Essential dimension of moduli of curves and other algebraic stacks
(with an appendix by Najmuddin Fakhruddin)

Abstract. In this paper we consider questions of the following type. Let $k$ be a base field and $K/k$ be a field extension. Given a geometric object $X$ over a field $K$ (e.g. a smooth curve of genus $g$), what is the least transcendence degree of a field of definition of $X$ over the base field $k$? In other words, how many independent parameters are needed to define $X$? To study these questions we introduce a notion of essential dimension for an algebraic stack. Using the resulting theory, we give a complete answer to the question above when the geometric objects $X$ are smooth, stable or hyperelliptic curves. The appendix, written by Najmuddin Fakhruddin, answers this question in the case of abelian varieties.
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1. Introduction

This paper was motivated by the following question.

P. Brosnan, Z. Reichstein: Department of Mathematics, The University of British Columbia, 1984 Mathematics Road, Vancouver, B.C., Canada V6T 1Z2;
e-mail: brosnan@math.ubc.ca, reichst@math.ubc.ca

A. Vistoli: Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy;
e-mail: angelo.vistoli@sns.it

N. Fakhruddin: School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India; e-mail: naf@math.tifr.res.in

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary 14A20, 14H10, 14K10
**Question 1.1.** Let $k$ be a field and $g \geq 0$ be an integer. What is the smallest integer $d$ such that for every field $K/k$, every smooth curve $X$ of genus $g$ defined over $K$ descends to a subfield $k \subset K_0 \subset K$ with tr deg $k_0 \leq d$?

Here by “$X$ descends to $K_0$” we mean that there exists a curve $X_0$ over $K_0$ such that $X$ is $K$-isomorphic to $X_0 \times_{\text{Spec } K_0} \text{Spec } K$.

In order to address this and related questions, we will introduce and study the notion of essential dimension for algebraic stacks; see §2. The essential dimension $ed \mathcal{X}$ of a scheme $\mathcal{X}$ is simply the dimension of $\mathcal{X}$; on the other hand, the essential dimension of the classifying stack $BkG$ of an algebraic group $G$ is the essential dimension of $G$ in the usual sense; see [Rei00] or [BF03]. The notion of essential dimension of a stack is meant to bridge these two examples. The minimal integer $d$ in Question 1.1 is the essential dimension of the moduli stack of smooth curves $M_g$.

We show that $ed \mathcal{X}$ is finite for a broad class of algebraic stacks of finite type over a field; see Corollary 3.4. This class includes all Deligne–Mumford stacks and all quotient stacks of the form $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$, where $G$ a linear algebraic group.

Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ (respectively, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$) be the stacks of $n$-pointed smooth (respectively, stable) algebraic curves of genus $g$ over a field $k$ of characteristic 0. Then

$$
ed \mathcal{M}_{g,n} = \begin{cases} 
2 & \text{if } (g, n) = (0, 0) \text{ or } (1, 1), \\
0 & \text{if } (g, n) = (0, 1) \text{ or } (0, 2), \\
+\infty & \text{if } (g, n) = (1, 0), \\
5 & \text{if } (g, n) = (2, 0), \\
3g - 3 + n & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}$$

Moreover for $2g - 2 + n > 0$ we have $ed \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} = ed \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.

In particular, the values of $ed \mathcal{M}_{g,0} = ed \mathcal{M}_{g}$ give a complete answer to Question 1.1.

Note that $3g - 3 + n$ is the dimension of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ in the stable range $2g - 2 + n > 0$ (and the dimension of the stack in all cases); the dimension of the moduli space represents an obvious lower bound for the essential dimension of a stack. The first four cases are precisely the ones where a generic object in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ has non-trivial automorphisms, and $(g, n) = (1, 0)$ is the only case where the automorphism group scheme of an object of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is not affine.

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 for $(g, n) \neq (1, 0)$ relies on two results of independent interest. One is the “Genericity Theorem” [2.1] which says that the essential dimension of a smooth integral Deligne–Mumford stack satisfying an appropriate separation hypothesis is the sum of its dimension and the essential dimension of its generic gerbe. This somewhat surprising result implies that the essential dimension of a non-empty open substack equals the essential dimension of the stack. In particular, it proves Theorem 1.2 in the cases where a general curve in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ has no non-trivial automorphisms. It also brings into relief the important role played by gerbes in this theory.
The second main ingredient in our proof of Theorem 1.2 is the following formula, which we use to compute the essential dimension of the generic gerbe.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $X$ be a gerbe over a field $K$ banded by a group $G$. Let $[X] \in H^2(K, G)$ be the Brauer class of $X$.

(a) If $G = \mathbb{G}_m$ and $\text{ind}[X]$ is a prime power then $\text{ed}X = \text{ind}[X] - 1$.

(b) If $G = \mu_{p^r}$, where $p$ is a prime and $r \geq 1$, then $\text{ed}X = \text{ind}[X]$.

Our proof of this theorem can be found in the preprint [BRV07, Section 7]. A similar argument was used by N. Karpenko and A. Merkurjev in the proof of [KM08, Theorem 3.1], which generalizes Theorem $1.3$ (b). For the sake of completeness, we include an alternative proof of Theorem $1.3$ in §4.

Theorem 1.3 has a number of applications beyond Theorem 1.2. Some of these have already appeared in print. In particular, we used Theorem 1.3 to study the essential dimension of spinor groups in [BRV10], N. Karpenko and A. Merkurjev [KM08] used it to study the essential dimension of finite $p$-groups, and A. Dhillon and N. Lemire [DL] used it, in combination with the Genericity Theorem 6.1, to give an upper bound for the essential dimension of the moduli stack of $\text{SL}_n$-bundles over a projective curve. In this paper Theorem 1.3 (in combination with Theorem 6.1) is also used to study the essential dimension of the stacks of hyperelliptic curves (Theorem 7.2) and, in the appendix written by Najmuddin Fakhruddin, of principally polarized abelian varieties.

In the case where $(g, n) = (1, 0)$ Theorem 1.2 requires a separate argument, which is carried out in §8. In this case Theorem 1.2 is a consequence of the fact that the group scheme of $l^n$-torsion points on a Tate curve has essential dimension $l^n$, where $l$ is a prime.

## 2. The essential dimension of a stack

Let $k$ be a field. We will write $\text{Fields}_k$ for the category of field extensions $K/k$. Let $F : \text{Fields}_k \to \text{Sets}$ be a covariant functor.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $a \in F(L)$, where $L$ is an object of $\text{Fields}_k$. We say that $a$ descends to an intermediate field $k \subseteq K \subseteq L$ or equivalently that $K$ is a field of definition for $a$ if $a$ is in the image of the induced map $F(K) \to F(L)$.

The essential dimension $\text{ed}a$ of $a \in F(L)$ is the minimum of the transcendence degrees $\text{tr} \deg_k K$ taken over all intermediate fields $k \subseteq K \subseteq L$ such that $a$ descends to $K$.

The essential dimension $\text{ed}F$ of the functor $F$ is the supremum of $\text{ed}a$ taken over all $a \in F(L)$ with $L$ in $\text{Fields}_k$. We will write $\text{ed}F = -\infty$ if $F$ is the empty functor.

These notions are relative to the base field $k$. To emphasize this, we will sometimes write $\text{ed}_k a$ or $\text{ed}_k F$ instead of $\text{ed}a$ or $\text{ed}F$, respectively.

The following definition singles out a class of functors that is sufficiently broad to include most interesting examples, yet “geometric” enough to allow one to get a handle on their essential dimension.
Definition 2.2. Suppose \( \mathcal{X} \) is an algebraic stack over \( k \). The essential dimension \( ed \mathcal{X} \) of \( \mathcal{X} \) is defined to be the essential dimension of the functor \( F_{\mathcal{X}} : \text{Fields}_k \to \text{Sets} \) which sends a field \( L/k \) to the set of isomorphism classes of objects in the groupoid \( \mathcal{X}(L) \).

As in Definition 2.1, we will write \( ed_k \mathcal{X} \) when we need to be specific about the dependence on the base field \( k \). Similarly for \( ed_k \xi \), where \( \xi \) is an object of \( F_{\mathcal{X}} \).

Example 2.3. Let \( G \) be an algebraic group defined over \( k \) and \( \mathcal{X} = B_k G \) be the classifying stack of \( G \). Then \( F_{\mathcal{X}} \) is the Galois cohomology functor sending \( K \) to the set \( H^1(K, G) \) of isomorphism classes of \( G \)-torsors over \( \text{Spec}(K) \), in the fppf topology. The essential dimension of this functor is a numerical invariant of \( G \), which, roughly speaking, measures the complexity of \( G \)-torsors over fields. This number is usually denoted by \( ed_k G \) or (if \( k \) is fixed throughout) simply by \( ed G \); following this convention, we will often write \( ed G \) in place of \( ed B_k G \). Essential dimension was originally introduced and has since been extensively studied in this context; see e.g., [BR97, Rei00, RY00, Kor00, Led02, JLY02, BF03, Lem04, CS06, Gar09]. The more general Definition 2.1 is due to A. Merkurjev; see [BF03, Proposition 1.17].

Example 2.4. Let \( X = X \) be a scheme of finite type over a field \( k \), and let \( F_{X} : \text{Fields}_k \to \text{Sets} \) denote the functor given by \( K \mapsto X(K) \). Then an easy argument due to Merkurjev shows that \( ed F_{X} = \dim X \); see [BF03, Proposition 1.17].

In fact, this equality remains true for any algebraic space \( X \). Indeed, an algebraic space \( X \) has a stratification by schemes \( X_i \). Any \( K \)-point \( \eta : \text{Spec}(K) \to X \) must land in one of the \( X_i \). Thus \( ed X = \max i \dim X_i = \dim X \).

Example 2.5. Let \( \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M}_{g,n} \) be the stack of smooth algebraic curves of genus \( g \). Then the functor \( F_{\mathcal{X}} \) sends \( K \) to the set of isomorphism classes of \( n \)-pointed smooth algebraic curves of genus \( g \) over \( K \). Question [1] asks about the essential dimension of this functor in the case where \( n = 0 \).

Example 2.6. Suppose a linear algebraic group \( G \) is acting on an algebraic space \( X \) over a field \( k \). We shall write \( [X/G] \) for the quotient stack \( [X/G] \). Recall that \( K \)-points of \( [X/G] \) are by definition diagrams of the form

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
T & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X \\
\downarrow{\pi} & & \downarrow \\
\text{Spec } K & & 
\end{array}
\] (2.1)

where \( \pi \) is a \( G \)-torsor and \( \psi \) is a \( G \)-equivariant map. The functor \( F_{[X/G]} \) associates with a field \( K/k \) the set of isomorphism classes of such diagrams.

In the case where \( G \) is a special group (recall that this means that every \( G \)-torsor over \( \text{Spec } K \) is split, for every field \( K/k \)) the essential dimension of \( F_{[X/G]} \) has been

\[\text{In the literature the functor } F_{\mathcal{X}} \text{ is sometimes denoted by } \overline{\mathcal{X}} \text{ or } \mathcal{X}.\]
previously studied in connection with the so-called “functor of orbits” \( \text{Orb}_{X,G} \) given by the formula

\[ \text{Orb}_{X,G}(K) \overset{def}{=} \text{set of } G(K)-\text{orbits in } X(K). \]

Indeed, if \( G \) is special, the functors \( F_{[X/G]} \) and \( \text{Orb}_{X,G} \) are isomorphic; an isomorphism between them is given by sending an object \((2.1)\) of \( F_{[X/G]} \) to the \( G(K) \)-orbit of the point \( \psi_s : \text{Spec } K \to X \), where \( s : \text{Spec } K \to T \) is a section of \( \pi : T \to \text{Spec } K \).

Of particular interest are the natural \( \text{GL}_n \)-actions on \( \mathbb{A}^N = \text{affine space of homogeneous polynomials of degree } d \text{ in } n \text{ variables} \) and on \( \mathbb{P}^N = \text{projective space of degree } d \text{ hypersurfaces in } 
\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \), where \( N = \binom{n+d-1}{d} \) is the number of degree \( d \) monomials in \( n \) variables. For general \( n \) and \( d \) the essential dimension of the functor of orbits in these cases is not known. The study of this problem was initiated in \([BF04]\) and \([BR05, \text{Sections 14–15}]\); stronger results can be found in the recent preprint \([RV11]\).

Remark 2.7. If the functor \( F \) in Definition \( 2.1 \) is limit-preserving, a condition satisfied in all cases of interest to us, then every element \( a \in F(L) \) descends to a field \( K \subset L \) that is finitely generated over \( k \). Thus in this case \( ed a \) is finite. In particular, if \( X \) is an algebraic stack over \( k \), \( ed \xi \) is finite for every object \( \xi \in X(K) \) and every field extension \( K/k \); the limit-preserving property in this case is proved in \([LMB00, \text{Proposition 4.18}]\), cf. Corollary 3.4. On the other hand, there are interesting examples where \( ed X = \infty \); see Theorem 1.2 or \([BS08]\).

In \( \S 3 \) we will show that, in fact, \( ed \mathcal{X} < \infty \) for a broad class of algebraic stacks \( \mathcal{X} \); see Theorem 1.2 or \([BS08]\).

The following observation is a variant of \([BF03, \text{Proposition 1.5}]\).

**Proposition 2.8.** Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be an algebraic stack over \( k \), and let \( K \) be a field extension of \( k \). Then \( ed_K \mathcal{X}_K \leq ed_k \mathcal{X} \).

Here, as in what follows, we denote by \( \mathcal{X}_K \) the stack \( \text{Spec } K \times_{\text{Spec } k} \mathcal{X} \).

**Proof.** If \( L/K \) is a field extension, then the natural morphism \( \mathcal{X}_K(L) \to \mathcal{X}(L) \) is an equivalence. Suppose that \( M/k \) is a field of definition for an object \( \xi \in \mathcal{X}(L) \). Let \( N \) be a composite of \( M \) and \( K \) over \( k \). Then \( N \) is a field of definition for \( \xi \), \( \text{tr deg}_K N \leq \text{tr deg}_k M \), and the proposition follows. \( \square \)

### 3. A fiber dimension theorem

We now recall Definitions (3.9) and (3.10) from \([LMB00]\). A morphism \( f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \) of algebraic stacks (over \( k \)) is said to be representable if, for every \( k \)-morphism \( T \to \mathcal{Y} \), where \( T \) is an affine \( k \)-scheme, the fiber product \( \mathcal{X} \times_\mathcal{Y} T \) is representable by an algebraic space over \( T \). A representable morphism \( f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \) is said to be locally of finite type and of fiber dimension \( \leq d \) if for every \( T \to \mathcal{Y} \) as above, the projection \( \mathcal{X} \times_\mathcal{Y} T \to T \) is locally of finite type over \( T \) and every fiber has dimension \( \leq d \).

**Example 3.1.** Let \( G \) be an algebraic group defined over \( k \), and let \( X \to Y \) be a \( G \)-equivariant morphism of \( k \)-algebraic spaces, locally of finite type and of relative dimension \( \leq d \). Then the induced map of quotient stacks \( [X/G] \to [Y/G] \) is representable, locally of finite type and of relative dimension \( \leq d \).
The following result may be viewed as a partial generalization of the fiber dimension theorem (see [Har77, Exercise II.3.22 or Proposition III.9.5]) to the setting where schemes are replaced by stacks and dimension by essential dimension.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( d \) be an integer, and \( f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \) be a representable \( k \)-morphism of algebraic stacks which is locally of finite type and of fiber dimension at most \( d \). Let \( L/k \) be a field, and \( \xi \in \mathcal{X}(L) \). Then

(a) \( \text{ed}_k \xi \leq \text{ed}_k f(\xi) + d \),
(b) \( \text{ed}_k \mathcal{X} \leq \text{ed}_k \mathcal{Y} + d \).

In particular, if \( \text{ed}_k \mathcal{Y} \) is finite, then so is \( \text{ed}_k \mathcal{X} \).

**Proof.** (a) By the definition of \( \text{ed}_k f(\xi) \) we can find an intermediate field \( k \subset K \subset L \) and a morphism \( \eta : \text{Spec} \, K \to \mathcal{Y} \) such that \( \text{tr deg}_K K \leq \text{ed}_K f(\xi) \) and the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spec} \, L & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathcal{X} \\
& \searrow & \downarrow f \\
& \text{Spec} \, K & \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{Y}
\end{array}
\]

Let \( \mathcal{X}_K \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \text{Spec} \, K \). By the hypothesis, \( \mathcal{X}_K \) is an algebraic space, locally of finite type over \( K \) and of relative dimension at most \( d \). By the commutativity of the diagram above, the morphism \( \xi : \text{Spec} \, L \to \mathcal{X} \) factors through \( \mathcal{X}_K \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spec} \, L & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathcal{X} \\
& \nearrow \xi_0 & \Rightarrow \\
& \mathcal{X}_K & \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{Y} \\
& \searrow \downarrow f \\
& \text{Spec} \, K & \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{Y}
\end{array}
\]

Moreover, \( \xi \) factors through \( \mathcal{K}(p) \), where \( p \) denotes the image of \( \xi_0 \) in \( \mathcal{X}_K \). Since \( \mathcal{X}_K \) has dimension at most \( d \) over \( K \), we have \( \text{tr deg}_K K(p) \leq d \). Therefore,

\[
\text{tr deg}_K K(p) = \text{tr deg}_K K + \text{tr deg}_K K(p) \leq \text{ed}_K f(\xi) + d
\]

and part (a) follows.

Part (b) follows from (a) by taking the maximum on both sides over all \( L/k \) and all \( \xi \in \mathcal{X}(L) \).

**Corollary 3.3.** Consider an action of an algebraic group \( G \) on an algebraic space \( X \), defined over a field \( k \). Assume \( X \) is locally of finite type over \( k \). Then

\[
\text{ed}_k G \geq \text{ed}_k [X/G] - \dim X.
\]
Proof. The natural \(G\)-equivariant map \(X \to \text{Spec } k\) gives rise to a map \([X/G] \to B_k G\) of quotient stacks. This latter map is locally of finite type and of relative dimension \(\leq \dim X\); see Example 3.1. Theorem 3.2(b) applied to this map yields the desired inequality. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.4** (Finiteness of essential dimension). Let \(X\) be an algebraic stack of finite type over \(k\). Suppose that for any algebraically closed extension \(k\) and any object \(\xi\) of \(X(\Omega)\) the group scheme \(\text{Aut}_\Omega(\xi) \to \text{Spec } \Omega\) is affine. Then \(\text{ed}_k X < \infty\).

Note that Corollary 3.4 fails without the assumption that all the \(\text{Aut}_\Omega(\xi)\) are affine. For example, by Theorem 1.2, \(\text{ed}_k \mathcal{M}_{1,0} = +\infty\).

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that \(X = [X/G]\) is a quotient stack for some affine algebraic group \(G\) acting on an algebraic space \(X\). Indeed, by a theorem of Kresch [Kre99, Proposition 3.5.9], \(X\) is covered by quotient stacks \([X_i/G_i]\) of this form, and hence \(\text{ed} X = \max_i \text{ed} [X_i/G_i]\).

If \(X = [X/G]\) then by Corollary 3.3

\[\text{ed} [X/G] \leq \text{ed}_k G + \dim X.\]

The desired conclusion now follows from the well-known fact that \(\text{ed}_k G < \infty\) for any affine algebraic group \(G\); see [Rei00, Theorem 3.4] or [BF03, Proposition 4.11]. \(\square\)

4. The essential dimension of a gerbe over a field

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.3 stated in the Introduction. We proceed by briefly recalling some background material on gerbes from [Mil80, p. 144] and [Gir71, IV.3.1.1], and on canonical dimension from [KM06] and [BR05].

**Gerbes.** Let \(X\) be a gerbe defined over a field \(K\) banded by an abelian \(K\)-group scheme \(G\). In particular, \(X\) is a stack over \(K\) which becomes isomorphic to \(B_K G\) over the algebraic closure of \(K\).

There is a notion of equivalence of gerbes banded by \(G\); the set of equivalence classes is in a natural bijective correspondence with the group \(H^2(K, G)\). The identity element of \(H^2(K, G)\) corresponds to the class of the neutral gerbe \(B_K G\). Recall that the group \(H^2(K, G_m)\) is canonically isomorphic to the Brauer group \(Br K\) of Brauer equivalence classes of central simple algebras over \(K\). Here, as usual, \(G_m\) denotes the multiplicative group scheme over \(K\).

**Canonical dimension.** Let \(X\) be a smooth projective variety defined over a field \(K\). We say that \(L/K\) is a splitting field for \(X\) if \(X(L) \neq \emptyset\). A splitting field \(L/K\) is called generic if for every splitting field \(L_0/K\) there exists a \(K\)-place \(L \to L_0\). The canonical dimension \(\text{cd} X\) of \(X\) is defined as the minimal value of \(\text{tr} \deg_K L\), where \(L/K\) ranges over all generic splitting fields. Recall that the function field \(L = K(X)\) is a generic splitting field of \(X\); see [KM06, Lemma 4.1]. In particular, generic splitting fields exist and \(\text{cd} X\) is finite. If \(X\) is a smooth complete projective variety over \(K\) then \(\text{cd} X\) has the following simple geometric interpretation: \(\text{cd} X\) is the minimal value of \(\dim(Y)\) as \(Y\) ranges over the closed
$K$-subvarieties of $X$ which admit a rational map $X \dashrightarrow Y$ defined over $K$; see [KM06 Corollary 4.6].

The determination functor $D_X : \text{Fields}_K \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ is defined as follows. For any field extension $L/K$, $D_X(L)$ is the empty set if $X(L) = \emptyset$, and a set consisting of one element if $X(L) \neq \emptyset$. The natural map $D(L_1) \rightarrow D(L_2)$ is then uniquely determined for any $K \subset L_1 \subset L_2$. It is shown in [KM06] that if $X$ is a complete regular $K$-variety then
\[
\text{cd } X = \text{ed } D_X.
\]

Of particular interest to us will be the case where $X$ is a Brauer–Severi variety over $K$. Let $m$ be the index of $X$. If $m = p^a$ is a prime power then
\[
\text{cd } X = p^a - 1;
\]
see [KM06 Example 3.10] or [BR05 Theorem 11.4].

If $m = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$ is the prime decomposition of $m$ then the class of $X$ in $\text{Br } L$ is the sum of classes $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$ whose indices are $p_1^{a_1}, \ldots, p_r^{a_r}$. Denote by $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ the Brauer–Severi varieties associated with $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$. It is easy to see that $K(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_r)$ is a generic splitting field for $X$. Hence,
\[
\text{cd } X \leq \text{dim}(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_r) = p_1^{a_1} + \cdots + p_r^{a_r} - r.
\]

J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, N. Karpenko and A. Merkurjev [CTKM07] conjectured that equality holds, i.e.,
\[
\text{cd } X = p_1^{a_1} + \cdots + p_r^{a_r} - r.
\]

As we mentioned above, this is known to be true if $m$ is a prime power (i.e., $r = 1$). Colliot-Thélène, Karpenko and Merkurjev also proved (4.3) for $m = 6$; see [CTKM07 Theorem 1.3]. Their conjecture remains open for all other $m$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $d$ be an integer with $d > 1$. Let $K$ be a field and $x \in H^2(K, \mu_d)$. Denote the image of $x$ in $H^2(K, \mathbb{G}_m)$ by $y$, the $\mu_d$-gerbe associated with $x$ by $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$, the $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbe associated with $y$ by $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$, and the Brauer–Severi variety associated with $y$ by $P$. Then

(a) $\text{ed } \mathcal{Y} = \text{cd } P$,
(b) $\text{ed } \mathcal{X} = \text{cd } P + 1$.

In particular, if the index of $x$ is a prime power $p^r$ then $\text{ed } \mathcal{Y} = p^r - 1$ and $\text{ed } \mathcal{X} = p^r$.

**Proof.** The last assertion follows from (a) and (b) by (4.2).

(a) The functor $F_Y : \text{Fields}_K \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ sends a field $L/K$ to the empty set if $P(L) = \emptyset$, and to a set consisting of one point if $P(L) \neq \emptyset$. In other words, $F_Y$ is the determination functor $D_P$ introduced above. The essential dimension of this functor is $\text{cd } P$; see (4.1).

(b) First note that the natural map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is of finite type and representable of relative dimension $\leq 1$. By Theorem 3.2(b) we conclude that $\text{ed } \mathcal{X} \leq \text{ed } \mathcal{Y} + 1$. By part (a) it remains to prove the opposite inequality, $\text{ed } \mathcal{X} \geq \text{ed } \mathcal{Y} + 1$. We will do this by constructing an object $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{X}$ whose essential dimension is $\geq \text{ed } \mathcal{Y} + 1$. 

\[\text{ed } \mathcal{X} = \text{ed } \mathcal{Y} + 1.\]
We will view $\mathcal{X}$ as a torsor for $B_K \mu_d$ in the following sense. There exist maps

$$\mathcal{X} \times B_K \mu_d \to \mathcal{X}, \quad \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to B_K \mu_d$$

satisfying various compatibilities, where the first map is the “action” of $B_K \mu_d$ on $\mathcal{X}$ and the second map is the “difference” of two objects of $\mathcal{X}$. For the definition and a discussion of the properties of these maps, see [Gir71, Chapter IV, Sections 2.3, 2.4 and 3.3]. (Note that, in the notation of Giraud’s book, $\mathcal{X} \wedge B_K \mu_d \cong \mathcal{X}$ and the action operation above arises from the map $\mathcal{X} \times B_K \mu_d \to \mathcal{X} \wedge B_K \mu_d$ given in Chapter IV, Proposition 2.4.1. The difference operation, which we will not use here, arises similarly from the fact that, in Giraud’s notation, $\text{HOM}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) \cong B_K \mu_d$.)

Let $L = K(P)$ be the function field of $P$. Since $L$ splits $P$, we have a natural map $a: \text{Spec} L \to \mathcal{Y}$. Moreover since $L$ is a generic splitting field for $P$, $e_d a = cd P = e_d \mathcal{Y}$, \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

where we view $a$ as an object in $\mathcal{Y}$. Non-canonically lift $a: \text{Spec} L \to \mathcal{Y}$ to a map $\text{Spec} L \to \mathcal{X}$ (this can be done, because $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-torsor). Let $\text{Spec} L(t) \to B_L \mu_d$ denote the map classified by $(t) \in H^1(L(t), \mu_d) = L(t)^\times / L(t)^\times d$. Composing these two maps, we obtain an object $\alpha: \text{Spec} L(t) \to \mathcal{X} \times B_L \mu_d \to \mathcal{X}$.

in $\mathcal{X}(L(t))$. Our goal is to prove that $e_d \alpha \geq e_d \mathcal{Y} + 1$. In other words, given a diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{Spec} L(t) \\
\downarrow \\
\text{Spec} M
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\beta} \begin{array}{c}
\text{Spec} \mathcal{Y}
\end{array} \hspace{1cm} (4.5)$$

where $K \subset M \subset L$ is an intermediate field, we want to prove the inequality $\text{tr deg}_K M \geq e_d \mathcal{Y} + 1$. Assume the contrary: there is a diagram as above with $\text{tr deg}_K M \leq e_d \mathcal{Y}$. Let $v: L(t)^\times \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the usual discrete valuation corresponding to $t$ and consider two cases.

**Case 1.** Suppose the restriction $v|_M$ of $v$ to $M$ is non-trivial. Let $M_0$ denote the residue field of $v$ and $M_{\geq 0}$ denote the valuation ring. Since $\text{Spec} M \to \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$, there exists an $M$-point of $P$. Then by the valuative criterion of properness for $P$, there exists an $M_{\geq 0}$-point and thus an $M_0$-point of $P$. Passing to residue fields, we obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{Spec} L \\
\downarrow \\
\text{Spec} M_0 \xrightarrow{a} \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\beta} \begin{array}{c}
\text{Spec} \mathcal{Y}
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

which shows that $e_d a \leq \text{tr deg}_K M_0 = \text{tr deg}_K M - 1 \leq e_d \mathcal{Y} - 1$, contradicting (4.4).
Case 2. Now suppose the restriction of $\nu$ to $M$ is trivial. The map $\text{Spec } L \to \mathcal{X}$ sets up an isomorphism $\mathcal{X}_L \cong B_L, \mu_d$. The map $\text{Spec } L(t) \to \mathcal{X}$ factors through $\mathcal{X}_L$ and thus induces a class in $B_L, \mu_d(L(t)) = H^1(L(t), \mu_d)$. This class is $(t)$. Tensoring the diagram \ref{fig:diagram} with $L$ over $K$, we obtain

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spec } L(t) \otimes L & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathcal{X}_L \cong B_L, \mu_d \\
 \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec } M \otimes L & & 
\end{array}
\]

Recall that $L = K(P)$ is the function field of $P$. Since $P$ is absolutely irreducible, the tensor products $L(t) \otimes L$ and $M \otimes L$ are fields. The map $\text{Spec } M \otimes L \to B_L, \mu_d$ is classified by some $m \in (M \otimes L)^\times / (M \otimes L)^\times, \mu_d = H^1(M \otimes L, \mu_d)$. The image of $m$ in $L(t) \otimes L$ is equal to $t$ modulo $d$-th powers. We will now derive a contradiction by comparing the valuations of $m$ and $t$.

To apply the valuation to $m$, we lift $\nu$ from $L(t)$ to $L(t) \otimes L$. That is, we define $\nu_L$ as the valuation on $L(t) \otimes L = (L \otimes L)(t)$ corresponding to $t$. Since $\nu_L(t) = \nu(t) = 1$, we conclude that $\nu_L(m) \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. This shows that $\nu_L$ is not trivial on $M \otimes L$ and thus $\nu$ is not trivial on $M$, contradicting our assumption. This contradiction completes the proof of part (b).

Corollary 4.2. Let $1 \to Z \to G \to Q \to 1$ denote an extension of group schemes over a field $k$ with $Z$ central and isomorphic to (a) $\mathbb{G}_m$ or (b) $\mu_p^r$ for some prime $p$ and some $r \geq 1$. Let $\text{ind}(G, Z)$ be the maximal value of $\text{ind}(\partial K(t))$ as $K$ ranges over all field extensions of $k$ and $t$ ranges over all torsors in $H^1(K, Q)$. If $\text{ind}(G, Z)$ is a prime power (which is automatic in case (b)) then

$$\text{ed}_k G \geq \text{ind}(G, Z) - \dim G.$$  

Proof. Choose $t \in H^1(K, Z)$ so that $\text{ind}(\partial_K(t))$ attains its maximal value, $\text{ind}(G, Z)$. Let $X \to \text{Spec } K$ be the $Q$-torsor representing $t$. Then $G$ acts on $X$ via the projection $G \to Q$, and $[X/G]$ is the $Z$-gerbe over $\text{Spec } K$ corresponding to the class $\partial_K(t) \in H^2(K, Z)$. By Theorem 1.3,

$$\text{ed}[X/G] = \begin{cases} 
\text{ind} - 1 & \text{in case (a)}, \\
\text{ind} & \text{in case (b)}. 
\end{cases}$$

Since $\dim X = \dim Q$, applying Corollary 3.3 to the $G$-action on $X$, we obtain

$$\text{ed}_k G_K \geq \begin{cases} 
(\text{ind} - 1) - \dim Q = \text{ind} - \dim G & \text{in case (a)}, \\
\text{ind} - \dim Q = \text{ind} - \dim G & \text{in case (b)}. 
\end{cases}$$

Since $\text{ed}_k G \geq \text{ed}_k G_K$ (see [BF03] Proposition 1.5 or our Proposition 2.8), the corollary follows. \qed
5. Gerbes over complete discrete valuation rings

In this section we prove two results on the structure of étale gerbes over complete discrete valuation rings that will be used in the proof of Theorem 6.1.

5.1. Big and small étale sites

Let $S$ be a scheme. We let $\text{Sch}/S$ denote the category of all schemes $T$ equipped with a morphism to $S$. As in [SGA72], we equip $\text{Sch}/S$ with the étale topology. Let $\text{ét}/S$ denote the full subcategory of $\text{Sch}/S$ consisting of all schemes étale over $S$ (also with the étale topology). The site $\text{Sch}/S$ is the big étale site and the category $\text{ét}/S$ is the small étale site.

We let $S_{\text{ét}}$ denote the category of sheaves on $\text{Sch}/S$, and $S_{\text{ét}}$ the category of sheaves on $\text{ét}/S$. Since the obvious inclusion functor from the small to the big étale site is continuous, it induces a continuous morphism of sites $u: \text{ét}/S \to \text{Sch}/S$ and thus a morphism $u: S_{\text{ét}} \to S_{\text{et}}$. Moreover, the adjunction morphism $F \to u^*u_*F$ is an isomorphism for $F$ a sheaf in $S_{\text{et}}$ [SGA72, VII.4.1]. We can therefore regard $S_{\text{ét}}$ as a full subcategory of $S_{\text{et}}$.

Definition 5.1. Let $S$ be a scheme. An étale gerbe over $S$ is a separated locally finitely presented Deligne–Mumford stack over $S$ that is a gerbe in the étale topology.

Let $X \to S$ be an étale gerbe over a scheme $S$. Then, by definition, there is an étale atlas, i.e., a morphism $U_0 \to X$, where $U_0 \to S$ is surjective, étale and finitely presented over $S$. This atlas gives rise to a groupoid $G \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{U_1 \overset{\text{def}}{=} U_0 \times_X U_0 \rightrightarrows U_0\}$ in which each term is étale over $S$. Since $X$ is the stackification of $G$ which is a groupoid on the small étale site $S_{\text{ét}}$, it follows that $X = u^*X'$ for a gerbe $X'$ on $S_{\text{et}}$. In other words, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. Let $X \to S$ be an étale gerbe over a scheme $S$. Then there is a gerbe $X'$ on $S_{\text{et}}$ such that $X = u^*X'$.

If $S$ is a henselian trait (i.e., the spectrum of a henselian discrete valuation ring) we can do better:

Proposition 5.3. Let $S$ be a henselian trait and $f: T \to S$ be a surjective étale morphism. Then there is an open component $T'$ of $T$ such that $f|_{T'}: T' \to S$ is a finite étale morphism.

Proof. Let $s$ denote the closed point of $S$. Since $f$ is surjective, there exists a $t \in T$ such that $f(t) = s$. Since $f$ is étale, $f$ is quasi-finite at $t$ by [Gro67, 17.6.1]. Now, it follows from [Gro67, 18.5.11] that $T' \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{T,s}$ is an open component of $T$ which is finite and étale. \[\square\]

Now for a scheme $S$, let $f_{\text{ét}}/S$ denote the category of finite étale covers $T \to S$. We can consider $f_{\text{ét}}/S$ as a site in the obvious way. Then the inclusion morphism induces a continuous morphism of sites $\nu: \text{ét}/S \to f_{\text{ét}}/S$. If $S$ is a henselian trait with closed point $s$, then the inclusion morphism $i: s \to S$ induces an equivalence of categories.
Corollary 5.4. Let $X \to S$ be an étale gerbe over a henselian trait $S$ with closed point $s$. Then there is a gerbe $X''$ over $s_{\text{ét}}$ such that $X = \tau^*X''$.

Proof. Since $X \to S$ is an étale gerbe, there is an étale atlas $X_0 \to S$ of $X$. By Proposition 5.3 we may assume that $X_0$ is finite over $S$. Then $X_1 \overset{\text{def}}{=} X_0 \times_X X_0$ is also finite, because $X$ is separated, by hypothesis. Now the equivalence of categories $i^*: \text{fét}/S \to \text{fét}/S$ produces a gerbe $X''$ over $s_{\text{ét}}$ such that $X = \tau^*X''$. \hfill $\Box$

5.2. Group extensions and gerbes

Let $k$ be a field with separable closure $\overline{k}$ and absolute Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. Let

$$1 \to F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} G \to 1 \quad (5.1)$$

be an extension of profinite groups with $F$ finite and all maps continuous. From this data, we can construct a gerbe $\mathcal{X}_E$ over $(\text{Spec } k)_{\text{ét}}$. To determine the gerbe it is enough to give its category of sections over Spec $L$ where $L/k$ is a finite separable extension. Let $K = \{g \in G \mid g(\alpha) = \alpha, \alpha \in L\}$. Then the objects of the category $\mathcal{X}_E(L)$ are the solutions of the embedding problem given by (5.1). That is, an object of $\mathcal{X}_E(L)$ is a continuous homomorphism $\sigma: K \to E$ such that $p \circ \sigma(1) = 1$ for $k \in K$. If $s_i: K \to E$, $i = 1, 2$, are two objects in $\mathcal{X}_E(L)$ then a morphism from $s_1$ to $s_2$ is an element $f \in F$ such that $f s_1 f^{-1} = s_2$; cf. [DD99, p. 581].

By the results of Giraud [Gir71, Chapter VIII], it is easy to see that any gerbe $\mathcal{X} \to \text{Spec } k$ with finite inertia arises from a sequence (5.1) as above. We explain how to get the extension: Given $\mathcal{X}$, we can find a separable Galois extension $L/k$ and an object $\xi \in \mathcal{X}(L)$. This gives an extension of groups $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}}(\xi) \to \text{Aut}_{\text{Spec } k}(\text{Spec } L) = \text{Gal}(L/k)$. Pulling back this extension via the map $G = \text{Gal}(k) \to \text{Gal}(L/k)$ gives the desired sequence (5.1).

Now, suppose that $E$ is as in (5.1). Let $L/k$ be a field extension, which is separable but not necessarily finite. Let $\overline{L}$ denote a fixed separable closure of $L$ and let $\overline{k}$ denote the separable closure of $k$ in $L$. Then there is an obvious map $r: \text{Gal}(\overline{L}/L) \to \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. Let $u: (\text{Spec } k)_{\text{ét}} \to (\text{Spec } k)_{\text{ét}}$ denote the functor of (5.1). Then $u^*\mathcal{X}(L)$ has the same description as in the case where $L$ is a finite extension of $k$. In other words, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.5. Let $L/k$ be a separable extension and let $\mathcal{X}_E$ be the gerbe defined above. Then the objects of the category $u^*\mathcal{X}_E(L)$ are the morphisms $s: \text{Gal}(L) \to E$ making the following diagram commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Gal}(L) & - & E \\
\downarrow{s} & & \downarrow{r} \\
1 & - & \text{Gal}(k)
\end{array}
\]
Moreover, if \( s_i, i = 1, 2, \) are two objects in \( u^*X_E(L) \), then the morphisms from \( s_1 \) to \( s_2 \) are the elements \( f \in F \) such that \( fs_1f^{-1} = s_2 \).

5.3. Splitting the inertia sequence

We begin by recalling some results and notation from Serre’s chapter in [GMS03].

Let \( A \) be a discrete valuation ring. Write \( S = \text{Spec } A \) for the closed point in \( S \) and \( \eta = \eta_A \) for the generic point. When \( A \) is the only discrete valuation ring under consideration, we suppress the subscripts. If \( A \) is henselian, then the choice of a separable closure \( k(\eta) \) of \( k(\eta) \) induces a separable closure of \( k(s) \) and a map \( \text{Gal}(k(\eta)) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k(\eta)) \) between the absolute Galois groups. The kernel of this map is called the inertia, written as \( I = I_A \). If \( \text{char } k(s) = p > 0 \), then we set \( I_w = I_{w,A} \) equal to the unique \( p \)-Sylow subgroup of \( I \); otherwise we set \( I_w = \{1\} \). The group \( I_w \) is called the wild inertia.

The group \( I_t = \frac{I}{I_w} = I/I_w \) is called the tame inertia and the group \( \text{Gal}(k(\eta))/I_w \) is called the tame Galois group. We therefore have the following exact sequences:

\[
1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k(\eta)) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k(s)) \rightarrow 1, \tag{5.2}
\]

\[
1 \rightarrow I_t \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k(\eta))_t \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k(s)) \rightarrow 1. \tag{5.3}
\]

The sequence (5.2) is called the inertia exact sequence and (5.3) the tame inertia exact sequence.

For each prime \( l \), set \( \mathbb{Z}_l(1) = \varprojlim \mu_{l^n} \) so that

\[
\prod_{l \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_l(1) = \varprojlim_{p \nmid n} \mu_n.
\]

Then there is a canonical isomorphism \( c : I_t \rightarrow \prod_{l \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_l(1) \) [GMS03, p. 17]. To explain this isomorphism, let \( g \in I_t \) and let \( \pi^{1/n} \) be an \( n \)-th root of a uniformizing parameter \( \pi \in A \) with \( n \) not divisible by \( p \). Then the image of \( c(g) \) in \( \mu_n \) is \( g(\pi^{1/n})/\pi^{1/n} \).

**Proposition 5.6.** Let \( A \) be a henselian discrete valuation ring. Then the sequence (5.2) is split.

The proposition extends Lemma 7.6 of [GMS03], where \( A \) is assumed to be complete.

**Proof.** Because we need the ideas from the proof, we will repeat Serre’s argument. Set \( K = k(\eta) \) and \( \overline{K} = k(\overline{\eta}) \). Set \( K_t = K^{N_p} \), the maximal tamely ramified extension of \( K \). Let \( \pi \) be a uniformizing parameter in \( A \). Then, for each non-negative integer \( n \) not divisible by \( p \), choose an \( n \)-th root \( \pi_n \) of \( \pi \) in \( K \) such that \( \pi_n^{p^m} = \pi_n \). Set \( K_{\text{ram}} = K[\pi_n^{1/(p^m)}] \). Then \( K_{\text{ram}} \) is totally and tamely ramified over \( K \). Moreover any \( K_t = K_{\text{ram}}K_{\text{unr}} \). It follows that \( \text{Gal}(k(s)) \) may be identified with the subgroup of elements \( g \in \text{Gal}(K_t) \) fixing each of the \( \pi_n \); cf. [Del80]. This splits the sequence (5.3).

Now, in [GMS03], Serre extends this splitting non-canonically to a splitting of (5.2) as follows. Since \( k(s) \) has characteristic \( p \), the \( p \)-cohomological dimension of \( \text{Gal}(k(s)) \)
is $\leq 1$; see [Ser02]. Consequently, any homomorphism $\text{Gal}(k(s)) \to \text{Gal}(K)$ can be lifted to $\text{Gal}(K)$.

While the splitting of (5.3) is not canonical, we need to know that it is possible to split two such sequences, associated with henselian discrete valuation rings $A \subseteq B$, in a compatible way.

**Proposition 5.7.** Let $A \subseteq B$ be an extension of henselian discrete valuation rings, such that a uniformizing parameter for $A$ is also a uniformizing parameter for $B$. Then there exist maps $\sigma_B: \text{Gal}(k(s_B)) \to \text{Gal}(k(\eta_B))$ (resp. $\sigma_A: \text{Gal}(k(s_A)) \to \text{Gal}(k(\eta_A))$) splitting the tame inertia exact sequence (5.3) for $B$ (resp. $A$) and such that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Gal}(k(s_B)) & \rightarrow & \text{Gal}(k(\eta_B)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Gal}(k(s_A)) & \rightarrow & \text{Gal}(k(\eta_A))
\end{array}
$$

with vertical morphisms given by restriction commutes.

**Proof.** Let $\pi \in A$ be a uniformizing parameter for $A$, and hence for $B$. For each $n$ not divisible by $p = \text{char}(k(s_A))$, choose an $n$-th root $\pi_n$ of $\pi$ in $k(\eta_B)$. Now, set $\sigma_B(k(s_B)) = \{g \in \text{Gal}(k(\eta_B)) | g(\pi_n) = \pi_n \text{ for all } n\}$ and similarly for $A$. By the proof of Proposition 5.6, this defines splitting of the tame inertia sequences. Moreover, these splittings lift to splittings of the inertia exact sequence. \qed

**Remark 5.8.** By the proof of Proposition 5.6, the splittings $\sigma_B$ and $\sigma_A$ in Proposition 5.7 can be lifted to maps $\tilde{\sigma}_B: \text{Gal}(k(s_B)) \to \text{Gal}(k(\eta_B))$ (resp. $\tilde{\sigma}_A: \text{Gal}(k(s_A)) \to \text{Gal}(k(\eta_A))$). However, since these liftings are non-canonical it is not clear that $\tilde{\sigma}_B$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_A$ can be chosen compatibly.

### 5.4. Tame gerbes and splittings

The following result is certainly well known; for the sake of completeness we supply a short proof.

**Proposition 5.9.** Let $X \to S$ be an étale gerbe over a henselian trait, with closed point $s$. Denote by $i: s \to S$ the inclusion of the closed point and by $sp: S \to s$ the specialization map. Then the restriction map

$$i^*: X(S) \to X(s)$$

induces an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse given by

$$sp^*: X(s) \to X(S).$$
such that the diagram by an inclusion $A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is obtained by pullback from $\mathcal{X}_i$, it suffices to show that the functor $i^*: \mathcal{X}(S) \to \mathcal{X}(s)$ is faithful. For this, suppose $\xi_1, \xi_2: S \to \mathcal{X}, i = 1, 2$, are two objects of $\mathcal{X}(S)$. Then the sheaf $\text{Hom}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ is étale over $S$. Since $S$ is henselian, it follows that the sections of $\text{Hom}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ over $S$ are isomorphic (via restriction) to the sections over $s$. Thus $i^*: \mathcal{X}(S) \to \mathcal{X}(s)$ is fully faithful. □

A Deligne–Mumford stack $\mathcal{X} \to S$ is tame if, for every geometric point $\xi: \text{Spec } \Omega \to \mathcal{X}$, the order of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}_{\text{Spec } \Omega}(\xi)$ is prime to the characteristic of $\Omega$. For tame gerbes over a henselian discrete valuation ring, we have the following analogue of the splitting in Proposition 5.7.

**Theorem 5.10.** Let $h: \text{Spec } B \to \text{Spec } A$ be the morphism of henselian traits induced by an inclusion $A \hookrightarrow B$ of henselian discrete valuation rings (here we assume that a uniformizing parameter for $A$ is sent to a uniformizing parameter for $B$). Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a tame étale gerbe over $\text{Spec } A$. Write $j_B: [\eta_B] \to \text{Spec } B$ (resp. $j_A: [\eta_A] \to \text{Spec } A$) for the inclusion of the generic points. Then there exist functors $\tau_A: \mathcal{X}(k(\eta_A)) \to \mathcal{X}(A)$ and $\tau_B: \mathcal{X}(k(\eta_B)) \to \mathcal{X}(B)$ such that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X}(A) & \xrightarrow{j_A^*} & \mathcal{X}(k(\eta_A)) \\
\downarrow h^* & & \downarrow h^* \\
\mathcal{X}(B) & \xrightarrow{j_B^*} & \mathcal{X}(k(\eta_B)) \\
\end{array}
$$

commutes (up to natural isomorphism) and the horizontal composites are isomorphic to the identity.

**Proof.** Since $\mathcal{X}$ is an étale gerbe, there is an extension $E$ as in (5.1) with $G = \text{Gal}(k(s_A))$ such that $\mathcal{X}$ is the pullback of $\mathcal{X}_G$ to the big étale site over $S_A$. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is tame, the band, i.e., the group $F$ in (5.1), has order prime to $\text{char } k(s_A)$.

Now, pick splittings $\sigma_B$ and $\sigma_A$ compatibly, as in Proposition 5.7.

We define a functor $\tau_B: \mathcal{X}(k(\eta_B)) \to \mathcal{X}(B)$ as follows. Using Proposition 5.5 we can identify $\mathcal{X}(k(\eta_B))$ with the category of sections $s: \text{Gal}(k(\eta_B)) \to E$. Given such a section $s$, the tameness of $E$ implies that $s(I^n) = 1$. Therefore, $s$ induces a map $\text{Gal}(k(\eta_B))_s \to \text{Gal}(k(s)), \text{Gal}(k(\eta_B))$, which we will also denote by the symbol $s$. Let $\tau_B(s)$ denote the section $s \circ \sigma_B: \text{Gal}(k(s)) \to E$. This defines $\tau_B$ on the objects in $\mathcal{X}(k(\eta_B))$. If we define $\tau_A$ in the same way, it is clear that the diagram above commutes on objects. We define $\tau_B$ (resp. $\sigma_A$) on morphisms by setting $\tau_B(f) = f$ (and similarly for $A$). We leave the rest of the verification to the reader. □

**5.5. Genericity**

**Theorem 5.11.** Let $R$ be a discrete valuation ring, $S = \text{Spec } R$ and $\mathcal{X} \to S$ a tame étale gerbe. Then $\text{ed}_k(\mathcal{X}_i) \leq \text{ed}_k(\mathcal{X}_s)$, where $s$ is the closed point of $S$ and $\eta$ is the generic point.
Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $R$ is complete. Indeed, otherwise replace $R$ with its completion at $s$. The field $k(s)$ does not change, but $k(\eta)$ is replaced by a field extension. By Proposition 2.3, the essential dimension of $X_{k(\eta)}$ does not increase.

If $R$ is equicharacteristic, then by Cohen’s structure theorem, $R = k[[t]]$ with $k = k(s)$. If not, denote by $W(k(s))$ the unique complete discrete valuation ring with residue field $k(s)$ and uniformizing parameter $p$. This is called a Cohen ring of $k(s)$ in \cite[19.8]{Gro64}. If $k(s)$ is perfect then $W(k(s))$ is the ring of Witt vectors of $k(s)$, but this is not true in general, and $W(k(s))$ is only determined up to a non-canonical isomorphism. By \cite[Théorème 19.8.6]{Gro64}, there is a homomorphism $W(k(s)) \to R$ inducing the identity on $k(s)$. Since $X$ is pulled back from $k(s)$ via the specialization map, we can replace $R$ by $W(k(s))$.

Now suppose $b : \text{Spec } L \to X$ is a morphism from the spectrum of a field with $\text{ed}_{k(s)} b = \text{trdeg}_{k(s)} L = \text{ed}_s X$. (Such a morphism exists because $\text{ed}_s X$ is finite.) Set $B := L[[t]]$ if $R$ is equicharacteristic and $B := W(L)$ otherwise. In either case, $B$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field $L$. In the first case we have a canonical embedding $R = k[[t]] \subseteq L[[t]] = B$; in the second case, again by \cite[Théorème 19.8.6]{Gro64} (due to Cohen), we have a lifting $R = W(k(s)) \to W(L) = B$ of the embedding $k(s) \subseteq L$, which is easily seen to be injective. Therefore there is a unique morphism $\beta = b \circ \text{sp} : S_B \to X$ whose specialization to the closed point of $B$ coincides with $\xi$.

Suppose there is a subfield $M$ of $k(\eta_R)$ containing $k(\eta_B)$ such that the following conditions hold:

1. the restriction $j_B^* \beta$ of $\beta$ to $k(\eta_B)$ factors through $M$,
2. $\text{trdeg}_{k(\eta_B)} M \leq \text{ed}_{k(s)} b$.

Complete $M$ with respect to the discrete valuation induced from $k(\eta_B)$ and call the resulting complete discrete valuation ring $A$. It follows that there is a class $\alpha$ in $X(k(\eta_A))$ whose restriction to $k(\eta_B)$ coincides with $j_B^* \beta$. But then, by Theorem 5.10, we have $\beta = h_{\sigma_A}(\alpha)$. This implies that $b : \text{Spec } L \to X$ factors through the special fiber of $A$. Since the transcendence degree of $k(s_A)$ over $k(s)$ is less than $\text{ed}_{k(s)} b$, this is a contradiction. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 5.12.** Let $R$ be an equicharacteristic complete discrete valuation ring and $X \to \text{Spec } R$ be a tame étale gerbe. Then

$$\text{ed}_{k(s)} X_s = \text{ed}_{k(\eta)} X_\eta,$$

where $s$ denotes the closed point of $\text{Spec } R$ and $\eta$ denotes the generic point.

**Proof.** Set $k = k(s)$. Since $R$ is equicharacteristic, we have $R = k[[t]]$ and $X_{k(\eta)}$ is the pullback to $k(\eta)$ of $X_{k(s)}$ via the inclusion of $k$ in $k((t))$. Therefore $\text{ed}_{k(s)} X_{k(s)} \geq \text{ed}_{k(\eta)} X_{k(\eta)}$. The opposite inequality is given by Theorem 5.11. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 5.13.** Suppose that $X$ is an étale gerbe over a smooth scheme $X$ locally of finite type over a perfect field $k$. Let $K$ be an extension of $k$, and $\xi \in X(\text{Spec } K)$. Then

$$\text{ed}_K \xi \leq \text{ed}_K X_k(X_k) + \dim X - \text{codim}_X \xi.$$
Proof. We proceed by induction on \( \text{codim} \xi \). If \( \text{codim} \xi = 0 \), then the morphism \( \xi : \text{Spec} \, K \to X \) is dominant. Hence \( \xi \) factors through \( \mathcal{X}_{k(X)} \), and the result is obvious.

Assume \( \text{codim} \xi > 0 \). Let \( Y \) be the closure of the image of \( \text{Spec} \, K \) in \( X \). Since we are assuming that \( k \) is perfect, \( Y \) is generically smooth over \( \text{Spec} \, k \). By restricting to a neighborhood of the generic point of \( Y \), we may assume that \( Y \) is contained in a smooth hypersurface \( X' \) of \( X \). Denote by \( Y' \) and \( X' \) the inverse images in \( X \) of \( Y \) and \( X' \) respectively. Set \( R = \mathcal{O}_{X,Y} \) and denote the pullback of \( X' \) to \( R \) also by \( X' \). Then we can apply Theorem 5.11 to the gerbe \( X_R \to \text{Spec} \, R \) and conclude that

\[
ed_{k(X')} X'_{k(X')} \leq \ed_{k(X)} X_{k(X)}.
\]

Using the inductive hypothesis we have

\[
ed(\xi) \leq \ed_{k(X')} X'_{k(X)} + \dim X' - \text{codim} X' \xi \leq \ed_{k(X)} X_{k(X)} + \dim X - 1 - \text{codim} X' \xi.
\]

\( \square \)

6. A genericity theorem for a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack

It is easy to see that Theorem 5.13 fails if \( X \) is not assumed to be a gerbe. In this section we will use Theorem 5.13 to prove the following weaker result for a wider class of Deligne–Mumford stacks.

Recall that a Deligne–Mumford stack \( X \) over a field \( k \) is tame if the order of the automorphism group of any object of \( X \) over an algebraically closed field is prime to the characteristic of \( k \).

Theorem 6.1. Let \( X \) be a smooth integral tame Deligne–Mumford stack locally of finite type over a perfect field \( k \). Then

\[
ed X = \ed_{k(X)} X_{k(X)} + \dim X.
\]

Here the dimension of \( X \) is the dimension of the moduli space of any non-empty open substack of \( X \) with finite inertia.

Before proceeding with the proof, we record two immediate corollaries.

Corollary 6.2. For \( X \) as above, if \( U \) is an open dense substack, then \( \ed_{k(U)} = \ed_{k(U)} \).

Corollary 6.3. If the conditions of Theorem 6.1 are satisfied, and the generic object of \( X \) has no non-trivial automorphisms (i.e., \( X \) is an orbifold, in the topologists’ terminology), then \( \ed_{k} X = \dim X \).

Proof. Here the generic gerbe \( X_{k} \) is a scheme, so \( X_{k} \) is the 0-dimensional scheme \( \text{Spec}(k) \), and \( \ed_{k} X_{k} = \dim_{k} \text{Spec}(k) = 0 \).

Proof of Theorem 6.1. The inequality \( \ed X \geq \ed_{k(X)} X_{k(X)} + \dim X \) is obvious: so we only need to show that

\[
ed(\xi) \leq \ed_{k(X)} X_{k(X)} + \dim X \quad (6.1)
\]

for any field extension \( L \) of \( k \) and any object \( \xi \) of \( X(L) \).

First of all, let us reduce the general result to the case that \( X \) has finite inertia. The reduction is immediate from the following lemma, essentially due to Keel and Mori.
Lemma 6.4 (Keel–Mori). There exists an integral Deligne–Mumford stack with finite inertia $X'$, together with an étale representable morphism of finite type $X' \to X$, and a factorization $\text{Spec } L \to X' \to X$ of the morphism $\text{Spec } L \to X$ corresponding to $\xi$.

Proof. We follow an argument due to B. Conrad. By [Con, Lemma 2.2] there exist

(i) an étale representable morphism $W \to X$ such that every morphism $\text{Spec } L \to X$, where $L$ is a field, lifts to $\text{Spec } L \to W$, and

(ii) a finite flat representable map $Z \to W$, where $Z$ is a scheme.

Condition (ii) implies that $W$ is a quotient of $Z$ by a finite flat equivalence relation $Z \times_W Z \cong Z$, which in particular tells us that $W$ has finite inertia. We can now take $X'$ to be a connected component of $W$ containing a lifting $\text{Spec } L \to W$ of $\text{Spec } L \to X$.

Suppose that we have proved the inequality (6.1) whenever $X$ has finite inertia. If we denote by $\xi'$ the object of $X'$ corresponding to a lifting $\text{Spec } L \to X'$, we have $ed \xi \leq ed \xi' \leq ed_{k(X')} X'_{k(X')}$. On the other hand, the morphism $X'_{k(X')} \to X_{k(X)}$ induced by the étale representable morphism $X' \to X$ is representable with fibers of dimension 0, hence $ed_{k(X')} X'_{k(X')} = ed_{k(X)} X_{k(X)} \leq ed_{k(X)} X_{k(X)}$ by Theorem 5.2 (the first equality follows immediately from the fact that the extension $k(X) \subseteq k(X')$ is finite).

So, in order to prove the inequality (6.1) we may assume that $X$ has finite inertia. Denote by $Y \subseteq X$ the closure of the image of the composite $\text{Spec } L \to X \to X$, where $\text{Spec } L \to X$ corresponds to $\xi$, and denote by $Y$ the reduced inverse image of $Y$ in $X$. Since $k$ is perfect, $Y$ is generically smooth; by restricting to a neighborhood of the generic point of $Y$ we may assume that $Y$ is smooth.

Denote by $N \to Y$ the normal bundle of $Y$ in $X$. Consider the deformation to the normal bundle $\phi : M \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ for the embedding $Y \subseteq X$. This is a smooth morphism such that $\phi^{-1} \mathbb{A}^1_k = X \times_{\text{Spec } k} \mathbb{A}^1_k$ and $\phi^{-1} (\infty) = N$, obtained as an open substack of the blow-up of $X \times_{\text{Spec } k} \mathbb{P}^1_k$ along $Y \times \{ \infty \}$ (the well-known construction, explained for example in [Ful98, Chapter 5], generalizes immediately to algebraic stacks). Denote by $M^0$ the open substack whose geometric points are the geometric points of $M$ with stabilizer of minimal order (this is well defined because $M$ has finite inertia).

We claim that $M^0 \cap N \neq \emptyset$. This would be evident if $X$ were a quotient stack $[V/G]$, where $G$ is a finite group of order not divisible by the characteristic of $k$, acting linearly on a vector space $V$, and $Y$ were of the form $[X/G]$, where $W$ is a $G$-invariant linear subspace of $V$. However, étale-locally on $X$ every tame Deligne–Mumford stack is a quotient $[X/G]$, where $G$ is a finite group of order not divisible by the characteristic of $k$ (see, e.g., [AV02, Lemma 2.2.3]). Since $G$ is tame and $X$ is smooth, it is well known that étale-locally on $X$, the stack $X$ has the desired form, and this is enough to prove the claim.
Set \( N^0 \cong M^0 \cap N \). The object \( \xi \) corresponds to a dominant morphism \( \text{Spec} \, L \to Y \).
The pullback \( N \times_Y \text{Spec} \, K \) is a vector bundle \( V \) over \( \text{Spec} \, L \), and the inverse image \( N^0 \times_Y \text{Spec} \, L \) of \( N^0 \) is not empty. We may assume that \( L \) is infinite; otherwise \( \text{ed} \, \xi = 0 \) and there is nothing to prove. Assuming that \( L \) is infinite, \( N^0 \times_Y \text{Spec} \, L \) has an \( L \)-rational point, so there is a lifting \( \text{Spec} \, L \to N^0 \) of \( \text{Spec} \, L \to Y \), corresponding to an object \( \eta \) of \( N^0 \) (Spec \, L). Clearly the essential dimension of \( \xi \) as an object of \( X \) is the same as its essential dimension as an object of \( Y \), and \( \text{ed} \, \xi \leq \text{ed} \, \eta \). Let us apply Theorem 5.13 to the gerbe \( M^0 \). The function field of the moduli space \( M \) of \( \mathcal{M} \) is \( k(X)(t) \), and its generic gerbe is \( \mathcal{M}(X)(t) \); by Proposition 2.8 we have \( \text{ed} \, k(X)(t) \mathcal{M}(X)(t) \leq \text{ed} \, k(X) \mathcal{M}(X) \). The composite \( \text{Spec} \, L \to N^0 \subseteq M^0 \) has codimension at least 1, hence we obtain

\[
\text{ed} \, \xi < \text{ed} \, k(X)(t) \mathcal{M}(X)(t) + \dim M \leq \text{ed} \, k(X) \mathcal{M}(X) + \dim X + 1.
\]

This concludes the proof. \(\square\)

Example 6.5. The following examples show that Corollary 6.3 (and thus Corollary 6.2 and Theorem 6.1) fail for more general algebraic stacks, such as (a) singular Deligne–Mumford stacks, (b) non-Deligne–Mumford stacks, including quotient stacks of the form \([W/G]\), where \( W \) is a smooth complex affine variety with an action of a connected complex reductive linear algebraic group \( G \) acting on \( W \).

(a) Let \( n \geq 2 \) be integers. Assume that the characteristic of \( k \) is prime to \( r \). Let \( W \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n \) be the Fermat hypersurface defined by the equation \( x_1^r + \cdots + x_n^r = 0 \) and \( \Delta \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n \) be the union of the coordinate hyperplanes defined by \( x_i = 0 \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). The group \( G := \mu_r^r \) acts on \( \mathbb{A}^n \) via the formula

\[
(s_1, \ldots, s_n)(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (s_1x_1, \ldots, s_nx_n),
\]

leaving \( W \) and \( \Delta \) invariant. Let \( \mathcal{X} := \mathbb{A}^n \). Since the \( G \)-action on \( W \setminus \Delta \) is free, \( \mathcal{X} \) is generically an affine scheme of dimension \( n - 1 \). On the other hand, \( [[0]/G] \cong B_k \mu_r^r \) is a closed substack of \( \mathcal{X} \) of essential dimension \( n \); hence, \( \text{ed}(\mathcal{X}) \geq n \).

(b) Consider the action of \( G = \text{GL}_n \) on the affine space \( M \) of all \( n \times n \)-matrices by multiplication on the left. Since \( G \) has a dense orbit, and the stabilizer of a non-singular matrix in \( M \) is trivial, we see that \([M/G]\) is generically a scheme of dimension 0. On the other hand, let \( Y \) be the locus of matrices of rank \( n - 1 \), which is a locally closed subscheme of \( M \). There is a surjective \( \text{GL}_n \)-equivariant morphism \( Y \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \), sending each matrix of rank \( n - 1 \) to its kernel, which induces a morphism \([Y/G] \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \). If \( L \) is an extension of \( \mathbb{C} \), every \( L \)-valued point of \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \) lifts to an \( L \)-valued point of \( Y \). Hence,

\[
\text{ed}[M/G] \geq \text{ed}[Y/G] \geq n - 1.
\]

As an aside, we remark that a similar argument with \( Y \) replaced by the locus of matrices of rank \( r \), shows that the essential dimension of \([M/G]\) is in fact the maximum of the dimensions of the Grassmannians of \( r \)-planes in \( \mathbb{C}^n \), as \( r \) ranges between 1 and \( n - 1 \), which is \( n^2/4 \) if \( n \) is even, and \((n^2 - 1)/4 \) if \( n \) is odd.
Question 6.6. Under what hypotheses does the genericity theorem hold? Let $X \to \text{Spec } k$ be an integral algebraic stack. Using the results of [LMB00, Chapter 11], one can define the generic gerbe $X_K \to \text{Spec } K$ of $X$, which is an fppf gerbe over a field of finite transcendence degree over $k$. What conditions on $X$ ensure the equality

$$ed_k X = ed_K X_K + \text{tr deg}_k K?$$

Smoothness seems necessary, as there are counterexamples even for Deligne–Mumford stacks with very mild singularities; see Example 6.5(a). We think that the best result that one can hope for is the following. Suppose that $X$ is smooth with quasi-affine diagonal, and let $\xi \in X(\text{Spec } L)$ be a point. Assume that the automorphism group scheme of $\xi$ over $L$ is linearly reductive. Then $ed \xi \leq ed_K X_K + \text{tr deg}_k K$. In particular, if all the automorphism groups are linearly reductive, then $ed X = ed_K X_K + \text{tr deg}_k K$. (Added in proof: This has recently been established; see [RV11, Theorem 1.2].)

7. The essential dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ for $(g, n) \neq (1, 0)$

Recall that the base field $k$ is assumed to be of characteristic 0.

The assertion that $ed_k \mathcal{M}_{g,n} = ed \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ whenever $2g - 2 + n > 0$ is an immediate consequence of Corollary 6.2. Moreover, if $g \geq 3$, or $g = 2$ and $n \geq 1$, or $g = 1$ and $n \geq 2$, then

$$ed_k \mathcal{M}_{g,n} = ed \mathcal{M}_{g,n} = 3g - 3 + n.$$ 

Indeed, in all these cases the automorphism group of a generic object of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is trivial, so the generic gerbe is trivial, and $ed \mathcal{M}_{g,n} = \dim \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ by Corollary 6.3.

The remaining cases of Theorem 1.2, with the exception of $(g, n) = (1, 0)$, are covered by the following proposition. The case where $(g, n) = (1, 0)$ requires a separate argument which will be carried out in the next section.

Proposition 7.1.

(a) $\text{ed } \mathcal{M}_{0,1} = 2$,
(b) $\text{ed } \mathcal{M}_{0,1} = \text{ed } \mathcal{M}_{0,2} = 0$,
(c) $\text{ed } \mathcal{M}_{1,1} = 2$,
(d) $\text{ed } \mathcal{M}_{2,0} = 5$.

Proof. (a) Since $\mathcal{M}_{0,0} \simeq B_k \text{PGL}_2$, we have $ed \mathcal{M}_{0,0} = ed \text{PGL}_2 = 2$, where the last inequality is proved in [Rei00, Lemma 9.4(c)] (the argument there is valid for any field $k$ of characteristic $\neq 2$).

Alternative proof of (a): The inequality $ed \mathcal{M}_{0,0} \leq 2$ holds because every smooth curve of genus 0 over a field $K$ is a conic $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^2_K$. After a change of coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^2_K$, we may assume that $C$ is given by an equation of the form $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$ for some $a, b \in K$, and hence descends to the field $k(a, b)$ of transcendence degree $\leq 2$ over $k$. The opposite inequality follows from Tsen’s theorem.

(b) A smooth curve $C$ of genus 0 with one or two rational points over an extension $K$ of $k$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^1_k, 0)$ or $(\mathbb{P}^1_k, 0, \infty)$. Hence, it is defined over $k$.

Alternative proof of (b): $\mathcal{M}_{0,2} = \mathcal{B}_k \mathbb{G}_m$ and $\mathcal{M}_{0,1} = \mathcal{B}_k (\mathbb{G}_m \ltimes \mathbb{G}_a)$, and the groups $\mathbb{G}_m$ and $\mathbb{G}_m \ltimes \mathbb{G}_a$ are special (and hence have essential dimension 0).
(c) Let \( \mathcal{M}_{1,1} \to \mathbb{A}^{1}_k \) denote the map given by the \( j \)-invariant and let \( \mathcal{X} \) denote the pullback of \( \mathcal{M}_{1,1} \) to the generic point \( \text{Spec} \ k(j) \) of \( \mathbb{A}^1 \). Then \( \mathcal{X} \) is banded by \( \mu_2 \) and is neutral by [S] Proposition 1.4 (c), and so \( \text{ed}_k \mathcal{X} = \text{ed}_k(j) \mathcal{X} + 1 = \text{ed} \mathcal{B}_k(j) \mu_2 + 1 = 2. \)

(d) is a special case of Theorem 7.2 below, since \( \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{M}_{2,0}. \) □

Let \( \mathcal{H}_g \) denote the stack of hyperelliptic curves of genus \( g > 1 \) over a field \( k \) of characteristic 0. This must be defined with some care; defining a family of hyperelliptic curves as a family \( C \to S \) in \( \mathcal{M}_{g,0} \) whose fiber are hyperelliptic curves will not yield an algebraic stack. There are two possibilities.

(a) One can define \( \mathcal{H}_g \) as the closed reduced substack of \( \mathcal{M}_g \) whose geometric points correspond to hyperelliptic curves.

(b) As in [AV04], an object of \( \mathcal{H}_g \) can be defined as two morphisms of schemes \( C \to P \to S \), where \( P \to S \) is a Brauer–Severi, \( C \to P \) is a flat finite finitely presented morphism of constant degree 2, and the composite \( C \to S \) is a smooth morphism whose fibers are connected curves of constant genus \( g \).

We adopt the second definition; \( \mathcal{H}_g \) is then a smooth algebraic stack of finite type over \( k \) (this is shown in [AV04]). Furthermore, there is a natural morphism \( \mathcal{H}_g \to \mathcal{M}_{g,0} \) which sends \( C \to P \to S \) to the composite \( C \to S \). This morphism is easily seen to be a closed embedding. Hence the two stacks defined above are in fact isomorphic.

**Theorem 7.2.** \( \text{ed} \mathcal{H}_g = \begin{cases} 2g & \text{if } g \geq 3 \text{ is odd}, \\ 2g + 1 & \text{if } g \geq 2 \text{ is even}. \end{cases} \)

**Proof.** Denote by \( \mathbf{H}_g \) the moduli space of \( \mathcal{H}_g \); the dimension of \( \mathcal{H}_g \) is \( 2g - 1 \). Let \( K \) be the field of rational functions on \( \mathbf{H}_g \), and denote by \( (\mathcal{H}_g)_K \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{Spec} \ K \times_{\mathbf{H}_g} \mathcal{H}_g \) the generic gerbe of \( \mathcal{H}_g \). From Theorem 6.1 we have

\[ \text{ed} \mathcal{H}_g = 2g - 1 + \text{ed}_K (\mathcal{H}_g)_K, \]

so we need to show that \( \text{ed}_K (\mathcal{H}_g)_K = 1 \) if \( g \) is odd, and 2 if \( g \) is even. For this we need some standard facts about stacks of hyperelliptic curves, which we will now recall.

Let \( \mathcal{D}_g \) be the stack over \( k \) whose objects over a \( k \)-scheme \( S \) are pairs \( (P \to S, \Delta) \), where \( P \to S \) is a conic bundle (that is, a Brauer–Severi scheme of relative dimension 1), and \( \Delta \subseteq P \) is a Cartier divisor that is étale of degree \( 2g + 2 \) over \( S \). Let \( C \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} P \to S \) be an object of \( \mathcal{D}_g \); denote by \( \Delta \subseteq P \) the ramification locus of \( \pi \). Sending \( C \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} P \to S \) to \( (P \to S, \Delta) \) gives a morphism \( \mathcal{D}_g \to \mathcal{D}_g \). Recall the usual description of ramified double covers: if we split \( \pi_* \mathcal{O}_C \) as \( \mathcal{O}_P \oplus L \), where \( L \) is the part of trace 0, then multiplication yields an isomorphism \( L^{\otimes 2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_P(-\Delta) \). Conversely, given an object \( (P \to S, \Delta) \) of \( \mathcal{D}_g(S) \) and a line bundle \( L \) on \( P \), with an isomorphism \( L^{\otimes 2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_P(-\Delta) \), the direct sum \( \mathcal{O}_P \oplus L \) has an algebraic structure, whose relative spectrum is a smooth curve \( C \to S \) with a flat map \( C \to P \) of degree 2.

The morphism \( \mathcal{H}_g \to \mathbf{H}_g \) factors through \( \mathcal{D}_g \), and the morphism \( \mathcal{D}_g \to \mathbf{H}_g \) is an isomorphism over the non-empty locus of divisors on a curve of genus 0 with no
non-trivial automorphisms (this is non-empty because \( g \geq 2 \), hence \( 2g + 2 \geq 5 \)). Denote by \( (P \to \text{Spec} \ K, \Delta) \) the object of \( \mathcal{D}_g(\text{Spec} \ K) \) corresponding to the generic point \( \text{Spec} \ K \to \text{H}_g \). It is well known that \( P(K) = \emptyset \); we give a proof for lack of a suitable reference.

Let \( C \) be a conic without rational points defined over some extension \( L \) of \( k \). Let \( V \) be the \( L \)-vector space \( H^0(C, \omega_{C/L}^{-(g+1)}) \); denote the function field of \( V \) by \( F = L(V) \). Then there is a tautological section \( \sigma \) of \( H^0(C, \omega_{C/F}^{-(g+1)}) = H^0(C, \omega_{C/L}^{-(g+1)}) \otimes_L F \). Note that \( C_F(F) = \emptyset \), because the extension \( L \subseteq F \) is purely transcendental. The zero scheme of \( \sigma \) is a divisor on \( C_F \) that is étale over \( \text{Spec} \ F \), and defines a morphism \( C_F \to \mathcal{D}_g \). This morphism is clearly dominant: so \( K \subseteq F \), and \( C_F = P \times_{\text{Spec} L} \text{Spec} \ F \). Since \( C_F(F) = \emptyset \) we have \( P(K) = \emptyset \), as claimed.

By the description above, the gerbe \( (\mathcal{H}_g)_K \) is the stack of square roots of \( \mathcal{O}_P(-\Delta) \), which is bandied by \( \mu_2 \). When \( g \) is odd then there exists a line bundle of degree \( g + 1 \) on \( P \), whose square is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{O}_P(-\Delta) \); this gives a section of \( (\mathcal{H}_g)_K \), which is therefore isomorphic to \( B_K \mu_2 \), whose essential dimension over \( K \) is 1. If \( g \) is even then such a section does not exist, and the stack is isomorphic to the stack of square roots of the relative dualizing sheaf \( \omega_{P/K} \) (since \( \mathcal{O}_{P/K}(-\Delta) \simeq \omega_{P/K}^{g+1} \), and \( g + 1 \) is odd), whose class in \( H^2(K, \mu_2) \) represents the image in \( H^2(K, \mu_2) \) of the class \( [P] \) in \( H^1(K, \text{PGL}_2) \) under the non-abelian boundary map \( H^1(K, \text{PGL}_2) \to H^2(K, \mu_2) \). According to Theorem 1.3 its essential dimension is the index of \( [P] \), which equals 2. □

The results above apply to more than stable curves. Assume that we are in the stable range \( 2g - 2 + n > 0 \). Denote by \( \mathcal{M}_{g,n} \) the stack of all reduced \( n \)-pointed local complete intersection curves of genus \( g \). This is the algebraic stack over \( \text{Spec} \ k \) whose objects over a \( k \)-scheme \( T \) are finitely presented proper flat morphisms \( C \to T \), where \( C \) is an algebraic space, whose geometric fibers are connected reduced local complete intersection curves of genus \( g \), together with \( n \) sections \( T \to C \) whose images are contained in the smooth locus of \( C \to T \). We do not require the sections to be disjoint.

The stack \( \mathcal{M}_{g,n} \) contains \( \mathcal{M}_{g,n} \) as an open substack. By standard results in deformation theory, every reduced local complete intersection curve is unobstructed, and is a limit of smooth curves. Furthermore there is no obstruction to extending the sections, since these map into the smooth locus. Therefore \( \mathcal{M}_{g,n} \) is smooth and connected, and \( \mathcal{M}_{g,n} \) is dense in \( \mathcal{M}_{g,n} \). However, the stack \( \mathcal{M}_{g,n} \) is very large (it is certainly not of finite type), and in fact it is very easy to see that its essential dimension is infinite. However, consider the open substack \( \mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\text{fin}} \) consisting of objects whose automorphism group is finite. Then \( \mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\text{fin}} \) is a Deligne–Mumford stack, and Theorem 6.1 applies to it. Thus we get the following strengthened form of Theorem 1.2 (under the assumption that \( 2g - 2 + n > 0 \)).

**Theorem 7.3.** If \( 2g - 2 + n > 0 \) and the characteristic of \( k \) is 0, then

\[
\text{ed} \ \mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\text{fin}} = \begin{cases} 
2 & \text{if } (g, n) = (1, 1), \\
5 & \text{if } (g, n) = (2, 0), \\
3g - 3 + n & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

It is not hard to show that \( \mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\text{fin}} \) does not have finite inertia.
8. Tate curves and the essential dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}$

In this section we will finish the proof of Theorem 1.2 by showing that $\text{ed} \mathcal{M}_{1,0} = +\infty$.

We remark that the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}$ of genus 1 curves should not be confused with the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ of elliptic curves. The objects of $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}$ are torsors for elliptic curves, whereas the objects of $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ are elliptic curves themselves. The stack $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ is Deligne–Mumford and, as we saw in the last section, its essential dimension is 2. The stack $\mathcal{M}_{1,0}$ is not Deligne–Mumford, and we will now show that its essential dimension is $\infty$.

Let $\mathbb{R}$ be a complete discrete valuation ring with function field $K$ and uniformizing parameter $q$. For simplicity, we will assume that $\text{char} K = 0$. Let $E = E_q/K$ denote the Tate curve over $K$ [Sil86, §4]. This is an elliptic curve over $K$ with the property that, for every finite field extension $L/K$, $E(L) \cong L^*/q^\mathbb{Z}$. It follows that the kernel $E[n]$ of multiplication by an integer $n > 0$ fits canonically into a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow E[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow 0.$$ (8.1)

Let $\partial : H^0(K, \mathbb{Z}/n) \rightarrow H^1(K, \mu_n)$ denote the connecting homomorphism. Then it is well known (and easy to see) that $\partial(1) = q \in H^1(K, \mu_n) \cong K^*/(K^*)^n$.

**Lemma 8.1.** Let $E = E_q$ be a Tate curve as above and let $l$ be a prime integer not equal to $\text{char} \mathbb{R}/q$. Then, for any integer $n > 0$,

$$\text{ed} E[l^n] = l^n.$$

**Proof.** First observe that $E[l^n]$ admits an $l^n$-dimensional generically free representation $V = \text{Ind}_{\mu_n}^{E[l^n]} \chi$, over $K$, where $\chi : \mu_n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is the tautological character. Thus,

$$\text{ed} B E[l^n] \leq \dim V = l^n;$$

see [BR97, Theorem 3.1] or [BF03, Proposition 4.11].

It remains to show that

$$\text{ed} E[l^n] \geq l^n.$$ (8.2)

Let $R' \overset{\text{def}}{=} R[1/l^n]$ with fraction field $K' = K[1/l^n]$. Since $l$ is prime to the residue characteristic, $R'$ is a complete discrete valuation ring, and the Tate curve $E_q/K'$ is the pullback to $K'$ of $E_q/K$. Since $\text{ed}(E_q/K') \leq \text{ed}(E_q/K)$, it suffices to prove the lemma with $K'$ replacing $K$. In other words, it suffices to prove the inequality (8.2) under the assumption that $K$ contains the $l^n$-th roots of unity.

In that case, we can pick a primitive $l^n$-th root of unity $\zeta$ and write $\mu_n = \mathbb{Z}/l^n$. Let $L = K(t)$ and consider the class $(t) \in H^1(L, \mu_n) = L^*/(L^*)^n$. It is not difficult to see that

$$\partial(t) = q \cup (t).$$

Since the map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha \cup (t)$ is injective by cohomological purity, the exponent of $q \cup (t)$ is $l^n$. Therefore $\text{ind}(q \cup (t)) = l^n$. Then, since $\dim \mathbb{Z}/l^n = 0$, Corollary 4.2 applied to the sequence (8.1) implies that $\text{ed} B E[l^n] \geq l^n$, as claimed.  \qed
Theorem 8.2. Let $E = E_q$ denote the Tate curve over a field $K$ as above. Then $\text{ed}_K E = +\infty$.

Proof. For each prime power $l^n$, the morphism $BE[l^n] \to BE$ is representable of fiber dimension 1. By Theorem 3.2:

$$\text{ed} E \geq \text{ed} BE[l^n] = l^n - 1$$

for every $n \geq 1$. \qed

Remark 8.3. It is shown in [BS08] that if $A$ is an abelian variety over $k$ and $k$ is a number field then $\text{ed}_k A = +\infty$. On the other hand, if $k = \mathbb{C}$ is the field of complex numbers then $\text{ed}_\mathbb{C}(A) = 2 \dim(A)$; see [Bro07].

Now we can complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 8.4. Let $k$ be a field. Then $\text{ed}_k M_{1,0} = +\infty$.

Proof. Set $F = k((t))$. By Proposition 2.8 $\text{ed}_F (M_{1,0} \otimes_k F) \leq \text{ed}_k M_{1,0}$, so it suffices to show that $\text{ed}_F (M_{1,0} \otimes_k F)$ is infinite. Consider the morphism $M_{1,0} \to M_{1,1}$ which sends a genus 1 curve to its Jacobian. Let $E$ denote the Tate elliptic curve over $F$, which is classified by a morphism $\text{Spec} F \to M_{1,1}$. We have a Cartesian diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
B_k E & \longrightarrow & M_{1,0} \otimes_k F \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Spec} F & \longrightarrow & M_{1,1} \otimes_k F
\end{array}
$$

It follows that the morphism $B_k E \to M_{1,0}$ is representable, with fibers of dimension $\leq 0$. Applying Theorem 3.2 once again, we see that

$$+\infty = \text{ed}_F E \leq \text{ed}_F (M_{1,0} \otimes_k F) \leq \text{ed}_k M_{1,0},$$

as desired. \qed
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9. Appendix: Essential dimension of moduli of abelian varieties
(by Najmuddin Fakhruddin)

In Theorem 1.2, Brosnan, Reichstein and Vistoli compute the essential dimension of various moduli stacks of curves as an application of their “genericity theorem” for the essential dimension of smooth and tame Deligne–Mumford stacks. Here we use this theorem to compute the essential dimension of some stacks of abelian varieties. Our main result is:

**Theorem 9.1.** Let \( g \geq 1 \) be an integer, \( A_g \) the stack of \( g \)-dimensional principally polarised abelian varieties over a field \( K \), and \( B_g \) the stack of all \( g \)-dimensional abelian varieties over \( K \).

1. If \( \text{char}(K) = 0 \) then \( \text{ed} A_g = g(g + 1)/2 + 2^a \) where \( 2^a \) is the largest power of 2 dividing \( g \).
2. If \( \text{char}(K) = p > 0 \) and \( p \nmid |\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})| \) for some prime \( \ell > 2 \) then \( \text{ed} A_g = g(g + 1)/2 + 2^a \) with \( a \) as above.

For \( g \) odd this result is due to Miles Reid.

We do not know if the restriction on \( \text{char}(K) \) is really necessary; in Theorem 9.7 we show by elementary methods that for \( g = 1 \) it is not.

The main ingredient in the proof, aside from Theorem 6.1, is:

**Theorem 9.2.** Let \( K \) be a field with \( \text{char}(K) \neq 2 \) and let \( R_g \) be the moduli stack of (connected) étale double covers of smooth projective curves of genus \( g \) with \( g > 2 \) over \( K \). Then the index of the generic gerbe of \( R_g \) is \( 2^b \), where \( 2^b \) is the largest power of 2 dividing \( g - 1 \). Furthermore, if \( R_g \) is tame then \( \text{ed} R_g = 3g - 3 + 2^b \).

The two theorems stated above are connected via the Prym map \( R_{g+1} \to A_g \).

9.1.

It is easy to get an upper bound on the index of the generic gerbe of \( A_{g,d} \) over any field. This gives an upper bound on the essential dimension whenever \( A_{g,d} \) is smooth and tame.

**Proposition 9.3.** Let \( d > 0 \) be an integer and \( A_{g,d} \) the moduli stack of abelian varieties with a polarisation of degree \( d \) over \( K \).

1. The index of the generic gerbe of each irreducible component of \( A_{g,d} \) is \( \leq 2^a \) if \( \text{char}(K) \neq 2 \). If \( \text{char}(K) = 2 \) then the generic gerbes are all trivial.
2. If \( p = \text{char}(K) > 0 \) assume that \( p \nmid d \cdot |\text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})| \) (or if \( d = 1 \), \( p \nmid |\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})| \)) for some prime \( \ell > 2 \). Then \( \text{ed} A_{g,d} \leq g(g + 1)/2 + 2^a \).

**Proof.** For any \( g, d, A_{g,d} \) is a Deligne–Mumford stack over \( K \) with each irreducible component of dimension \( g(g + 1)/2 \) (see [NO80] for the case \( \text{char}(K) | d \)). It is a consequence of a theorem of Grothendieck [Og71, Theorem 2.4.1], that if \( p \nmid d \) then \( A_{g,d} \) is smooth. Furthermore, if \( p \nmid |\text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})| \) (or if \( d = 1 \), \( p \nmid |\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})| \)) for \( \ell \) as above then \( A_{g,d} \) is also tame. By Theorem 6.1 we see that (2) follows from (1).
Assume char\((K)\) \(\neq 2\). The generic gerbe is a gerbe banded by \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \mu_2\) so the index is a power of 2. The Lie algebra \(\text{Lie} A_g, d\) of the universal family of abelian varieties over \(A_{g, d}\) is a vector bundle of rank \(g\) on which the automorphism \(x \mapsto -x\) of the universal family induces multiplication by \(-1\). So \(\text{Lie} A_g, d\) gives rise to a twisted sheaf (see e.g. [Lie08, Section 3]) on the generic gerbe of each component, hence the index divides \(g\). We conclude that the index divides the largest power of 2 dividing \(g\), i.e. \(2^a\).

For any field \(L\) of characteristic 2, \(H^2(L, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0\) so the generic gerbes above are all trivial if char\((K)\) = 2.

\(\square\)

If \(g\) is odd then it follows that \(\text{ed} A_{g, d} = g(g + 1)/2\) whenever \(A_{g, d}\) is tame and smooth; this was first proved by Miles Reid using Kummer varieties. For even \(g\) we now use Theorem 9.12 which we will prove later, to complete the proof of Theorem 9.1.

**Proof that Theorem 9.2 implies Theorem 9.7** We may assume that \(g > 1\) since it is known that if \(g = 1\) then \(\text{ed} A_{g, 0} = B_g = 2\) (by Theorem 1.2 or Section 9.5).

We first recall the construction of the Prym map \(P : \mathcal{R}_{g+1} \to A_g\).

Let \(f : X \to S\) be a family of smooth projective curves of genus \(g + 1\) and let \(\pi : Y \to X\) be a finite étale double cover (so that the fibres of the composite morphism \(f' : Y \to S\) are smooth projective curves of genus \(2g + 1\)). Let \(\text{Pic}^0_{Y/S}, \text{Pic}^0_{Y/S, \mathcal{S}}\) be the corresponding relative Jacobians and let \(N : \text{Pic}^0_{Y/S} \to \text{Pic}^0_{Y/S, \mathcal{S}}\) be the norm map. The identity component of the kernel of \(N\) is an abelian scheme \(\text{Prym}(Y/X)\) over \(S\) of relative dimension \(g\) and the involution of \(Y\) over \(X\) induces an automorphism of \(\text{Pic}^0_{Y/S}\) which restricts to multiplication by \(-1\) on \(\text{Prym}(Y/X)\). Furthermore, the canonical principal polarisation on \(\text{Pic}^0_{Y/S}\) restricts to \(2\lambda\), where \(\lambda\) is a principal polarisation on \(\text{Prym}(Y/X)\). Then \(P\) is given by sending \((f : X \to S, \pi : Y \to X)\) to \((\text{Prym}(Y/X) \to S, \lambda)\). The coarse moduli space \(\mathcal{R}_{g+1}\) of \(\mathcal{R}_{g+1}\) is an irreducible variety and \(P\) induces a morphism, which we also denote by \(P, \mathcal{R}_{g+1} \to A_g\).

Let \(A'_g\) be the open subvariety of \(A_g\) corresponding to principally polarised abelian varieties \(A\) with \(\text{Aut}(A) = \{\pm 1\}\). Then \(A_g |_{A'_g} \to A'_g\) is a \(\mu_2\) gerbe. Since \(P(\mathcal{R}_{g+1}) \cap A'_g \neq \emptyset\) it follows that the generic gerbe of \(\mathcal{R}_{g+1}\) is isomorphic to \(A_g \times_{A_g} \text{Spec} K(\mathcal{R}_{g+1})\).

Since the index at the generic point of an element of the Brauer groups of a smooth variety is greater than or equal to the index at any other point, it follows that the index of the generic gerbe of \(A_g\) is greater than or equal to the index of the generic gerbe of \(\mathcal{R}_{g+1}\). By Theorem 9.2 the latter index is \(2^a\) and then using Proposition 9.3 we deduce the first equality of Theorem 9.1 (1) and also (2), since \(A_g\) is tame whenever \(p \nmid |\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})|\) for some prime \(\ell \neq 2\).

Now suppose char\((K)\) = 0 and let \(A\) be any abelian variety of dimension \(g\) over an extension field \(L\) of \(K\). Since \(A\) is projective, it follows that \(A\) has a polarisation of degree \(d\) for some \(d > 0\) and hence corresponds to an object of \(A_{g, d}(L)\). By Proposition 9.3 it follows that \(A\) together with its polarisation can be defined over a field of transcendence degree \(\leq g(g + 1)/2 + 2^a\) over \(K\), hence \(\text{ed} B_g \leq g(g + 1)/2 = 2^a\).

A principally polarised abelian variety \(A\) over \(L\) such that the image of \(\text{Spec} L\) in \(A_{g, d}\) is the generic point has a unique polarisation which is defined whenever the abelian variety is defined. It then follows from the previous paragraph that there exists an abelian variety defined over an extension of transcendence degree \(g(g + 1) + 2^a\) over \(K\) which cannot
be defined over a subextension of smaller transcendence degree. This proves the second equality of Theorem 9.1. □

9.2.

For any morphism \( f : X \to S \), we denote by \( \text{Pic}_{X/S} \) the relative Picard functor [BLR90, Chapter 8]. If \( \text{Pic}_{X/S} \) is representable we use the same notation to denote the representing scheme and if \( S = \text{Spec } K \) is a field we drop it from the notation.

We recall from [BLR90, Chapter 8, Proposition 4] that if \( f \) is proper and cohomologically flat in dimension 0, then for any \( S \)-scheme \( T \) we have a canonical exact sequence

\[
0 \to \text{Pic}(T) \to \text{Pic}(X \times_S T) \to \text{Pic}_{X/S}(T) \to \text{Br}(T) \to \text{Br}(X \times T)
\]

(9.1)

so \( \delta(\tau) \in \text{Br}(T) \), for \( \tau \in \text{Pic}_{X/S}(T) \), is the obstruction to the existence of a line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) on \( X \times_S T \) representing \( \tau \).

If \( X \) is a smooth projective curve over a field, then using the morphisms \( \text{Sym}^d(X) \to \text{Pic}^d_X \) for \( d > 0 \), the Riemann–Roch theorem and Serre duality one sees that the index of \( \delta(\tau) \) divides \( \chi(\tau) = \deg(\tau) + 1 - g \). Since \( \delta \) is a homomorphism it follows that if \( \tau \) is of order \( m \) then the order of \( \delta(\tau) \) divides \( m \). We deduce that in this case the index of \( \delta(\tau) \) divides the largest integer dividing \( g - 1 \) all of whose prime divisors also divide \( m \). Note that if \( g = 1 \) then we do not get any bound on the index.

9.3.

Let \( A \) be an abelian variety over a field \( K \), let \( \tau \in \text{Pic}^0_A(K) \), let \( \theta \in H^1(K, A) \) and let \( P \) be the \( A \)-torsor corresponding to \( \theta \). Since \( \text{Pic}^0_P \) is canonically isomorphic to \( \text{Pic}^0_A \), we may view \( \tau \) as an element \( \tau_P \) of \( \text{Pic}^0_P(K) \).

**Lemma 9.4.** With the notation as above, the subgroups of \( \text{Br}(K) \) generated by \( \delta(\tau_P) \) and \( \partial(\theta) \) are equal, where \( \delta \) is the boundary map in the long exact sequence of Galois cohomology corresponding to the extension of commutative group schemes

\[
1 \to \mathbb{G}_m \to S \to A \to 0
\]

associated to \( \tau \) via the isomorphism \( \text{Pic}^0_A(K) = \text{Ext}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_m) \).

**Proof.** We first remark that as a \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-bundle on \( A, S \) is just the complement of the zero section of \( \mathcal{L} \), where \( \mathcal{L} \) is the line bundle on \( A \) corresponding to \( \tau \) (see e.g. [Mum70, Theorem 1, p. 225]).

Now let \( L \) be any field extension of \( K \). If \( \delta(\tau_P) = 0 \) in \( \text{Br}(L) \) then \( \tau_P \) is represented by a line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) on \( P_L \). Using the remark above, one sees that \( Q \), the complement of the zero section in \( \mathcal{L} \), is an \( S_L \)-torsor such that \( Q \times_{S_L} A_L = P_L \). This implies that \( \delta(\theta) = 0 \) in \( \text{Br}(L) \). Conversely, if \( \partial(\theta) = 0 \) in \( \text{Br}(L) \) then there is a (unique) \( S_L \)-torsor \( Q \) such that \( Q \times_{S_L} A_L = P_L \). This gives a \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-bundle over \( P_L \) and hence a line bundle on \( P_L \) which represents \( \tau_P \), so we must have \( \delta(\tau_P) = 0 \) in \( \text{Br}(L) \).
It follows that the splitting fields of $\partial(\theta)$ and $\delta(\tau)$ are the same, hence the two elements must generate the same subgroup in $\text{Br}(K)$. 

It is very likely that $\delta(\tau)$ and $\partial(\theta)$ are equal, at least up to sign, but we shall not need this.

9.4.

Given a smooth projective curve $X$ over a field $K$ and an element $\tau$ of $\text{Pic}_X(K)$, one may ask how large the index of $\delta(\tau)$ can be. In the case that $\tau$ is torsion, the theorem below shows that the best upper bound on the index which is valid over all fields is the one given in Section 9.2.

**Theorem 9.5.** Let $g > 0$ be an integer, $n > 0$ an integer such that $n$ divides $g - 1$ and $\text{char}(K) \nmid n$, and $m > 0$ such that $m \mid n$ and $m, n$ have the same prime factors. Then there exists an extension $L$ of $K$, a smooth projective curve $X$ of genus $g$ over $L$ with $\text{Aut}(X_L) = \{\text{Id}\}$ if $g > 2$, and an element $\tau$ of order $m$ in $\text{Pic}_X(L)$ such that the index of $\delta(\tau)$ is $n$.

The theorem for all $g$ will be deduced from the slightly stronger result below for $g = 1$.

**Proposition 9.6.** Let $n > 0$ be an integer such that $\text{char}(K) \nmid n$ and $m > 0$ such that $m \mid n$ and $m, n$ have the same prime factors. Then there exists an extension $M$ of $K$, a smooth projective geometrically irreducible curve $P$ of genus 1 over $M$ and an element $\sigma$ of order $m$ in $\text{Pic}_P(M)$ such that the index of $\delta(\sigma)$ is $n$. Furthermore, there exists an extension $M'$ of $M$ of degree $n$ such that $P(M')$ is infinite.

**Proof.** We first replace $K$ by $\overline{K}(s, t)$ where $s, t$ are indeterminates. We fix an isomorphism $\mu_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ which we use to identify all $\mu_n^{\otimes i}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For the elements $(s), (t) \in H^1(K, \mu_n)$ consider $\alpha = (s) \cup (t) \in H^2(K, \mu_n^{\otimes 2}) \cong H^2(K, \mu_n) = \text{Br}(K)$. It is well known and easy to see that this element of $\text{Br}(K)$ has both order and index equal to $n$. Let $K'$ be the function field of the Brauer–Severi variety corresponding to the division algebra over $K$ representing $ma$. By a theorem of Amitsur [Ami55 Theorem 9.3] the image of $\alpha$ in $\text{Br}(K')$ has order $m$ and by a theorem of Schofield and Van den Bergh [SVdB92 Theorem 2.1] its index is still $n$.

Let $M$ be the field of Laurent series $K'(q)$ and let $E$ be the Tate elliptic curve over $M$ associated to the element $sq^n \in M^\times$. For any finite extension $M'$ of $M$ there is a canonical Galois equivariant isomorphism

$$E(M') \cong M'^\times/(sq^n).$$

From this we get a canonical exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu_n \to E[n] \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

where $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is the image of any $n$-th root of $sq^n$ in $M'$. For any $\phi \in H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, one easily checks using the definitions that $\partial(\phi) \in H^2(M, \mu_n) = H^2(M, \mu_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. 

is equal to $(s) \cup \phi$, where $\partial$ denotes the boundary map in the long exact sequence of Galois cohomology associated to the above short exact sequence of Galois modules. It follows that if we identify $(t) \in H^1(M, \mu_n)$ with an element of $H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ using our chosen isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mu_n$, then $\beta := \partial((t)) = (s) \cup (t) \in H^2(K, \mu_n) \subset Br(M)$. Thus $\beta$ also has order $m$ and index $n$ (since the index is the smallest dimension of a linear subvariety of the Brauer–Severi variety and such varieties are preserved by specialisation).

In particular, it is in the image of the inclusion map $H^1(M, \mu_n) \rightarrow H^1(M, \mu_n)$.

Let $E'$ be the quotient of $E$ by $\mu_m$, so $E'$ is also an elliptic curve over $M$. Let $I_n \subset E'[n]$ be the image of $E[n]$, so we have exact sequences

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_m \rightarrow E[n] \rightarrow I_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \rightarrow \mu_{n/m} \rightarrow I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$ 

By construction, the boundary map of the second sequence maps the element $(t) \in H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ to 0 in $H^1(M, \mu_{n/m})$, hence $(t)$ lifts to an element $\gamma \in H^1(M, I_n)$. Clearly $\gamma$ is mapped to $\beta \in H^2(M, \mu_m)$ by the boundary map of the first exact sequence.

Now let $M' = M(\mu^{1/n}) = K((1/n))(q)$. The restriction of $\gamma$ in $H^1(M', I_n)$ goes to 0 in $H^1(M', \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ by construction, hence it comes from an element of $H^1(M', \mu_{n/m})$. We have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^1(M', \mu_n) & \longrightarrow & H^1(M', E) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^1(M', \mu_{n/m}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(M', E')
\end{array}
$$

where the vertical maps are induced by quotienting by $\mu_m$. The first vertical map is surjective and the inclusion $\mu_n \rightarrow E(M)$ factors as $\mu_n \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow E(M)$, so it follows from Hilbert’s Theorem 90 that the bottom horizontal map is zero. Therefore, the image of $\gamma$ in $H^1(M', E)$, restricts to 0 in $H^1(M', E')$.

Let $P$ be the $E'$-torsor corresponding to $\theta$, so $\text{Pic}^0_P$ is canonically isomorphic to $E'$. The image of $E[m]$ in $E'$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$; let $\sigma$ denote $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \subset E'(M) = \text{Pic}_P(M)$. Pushing out the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_m \rightarrow E \rightarrow E' \rightarrow 0$$

via the inclusion $\mu_m \rightarrow G_m$ we get an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow G_m \rightarrow S \rightarrow E' \rightarrow 0$$

whose class in $\text{Ext}^1(E', G_m)$ generates the kernel of the map $\text{Ext}^1(E', G_m) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(E, G_m)$. Under the canonical isomorphisms $\text{Ext}^1(E', G_m) \cong \text{Pic}^0_E(M) \cong E'(M)$, $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \subset E'(M)$ is a generator of the above kernel, so it follows that the two elements generate the same subgroup of $\text{Ext}^1(E', G_m)$.

It now follows from Lemma 9.4 that $\delta(\sigma)$ and $\beta$ generate the same subgroup of $\text{Br}(M)$; in particular, $\delta(\sigma)$ has index $n$. Since $\theta$ becomes 0 in $H^1(M', E')$, it follows that $P_{M'} \cong E_{M'}$. Since $E'(M)$ is infinite, so is $E(M')$ and therefore also $P(M')$.

We conclude that $M, P, \sigma$ and $M'$ satisfy all the conditions of the proposition. \qed
Proof of Theorem 9.5. If \( g = 1 \) the result follows from Proposition 9.6 so we may assume that \( g > 1 \).

Let \( r = (g-1)/n \) and let \( M, P, \sigma \) and \( M' \) be as in Proposition 9.6. Note that since the index of \( \delta(\sigma) \) is \( n \), any closed point of \( P \) must have degree divisible by \( n \). Let \( p_1, \ldots, p_r \) be distinct closed points of \( P \) of degree \( n \) and let \( Y \) be the stable curve over \( M \) obtained by gluing two copies of \( P \) along all the \( p_i \)'s, i.e. the \( p_i \) in one copy is identified with the \( p_i \) in the other copy using the identity map on residue fields. The arithmetic genus of \( Y \) is \( 1 + 1 + rn - 1 = 1 + rn = g \). Using the natural map \( \pi : Y \to P \) which is the identity on both components, we get a morphism \( \pi^* : \text{Pic}_Y \to \text{Pic}_P \) and we let \( \sigma^* = \pi^*(\sigma) \in \text{Pic}_P(M) \). Note that \( \delta(\sigma) = \delta(\sigma') \in \text{Br}(M) \).

Let \( R = M[[x]] \) and let \( f : Y \to \text{Spec} R \) be a generic smoothing of \( Y \). So \( Y \) is a regular scheme and \( f \) is a flat proper morphism with closed fibre equal to \( Y \) (see for example [DM69, Section 1]). By a theorem of Raynaud [Ray70, Théorème 8.2.1], \( \text{Pic}_Y(R) \) is representable by a separated and smooth group scheme of finite type over \( R \). Since \( \text{char}(M) \nmid m \), the endomorphism of \( \text{Pic}_Y(R) \) given by multiplication by \( m \) is étale. Since \( R \) is complete, it follows that \( \sigma' \) can be lifted to an element \( \sigma \) in \( \text{Pic}_Y(R) \) of order \( m \).

Consider \( \delta(\sigma) \in \text{Br}(R) \). Since \( \text{Br}(R) = \text{Br}(M) \), we see by the functoriality of the exact sequences in (9.1) that \( \delta(\sigma) = \delta(\sigma') = \delta(\sigma) \).

Now let \( L = M((x)) \), let \( X \) be the generic fibre of \( f \) and let \( \tau \) be the restriction of \( \sigma \) in \( \text{Pic}_X^0(L) \); by the genericity of the deformation it follows that \( \text{Aut}(X_\tau) = \{ \text{Id} \} \) if \( g > 2 \). Again by the functoriality of the exact sequences in (9.1) we see that \( \delta(\tau) \) is the image of \( \delta(\tau) = \delta(\sigma) \) in \( \text{Br}(L) \). Thus \( \delta(\tau) \) has index \( n \) as required. □

Theorem 9.2 is a simple consequence of Theorem 9.5.

Proof of Theorem 9.2. Since \( R_g \) is a smooth irreducible Deligne–Mumford stack of dimension \( 3g - 3 \), it follows from Theorem 9.4 that to compute \( \text{ed} R_g \) when \( R_g \) is tame it suffices to compute the index of the generic gerbe.

The coarse moduli space \( R_g \) of \( R_g \) is generically a fine moduli space parametrizing smooth projective curves \( X \) of genus \( g \) over \( S \) with a non-trivial element of order 2 of \( \text{Pic}_X^0(S) \). Thus over the generic point \( \text{Spec} K(R_g) \in R_g \) we have a smooth projective curve \( C \) of genus \( g \) and an element \( \sigma \in \text{Pic}^0(C(K(R_g))) \) of order 2. It follows that the element of \( \text{Br}(K(R_g)) \) represented by the generic gerbe of \( R_g \) is the obstruction to the existence of a line bundle \( L \) over \( C \) whose class in \( \text{Pic}^0(C(K(R_g))) = \epsilon \).

If \( b = 0 \), then \( g - 1 \) is odd hence the generic gerbe is trivial. So assume \( b > 0 \) and let \( X, L \) and \( \sigma \) be obtained by applying Theorem 9.5 with \( m = 2 \) and \( n = 2^b \). Since \( \text{Aut}(X_\tau) = \{ \text{Id} \} \) it follows that the image of the map \( \text{Spec} L \to R_g \) lies in the smooth locus \( R_g^0 \) of \( R_g \). Since the restriction of the map \( R_g \to R_g \) is a \( \mu_2 \) gerbe, it follows that the index of the generic gerbe is \( \geq 2^b \). Since the index must also divide \( g - 1 \) it follows that we must have equality as claimed. □

9.5. The essential dimension of \( A_1 \) over arbitrary fields

We do not know the essential dimension of \( A_1 \) over fields of small characteristic. However, it follows from classical formulae [Sil86, Appendix A, Proposition 1.1] that \( \text{ed} A_1 \)
Theorem 9.7. \( \text{ed} \mathcal{A}_1 = 2 \) over any field of characteristic 2.

Proof. It suffices to prove the theorem over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) since it is easy to see that \( \text{ed} \mathcal{A}_1 \geq 2 \) over any field.

Any elliptic curve \( E \) over a field \( K \) of characteristic 2 with \( j(E) \neq 0 \) has an affine equation \([\text{Sil86}, \text{Appendix A}]\)

\[
y^2 + xy = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_6, \quad a_2, 0 \neq a_6 \in K,
\]

hence it suffices to compute the essential dimension of the residual gerbe corresponding to elliptic curves \( E \) with \( j(E) = 0 \). Any such curve has an affine equation

\[
y^2 + a_3y = x^3 + a_4x + a_6, \quad a_3 \neq 0, a_4, a_6 \in K.
\]

We let \( E \) be the curve corresponding to the equation \( y^2 + y = x^3 \) over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) and denote by \( \text{Aut}(E) \) its automorphism group scheme.

By \([\text{Sil86}, \text{Appendix A, Proposition 1.2}]\) and its proof, \( \text{Aut}(E) \) is an étale group scheme over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) of order 24. As a scheme it is given by the equations \( U^3 = 1, S^4 + S = 0 \) and \( T^2 + T = 0 \), where \( U, S, T \) are coordinates on \( \mathbb{A}^3 \). Given a solution \( (u, s, t) \) of these equations, the corresponding automorphism \( E \to E \) is given in the above coordinates by \( (x, y) \mapsto (x', y') \) with \( x = u^2x' + s^2 \) and \( y = y' + u^2sx' + t \). Thus, if \( f_1 : E \to E \), \( i = 1, 2 \), over a field \( K \) is given by a tuple \( (u_i, s_i, t_i) \) then \( f_2 \circ f_1 : E \to E \) is given by the coordinate change

\[
x = u_1^2x_1 + s_1^2 = u_1^2(u_2^2x_2 + s_2^2) + s_1^2 = (u_1u_2)^2x_2 + (u_1s_2 + s_1)^2
\]

and

\[
y = y_1 + u_1^2s_1x_1 + t_1 = (y_2 + u_2^2s_2x_2 + t_2) + u_1^2s_1(u_2^2x_2 + s_2^2) + t_1
\]

\[
= y_2 + (u_1u_2)^2(u_1s_2 + s_1)x_2 + (t_1 + u_1^2s_1s_2^2 + t_2).
\]

Thus \( f_2 \circ f_1 \) corresponds to the triple \( (u_1u_2, u_1s_2 + s_1, t_1 + t_2 + u_1^2s_1s_2^2) \).

Clearly \( \text{Aut}(E) \) becomes a constant group scheme over any field containing \( \mathbb{F}_4 \); one may see that this constant group scheme is isomorphic to \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \) by considering its action on \( E[3] \). The centre of \( \text{Aut}(E) \) is the constant group scheme \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \), the non-trivial element corresponds to the tuple \( (1, 0, 1) \) and acts by multiplication by \(-1\) on \( E \). Let \( G \) be the quotient of \( \text{Aut}(E) \) by its centre. It is given by the equations \( U^3 = 1, S^4 + S = 0 \) and the quotient map corresponds to forgetting the last coordinate.

Let \( B \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \) be the subgroup of upper triangular matrices, viewed as a closed subgroup scheme of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \) in the natural way. The formula for composition in \( \text{Aut}(E) \) given above shows that the map on points \( G \to B \) given by \( (u, s) \mapsto \left( \begin{smallmatrix} u & s \\ 0 & u^2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \) induces an isomorphism of group schemes over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \). Thus \( G \) is a closed subgroup scheme of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \) which maps injectively into \( \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \), so \( \text{ed} G = 1 \).
Now we have a central extension of group schemes over $\mathbb{F}_2$,

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \text{Aut}(E) \to G \to 1,$$

which for any extension field $K$ of $\mathbb{F}_2$ gives rise to an exact sequence of pointed sets

$$H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(K, \text{Aut}(E)) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^1(K, G) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^2(K, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

Since $H^2(K, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ it follows that $\beta$ is surjective. Thus $H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ operates on $H^1(K, \text{Aut}(E))$ and the quotient is $H^1(K, G)$ by [Gir71, III, Proposition 3.4.5(iv)]. Since both $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $G$ have essential dimension 1, it follows that $\text{ed Aut}(E) \leq 2$.

The residual gerbe at the point $E$ of $A_1$ is neutral, so it is isomorphic to $B \text{Aut}(E)$, hence has $\text{ed} \leq 2$. Since the generic gerbe is isomorphic to $B \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we conclude that $\text{ed} A_1 = 2$. $\square$
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