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Abstract. All continuous Minkowski valuations which are compatible with the special linear group are completely classified. One consequence of these classifications is a new characterization of the projection body operator.

1. Introduction

Ever since they played a critical role in Dehn’s solution of Hilbert’s Third Problem, valuations have been a central focus in convex geometric analysis (see, e.g., [34, 50, 51]). In addition to the ongoing research concerning scalar-valued valuations on convex sets (see, e.g., [1–4, 8, 11, 15, 20, 33, 36, 40, 41]), the study of valuations headed mainly in two different directions during the last years. First, a theory of valuations which are defined on much more general objects than convex sets emerged (see, e.g., [5–7, 9, 10, 12–14, 31, 32, 63]). Second, valuations with values other than scalars have been characterized (see, e.g., [24–26, 29, 30, 35, 37–39, 56–58]). In particular, Ludwig [35, 37–39] developed a theory of body-valued valuations which are compatible with the whole general linear group (see also [24–26, 58]). She thereby obtained simple characterizations of basic geometric operators. Recently, her results led to strengthenings and generalizations of several affine isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities [27,28,47,48]. All proofs of such characterizations heavily rely on the assumption of homogeneity.

A central question in the subject has long been: Are the homogeneity assumptions necessitated only by the techniques used in the proofs? This is the first paper to indicate that this indeed may be the case.

Let $\mathcal{K}_n^\circ$ denote the set of convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (i.e., non-empty compact convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$) and write $\mathcal{K}_n^\circ$ for the convex bodies containing the origin. We will view $\mathcal{K}_n^\circ$ as equipped with the Hausdorff metric. The Minkowski sum $K + L$ of two convex bodies $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_n^\circ$ is the usual vector sum of $K$ and $L$. A Minkowski valuation is a map $Z : \mathcal{K}_n^\circ \to (\mathcal{K}_n^\circ, +)$ such that

$$Z(K \cup L) + Z(K \cap L) = Z(K) + Z(L).$$
whenever the union of $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ is again convex. A map $Z : \mathcal{K}_o^n \to \mathcal{K}_o^n$ is said to be SL($n$) contravariant if

$$Z(\phi K) = \phi^{-t} Z K$$

for every $\phi \in \text{SL}(n)$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$.

Here, $\phi^{-t}$ denotes the inverse of the transpose of $\phi$. One of the main results in this paper shows that for $n \geq 3$ there exists (up to scalar multiples) a unique continuous SL($n$) contravariant Minkowski valuation.

**Theorem 1.** A map $Z : \mathcal{K}_o^n \to \langle \mathcal{K}_o^n, + \rangle$ is a continuous SL($n$) contravariant Minkowski valuation if and only if there exists a non-negative constant $c$ such that

$$Z K = c \, \Pi K$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$.

The projection body $\Pi K$ of $K$ is defined via its support function (see Section 2 for details) by

$$h_{\Pi K}(u) = \text{vol}_{n-1}(K | u) \, |u|, \quad u \in S^{n-1},$$

where $\text{vol}_{n-1}$ denotes $(n - 1)$-dimensional volume and $K | u$ denotes the image of the orthogonal projection of $K$ onto the subspace orthogonal to $u$.

Under the additional assumption of homogeneity in Theorem 1, a characterization of the projection body operator was previously given by Ludwig [38]. Projection bodies were introduced by Minkowski at the turn of the previous century and have since become a central notion in convex geometry. They also arise naturally in a number of different areas such as Minkowski geometry, geometric tomography, symbolic dynamics, and Sobolev inequalities (see, e.g., [17, 21, 27, 45, 61, 62, 66]).

A map $Z : \mathcal{K}_o^n \to \mathcal{K}_o^n$ is said to be SL($n$) covariant if

$$Z(\phi K) = \phi Z K$$

for every $\phi \in \text{SL}(n)$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$.

We also establish the following characterization for $n \geq 3$.

**Theorem 2.** A map $Z : \mathcal{K}_o^n \to \langle \mathcal{K}_o^n, + \rangle$ is a continuous SL($n$) covariant Minkowski valuation if and only if there exist non-negative constants $c_1, \ldots, c_4$ such that

$$Z K = c_1 K + c_2(-K) + c_3 \Gamma_+ K + c_4 \Gamma_+(-K)$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$.

The asymmetric centroid body $\Gamma_+ K$ of $K$ is the convex body whose support function is given by

$$h_{\Gamma_+ K}(u) = \int_K (u \cdot x)_+ \, dx, \quad u \in S^{n-1}.$$
study of their symmetric analogs was begun (see, e.g., [16,42,49]) within the $L_p$ Brunn–Minkowski theory (see, e.g., [16,17,19,23,24,26–28,37,38,42–45,49,54,59,60,64,65]). They became objects of interest in asymptotic geometric analysis (see, e.g., [18,19,53]), information theory (see, e.g., [46]), and even the theory of stable distributions (see, e.g., [52]).

In fact, we will prove more general characterizations of Minkowski valuations than those of Theorems 1 and 2. These results (see Section 3) deal with Minkowski valuations which are either defined on polytopes and are not necessarily continuous on the whole domain, or their images do not have to contain the origin.

2. Notation and preliminaries

For quick later reference we develop some notation and basic facts about convex bodies. General references for the theory of convex bodies are provided by the books of Gardner [21], Gruber [22], Schneider [55], and Thompson [62].

We write $\mathbb{R}_+$ for the set of positive real numbers. The positive and negative parts of a real number $a$ are defined by

$$(a)_+ = \max\{a, 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad (a)_- = \max\{-a, 0\}.$$

Critical for us will be the solution to Cauchy’s functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y). \quad (1)$$

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function which satisfies (1) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ but is not linear. It is well known that the graph of such a function is a dense subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Every $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies (1) only for positive real numbers can be extended (as an odd function) to a function which satisfies (1) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. We therefore obtain the following. If $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded from below on some non-empty open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, then

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+ \implies f(x) = xf(1) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (2)$$

The letter $n$ will always denote an integer greater than one. Our setting will be Euclidean $n$-space $\mathbb{R}^n$. We write $V$ for Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The standard basis vectors of $\mathbb{R}^n$ are denoted by $e_1, \ldots, e_n$. We write $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ for the coordinates of a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the standard basis. The standard Euclidean inner product of two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $x \cdot y$. Write $\| \cdot \|$ for the norm induced by this inner product and let $S^{n-1}$ denote the Euclidean unit sphere, i.e. the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| = 1\}$. For a unit vector $u \in S^{n-1}$, we write $u^\perp$ for its orthogonal complement. The linear and convex hull are denoted by $\text{lin}$ and $\text{conv}$, respectively. As usual, we write $\text{GL}(n)$ for the general linear group and $\text{SL}(n)$ for the special linear group.

A convex body is a non-empty compact convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We write $K^n$ for the set of convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and denote by $K^o_n$ the subset of convex bodies containing the origin. The dimension of a convex body is denoted by $\text{dim}$. Convex polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^n$ are denoted by $P^n$ and we write $P^o_n$ for the convex polytopes containing the origin. Two
special polytopes will be used frequently. First, a line segment is the convex hull of two distinct points in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). The line segment joining distinct points \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is denoted by

\[ [x, y] = \text{conv}\{x, y\}. \]

Second, the \( n \)-dimensional standard simplex \( T^n \in \mathcal{P}^n_o \) is given by

\[ T^n = \text{conv}\{o, e_1, \ldots, e_n\}. \]

A convex body \( K \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) is uniquely determined by its support function \( h_K : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), where \( h_K(x) = \max\{x \cdot y : y \in K\} \) for each \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Note that support functions are sublinear, i.e. for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \lambda \geq 0 \) we have

\[ h_K(\lambda x) = \lambda h_K(x) \quad \text{and} \quad h_K(x + y) \leq h_K(x) + h_K(y). \quad (3) \]

In other words, support functions are positively homogeneous of degree one and subadditive. Conversely, every sublinear function is the support function of a unique convex body. The sublinearity of support functions implies that they are continuous and uniquely determined by their values on the unit sphere \( S^{n-1} \).

Next, let us collect three basic properties of support functions. For \( K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n \) and non-negative numbers \( a \) and \( b \) we have

\[ h_{aK + bL} = ah_K + bh_L. \quad (4) \]

Moreover, if \( K \in \mathcal{K}^n \) and \( \phi \in \text{GL}(n) \) then

\[ h_{\phi K} = h_K \circ \phi^t. \quad (5) \]

Suppose that \( K \in \mathcal{K}^n \) is contained in \( \text{lin}\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\} \). Then

\[ h_K(x) = h_K(x_1e_1 + \cdots + x_ke_k), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (6) \]

We need the precise form of support functions of line segments and the standard simplex. The support function of the line segment \([o, v]\) joining the origin and a non-zero point \( v \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is given by

\[ h_{[o, v]}(x) = (x \cdot v)_+, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (7) \]

For the support function of the \( n \)-dimensional simplex \( T^n \) we have

\[ h_{T^n}(x) = \max\{(x_1)_+, \ldots, (x_n)_+\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (8) \]

The set \( \mathcal{K}^n \) will be viewed as equipped with the Hausdorff metric. The latter can be defined for \( K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n \) by

\[ \delta(K, L) = \sup_{u \in S^{n-1}} |h_K(u) - h_L(u)|. \]

Note that the set \( \mathcal{P}^n_o \) of polytopes containing the origin is a dense subset of \( \mathcal{K}^n_o \).
Associated with each convex body \( K \in K^n \) is a Borel measure, \( S_K \), on \( S^{n-1} \) called the surface area measure of \( K \). It is defined as the unique finite Borel measure on \( S^{n-1} \) such that for all \( L \in K^n \),

\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} h_L \, dS_K = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{V(K + \varepsilon L) - V(K)}{\varepsilon}.
\]

(9)

The surface area measure of a polytope is a discrete measure which is concentrated on the outer unit normals of its facets. Moreover, if \( u \in S^{n-1} \) is an outer unit normal of a facet of \( P \in P^n \), then \( S_P(\{u\}) \) equals the \((n - 1)\)-dimensional volume of this facet.

Surface area measures are weakly continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric, i.e., if \( (K_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) is a sequence of bodies in \( K^n \) then

\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} K_i = K \Rightarrow \lim_{i \to \infty} S_{K_i} = S_K, \text{ weakly.}
\]

(10)

For convex bodies \( K, L \in K^n \) with convex union \( K \cup L \) we have

\[
S_{K \cup L} = S_K + S_L.
\]

(11)

Moreover, if \( \lambda \geq 0 \) and \( K \in K^n \), then

\[
S_{\lambda K} = \lambda^{n-1} S_K.
\]

(12)

Support functions of projection bodies can be expressed by surface area measures. Indeed, for \( K \in K^n \) one has

\[
h_{\Pi K}(u) = \int_{S^{n-1}} (u \cdot v) \, dS_K(v), \quad u \in S^{n-1}.
\]

This representation together with (9)–(12) shows that \( \Pi : K^n_o \to K^n_o \) is a continuous \( SL(n) \) contravariant Minkowski valuation which is positively homogeneous of degree \( n - 1 \).

Let \( Q^n \) be a subset of \( K^n \). A map \( Z : Q^n \to \langle G, + \rangle \) with values in an abelian semigroup is called a valuation if

\[
Z(P \cup Q) + Z(P \cap Q) = Z P + Z Q \quad \text{whenever } P, Q, P \cup Q, P \cap Q \in Q^n.
\]

If the semigroup \( \langle G, + \rangle \) is a subsemigroup of \( \langle K^n, + \rangle \), then \( Z \) is called a Minkowski valuation. A map \( Z : Q^n \to K^n \) is said to be \( SL(n) \) co- or contravariant if either \( Z(\phi Q) = \phi Z Q \) for every \( \phi \in SL(n) \) and \( Q \in Q^n \), or \( Z(\phi Q) = \phi^{-1} Z Q \) for every \( \phi \in SL(n) \) and \( Q \in Q^n \).

In the remaining part of this section we will construct several Minkowski valuations which are different from the projection body operator and the asymmetric centroid body operator. Suppose that \( P \in P^n_o \). We define \( N_o(P) \) as the set of all outer unit normals of facets of \( P \) containing the origin. Equivalently, for a unit vector \( u \in S^{n-1} \) we have \( u \in N_o(P) \) if and only if \( u \cdot x \leq 0 \) for all \( x \in P \) and \( P \cap u^\perp \) is \((n - 1)\)-dimensional. Let \( \Pi_o : P^n_o \to K^n_o \) be defined by

\[
h_{\Pi_o P}(u) = \int_{N_o(P)} (u \cdot v) \, dS_P(v), \quad u \in S^{n-1}.
\]
The operator $\Pi_o$ was defined by Ludwig [38]. It follows directly from (12) that $\Pi_o$ is positively homogeneous of degree $n - 1$. For the reader’s convenience we collect other basic properties in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** The map $\Pi_o : \mathcal{P}_o^n \to K_o^n$ is an $\text{SL}(n)$ contravariant valuation.

**Proof.** For $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^n$ define a finite Borel measure $\mu_P$ on the sphere by
\[
d\mu_P = \chi_{N_o(P)}dS_P,
\]
where $\chi$ denotes the characteristic function. Note that $\mu_P$ is a discrete measure with finite support. In fact, it is concentrated on the outer unit normals of facets of $P$ which contain the origin. Clearly we have
\[
h_{\Pi_oP}(u) = \int_{S^{n-1}} (u \cdot v)_+ d\mu_P(v), \quad u \in S^{n-1}.
\]
(13)

Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_o^n$ with $P \cup Q \in \mathcal{P}_o^n$. Note that $N_o(P \cup Q) \cup N_o(P \cap Q) = N_o(P) \cup N_o(Q)$. This together with the valuation property (11) implies that for every $v \in S^{n-1}$,
\[
\mu_{P \cup Q}(v) + \mu_{P \cap Q}(v) = \mu_P(|v|) + \mu_Q(|v|).
\]
Since all measures which are involved in the last equation are discrete, we actually have $\mu_{P \cup Q} + \mu_{P \cap Q} = \mu_P + \mu_Q$. This together with the representation (13) proves that $\Pi_o$ is a valuation.

In order to establish the contravariance of $\Pi_o$ suppose that $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^n$ and $\phi \in \text{SL}(n)$. Write $\langle x \rangle = x/\| x \|$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and denote by $\langle \phi \rangle : S^{n-1} \to S^{n-1}$ the map with $\langle \phi \rangle(v) = \langle \phi^{-1}v \rangle$ for each $v \in S^{n-1}$. Clearly, $\langle \phi \rangle$ is a continuous bijection. For every $v \in S^{n-1}$ we have
\[
\| \phi^{-1}v\| S_P(|v|) = S_{\phi P}(|\langle \phi \rangle(v)|) \quad \text{and} \quad v \in N_o(P) \iff \langle \phi \rangle(v) \in N_o(\phi P).
\]
Write $\langle \phi \rangle \mu_P$ for the image measure of $\mu_P$ with respect to $\langle \phi \rangle$. Thus
\[
\langle \phi \rangle \mu_P(|v|) = \| \phi^{-1}v\| \mu_{\phi P}(|v|) \quad \text{for every} \ v \in S^{n-1}.
\]
Since all measures involved in the last equality are discrete with finite support we actually have $d\mu_{\phi P} = \| \phi^{-1} \cdot \|^{-1} d\mu_P$. Representation (13) therefore yields $h_{\Pi_o\phi P} = h_{\Pi_oP \circ \phi^{-1}}$. From (5) we deduce the $\text{SL}(n)$ contravariance of $\Pi_o$. \hfill $\square$

We finish our discussion of the operators $\Pi$ and $\Pi_o$ by two simple formulas. Since the volume of the $n$-dimensional standard simplex equals $1/n!$, the above interpretation of surface area measures for polytopes yields
\[
h_{\Pi^n}(x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = \frac{1}{(n - 1)!} [(x_1 + x_2)_+ + (x_1)_- + (x_2)_-],
\]
(14)
as well as
\[
h_{\Pi_o^n}(x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = \frac{1}{(n - 1)!} [(x_1)_- + (x_2)_-].
\]
(15)
Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$. The symmetric centroid body $\Gamma K$ of $K$ is defined by

$$h_{\Gamma K}(u) = \int_K |u \cdot x| \, dx, \quad u \in S^{n-1}.$$  

It is easily seen that $\Gamma K = \Gamma_+ K + \Gamma_+ (-K)$. The moment vector $m(K)$ of $K$ is given by

$$h_{m(K)}(u) = \int_K u \cdot x \, dx, \quad u \in S^{n-1}.$$  

Note that the moment vector is indeed an element of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Up to normalization, $m(K)$ is equal to the centroid of $K$. Clearly, both $0, m : \mathcal{K}^n \to \langle \mathcal{K}^n, + \rangle$ are continuous $\text{SL}(n)$ covariant Minkowski valuations.

Finally, let $P \in \mathcal{P}^2_\circ$ and denote by $\mathcal{E}_\circ(P)$ the set of all edges of $P$ containing the origin. We define an operator $E : \mathcal{P}^2_\circ \to \mathcal{P}^2_\circ$ by

$$E P = \begin{cases} \{o\}, & \mathcal{E}_\circ(P) = \emptyset, \\ 2F, & \mathcal{E}_\circ(P) = \{F\}, P = F, \\ F, & \mathcal{E}_\circ(P) = \{F\}, P \neq F, \\ F_1 + F_2, & \mathcal{E}_\circ(P) = \{F_1, F_2\}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $E : \mathcal{P}^2_\circ \to \langle \mathcal{K}^2_\circ, + \rangle$ is an $\text{SL}(2)$ covariant Minkowski valuation.

3. Classification results for Minkowski valuations

Throughout this section let $n \geq 3$. In what follows, we state several classifications which are similar to those given in the introduction but hold under weaker assumptions.

3.1. Contravariant Minkowski valuations

Let us begin with a result on $\text{SL}(n)$ contravariant Minkowski valuations which are not necessarily continuous.

**Theorem 3.** If $Z : \mathcal{P}^n_\circ \to \langle \mathcal{K}^n_\circ, + \rangle$ is an $\text{SL}(n)$ contravariant Minkowski valuation, then there exist constants $c_1, c_2, c_3$ with $c_1 \geq 0$ and $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 \geq 0$ such that

$$h_{Z P} = c_1 h_{\Pi P} + c_2 h_{\Pi_+ P} + c_3 h_{\Pi_-(\Pi_+ P)} \quad \text{for every } P \in \mathcal{P}^n_\circ.$$  

(16)

We remark that there exist constants $c_1, c_2, c_3$ such that $c_2$ or $c_3$ is negative but $Z : \mathcal{P}^n_\circ \to \langle \mathcal{K}^n_\circ, + \rangle$ defined by (16) is an $\text{SL}(n)$ contravariant Minkowski valuation. Under the assumption of continuity, we have the following characterization of Minkowski valuations whose images do not have to contain the origin a priori.

**Theorem 4.** A map $Z : \mathcal{K}^n_\circ \to \langle \mathcal{K}^n, + \rangle$ is a continuous $\text{SL}(n)$ contravariant Minkowski valuation if and only if there exists a non-negative constant $c$ such that

$$Z K = c \Pi K \quad \text{for every } K \in \mathcal{K}^n_\circ.$$
3.2. Covariant Minkowski valuations

For Minkowski valuations defined on polytopes we will prove the following.

**Theorem 5.** A map $Z : \mathcal{P}^n_o \to \langle \mathbb{K}^n_o, + \rangle$ is an $\text{SL}(n)$ covariant Minkowski valuation which is continuous at the line segment $[0, e_1]$ if and only if there exist non-negative constants $c_1, \ldots, c_4$ such that

$$Z(P) = c_1 P + c_2 (-P) + c_3 \Gamma_+ P + c_4 \Gamma_+ (-P) \quad \text{for every } P \in \mathcal{P}^n_o.$$ 

Finally, the next result characterizes all continuous $\text{SL}(n)$ covariant Minkowski valuations whose range is $\mathbb{K}^n_o$.

**Theorem 6.** A map $Z : \mathbb{K}^n_o \to \langle \mathbb{K}^n_o, + \rangle$ is a continuous $\text{SL}(n)$ covariant Minkowski valuation if and only if there exist non-negative constants $c_1, \ldots, c_3$ and a constant $c_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$Z(K) = c_1 K + c_2 (-K) + c_3 \Gamma K + c_4 m(K) \quad \text{for every } K \in \mathbb{K}^n_o.$$ 

4. Reduction to simplices

The aim of this section is to show that $\text{SL}(n)$ co- or contravariant Minkowski valuations are actually determined by their values on dilates of the standard simplex.

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}^n$ be $n$-dimensional. A finite set $T_P$ of $n$-dimensional simplices is called a triangulation of $P$ if the union of all simplices in $T_P$ equals $P$ and no two simplices intersect in a set of dimension $n$. Suppose that $x \in P$. A starring of $P$ at $x$ is a triangulation such that each simplex in $T_P$ has a vertex at $x$.

If $P \in \mathcal{P}^n$ is an $n$-dimensional polytope and $x \in P$, then it is well-known that there exists a starring of $P$ at $x$. Indeed, for $n = 1$ this is trivial. Suppose that it is true for $(n-1)$-dimensional polytopes and denote by $F_j, j = 1, \ldots, k$, the facets of an $n$-dimensional polytope $P$. We choose starrings $T_{F_j}$ of $F_j$ for those facets which do not contain the given point $x$. Thus the convex hulls of $x$ and the $(n-1)$-dimensional simplices in $T_{F_j}$ define the desired starring.

A real valued valuation $z : \mathcal{P}^n_o \to \langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$ is called simple if convex polytopes of dimension less than $n$ are mapped to zero. The following result is a special case of [24, Lemma 3.2]. For the sake of completeness we give its proof here.

**Lemma 2.** Let $z : \mathcal{P}^n_o \to \langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$ be a simple valuation. If $z(S) = 0$ for every $n$-dimensional simplex $S$ having one vertex at the origin, then $z(P) = 0$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}^n_o$.

**Proof.** By what we have seen above, every $P \in \mathcal{P}^n_o$ has a starring at $o$. Therefore, it suffices to prove that for an $n$-dimensional polytope $P \in \mathcal{P}^n_o$, the condition

$$P = P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_k, \quad P_1, \ldots, P_k \in \mathcal{P}^n_o, \quad \dim(P_i \cap P_j) < n \quad \text{for } i \neq j$$

implies

$$z(P) = \sum_{i=1}^k z(P_i). \quad (17)$$
We proceed by induction on $k$. For $k = 1$ this is trivial. Assume that $k \geq 2$ and suppose that it is true for at most $k - 1$ polytopes. Without loss of generality assume that $\dim P_1 = n$.

We can suppose that $P_1$ has at least one facet $F_1$ containing the origin such that $P \cap \text{int}(\text{lin } F_1)^+ \neq \emptyset$. Here, $\text{int}(\text{lin } F_1)^+$ denotes the interior of the halfspace determined by $\text{lin } F_1$ which does not contain $P_1$. For if no such facet exists, then $P_1 = P$, implying $\dim P_i < n$ for $i = 2, \ldots, k$, and (17) would obviously holds by the simplicity of $z$.

Let $H_1, \ldots, H_l$ denote the linear hulls of those facets $F_1, \ldots, F_l$ of $P_1$ which contain the origin and satisfy
\[ P \cap \text{int } H_i^+ \neq \emptyset \quad \text{(18)} \]
for $i = 1, \ldots, l$, where $\text{int } H_i^+$ denotes the interior of the halfspace determined by $H_i$ which does not contain $P_1$. For $m = 1, \ldots, l$ and $i = 1, \ldots, k$ set $P^0 = P$, $P^0_i = P_i$, and define
\[ P^m = P \cap H_1^+ \cap \cdots \cap H_m^+ \quad \text{and} \quad P^m_i = P_i \cap H_1^+ \cap \cdots \cap H_m^+. \]

Note that for each $m = 1, \ldots, l$ there exists a point $p^m$ such that
\[ p^m \in P^m \cap \text{int } H_m^+. \quad \text{(19)} \]
Indeed, for $m = 1$ this directly follows from (18). For $m > 1$ choose a point $x$ in the relative interior of the facet $F_m = P_1 \cap H_m$. Then $x \in \text{int } H_i^+$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, m - 1$. By (18) we know that there exists a $p \in P \cap \text{int } H_m^+$. Clearly, the set $[x, p] \setminus \{x\}$ is contained in $P \cap \text{int } H_m^+$. Moreover, points of this set which are sufficiently close to $x$ are contained in $\text{int } H_1^+ \cap \cdots \cap \text{int } H_{m-1}^+$. This proves (19).

Next, we are going to prove that for all $m = 1, \ldots, l$,
\[ z(P) = z(P^m) + \sum_{i=1}^k [z(P_i) - z(P_i^m)]. \quad \text{(20)} \]
To this end fix $m$ and set
\[ P^{m,+} = P^{m-1} \cap H_m^+ \quad \text{and} \quad P_i^{m,+} = P_i^{m-1} \cap H_m^+. \]
First, we want to prove
\[ P_i^{m,+} = P_i^{m,+} \cup \cdots \cup P_i^{m,+}. \quad \text{(21)} \]
Note that since $P_i^{m,+} = P_i^{m,+} \cup \cdots \cup P_i^{m,+}$ it is actually enough to show that $P_i^{m,+} \subset P_i^{m,+} \cup \cdots \cup P_i^{m,+}$. Let $x \in P_i^{m,+} = P_i^{m-1} \cap H_m$. From (19) we infer that the set $[x, p^m] \setminus \{x\}$ has to be contained in $P_i^{m,+} \cup \cdots \cup P_i^{m,+}$. Thus there exists $i \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$ such that points of $[x, p^m] \setminus \{x\}$ sufficiently close to $x$ are contained in $P_i^{m,+}$. The closedness of $P_i^{m,+}$ concludes the proof of (21).
Now (21), the induction assumption, and the simplicity of \( z \) prove
\[
z(P^m, +) = \sum_{i=2}^{k} z(P_i^m, +) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} [z(P_i^{m-1}) - z(P_i^m)].
\]
By the valuation property of \( z \) and its simplicity we therefore obtain
\[
z(P^{m-1}) = z(P^m) + z(P^m, +) = z(P^m) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} [z(P_i^{m-1}) - z(P_i^m)].
\] (22)

For \( m = 1 \) this is precisely (20). Let \( 1 < m \leq l \) and assume that (20) holds for \( m - 1 \). Then inserting (22) in this equation immediately shows that (20) also holds for \( m \). Inductively we have thus proved (20) for all \( m = 1, \ldots, l \).

Since \( P_l^1 = P_l \) we have \( \dim P_l < n \) for \( i = 2, \ldots, k \), and hence
\[
z(P^l) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} z(P_i^l).
\]
Inserting this in (20) for \( m = l \) proves (17).

**Lemma 3.** For \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), let \( c_i \in \mathbb{R} \) and suppose that \( Z_i : P^n_n \to (\mathbb{K}^n, +) \) are Minkowski valuations. If \( Z_i \)'s are either all \( \text{SL}(n) \) covariant or all \( \text{SL}(n) \) contravariant and
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i h_{Z_i(sT^n)} = 0 \quad \text{for every } s > 0,
\] (23)
then
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i h_{Z_i, P} = 0 \quad \text{for every } P \in P^n_o.
\]
**Proof.** Let \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and define a map \( z : P^n_o \to (\mathbb{R}, +) \) by
\[
z(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i h_{Z_i, P}(x).
\]
From (4) we deduce that \( z \) is a valuation. Let \( S \) be an \( n \)-dimensional simplex with one vertex at the origin. Note that there exists a \( \phi \in \text{SL}(n) \) and a positive number \( s \) such that \( \phi(sT^n) = S \). Since \( Z_i \)'s are assumed to be either all \( \text{SL}(n) \) covariant or all \( \text{SL}(n) \) contravariant, we infer from (5) and (23) that \( z(S) = 0 \).

Let \( 0 \leq k < n \) and \( S' \) be a \( k \)-dimensional simplex with one vertex at the origin. There exists a \( (k + 1) \)-dimensional simplex \( S \) with one vertex at the origin and a hyperplane \( H \) such that \( S \cap H^+ \) and \( S \cap H^- \) are both \( k \)-dimensional simplices with one vertex at the origin and \( S' = S \cap H \). As before, \( H^\pm \) denote the two halfspaces determined by \( H \). The valuation property of \( z \) implies
\[
z(S) + z(S') = z(S \cap H^+) + z(S \cap H^-).
\]
Since \( z \) vanishes on \( n \)-dimensional simplices with one vertex at the origin, an obvious induction argument shows that \( z \) vanishes on all simplices with one vertex at the origin.
By induction on the dimension $k$, we will now show that $z$ vanishes on $k$-dimensional polytopes. For $k = 0$ this obviously follows from the results of the last paragraph. Assume that $z$ vanishes on $k$-dimensional polytopes and let $P \in \mathbb{P}_n^k$ be $(k + 1)$-dimensional. Set $H = \text{lin } P$ and write $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \to H$ for an arbitrary but fixed linear bijection. Then $\hat{z} : \mathbb{P}_n^{k+1} \to (\mathbb{R}, +)$ defined by $\hat{z}(Q) = z(\pi Q)$ is a simple valuation which vanishes on $(k + 1)$-dimensional simplices with one vertex at the origin. Lemma 2 shows that $\hat{z} = 0$. Hence also $z(P) = 0$. \hfill \Box

5. Functional equations

Throughout this section let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that functions $g, h : (0, 1) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ are given. We define two families of linear maps on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$
\phi_{\lambda, g} e_2 = (1 - \lambda)e_1 + \lambda e_2, \quad \phi_{\lambda, g} e_1 = e_1, \quad \phi_{\lambda, g} e_k = g(\lambda)e_k \quad \text{for } 3 \leq k \leq n \text{ if } n \geq 3,
$$

and

$$
\psi_{\lambda, h} e_1 = (1 - \lambda)e_1 + \lambda e_2, \quad \psi_{\lambda, h} e_2 = e_2, \quad \psi_{\lambda, h} e_k = h(\lambda)e_k \quad \text{for } 3 \leq k \leq n \text{ if } n \geq 3.
$$

If $g$ and $h$ are constantly 1 we set $\phi_1 = \phi_{1, g}$ and $\psi_1 = \psi_{1, h}$.

The aim of this section is to deduce properties of functions $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfy at least one of the following three functional equations. The first one is

$$
f(s, x) = \lambda^p f(s\lambda q, \phi_{\lambda, g} x) + (1 - \lambda)^p f(s(1 - \lambda)q, \psi_{\lambda, h} x). \quad (24)
$$

The second one is just the special case of (24) where $g$ and $h$ are constantly 1. It can be written as

$$
f(s, x) = \lambda^p f(s\lambda q, \phi_{\lambda} x) + (1 - \lambda)^p f(s(1 - \lambda)q, \psi_{\lambda} x). \quad (25)
$$

The third one reads

$$
f(s, x) = \lambda^p f(s\lambda q, \phi_{\lambda}^{-1} x) + (1 - \lambda)^p f(s(1 - \lambda)q, \psi_{\lambda}^{-1} x). \quad (26)
$$

The statement that $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (24) on $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has to be understood as follows: There exist $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ and functions $g, h : (0, 1) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that (24) holds for all $\lambda \in (0, 1), s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $x \in A$. Similarly, $f$ is said to satisfy (25) or (26) on $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (25), respectively (26), holds for all $\lambda \in (0, 1), s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $x \in A$.

5.1. Homogeneity

Let us start by showing that a function which solves (25) at certain points is positively homogeneous in its first argument.
Lemma 4. Let $n \geq 3$. If for some $q \neq 0$ the function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies equation (25) at the points $\pm e_3$ and the functions $f(\cdot, e_3)$ and $f(\cdot, -e_3)$ are bounded from below on some non-empty open intervals $I_+ \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ and $I_- \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, respectively, then
\[ f(s, e_3) = s^{(1-p)/q} f(1, e_3) \quad \text{and} \quad f(s, -e_3) = s^{(1-p)/q} f(1, -e_3) \]
for every $s > 0$.

Proof. Note that $e_3$ is a fixpoint of both $\phi_3^1$ and $\psi_3^1$. From (25) we see that
\[ f(s, e_3) = \lambda^n f(s^q, e_3) + (1 - \lambda)^p f(s(1 - \lambda)^q, e_3) \quad (27) \]
for every $s > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Define $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ by
\[ g(s) = f(s^q, e_3) \quad \text{for} \ s > 0. \]
Then, for every $s > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, equation (27) reads
\[ g(s^{1/q}) = \lambda^p g(s^{1/q} \lambda) + (1 - \lambda)^p g(s^{1/q}(1 - \lambda)). \quad (28) \]
Let $x$ and $y$ be arbitrary positive real numbers. Set
\[ s = (x + y)^q \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = x(x + y)^{-1}. \]
If we insert these particular values of $s$ and $\lambda$ in (28), then we have, for all $x, y > 0$,
\[ (x + y)^p g(x + y) = x^p g(x) + y^p g(y). \]
Thus the function $t \mapsto t^p g(t)$ solves Cauchy’s functional equation (1) on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and, by assumption, there exists a non-empty open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ where this function is bounded from below. We infer from (2) that $t^p g(t) = tg(1)$ and hence
\[ g(t) = t^{1-p} g(1). \]
Finally, the definition of $g$ immediately yields
\[ f(s, e_3) = g(s^{1/q}) = s^{(1-p)/q} g(1) = s^{(1-p)/q} f(1, e_3). \]
Replacing $e_3$ by $-e_3$ in the above derivation concludes the proof of the lemma. □

Corollary 1. Let $n \geq 3$. Assume that for some $q \neq 0$ the function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies equation (25) at the points $\pm e_3$, the functions $f(\cdot, e_3)$ and $f(\cdot, -e_3)$ are bounded from below on some non-empty open intervals $I_+ \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ and $I_- \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, respectively, and
\[ f(s, \pi e_3) = f(s, e_3) \quad \text{and} \quad f(s, -\pi e_3) = f(s, -e_3) \quad (29) \]
for all $s > 0$ and $\pi \in \text{SL}(n)$ induced by a permutation matrix. Then for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and every $s > 0$,
\[ f(s, e_i) = s^{(1-p)/q} f(1, e_i) \quad \text{and} \quad f(s, -e_i) = s^{(1-p)/q} f(1, -e_i). \]

Proof. Let $i \in [1, \ldots, n]$. Since $n \geq 3$, there exists $\pi \in \text{SL}(n)$ which is induced by a permutation matrix and satisfies $e_i = \pi e_3$. From our assumption (29) and Lemma 4 we deduce
\[ f(s, e_i) = f(s, \pi e_3) = f(s, e_3) = s^{(1-p)/q} f(1, e_3) = s^{(1-p)/q} f(1, e_i). \]
The argument for $-e_i$ is similar. □
5.2. Uniqueness

In this subsection we deduce that, under certain circumstances, solutions to the equations (25) and (26) are uniquely determined by their values on a 2-dimensional subspace.

**Lemma 5.** Let \( n \geq 3 \) and \( f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) be a function which is continuous in its second argument and satisfies (25) on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \). Assume moreover that

\[
f(s, \pi x) = f(s, x)
\]

for all \((s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n\) and each \( \pi \in \text{SL}(n) \) induced by a permutation matrix. If

\[
f(s, x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{lin}\{e_1, e_2\},\]

then

\[
f(s, x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( 2 \leq j < n \). Using an induction argument, it suffices to show that

\[
f(s, x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{lin}\{e_1, \ldots, e_j\}
\]

implies

\[
f(s, x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{lin}\{e_1, \ldots, e_{j+1}\}.
\]

Assume that (31) holds. The invariance property (30) then implies

\[
f(s, x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{lin}\{e_2, \ldots, e_{j+1}\}
\]

and

\[
f(s, x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{lin}\{e_1, e_3, \ldots, e_{j+1}\}.
\]

Let \( x \in \text{lin}\{e_1, \ldots, e_{j+1}\} \). Suppose that \( 0 < x_1 / x_2 < 1 \) and set \( \lambda = x_1 / x_2 \). Then

\[
(\psi^{-t}_\lambda x)_1 = (\phi^t_\lambda \psi^{-t}_\lambda x)_1 = \frac{x_1}{1 - \lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} x_2 = 0,
\]

\[
(\psi^{-t}_\lambda x)_i = (\phi^t_\lambda \psi^{-t}_\lambda x)_i = 0, \quad i = j + 2, \ldots, n.
\]

Note that (25) gives

\[
f(s, \psi^{-t}_\lambda x) = \lambda^p f(s \lambda, \phi^{-t}_{\lambda} \psi^{-t}_\lambda x) + (1 - \lambda)^p f(s(1 - \lambda)^q, x)
\]

By (33) we conclude from this equality that \( f(s(1 - \lambda)^q, x) = 0 \) for all \( s > 0 \). Hence (32) holds for \( 0 < x_1 < x_2 \) and for \( x_2 < x_1 < 0 \).

If \( 0 < (x_1 - x_2) / x_1 < 1 \), then set \( \lambda = (x_1 - x_2) / x_1 \). Thus

\[
(\phi^{-t}_{\lambda} x)_2 = (\psi^t_{\lambda} \phi^{-t}_{\lambda} x)_2 = -\frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda} x_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} x_2 = 0,
\]

\[
(\phi^{-t}_{\lambda} x)_i = (\psi^t_{\lambda} \phi^{-t}_{\lambda} x)_i = 0, \quad i = j + 2, \ldots, n.
\]

Since by (25) we have

\[
f(s, \phi^{-t}_{\lambda} x) = \lambda^p f(s\lambda, x) + (1 - \lambda)^p f(s(1 - \lambda)^q, \psi^{-t}_\lambda \phi^{-t}_{\lambda} x),
\]

relation (34) proves (32) for \( 0 < x_2 < x_1 \) and for \( x_1 < x_2 < 0 \).
For $x_1, x_2 \neq 0$ and $\text{sgn}(x_1) \neq \text{sgn}(x_2)$ set $\lambda = x_1/(x_1 - x_2)$. Then $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and
\[
(\phi_j^\lambda x)_2 = (\phi_j^x x)_1 = (\psi_j^\lambda x)_i = 0, \quad i = j + 2, \ldots, n.
\]
As before we conclude that (32) holds for $x_1 < 0, x_2 > 0$ and for $x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0$. The assumed continuity of $f$ in the second argument concludes the proof. \qed

Next, let us establish a similar result for functions satisfying (26).

**Lemma 6.** Let $n \geq 3$ and $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function which is continuous in its second argument and satisfies (26) on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume moreover that
\[
f(s, \pi x) = f(s, x)
\]
for all $(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and each $\pi \in \text{SL}(n)$ induced by a permutation matrix. If
\[
f(s, x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{lin}[e_1, e_2],
\]
then
\[
f(s, x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

**Proof.** We proceed as in the proof of the previous lemma. Let $2 \leq j < n$. Using an induction argument, it suffices to show that
\[
f(s, x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{lin}[e_1, \ldots, e_j]
\]
implies
\[
f(s, x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{lin}[e_1, \ldots, e_{j+1}].
\]
Assume that (36) holds. The invariance property (35) implies
\[
f(s, x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{lin}[e_2, \ldots, e_{j+1}]
\]
and
\[
f(s, x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{lin}[e_1, e_3, \ldots, e_{j+1}].
\]
Assume that $x \in \text{lin}[e_1, \ldots, e_{j+1}]$. If $x_1$ and $x_2$ are not both zero and $\text{sgn}(x_1) = \text{sgn}(x_2)$, then $0 < x_2/(x_1 + x_2) < 1$. Set $\lambda = x_2/(x_1 + x_2)$. Thus
\[
(\phi_j^{-1} x)_1 = x_1 - \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda} x_2 = 0,
\]
\[
(\psi_j^{-1} x)_2 = -\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} x_1 + x_2 = 0,
\]
\[
(\phi_j^{-1} x)_i = (\psi_j^{-1} x)_i = 0, \quad i = j + 2, \ldots, n.
\]
Note that (26) gives
\[
f(s, x) = \lambda^p f(s \lambda^q, \phi_j^{-1} x) + (1 - \lambda)^p f(s(1 - \lambda)^q, \psi_j^{-1} x).
\]
By (38) and (39) we therefore conclude that $f(s, x) = 0$ for all $s > 0$. Hence (37) holds for $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0$ and for $x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0$.\[\]
Suppose that $0 < -x_2/x_1 < 1$. Let $\lambda = -x_2/x_1$. Then

$$\begin{align*}
(\psi, x)_2 &= (\phi_x^{-1} \psi, x)_2 = 0, \\
(\psi, x)_i &= (\phi_x^{-1} \psi, x)_i = 0, \quad i = j + 2, \ldots, n.
\end{align*}$$

Note that (26) gives

$$f(s, \psi, x) = \lambda^p f(s, (\phi_x^{-1} \psi), x) + (1 - \lambda)^p f(s(1 - \lambda)q, x).$$

By (39) we therefore conclude from this equality that $f(s(1 - \lambda)q, x) = 0$ for all $s > 0$. Hence (37) holds for $0 < -x_2 < x_1$ and for $x_1 < -x_2 < 0$.

If $0 < (x_1 + x_2)/x_2 < 1$, then set $\lambda = (x_1 + x_2)/x_2$. Thus

$$\begin{align*}
(\phi_x)_1 &= (\psi^{-1} \phi_x)_1 = 0, \\
(\phi_x)_i &= (\psi^{-1} \phi_x)_i = 0, \quad i = j + 2, \ldots, n.
\end{align*}$$

From (26) and (38) we deduce as before that (37) holds for $0 < x_1 < -x_2$ and for $-x_2 < x_1 < 0$. The continuity of $f$ in the second argument concludes the proof. □

5.3. Representations

The following result solves the functional equation (24) on a subspace.

**Lemma 7.** Suppose that $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is positively homogeneous of degree one in the second argument and satisfies (24) on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$. If there exists an $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $s > 0$ and $x \in [\pm e_1, \pm e_2]$,

$$f(s, x) = s^r f(1, x),$$

then for $x_1 > x_2 > 0$,

$$\begin{align*}
f(s, x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) &= (x_1 - x_2)^{-p - r q} (x_1^{1 + p + r q} - x_2^{1 + p + r q}) f(s, e_1), \\
f(s, -x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) &= (x_1 - x_2)^{-p - r q} (x_1^{1 + p + r q} - x_2^{1 + p + r q}) f(s, -e_1);
\end{align*}$$

for $x_2 > x_1 > 0$,

$$\begin{align*}
f(s, x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) &= (x_2 - x_1)^{-p - r q} (x_2^{1 + p + r q} - x_1^{1 + p + r q}) f(s, e_2), \\
f(s, -x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) &= (x_2 - x_1)^{-p - r q} (x_2^{1 + p + r q} - x_1^{1 + p + r q}) f(s, -e_2);
\end{align*}$$

and for $x_1, x_2 > 0$,

$$\begin{align*}
f(s, -x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) &= (x_1 + x_2)^{-p - r q} (x_2^{1 + p + r q} f(s, e_2) + x_1^{1 + p + r q} f(s, -e_1)), \\
f(s, x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) &= (x_1 + x_2)^{-p - r q} (x_1^{1 + p + r q} f(s, e_1) + x_2^{1 + p + r q} f(s, -e_2)).
\end{align*}$$
Proof. Let \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \). The functional equation (24) evaluated at \( e_1 \) together with the assumed homogeneity of degree one gives

\[
f(s, e_1) = \lambda^p f(s\lambda^q, e_1) + (1 - \lambda)e_2 + (1 - \lambda)^{1+p} f(s(1 - \lambda)^q, e_1) \tag{41}
\]

for all \( s > 0 \). Let \( t > 0 \). Choose \( s = t\lambda^{-q} \) in (41) to arrive at

\[
f(t, e_1 + (1 - \lambda)e_2) = \lambda^{-p}[f(t\lambda^{-q}, e_1) - (1 - \lambda)^{1+p} f(t\lambda^{-q}(1 - \lambda)^q, e_1)] \tag{42}
\]

for every \( t > 0 \). Thus (40) and equation (42) evaluated at \( t = 1 \) yield

\[
f(t, e_1 + (1 - \lambda)e_2) = t'\lambda^{-p}[f(\lambda^{-q}, e_1) - (1 - \lambda)^{1+p} f(\lambda^{-q}(1 - \lambda)^q, e_1)]
\]

\[
= t' f(1, e_1 + (1 - \lambda)e_2).
\]

This and (40) show that we can rewrite (41) as

\[
f(s, e_1 + (1 - \lambda)e_2) = \lambda^{-p-rq}(1 - (1 - \lambda)^{1+p+rq}) f(s, e_1). \tag{43}
\]

Let \( x_1 > x_2 > 0 \) and set \( \lambda = 1 - x_2/x_1 \). Since \( f \) is homogeneous of degree one in the second component we obtain

\[
f(s, x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = x_1 f(s, e_1 + (1 - \lambda)e_2)
\]

\[
= x_1 (1 - x_2/x_1)^{-p-rq}(1 - (x_2/x_1)^{1+p+rq}) f(s, e_1)
\]

\[
= (x_1 - x_2)^{-p-rd}(x_1^{1+p+rq} - x_2^{1+p+rq}) f(s, e_1).
\]

Replacing \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) by \(-e_1\) and \(-e_2\), respectively, in the above derivation shows

\[
f(s, -x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^{-p-rq}(x_1^{1+p+rq} - x_2^{1+p+rq}) f(s, e_1)
\]

for \( x_1 > x_2 > 0 \).

Let \( 0 < \lambda < 1 \). The functional equation (24) evaluated at \( e_2 \) together with the assumed homogeneity of degree one gives

\[
f(s, e_2) = \lambda^{1+p} f(s\lambda^q, e_2) + (1 - \lambda)^p f(s(1 - \lambda)^q, \lambda e_1 + e_2) \tag{44}
\]

for all \( s > 0 \). Let \( t > 0 \). Choose \( s = t(1 - \lambda)^{-q} \) in (44) to get

\[
f(t, \lambda e_1 + e_2) = (1 - \lambda)^{-p}[f(t(1 - \lambda)^{-q}, e_2) - \lambda^{1+p} f(t\lambda^q(1 - \lambda)^{-q}, e_2)] \tag{45}
\]

for every \( t > 0 \). Thus (40) and equation (45) evaluated at \( t = 1 \) yield

\[
f(t, \lambda e_1 + e_2) = t'(1 - \lambda)^{-p}[f((1 - \lambda)^{-q}, e_2) - \lambda^{1+p} f(\lambda^q(1 - \lambda)^{-q}, e_2)]
\]

\[
= t' f(1, \lambda e_1 + e_2).
\]

This and (40) show that we can rewrite (44) as

\[
f(s, \lambda e_1 + e_2) = (1 - \lambda)^{-p-rq}(1 - \lambda^{1+p+rq}) f(s, e_2). \tag{46}
\]
Let \( x_2 > x_1 > 0 \) and set \( \lambda = x_1 / x_2 \). By homogeneity we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
  f(s, x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) &= x_2 f(s, \lambda e_1 + e_2) \\
  &= x_2 (1 - x_1 / x_2)^{-p-rq} (1 - (x_1 / x_2)^{1+p+rq}) f(s, e_2) \\
  &= (x_2 - x_1)^{-p-rq} (x_2^{1+p+rq} - x_1^{1+p+rq}) f(s, e_2).
\end{align*}
\]
Replacing \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) by \(-e_1\) and \(-e_2\), respectively, in the above derivation shows
\[
\begin{align*}
  f(s, -x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) &= (x_2 - x_1)^{-p-rq} (x_2^{1+p+rq} - x_1^{1+p+rq}) f(s, -e_2)
\end{align*}
\]
for \( x_2 > x_1 > 0 \).

Let \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \). The functional equation (24) evaluated at \(-\lambda e_1 + (1 - \lambda)e_2\) together with the homogeneity of degree one gives
\[
\begin{align*}
  f(s, -\lambda e_1 + (1 - \lambda)e_2) &= \lambda^{1+p+rq} f(s, -e_1) + (1 - \lambda)^{1+p+rq} f(s, e_2). 
\end{align*}
\] (47)
Suppose that both \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) are positive and set \( \lambda = x_1 / (x_1 + x_2) \). By homogeneity,
\[
\begin{align*}
  f(s, -x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) &= (x_1 + x_2) f(s, -\lambda e_1 + (1 - \lambda)e_2) \\
  &= (x_1 + x_2)^{-p-rq} (x_1^{1+p+rq} f(s, e_1) + x_2^{1+p+rq} f(s, e_2))
\end{align*}
\]
Replacing \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) by \(-e_1\) and \(-e_2\), respectively, in the above derivation shows
\[
\begin{align*}
  f(s, x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) &= (x_1 + x_2)^{-p-rq} (x_1^{1+p+rq} f(s, e_1) + x_2^{1+p+rq} f(s, -e_2)).
\end{align*}
\] \( \square \)

6. The contravariant case

6.1. Preliminaries

Let us first show that \( \text{SL}(n) \) contravariant operators map \((n - 1)\)-dimensional simplices to line segments.

**Lemma 8.** Let \( n \geq 3 \), let \( Z : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow K^n \) be \( \text{SL}(n) \) contravariant, and set \( T' = T^n \cap e_1^\perp \). Then there exists a non-negative constant \( a \) with
\[
\begin{align*}
  Z(s T') &= a s^{n-1} [-e_1, e_1] \quad \text{for every } s > 0.
\end{align*}
\] (48)

**Proof.** For arbitrary \( s > 0 \) and \( 2 \leq k \leq n \), let \( \phi \in \text{SL}(n) \) be the map defined by
\[
\begin{align*}
  \phi e_i &= e_1 + s e_k \quad \text{and} \quad \phi e_i = e_i, \quad i = 2, \ldots, n.
\end{align*}
\]
Note that for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) we have \((\phi^{-1}x)_1 = x_1 - sx_k\). Clearly, \( \phi T' = T' \). If \( x \in Z T' \), then the contravariance of \( Z \) implies that also \( \phi^{-1}x \in Z T' \). Since \( Z T' \) is bounded, we conclude that \( x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 0 \). Thus there exist \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \(-a \leq b \) and \( Z T' = [-ae_1, be_1] \). Define \( \psi \in \text{SL}(n) \) by
\[
\begin{align*}
  \psi e_1 &= -e_1, \quad \psi e_2 = e_3, \quad \psi e_3 = e_2, \quad \psi e_i = e_i, \quad i = 4, \ldots, n \text{ if } n > 3.
\end{align*}
\]
Since $\psi T = T'$, the SL(n) contravariance of $Z$ implies

$$[-ae_1, be_1] = Z T' = \psi^{-t} Z T' = [-be_1, ae_1],$$

and hence $a = b$. Finally, for $s > 0$, define $\tau \in$ SL(n) by

$$\tau e_1 = se_1, \quad \tau e_i = e_i, \quad i = 2, \ldots, n.$$ 

Note that $\tau T' = sT'$. Hence, for $s > 0$, define $\tau \in$ SL(n) by

$$\tau e_1 = s e_1, \quad \tau e_i = e_i, \quad i = 2, \ldots, n.$$ 

The SL(n) contravariance of $Z$ therefore concludes the proof of the lemma. □

Assume that $Z : P^n_o \rightarrow (K^n, +)$ is an SL(n) contravariant Minkowski valuation and let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Now, we are going to derive a functional equation for the support function of $Z$. This equation is closely related to those treated in Section 5 and will be frequently used. Denote by $H_\lambda$ the hyperplane through $o$ with normal vector $\lambda e_1 - (1 - \lambda) e_2$ and set $T' = T^n \cap e_1$. Note that

$$(sT^n) \cap H_\lambda^+ = s\phi_\lambda T^n, \quad (sT^n) \cap H_\lambda^- = s\psi_\lambda T^n \quad \text{and} \quad (sT^n) \cap H_\lambda = s\phi_\lambda T'.$$

So the valuation property of $Z$ implies

$$Z(sT^n) + Z(s\phi_\lambda T^n) = Z(s\phi_\lambda T^n) + Z(s\psi_\lambda T^n).$$

The SL(n) contravariance of $Z$ therefore gives

$$Z(sT^n) + \lambda^{1/n} \phi_\lambda^{-t} Z(s\lambda^{1/n} T^n) = \lambda^{1/n} \phi_\lambda^{-t} Z(s\lambda^{1/n} T^n) + (1 - \lambda)^{1/n} \psi_\lambda^{-t} Z(s(1 - \lambda)^{1/n} T^n). \quad (49)$$

Let $\rho \in$ SL(n) be defined by $\rho e_1 = e_2$, $\rho e_2 = -e_1$, and $\rho e_k = e_k$ for $k \geq 3$. Hence $\rho$ is the counterclockwise rotation by an angle of $\pi/2$ in the plane spanned by the first two canonical basis vectors. For $g(\lambda) = \lambda$ and $h(\lambda) = 1 - \lambda$, we obtain

$$\rho \phi_\lambda^{-t} \rho^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} \phi_{\lambda, g} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho \psi_\lambda^{-t} \rho^{-1} = (1 - \lambda)^{-1} \psi_{\lambda, h}. \quad (50)$$

Let $\hat{Z} : P^n_o \rightarrow K^n$ be defined by $\hat{Z}K = \rho ZK$. By (49) we deduce

$$\hat{Z}(sT^n) + \lambda^{1/n} \phi_{\lambda, g} \hat{Z}(s\lambda^{1/n} T^n) = \lambda^{1/n} \phi_{\lambda, g} \hat{Z}(s\lambda^{1/n} T^n) + (1 - \lambda)^{1/n} \psi_{\lambda, h} \hat{Z}(s(1 - \lambda)^{1/n} T^n). \quad (51)$$

After these preparations we are in a position to prove our first main result.
6.2. The crucial classification

In this subsection we establish a theorem which has all the main results on contravariant valuations stated in Sections 1 and 3 as consequences.

**Theorem 7.** Let $n \geq 3$. Suppose that $Z : P^n_o \to (K^n, +)$ is an $\text{SL}(n)$ contravariant Minkowski valuation such that the two functions

$$s \mapsto h_{Z(sT^n)}(e_3) \quad \text{and} \quad s \mapsto h_{Z(sT^n)}(-e_3), \quad s > 0,$$

are bounded from below on some non-empty open intervals $I_+ \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ and $I_- \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, respectively. Then there exist constants $c_1, c_2, c_3$ with $c_1 \geq 0$ and $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 \geq 0$ such that

$$h_{Z(P)} = c_1 h_{\Pi P} + c_2 h_{\Pi_e P} + c_3 h_{\Pi_{-P}} \quad \text{for every } P \in P^n_o.$$

**Proof.** Define a function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(s, x) = h_{\tilde{Z}(sT^n)}(x) - h_{\tilde{Z}(sT^n)}(x),$$

where as before $\tilde{Z}K = \rho ZK$ and $T' = T^n \cap e_3^\perp$. Obviously we have $\psi_2 T' = T'$. By (50) this implies that

$$(1 - \lambda)^{1/n} \psi_{\lambda,h} \tilde{Z}(s(1 - \lambda)^{1/n} T') = \tilde{Z}(sT').$$

Hence we infer from (51) that $f$ satisfies (24) for $p = 1/n - 1$ and $q = 1/n$, i.e.

$$f(s, x) = \lambda^{1/n} f(s\lambda^{1/n}, \phi_{\lambda,x}^I) + (1 - \lambda)^{1/n} f(s(1 - \lambda)^{1/n}, \psi_{\lambda,x}^I).$$

But $f$ is positively homogeneous of degree one in $x$, and hence

$$f(s, \pm e_3) = \lambda^{1/n} f(s\lambda^{1/n}, \pm e_3) + (1 - \lambda)^{1/n} f(s(1 - \lambda)^{1/n}, \pm e_3).$$

In other words, the function $f$ satisfies (25) at the points $\pm e_3$. Let $s > 0$. By Lemma 8 there exists a non-negative constant $a$ such that

$$h_{\tilde{Z}(sT^n)}(x) = ax^{n-1} |x_2|, \quad s > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (52)

This and the assumed boundedness of the functions $s \mapsto h_{Z(sT^n)}(\pm e_3)$ show that $f(\cdot, e_3)$ and $f(\cdot, -e_3)$ are bounded from below on some non-empty open intervals $I_+ \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ and $I_- \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, respectively. So Lemma 4 implies

$$f(s, e_3) = s^{n-1} f(1, e_3) \quad \text{and} \quad f(s, -e_3) = s^{n-1} f(1, -e_3).$$

Since $h_{\tilde{Z}(sT^n)}(e_3) = 0$ by (52), we obtain

$$h_{Z(sT^n)}(e_3) = f(s, e_3) = s^{n-1} f(1, e_3) = s^{n-1} h_{2T^n}(e_3).$$

Similarly, we deduce that $h_{Z(sT^n)}(-e_3) = s^{n-1} h_{2T^n}(-e_3)$. Since both $e_3$ and $-e_3$ are fixpoints of $\rho$, we infer from (5) that

$$h_{Z(sT^n)}(e_3) = s^{n-1} h_{ZT^n}(e_3) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{Z(sT^n)}(-e_3) = s^{n-1} h_{ZT^n}(-e_3).$$
The SL(n) contravariance of Z proves
\[ h_{Z(T^n)}(\pi x) = h_{Z(T^n)}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{53} \]
for every map \( \pi \in \text{SL}(n) \) which is induced by a permutation matrix. Hence
\[ h_{Z(T^n)}(x) = s^{n-1} h_{Z(T^n)}(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in \{ \pm e_1, \pm e_2 \}. \]
Since \( \rho' [\pm e_1, \pm e_2] = [\pm e_1, \pm e_2] \), we infer from (5) that for every \( x \in \{ \pm e_1, \pm e_2 \}, \)
\[ h_{Z(T^n)}(x) = h_{Z(T^n)}(\rho' x) = s^{n-1} h_{Z(T^n)}(x). \tag{54} \]
The equality (52) therefore implies
\[ f(s, e_2) = h_{Z(T^n)}(e_2) - as^{n-1} = s^{n-1}[h_{Z(T^n)}(e_2) - a] = s^{n-1} f(1, e_2). \]
Replacing \( e_2 \) by \( -e_2 \) in the above derivation proves \( f(s, -e_2) = s^{n-1} f(1, -e_2) \). Moreover, since \( f(s, \pm e_1) = h_{Z(T^n)}(\pm e_1) \) by (52), we deduce from (54) that \( f(s, e_1) = s^{n-1} f(1, e_1) \) and \( f(s, -e_1) = s^{n-1} f(1, -e_1) \). An application of Lemma 7 for \( p = 1/n - 1, q = 1/n, \) and \( r = n - 1 \) shows that for \( x_1 > x_2 > 0, \)
\[ f(s, x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = (x_1 - x_2) f(s, e_1), \]
\[ f(s, -x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) = (x_1 - x_2) f(s, -e_1); \]
for \( x_2 > x_1 > 0, \)
\[ f(s, x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = (x_2 - x_1) f(s, e_2), \]
\[ f(s, -x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) = (x_2 - x_1) f(s, -e_2); \]
and for \( x_1, x_2 > 0, \)
\[ f(s, -x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = x_2 f(s, e_2) + x_1 f(s, -e_1), \]
\[ f(s, x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) = x_1 f(s, e_1) + x_2 f(s, -e_2). \]
The positive homogeneity of the functions \( f(\cdot, \pm e_i), \) \( i = 1, 2, \) and the fact that
\[ h_{Z(T^n)}(x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = f(s, -x_2 e_1 + x_1 e_2) + as^{n-1}|x_1| \]
proves, for \( x_1 > x_2 > 0, \)
\[ h_{Z(T^n)}(x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) = s^{n-1}[x_1(f(1, e_2) + a) - x_2 f(1, e_2)], \]
\[ h_{Z(T^n)}(-x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = s^{n-1}[x_1(f(1, -e_2) + a) - x_2 f(1, -e_2)]; \]
for \( x_2 > x_1 > 0, \)
\[ h_{Z(T^n)}(x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) = s^{n-1}[x_1(a - f(1, e_1)) + x_2 f(1, e_1)], \]
\[ h_{Z(T^n)}(-x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = s^{n-1}[x_1(a - f(1, -e_1)) + x_2 f(1, -e_1)]; \]
Similarly, by replacing \( f \) and for \( x \) Minkowski valuations intertwining with the special linear group 1585

Note that by the definition of the constants \( c_i \) for all \( x \) we see that \( g \) satisfies (26). From (53), (55), and the SL

For all \( s > 0 \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) we have

Using formulas (14) and (15) as well as the positive homogeneity of degree \( n - 1 \) of the operators \( \Pi \) and \( \Pi_o \) we see that

For all \( s > 0 \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) we have

Hence, by (49), the function \( g : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) defined by

satisfies (26). From (53), (55), and the SL(n) contravariance of the operators \( \Pi \) and \( \Pi_o \) we see that \( g \) satisfies the conditions of Lemma 6. Thus the equality (55) actually holds for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Lemma 3 implies that

Note that by the definition of the constants \( c_1, c_2, \) and \( c_3 \) and the non-negativity of \( a \) we have \( c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = a(n-1)! \geq 0 \). Let \( P \in \mathcal{P}_0^n \) be chosen such that the origin is an interior point of \( P \). Thus \( h_{Z,P} = c_1 h_{\Pi P} \) and we conclude that \( c_1 h_{\Pi P} \) is a support function. From (3) we infer

In particular, if we take \( P = [-1, 1]^n \), \( x = e_1 \), and \( y = -e_1 \), then we get \( c_1 \geq 0 \).  \( \square \)
6.3. Proofs of the main theorems

Using the previous result, we are now in a position to establish all theorems on SL\((n)\) contravariant Minkowski valuations stated in Sections 1 and 3.

Proof of Theorem 4. Assume that \(Z : K^n_o \rightarrow K^n\) is a continuous SL\((n)\) contravariant Minkowski valuation. The continuity of \(Z\) implies that the two functions

\[ s \mapsto h_{Z(sT^n)}(e_3) \quad \text{and} \quad s \mapsto h_{Z(sT^n)}(-e_3), \quad s > 0, \]

are continuous. Hence they are bounded from below on some non-empty open intervals \(I_+ \subset \mathbb{R}_+\) and \(I_- \subset \mathbb{R}_+\), respectively. Theorem 7 shows that there exist a non-negative constant \(c_1\) as well as constants \(c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}\) with

\[ h_{Z_P} = c_1 h_{\Pi_P} + c_2 h_{\Pi_o P} + c_3 h_{\Pi_o (-P)} \quad \text{for every} \quad P \in T^n_o. \]

Since \(Z\) and \(\Pi\) are continuous but \(\Pi_o\) is not continuous at polytopes containing the origin on their boundaries, we have \(h_{Z_P} = c_1 h_{\Pi_P}\) for every \(P \in T^n_o\). The continuity of \(Z\) and \(\Pi\) as well as the fact that \(T^n_o\) is a dense subset of \(K^n_o\) prove \(h_{Z_K} = c_1 h_{\Pi_K}\) for every \(K \in K^n_o\).

Proof of Theorem 3. Let \(s > 0\). Since the origin is contained in \(Z(sT^n)\), we have \(h_{Z(sT^n)} \geq 0\). Hence the functions \(h_{Z(sT^n)}(\pm e_3)\) are bounded from below on \(\mathbb{R}_+\). By Theorem 7 there exist a non-negative constant \(c_1\) as well as constants \(c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(c_1 + c_2 + c_3 \geq 0\) and

\[ h_{Z_P} = c_1 h_{\Pi_P} + c_2 h_{\Pi_o P} + c_3 h_{\Pi_o (-P)} \quad \text{for every} \quad P \in T^n_o. \]

Proof of Theorem 1. Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.

7. The covariant case

7.1. Preliminaries

Let us collect some basic facts about covariant operators. The following statement is an immediate consequence of the definition of covariance and (5).

Lemma 9. Let \(n \geq 3, 1 \leq k < n\). For \(i = 1, \ldots, m\) let \(c_i \in \mathbb{R}\) and suppose that \(Z_i : P^n_o \rightarrow \langle K^n, + \rangle\) are SL\((n)\) covariant maps. If \(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i h_{Z_i P} = 0\) for every \(k\)-dimensional convex polytope \(P \in T^n_o\) which is contained in \(\operatorname{lin}\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}\), then \(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i h_{Z_i P} = 0\) for every \(k\)-dimensional convex polytope \(P \in T^n_o\).

The next lemma is due to Ludwig [38]. For completeness we include a proof.

Lemma 10. Let \(n \geq 3\). If \(Z : T^n_o \rightarrow K^n\) is SL\((n)\) covariant, then \(Z P \subset \operatorname{lin} P\) for every \(P \in T^n_o\).
\textbf{Proof.} The statement is trivial if \( P \) is \( n \)-dimensional. Next, assume that \( \dim P = 0 \). Hence \( P = \{ o \} \). Define \( \phi \in \text{SL}(n) \) by

\[ \phi e_i = 2e_1, \quad \phi e_2 = 2^{-1}e_2, \quad \phi e_k = e_k, \quad 3 \leq k \leq n. \]

Clearly, \( \phi P = P \) and so the covariance of \( Z \) together with (5) and the fact that support functions are positively homogeneous of degree one yields

\[ h_{Z P}(e_1) = h_{Z\phi P}(e_1) = h_{Z P}(\phi^i e_1) = 2h_{Z P}(e_1). \]

Hence \( h_{Z P}(e_1) = 0 \). For each unit vector \( u \in S^{n-1} \) it is possible to find a rotation \( \vartheta \in \text{SL}(n) \) such that \( u = \vartheta e_1 \). This, (5), the covariance of \( Z \), and the obvious equality \( \vartheta^i P = P \) imply

\[ h_{Z P}(u) = h_{Z P}(\vartheta e_1) = h_{Z \vartheta^i P}(e_1) = h_{Z P}(e_1) = 0. \]

By homogeneity we conclude that \( h_{Z P} = 0 \). This proves \( Z P = \{ o \} \) and therefore settles the 0-dimensional case.

Finally, assume that \( 0 < \dim P = k < n \) and, without loss of generality, that \( P \subset \text{lin}[e_1, \ldots, e_k] \). For arbitrary \( s > 0 \), let \( \phi \in \text{SL}(n) \) be defined by

\[ \phi e_i = e_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, k, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi e_i = se_{i-k} + e_i, \quad i = k + 1, \ldots, n. \]

Clearly, \( \phi P = P \). If \( x \in Z P \), then the covariance of \( Z \) implies that also \( \phi x \in Z P \). Since \( Z P \) is bounded and \( s \) can be arbitrarily large we conclude that \( x_{k+1} = \cdots = x_n = 0 \).

\textbf{Corollary 2.} Suppose that \( n \geq 3 \) and \( Z : \mathcal{P}_o^n \to K^n \) is \( \text{SL}(n) \) covariant. If \( 0 < k < n \) and \( P \in \text{lin}[e_1, \ldots, e_k] \), then

\[ Z(s P) = s Z P \quad \text{for any} \ s > 0. \]

If \( 1 < k < n \) and \( P \subset \text{lin}[e_1, \ldots, e_k] \), then

\[ Z(\phi_k P) = \phi_k Z P \quad \text{and} \quad Z(\psi_{\lambda} P) = \psi_{\lambda} Z P \quad \text{for all} \ \lambda \in (0, 1). \]

\textbf{Proof.} For \( s > 0 \) define \( \phi \in \text{SL}(n) \) by

\[ \phi e_i = se_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n-1, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi e_n = s^{1-n} e_n. \]

Clearly, \( \phi P = s P \). Lemma 10 shows that also \( \phi Z P = s Z P \). From the \( \text{SL}(n) \) covariance of \( Z \) we deduce (57).

The \( \text{SL}(n) \) covariance of \( Z \) together with (57) proves that \( Z(\phi P) = \phi Z P \) for every \( P \subset \text{lin}[e_1, \ldots, e_k] \) and all invertible linear transformations \( \phi \) with positive determinant which fix \( \text{lin}[e_1, \ldots, e_k] \). Hence (58) holds.

Assume that \( Z : \mathcal{P}_o^n \to (K^n, +) \) is an \( \text{SL}(n) \) covariant Minkowski valuation. Now, we are going to derive some functional equations for support functions of \( Z \). These equations are closely related to those treated in Section 5 and will be often used. Let \( s > 0, \ \lambda \in (0, 1), \)
and denote by $H_\lambda$ the hyperplane passing through $o$ with normal vector $\lambda e_1 - (1 - \lambda) e_2$. Then

$$(sT^n) \cap H_\lambda^+ = s\phi_\lambda T^n, \quad (sT^n) \cap H_\lambda^- = s\psi_\lambda T^n \quad \text{and} \quad (sT^n) \cap H_\lambda = s\phi_\lambda T',$$

where $T' = T^n \cap e_1$. So the valuation property of $Z$ implies

$$(sT^n) \cap H_\lambda^+ = s\phi_\lambda T^n, \quad (sT^n) \cap H_\lambda^- = s\psi_\lambda T^n \quad \text{and} \quad (sT^n) \cap H_\lambda = s\phi_\lambda T'.
$$

The SL$(n)$ covariance of $Z$ therefore gives

$$Z(sT^n) + \lambda^{-1/n} \hat{Z}(s\phi_\lambda T') = \lambda^{-1/n} \hat{Z}(s\phi_\lambda T^n) + (1 - \lambda)^{-1/n} \hat{Z}(s(1 - \lambda)^{-1/n} T^n).$$

The SL$(n)$ covariance Minkowski valuation $Z : \mathcal{P}_o^n \to \langle K^n, + \rangle$ gives rise to the following valuation $\hat{Z}$. For $n \geq 3$ and $1 < k < n$, we can define, by Lemma 10, a map $\hat{Z} : \mathcal{P}_o^k \to \langle K^k, + \rangle$ by

$$\hat{Z} = \pi_k(Z(\pi_k^{-1} P)),$$

where $\pi_k : \text{lin}\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ denotes the projection of a vector to its first $k$ coordinates with respect to the standard basis. Note that $\pi_k$ is a linear bijection. It is easily seen that $\hat{Z}$ is in fact an SL$(k)$ covariant Minkowski valuation. Furthermore, for $P \subset \text{lin}\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$ we infer from (6) and Lemma 10 that

$$h_{\hat{Z}}(s\hat{Z}P)(x) = h_{\hat{Z}}(Z(\pi_k^{-1} P))(x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_k e_k)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
$$

Moreover, Corollary 2 shows that for $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^k$,

$$\hat{Z}(\phi_\lambda P) = \phi_\lambda \hat{Z}P \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{Z}(\psi_\lambda P) = \psi_\lambda \hat{Z}P,
$$

as well as

$$\hat{Z}(sP) = s\hat{Z}P$$

for any $s > 0$. In particular, from (4), (5), (59), and (63) we get

$$h_{\hat{Z}}(s\hat{Z}T^k)(\phi_\lambda x) = h_{\hat{Z}}(s\hat{Z}T^k)(\phi_\lambda x) + h_{\hat{Z}}(s\hat{Z}T^k)(\psi_\lambda x).$$

(65)
7.2. The crucial classification

In this subsection we establish a theorem which has all the main results on covariant valuations stated in Sections 1 and 3 as consequences. We start by clarifying the behavior of SL(n) covariant Minkowski valuations on lower dimensional sets.

**Lemma 11.** Suppose that \( n \geq 3 \) and \( Z : \mathcal{P}_o^n \to (K^n, +) \) is an SL(n) covariant Minkowski valuation which is continuous at the line segment \([o, e_1]\). Then there exist non-negative constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) such that for every convex polytope \( P \in \mathcal{P}_o^n \) of dimension less than \( n \) one has

\[ Z(P) = c_1 P + c_2(-P). \]

**Proof.** From Lemma 10 we know that there exist constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) such that \(-c_2 \leq c_1\) and \( Z([o, e_1]) = [-c_2 e_1, c_1 e_1] \). By (4) it suffices to prove that \( c_1, c_2 \geq 0 \) and

\[ h_{ZP} - c_1 h_P - c_2 h_{-P} = 0 \quad \text{for every } P \in \mathcal{P}_o^n \text{ with } \dim P < n. \]  

(66)

We prove (66) by induction on dimension. If \( \dim P = 0 \), then \( Z(P) = \{o\} \) by Lemma 10 and hence (66) obviously holds. It easily follows from (7) that

\[ h_{[c_2 e_1, c_1 e_1]} = c_1 h_{[o, e_1]} + c_2 h_{[-o, e_1]}. \]

Hence, by the definition of \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \), equation (66) also holds for the segment \([o, e_1]\). Next, let \( P \) be a line segment of the form \([o, x]\) for some non-zero \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Let \( \theta \in \text{SL}(n) \) be a rotation with \( x = \|x\| e_1 \). Then the covariance of \( Z \), (57), (5), and the already established equality (66) for \([o, e_1]\) give

\[ h_{Z[x]} = \|x\| h_{Z[o, e_1]} \circ \theta' = \|x\| (c_1 h_{[o, e_1]} + c_2 h_{[-o, e_1]}) \circ \theta' = c_1 h_{[o, x]} + c_2 h_{[-o, x]} \]  

(67)

More generally, let \( P \in \mathcal{P}_o^n \) be of the form \([-ax, bx]\) for some non-zero \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and positive constants \( a \) and \( b \). The valuation property, the fact that \( Z[o] = \{o\} \) (which follows from Lemma 10), and (67) give

\[ h_{ZP} = h_{Z[o, -ax]} + h_{Z[o, bx]} = c_1 h_P + c_2 h_{-P}. \]

Thus (66) holds for 1-dimensional bodies.

Let \( 2 \leq k \leq n - 1 \) and assume that (66) holds for \((k - 1)\)-dimensional bodies. As before, define \( \tilde{Z} : \mathcal{P}_o^k \to (K^k, +) \) by \( \tilde{Z} P = \pi_k(Z(\pi_k^{-1} P)) \). Recall that \( \tilde{Z} \) is an SL\((k)\) covariant Minkowski valuation. Note that \( \tilde{Z} \) is also continuous at the line segment \([o, e_1]\). Moreover, since we assume that (66) holds for \((k - 1)\)-dimensional polytopes, we have

\[ h_{\tilde{Z}P} - c_1 h_P - c_2 h_{-P} = 0 \quad \text{for every } P \in \mathcal{P}_o^k \text{ with } \dim P < k. \]  

(68)

Define a function \( f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R} \) by

\[ f(s, x) = h_{\tilde{Z}(sT^i)}(x) - c_1 h_{sT^i}(x) - c_2 h_{-sT^i}(x). \]

Let \( \phi \) be a linear map with

\[ \phi e_1 = e_2, \quad \phi e_2 = e_1, \quad \phi e_j = e_j \quad \text{if } k \geq 3 \text{ and } 3 \leq j \leq k. \]
Clearly we have $\phi' = \phi$ and $\phi T^k = T^k$. Recall that $n \geq 3$ and $k < n$. The SL$(n)$ covariance of $Z$ therefore implies $\hat{Z}(\phi T^k) = \phi \hat{Z}^k$ and we deduce from (5) that

$$f(1, e_1) = f(1, e_2) \quad \text{and} \quad f(1, -e_1) = f(1, -e_2).$$

From (64) and (4) one immediately deduces

$$f(s, x) = sf(1, x) \quad \text{for every} \quad (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^k. \tag{70}$$

Note that equation (65) holds in dimension $k$ for $Z$, $e_1$ times the identity as well as $c_2$ times the reflection at the origin. Subtracting the respective equalities and using (68) therefore gives, for all $(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$f(s, x) = f(s, \phi s x) + f(s, \psi s x). \tag{71}$$

Thus $f$ satisfies the functional equation (25) for $p = q = 0$. By appealing to (70) we can apply Lemma 7 for $r = 1$ and conclude that for $x_1 > x_2 > 0$,

$$f(s, x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = s(x_1 - x_2)f(1, e_1),$$
$$f(s, -x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) = s(x_1 - x_2)f(1, -e_1);$$

for $x_2 > x_1 > 0$,

$$f(s, x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = s(x_2 - x_1)f(1, e_2),$$
$$f(s, -x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) = s(x_2 - x_1)f(1, -e_2);$$

and for $x_1, x_2 > 0$,

$$f(s, -x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = s[x_2 f(1, e_2) + x_1 f(1, -e_1)],$$
$$f(s, x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) = s[x_1 f(1, e_1) + x_2 f(1, -e_2)].$$

Define constants

$$a = f(1, e_1) \quad \text{and} \quad b = f(1, -e_1).$$

Using these definitions, the symmetry relation (69), and the continuity of $f$, it is readily verified that for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(1, x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = a [2 \max \{(x_1)_+, (x_2)_+\} - (x_1)_+ - (x_2)_+]$$
$$+ b [2 \max \{(x_1)_-, (x_2)_-\} - (x_1)_- - (x_2)_-].$$

Relations (7), (8), and the definition of $E$ (Sec. 2) imply, for $x \in \text{lin}[e_1, e_2]$,

$$f(1, x) = 2ah_{T^2}(x) + 2bh_{-T^2}(x) - ah_{E_{T^2}}(x) - bh_{E_{-T^2}}(x).$$

Assume first that $k = 2$. By the definition of $f$, (70), and the homogeneity of $E$ we have

$$h_{\hat{Z}(T^2)} = (2a + c_1)h_{sT^2} + (2b + c_2)h_{-sT^2} - ah_{E(sT^2)} - bh_{E(-sT^2)}.$$
From Lemma 3 we therefore know that for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^2$,
\[ h_{\hat{Z}}(s) = (2a + c_1)h_P + (2b + c_2)h_{-P} - ah_{E_P} - bh_{E(-P)}, \] (72)
For sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ define $P_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}_o^2$ as $P_\varepsilon = [o, e_1] - \varepsilon e_1 + \varepsilon[-e_2, e_2]$. Note that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} P_\varepsilon = [o, e_1]$. From (72) we infer by the continuity of $\hat{Z}$ at $[o, e_1]$ and the fact that for every $\varepsilon$ the origin is an interior point of $P_\varepsilon$ that
\[ c_1 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} h_{Z_{[o,e_1]}}(e_1) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} (2a + c_1)h_{P_\varepsilon}(e_1) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \left((2a + c_1)h_{P_\varepsilon}(e_1) + (2b + c_2)h_{-P_\varepsilon}(e_1)\right) = 2a + c_1. \]
This shows that $a = 0$. By performing the same computation but for $-e_1$ instead of $e_1$, one obtains $b = 0$. Thus $h_{\hat{Z}} = c_1 h_P + c_2 h_{-P}$. From (62), we obtain $h_{\hat{Z}} = c_1 h_P + c_2 h_{-P}$ for all $P \in \text{lin}[e_1, e_2]$. Now Lemma 9 proves that (66) holds for all 2-dimensional polytopes. Assume now that $k \geq 3$. Since $\pm e_3$ are fixpoints of both $\phi_\lambda$ and $\psi_\lambda$, equation (71) immediately shows that $f(s, \pm e_3) = 0$ for all $s > 0$. Define $\phi \in \text{SL}(k)$ by
\[ \phi e_1 = e_2, \quad \phi e_2 = e_3, \quad \phi e_3 = e_1, \quad \phi e_\lambda = e_\lambda \text{ for } 3 < \lambda \leq k \text{ if } k > 3. \]
Since $\phi^IT^k = T^k$ we deduce from (5) and the covariance of $\hat{Z}$ that
\[ f(s, e_1) = f(s, \phi e_3) = h_{\hat{Z}_{[\phi e_3]}}(\phi e_3) - c_1 h_{-T^k}(\phi e_3) - c_2 h_{-T^k}(\phi e_3) = h_{\hat{Z}_{[\phi e_3]}}(e_3) - c_1 h_{-T^k}(e_3) - c_2 h_{-T^k}(e_3) = f(s, e_3) = 0. \]
If we replace in the last argument $e_1$ and $e_3$ by $-e_1$ and $-e_3$, respectively, then we see that $f(s, -e_1) = 0$. From (69) we conclude that also $f(s, \pm e_2) = 0$ for all $s > 0$. Thus $f(s, x) = 0$ for every $x \in \text{lin}[e_1, e_2]$. Since $f(s, \pi x) = f(s, x)$ for every $\pi \in \text{SL}(k)$ which is induced by a permutation matrix, Lemma 5 implies that $f(s, x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Hence $h_{\hat{Z}_{[\pi e_3]}} = c_1 h_{T^k} + c_2 h_{-T^k}$ for all positive $s$. From Lemma 3 we therefore know that $h_{\hat{Z}} = c_1 h_P + c_2 h_{-P}$. As above, one deduces that (66) holds for all $k$-dimensional polytopes.

It remains to show that the constants $c_1$ and $c_2$ are non-negative. By (66), $c_1 h_P + c_2 h_{-P}$ has to be a support function for each $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^2$ with dimension less than $n$. We infer from (3) that
\[ 0 \leq c_1[h_P(x) + h_P(y) - h_P(x + y)] + c_2[h_{-P}(x) + h_{-P}(y) - h_{-P}(x + y)] \]
for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Evaluate this inequality at the 2-dimensional standard simplex $T^2 = \text{conv}[o, e_1, e_2]$, $x = e_1$, and $y = e_2$. Then (6) and (8) immediately imply that $c_1 \geq 0$. Similarly, by looking at $P = -T^2$, $x = e_1$, and $y = e_2$, one sees that also $c_2 \geq 0$. \qed

We are now in a position to establish the main classification for $\text{SL}(n)$ covariant Minkowski valuations.
Theorem 8. Let \( n \geq 3 \). Suppose that \( Z : P^n_o \to (K^n, +) \) is an \( SL(n) \) covariant Minkowski valuation which is continuous at the line segment \([0, e_1] \) and such that the two functions
\[
s \mapsto h_{Z,t^n}(e_3) \quad \text{and} \quad s \mapsto h_{Z,t^n}(-e_3), \quad s > 0,
\]
are bounded from below on some non-empty open intervals \( I_+ \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \) and \( I_- \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \), respectively. Then there exist non-negative constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) as well as constants \( c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{R} \) such that
\[
h_{Z,P} = c_1 h_P + c_2 h_{-P} + c_3 h_{t^n} + c_4 h_{t^n(-P)} \quad \text{for every } P \in P^n_o.
\]

Proof. Let \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) be the constants from Lemma 11. For \((s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \) set
\[
f(s, x) = h_{Z,t^n}(x) - c_1 h_x(x) - c_2 h_{-x}(x).
\]
By assumption, the functions \( f(\cdot, e_3) \) and \( f(\cdot, -e_3) \) are bounded from below on some non-empty open intervals \( I_+ \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \) and \( I_- \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \), respectively. Note that for every \( \pi \in SL(n) \) which is induced by a permutation matrix we have
\[
f(s, \pi x) = f(s, x).
\]
Equation (61) is true for \( Z, c_1 \) times the identity as well as \( c_2 \) times the reflection at the origin. Subtracting the respective equalities and using Lemma 11 therefore gives
\[
f(s, x) = \lambda^{-1} f(x, 1) + (1 - \lambda^{-1}) f(s, 1, x).
\]
From Corollary 1 we deduce that \( f(s, \pm e_1) = s^{n+1} f(1, \pm e_1) \). An application of Lemma 7 for \( p = -1/n, q = 1/n, \) and \( r = n + 1 \) yields for \( x_1 > x_2 > 0 \)
\[
f(s, x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = (x_1 + x_2) f(s, e_1),
\]
\[
f(s, -x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) = (x_1 + x_2) f(s, -e_1);
\]
for \( x_2 > x_1 > 0 \)
\[
f(s, x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = (x_1 + x_2) f(s, e_2),
\]
\[
f(s, -x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) = (x_1 + x_2) f(s, -e_2);
\]
and for \( x_1, x_2 > 0 \)
\[
f(s, -x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = (x_1 + x_2)^{-1} (x_2^2 f(s, e_1) + x_1^2 f(s, -e_1)),
\]
\[
f(s, x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2) = (x_1 + x_2)^{-1} (x_1^2 f(s, e_1) + x_2^2 f(s, -e_2)).
\]
Being the sum of continuous functions, \( f \) is continuous in \( x \) for each fixed \( s \). This continuity at \( x e_1 + x e_2 \) immediately shows
\[
f(s, e_1) = f(s, e_2) \quad \text{and} \quad f(s, -e_1) = f(s, -e_2).
\]
Define constants \( c_3 \) and \( c_4 \) by
\[
c_3 = (n + 1)! f(1, e_1) \quad \text{and} \quad c_4 = (n + 1)! f(1, -e_1).
\]
An elementary calculation proves
\[ f(s, x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) = c_3 h_{\Gamma_+ (sT^n)}(x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2) + c_4 h_{\Gamma_+ (-sT^n)}(x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2). \]

By what we already proved, the function \( f \) satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 5, as also does \( c_3 h_{\Gamma_+ (sT^n)}(x) + c_4 h_{\Gamma_+ (-sT^n)}(x) \). Applying Lemma 5 to the difference of these two functions shows
\[ f(s, x) = c_3 h_{\Gamma_+ (sT^n)}(x) + c_4 h_{\Gamma_+ (-sT^n)}(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \]

The definition of \( f \) gives
\[ h_{Z(sT^n)} = c_1 h_{sT^n} + c_2 h_{rT^n} + c_3 h_{\Gamma_+ (sT^n)} + c_4 h_{\Gamma_+ (-sT^n)} \quad \text{for all } s > 0. \]

From Lemma 3 we therefore know that
\[ h_{Z, p} = c_1 h_{p} + c_2 h_{-p} + c_3 h_{\Gamma_+ p} + c_4 h_{\Gamma_+ (-p)}. \]

7.3. Proofs of the main theorems

Using the results of the previous subsection, we are now in a position to establish all the theorems on \( \text{SL}(n) \) covariant Minkowski valuations stated in Sections 1 and 3.

Proof of Theorem 6. Clearly, the identity, the reflection at the origin, and the asymmetric centroid body operator are continuous \( \text{SL}(n) \) covariant Minkowski valuations. Assume that \( Z : K^n_o \to K^n \) is a continuous \( \text{SL}(n) \) covariant Minkowski valuation. The continuity of \( Z \) implies that the two functions
\[ s \mapsto h_{Z(sT^n)}(e_3) \quad \text{and} \quad s \mapsto h_{Z(sT^n)}(-e_3), \quad s > 0, \]
are continuous. Hence they are bounded from below on some non-empty open intervals \( I_+ \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+ \) and \( I_- \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+ \), respectively. Theorem 8 together with the continuity of \( Z \) and the fact that \( P^n_o \) is a dense subset of \( K^n_o \) show that there exist non-negative constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) as well as constants \( \hat{c}_3, \hat{c}_4 \in \mathbb{R} \) with
\[ h_{Z,K} = c_1 h_{K} + c_2 h_{-K} + \hat{c}_3 h_{\Gamma_+ K} + \hat{c}_3 h_{\Gamma_+ (-K)} \quad \text{for every } K \in K^n_o. \]

Set \( c_3 = (\hat{c}_3 + \hat{c}_4)/2 \) and \( c_4 = (\hat{c}_3 - \hat{c}_4)/2 \). Then it is easy to see that
\[ \hat{c}_3 h_{\Gamma_+ K} + \hat{c}_3 h_{\Gamma_+ (-K)} = c_3 h_{\Gamma K} + c_4 h_{m(K)}. \]

Consequently,
\[ h_{Z,K} = c_1 h_{K} + c_2 h_{-K} + c_3 h_{\Gamma K} + c_4 h_{m(K)} \quad \text{for all } K \in K^n_o. \]

It remains to show that \( c_3 \) is non-negative. Suppose that \( K \) is origin-symmetric. Then \( m(K) = o \) and the positive homogeneity of the symmetric centroid body operator implies that
\[ s^{-n} h_{Z(sT^n)} = s^{-n} [c_1 h_{K} + c_2 h_{-K}] + c_3 h_{\Gamma K} \quad \text{for all } s > 0. \]
Thus for every positive $s$, the function $s^{-n}[c_1h_K + c_2h_{-K}] + c_3h_{K}K$ is a support function and hence is sublinear. The pointwise limit of sublinear functions is sublinear. So if $s$ tends to infinity we deduce that $c_3h_{K}K$ is sublinear, i.e.

$$0 \leq c_3[h_{K}(x) + h_{K}(y) - h_{K}(x + y)] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$ 

In particular, for $K = [-1, 1]^n$, $x = e_1$, and $y = -e_1$, it follows that $c_3 \geq 0$. \hfill \square

**Proof of Theorem 5.** Let $s > 0$. Since the origin is contained in $Z(sT^n)$, we have $h_{Z(sT^n)} \geq 0$. Hence the functions $h_{Z(sT^n)}(\pm e_1)$ are bounded from below on $\mathbb{R}_+$. By Theorem 8 there exist non-negative constants $c_1$ and $c_2$ as well as constants $c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$h_{ZP} = c_1h_P + c_2h_{-P} + c_3h_{\Gamma_n}P + c_4h_{\Gamma_n}(-P) \quad \text{for every } P \in \mathcal{P}^n.$$ 

It remains to show that the constants $c_3$ and $c_4$ are non-negative. Let $s > 0$. Since the origin is contained in $Z(sT^n)$, evaluating the last equation at $sT^n$ and $e_1$ gives

$$0 \leq h_{Z(sT^n)}(e_1) = sc_1h_{T^n}(e_1) + s^n c_3h_{\Gamma_n,T^n}(e_1).$$

Since $h_{\Gamma_n,T^n}(e_1) > 0$, taking the limit $s \to \infty$ in $0 \leq s^{-n} c_3 + c_3h_{\Gamma_n,T^n}(e_1)$ proves $c_3 \geq 0$. Similarly, by looking at $-e_1$ instead of $e_1$, we get $c_4 \geq 0$. \hfill \square

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Theorem 2 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5 and the denseness of the set $\mathcal{P}^n_0$ in $\mathcal{P}^n_0$. \hfill \square

**Acknowledgments.** The work of the author was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), within the project “Minkowski valuations and geometric inequalities”, Project number P22388-N13.

**References**


