\tan \alpha+\tan \beta}{1+\tan \alpha \tan \beta}=\frac{u+v}{1+u v}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
First we will show $\angle O U^{\prime} W=\alpha+\beta$. Note that $\triangle O A U^{\prime}$ is isosceles with $O A=O U^{\prime}=1$ and so $\angle O U^{\prime} U=\angle O A U=\alpha$. The chord $A V^{\prime}$ subtends equal angles at $B$ and $U^{\prime}$, and so $\angle U U^{\prime} W=\angle A B V=\beta$. Hence

$$
\angle O U^{\prime} W=\angle O U^{\prime} U+\angle U U^{\prime} W=\alpha+\beta .
$$

Next, let us show that $\angle O W U^{\prime}=90^{\circ}+(\alpha-\beta)$. To this end, note that $\angle O U U^{\prime}$ is the common exterior angle for $\triangle A O U$ and $\triangle O U^{\prime} U$, and using the fact that this equals the sum of the opposite interior angles in each triangle, we obtain

$$
90^{\circ}+\alpha=\angle O U U^{\prime}=\beta+\angle U W U^{\prime}
$$

so that $\angle O W U^{\prime}=\angle U W U^{\prime}=90^{\circ}+(\alpha-\beta)$, completing the proof.
Yet another trigonometric proof can be obtained by focussing on $\triangle U^{\prime} C W$, determining all its angles, and the side length $U^{\prime} C$ (using the isosceles triangle $\triangle O U^{\prime} C$ ), enabling the determination of $W C(=1-w)$. The details are as follows. In the isosceles triangle $\Delta O U^{\prime} C$, we have

$$
\angle U^{\prime} O C=90^{\circ}-\angle B O U^{\prime}=90^{\circ}-2 \angle B A U^{\prime}=90^{\circ}-2 \alpha .
$$

As $O U^{\prime}=O C=1$, we obtain $\angle O C U^{\prime}=45^{\circ}+\alpha$ and $U^{\prime} C=2 \cos \left(45^{\circ}+\alpha\right)$. Also $\angle W U^{\prime} C=\angle V^{\prime} U^{\prime} C=\angle V^{\prime} B C=\angle A B C-\angle A B V^{\prime}=45^{\circ}-\beta$. This yields $\angle U^{\prime} W C=$ $180^{\circ}-\left(\angle W U^{\prime} C+\angle U^{\prime} C W\right)=90^{\circ}+(\beta-\alpha)$. Again, by the Sine Rule, this time in $\Delta U^{\prime} W C$, we have

$$
\frac{1-w}{\sin \angle W U^{\prime} C}=\frac{W C}{\sin \left(45^{\circ}-\beta\right)}=\frac{U^{\prime} C}{\sin \angle U^{\prime} W C}=\frac{2 \cos \left(45^{\circ}+\alpha\right)}{\sin \left(90^{\circ}+(\beta-\alpha)\right)}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-w & =\frac{2 \cos \left(45^{\circ}+\alpha\right) \sin \left(45^{\circ}-\beta\right)}{\sin \left(90^{\circ}+(\beta-\alpha)\right)}=\frac{(\cos \alpha-\sin \alpha)(\cos \beta-\sin \beta)}{\cos \alpha \cos \beta+\sin \alpha \sin \beta} \\
& =\frac{(1-\tan \alpha)(1-\tan \beta)}{1+\tan \alpha \tan \beta}=\frac{(1-u)(1-v)}{1+u v},
\end{aligned}
$$

which, upon solving for $w$, gives

$$
w=\frac{u+v}{1+u v} .
$$

## 3 A Euclidean geometric proof



As $\angle A O U=90^{\circ}=\angle A U^{\prime} B$ and $\angle O A U=\angle U^{\prime} A B$ (common), by the AA Similarity Rule, $\triangle A O U \sim \triangle A U^{\prime} B$. So

$$
\frac{A U^{\prime}}{2}=\frac{A U^{\prime}}{A B}=\frac{A O}{A U}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+u^{2}}}
$$

giving $A U^{\prime}=2 / \sqrt{1+u^{2}}$. Hence

$$
U U^{\prime}=A U^{\prime}-A U=\frac{2}{\sqrt{1+u^{2}}}-\sqrt{1+u^{2}}=\frac{1-u^{2}}{\sqrt{1+u^{2}}}
$$

Proceeding similarly, $B V^{\prime}=2 / \sqrt{1+v^{2}}$ and $V V^{\prime}=\left(1-v^{2}\right) / \sqrt{1+v^{2}}$. Let $W$ be the point of intersection of $U^{\prime} V^{\prime}$ and $O C$, and set $O W=: w$. Let the extension of $U^{\prime} V^{\prime}$ meet the extension of $A B$ at $O^{\prime}$. Menelaus' Theorem applied to $\triangle A O U$ with the line $O^{\prime} U^{\prime}$ gives

$$
\frac{w-u}{w} \cdot \frac{O O^{\prime}}{O O^{\prime}-1} \cdot \frac{2 / \sqrt{1+u^{2}}}{\left(1-u^{2}\right) / \sqrt{1+u^{2}}}=\frac{U W}{O W} \cdot \frac{O O^{\prime}}{A O^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{A U^{\prime}}{U U^{\prime}}=1 .
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{O O^{\prime}}=1-\frac{2}{1-u^{2}} \cdot \frac{w-u}{w} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, Menelaus' Theorem applied to $\triangle B O V$ with the line $O^{\prime} U^{\prime}$ gives

$$
\frac{w-v}{w} \cdot \frac{O O^{\prime}}{O O^{\prime}+1} \cdot \frac{2 / \sqrt{1+v^{2}}}{\left(1-v^{2}\right) / \sqrt{1+v^{2}}}=\frac{V W}{O W} \cdot \frac{O O^{\prime}}{B O^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{B V^{\prime}}{V V^{\prime}}=1 .
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{O O^{\prime}}=\frac{2}{1-v^{2}} \cdot \frac{w-v}{w}-1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the right-hand sides of (2) and (3) gives $w=\frac{u+v}{1+u v}$.

## 4 A projective geometric proof

We recall the notion of the cross ratio in projective geometry. If $A, B, C, D$ are collinear points that are projected along four concurrent lines meeting at $P$, to the collinear points $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}$, respectively, then we know that the cross ratio is preserved, that is,

$$
(A, B ; C, D):=\frac{A C}{A D} / \frac{B C}{B D}=\frac{A^{\prime} C^{\prime}}{A^{\prime} D^{\prime}} / \frac{B^{\prime} C^{\prime}}{B^{\prime} D^{\prime}}=:\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime} ; C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)
$$

Recall that this is an immediate consequence of the Sine Rule for triangles, using which one can see that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{A C}{A P}=\frac{\sin \angle A P C}{\sin \angle P C A}, & \frac{A D}{A P}=\frac{\sin \angle A P D}{\sin \angle P D A}, \\
\frac{B D}{B P}=\frac{\sin \angle B P D}{\sin \angle P D B}, & \frac{B C}{B P}=\frac{\sin \angle B P C}{\sin \angle P C B},
\end{array}
$$

and so

$$
(A, B ; C, D)=\frac{\sin \angle A P C}{\sin \angle A P D} / \frac{\sin \angle B P C}{\sin \angle B P D} .
$$

In light of this invariance, we refer to the cross ratio of the four concurrent lines instead of particular collinear points on the lines.


We also recall Chasles' Theorem, which says that if $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}$ are four fixed points on a circle, and $P$ is a movable point, then the cross ratio of the lines $P A_{1}, P A_{2}, P A_{3}$, $P A_{4}$ is a constant. This is an immediate consequence of the fact that a chord of a circle subtends equal angles at any point on its major (or minor) arc.


We refer to the geometric diagram for relativistic velocity addition below, with the labelling of points shown. $X$ is the point of intersection of $P^{\prime} A_{3}$ with $O A_{4}$.


As $\Delta O P^{\prime} X$ is a right angled triangle, it follows that

$$
O X=\cos \angle P^{\prime} O X=\sin \angle P O P^{\prime}=\sin (2 \alpha)=\frac{2 \tan \alpha}{1+(\tan \alpha)^{2}}=\frac{2 u}{1+u^{2}}
$$

Hence

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
U X & =O X-O U=\frac{2 u}{1+u^{2}}-u=u \cdot \frac{1-u^{2}}{1+u^{2}} \quad \text { and } \\
W X & =O X-O W
\end{array}\right) \frac{2 u}{1+u^{2}}-w . ~ l
$$

By Chasles' Theorem, we have

$$
\frac{u}{1} / \frac{u-v}{1-v}=\frac{O U}{O A_{4}} / \frac{V U}{V A_{4}}=\frac{U X}{U A_{4}} / \frac{W X}{W A_{4}}=\frac{u \cdot \frac{1-u^{2}}{1+u^{2}}}{1-u} / \frac{u \cdot \frac{2 u}{1+u^{2}}-w}{1-w}
$$

Solving for $w$, this yields $w=\frac{u+v}{1+u v}$.

## 5 A few remarks

We remark that the projective perspective also sheds light on the (algebraically easily verified) formula

$$
u \oplus v=\frac{1}{u} \oplus \frac{1}{v} .
$$

Indeed, let us see the picture below, where $U^{\prime}, V^{\prime}$ are the images of the points $U, V$, respectively, under inversion in the circle.


Let $O S=: 1 / w$. By the preservation of the cross-ratio for the four collinear lines $A P$, $A Q, A R, A S$, we obtain

$$
(P, Q ; R, S)=(O, V ; U, S)=\frac{u}{1 / w} / \frac{u-v}{(1 / w)-v}
$$

On the other hand, by the preservation of the cross-ratio for the four collinear lines $B P$, $B Q, B R, B S$, we obtain

$$
(P, Q ; R, S)=\left(O, V^{\prime} ; U^{\prime}, S\right)=\frac{1 / u}{1 / w} / \frac{(1 / v)-(1 / u)}{(1 / v)-(1 / w)}
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{u}{1 / w} / \frac{u-v}{(1 / w)-v}=(P, Q ; R, S)=\frac{1 / u}{1 / w} / \frac{(1 / v)-(1 / u)}{(1 / v)-(1 / w)},
$$

which gives $w=\frac{u+v}{1+u v}$.
We also mention that although we have been considering $u, v \in[0,1]$ for our pictures, one may in fact take $u, v \in[-1,1]$ without any essential change in our derivations. The operation $\oplus$ is associative and the set $(-1,1)$ is a group with the operation $\oplus$.
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