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Abstract

A representation of the quantum toroidal algebra of type $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ is defined on every integrable irreducible highest weight module of the quantum affine algebra of type $\mathfrak{gl}_N$. The $q$-version of the level-rank duality giving the reciprocal decomposition of the $q$-Fock space with respect to mutually commutative actions of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ of level $L$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)$ of level $N$ is described.

§1. Introduction

In this article we continue our study [STU] of representations of the quantum toroidal algebra of type $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ on irreducible integrable highest weight modules of the quantum affine algebra of type $\mathfrak{gl}_N$. The quantum toroidal algebra $\hat{U}$ was introduced in [GKV] and [VV1]. The definition of $\hat{U}$ is given in Section 5.2. This algebra is a two-parameter deformation of the enveloping algebra of the universal central extension of the double-loop Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_N[x^\pm 1, y^\pm 1]$. To our knowledge, no general results on the representation theory of $\hat{U}$ are available at the present. It therefore appears to be desirable, as a preliminary step towards a development of a general theory, to obtain concrete examples of representations of $\hat{U}$.

The main reason why representations of central extensions of the double-loop Lie algebra, and of their deformations such as $\hat{U}$, are deemed to be a worthwhile
topic to study, is that one expects applications to higher-dimensional exactly solvable field theories. Our motivation to study such representations comes, however, from a different source. We were led to this topic while trying to understand the meaning of the level 0 action of the quantum affine algebra \( U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N}) \) which was defined in [TU], based on the earlier work [JKKMP], on each level 1 irreducible integrable highest weight module of the algebra \( U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}l}_N) \). These level 0 actions appear as the \( q \)-analogues of the Yangian actions on level 1 irreducible integrable modules of \( \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N} \) discovered in [HHTBP, Sch].

Let us recall here, following [STU] and [VV2], the connection between the level 0 actions and the quantum toroidal algebra \( \check{U} \). It is known [GKV] (see also Section 5.2) that \( \check{U} \) contains two subalgebras \( U_h \) and \( U_v \) such that there are algebra homomorphisms \( U'_h(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N}) \to U_h \) and \( U'_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N}) \to U_v \). As a consequence, every module of \( \check{U} \) admits two actions of \( U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N}) \): the horizontal action obtained through the first of the above homomorphisms, and the vertical action obtained through the second one. It was shown in [STU] and [VV2], that on each level 1 irreducible integrable highest weight module of \( U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}l}_N) \) there is an action of \( \check{U} \), such that the horizontal action coincides with the standard level 1 action of \( U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}l}_N) \subset U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}l}_N) \) and the vertical action coincides with the level 0 action defined in [TU]. The aim of the present article is to extend this result to higher level irreducible integrable highest weight modules of \( U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}l}_N) \).}

The algebra \( U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}l}_N) \) is, by definition, the tensor product of algebras \( H \otimes U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N}) \), where \( H \) is the Heisenberg algebra (see Section 4.3). Let \( \Lambda \) be a level \( L \) dominant integral weight of \( U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N}) \), and let \( V(\Lambda) \) be the irreducible integrable \( U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N}) \)-module of the highest weight \( \Lambda \). As the main result of this article we define an action of \( \check{U} \) on the irreducible \( U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}l}_N) \)-module

\[
(1.1) \quad \check{V}(\Lambda) \, = \, \mathbb{K}[H-] \otimes V(\Lambda),
\]

where \( \mathbb{K}[H-] \) is the Fock representation (see Section 4.4) of \( H \). The corresponding horizontal action of \( U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N}) \) is just the standard, level \( L \), action on the second tensor factor in (1.1). The vertical action of \( U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N}) \) has level zero, this action is a \( q \)-analogue of the Yangian action constructed recently on each irreducible integrable highest weight module of \( \widehat{\mathfrak{g}l}_N \) in [U].

Let us now describe the main elements of our construction of the \( \check{U} \)-action on \( \check{V}(\Lambda) \). To define the \( \check{U} \)-action we introduce a suitable realization of \( \check{V}(\Lambda) \) using the \( q \)-analogue of the classical level-rank duality, due to Frenkel [F1, F2], between the affine Lie algebras \( \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N} \) and \( \widehat{\mathfrak{g}l}_L \). The quantized version of the level-rank duality takes place on the \( q \)-Fock space (we call it, simply, the Fock space hereafter). The Fock space is an integrable, level \( L \), module of the algebra \( U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N}) \). The action of this algebra on the Fock space is centralized by a level \( N \) action of \( U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}l}_L) \), and the resulting action of \( U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N}) \otimes U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}l}_L) \) is centralized by an action of the Heisenberg algebra \( H \).
We give in the present paper a construction of the Fock space in the spirit of seminfinite wedges of \([St, KMS]\). The Fock space defined in \([KMS]\) appears as the special case of our construction when the level \(L\) equals 1. In Theorem 4.10 we describe the irreducible decomposition of the Fock space with respect to the action of \(H \otimes U_q'(\widehat{sl}_N) \otimes U_q'(\widehat{sl}_L)\). This theorem is the \(q\)-analogue of Theorem 1.6 in \([F1]\).

The decomposition shows that for every level \(L\) dominant integral weight \(\Lambda\) the corresponding irreducible \(U_q'(\widehat{gl}_N)\)-module \(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\) is realized as a direct summand of the Fock space, such that the multiplicity space of \(V(\Lambda)\) is a certain level \(N\) irreducible integrable highest weight module of \(U_q'(\widehat{sl}_L)\).

To define the action of the quantum toroidal algebra on \(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\) we proceed very much along the lines of \([STU]\). The starting point is a representation, due to Cherednik \([C2]\), of the toroidal Hecke algebra of type \(\mathfrak{sl}_N\) on the linear space \(K[z_{\bar{1}}, \ldots, z_{\bar{n}}] \otimes (K^L)^{\otimes n}\). Here \(K = \mathbb{Q}(q^{\frac{1}{2L}})\). Applying the Varagnolo-Vasserot duality \([VV1]\) between modules of the toroidal Hecke algebra and modules of \(\hat{U}\), we obtain a representation of \(\hat{U}\) on the \(q\)-wedge product \(\wedge^* V_{aff}\), where \(V_{aff} = K[z_{\bar{1}}] \otimes K^N \otimes K^L\). This \(q\)-wedge product (we call it, simply, the wedge product hereafter) is similar to the wedge product of \([KMS]\), and reduces to the latter when \(L = 1\).

The Fock space is defined as an inductive limit (\(n\to\infty\)) of the wedge product \(\wedge^* V_{aff}\). We show that the Fock space inherits the \(\hat{U}\)-action from \(\wedge^* V_{aff}\). As the final step we demonstrate, that the \(\hat{U}\)-action on the Fock space can be restricted on \(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\) provided certain parameters in the \(\hat{U}\)-action are fixed in an appropriate way.

Let us now comment on two issues which we do not deal with in the present paper. The first one is the question of irreducibility of \(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\) as the \(\hat{U}\)-module. Based on analysis of the Yangian limit (see \([U]\)) we expect that \(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\) is irreducible. However we lack a complete proof of this at the present.

The second issue is the decomposition of \(\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)\) with respect to the level 0 vertical action of \(U_q'(\widehat{sl}_N)\). In the Yangian limit this decomposition was performed in \([U]\) for the vacuum highest weight \(\Lambda = t/L\). It is natural to expect, that combinatorially this decomposition will remain unchanged in the \(q\)-deformed situation. In particular, the irreducible components are expected to be parameterized by semi-infinite skew Young diagrams, and the \(U_q'(\widehat{sl}_N)\)-characters of these components are expected to be given by the corresponding skew Schur functions.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 through 4 we deal with the \(q\)-analogue of the level-rank duality, and the associated realization of the integrable irreducible modules of \(U_q'(\widehat{sl}_N)\). Section 2 contains background information on the quantum affine algebras and affine Hecke algebra. In Section 3 we introduce the wedge product, and describe the technically important normal ordering rules for the \(q\)-wedge vectors. In Section 4 we define the Fock space, and, on this space, the action of \(H \otimes U_q'(\widehat{sl}_N) \otimes U_q'(\widehat{sl}_L)\). The decomposition of the Fock space as \(H \otimes U_q'(\widehat{sl}_N) \otimes U_q'(\widehat{sl}_L)\)-module is given in Theorem 4.10.
In Sections 5 and 6 we deal with the quantum toroidal algebra $\mathcal{U}$ and its actions. Section 5 contains basic information on the toroidal Hecke algebra and $\mathcal{U}$. In Section 6 we define actions of $\mathcal{U}$ on the Fock space, and on irreducible integrable highest weight modules of $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_M)$.

§2. Preliminaries

2.1. Preliminaries on the quantum affine algebra. For $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define the following $q$-integers, factorials, and binomials

$$[k]_q = \frac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad [k]_q! = [k]_q[k-1]_q \cdots [1]_q, \quad \frac{[m]_q!}{[m-k]_q! [k]_q!}.$$

The quantum affine algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_M)$ is the unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(q)$ generated by the elements $E_i, F_i, K_i, K_i^{-1}, D (0 \leq i < M)$ subject to the relations:

\begin{align*}
(2.1) & \quad K_iK_j = K_jK_i, \quad DK_i = K_iD, \quad K_iK_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1}K_i = 1, \\
(2.2) & \quad K_iE_j = q^{\delta(i-j)}E_jK_i, \\
(2.3) & \quad K_iF_j = q^{-\delta(i-j)}F_jK_i, \\
(2.4) & \quad [D, E_i] = \delta(i=0)E_i, \quad [D, F_i] = -\delta(i=0)F_i, \\
(2.5) & \quad [E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}}, \\
(2.6) & \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1-a_j \\ k \end{array} \right]_q E_j^{-a_j-k}E_j E_i^{1-a_j-k} = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \\
(2.7) & \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1-a_j \\ k \end{array} \right]_q F_j^{1-a_j-k}F_j E_i^{1-a_j-k} = 0 \quad (i \neq j).
\end{align*}

Here $a_q = 2\delta(i=j) - \delta(i=j+1) - \delta(i=j-1)$, and the indices are extended to all integers modulo $M$. For $P$ a statement, we write $\delta(P) = 1$ if $P$ is true, $\delta(P) = 0$ if otherwise.

$U_q(\mathfrak{g}_M)$ is a Hopf algebra, in this paper we will use two different coproducts $\Delta^+$ and $\Delta^-$ given by

\begin{align*}
(2.8) & \quad \Delta^-(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i, \quad \Delta^-(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i, \\
(2.9) & \quad \Delta^+(E_i) = E_i \otimes K_i + 1 \otimes E_i, \quad \Delta^-(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i, \\
(2.10) & \quad \Delta^+(F_i) = F_i \otimes 1 + K_i^{-1} \otimes F_i, \quad \Delta^-(F_i) = F_i \otimes K_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_i.
\end{align*}
\[ \Delta^+ (D) = D \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D, \quad \Delta^- (D) = D \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D. \]

Denote by \(U_q^+(\widehat{sl}_M)\) the subalgebra of \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_M)\) generated by \(E_i, F_i, K_i, K_i^{-1}, 0 \leq i < M\).

In our notations concerning weights of \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_M)\) we will follow [K]. Thus we denote by \(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_{M-1}\) the fundamental weights, by \(\delta\) the null root, and let \(\alpha_i = 2\Lambda_i - \Lambda_{i+1} - \Lambda_{i-1} + \delta_i\) \(0 \leq i < M\) denote the simple roots. The indices are assumed to be cyclically extended to all integers modulo \(M\). Let \(P_M = \mathbb{Z} \delta \oplus (\oplus \mathbb{Z} \Lambda_i)\) be the set of integral weights.

Let \(K^N\) be the \(N\)-dimensional vector space with basis \(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_N\), and let \(K^L\) be the \(L\)-dimensional vector space with basis \(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_L\). We set \(V_{\text{aff}} = K[z^\pm 1] \otimes K^L \otimes K^N\). \(V_{\text{aff}}\) has basis \(\{z^m e_a v_\varepsilon\} \) where \(m \in \mathbb{Z}\) and \(1 \leq a \leq L; 1 \leq \varepsilon \leq N\). Both algebras \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_N)\) and \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_L)\) act on \(V_{\text{aff}}\). \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_N)\) acts in the following way:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tag{2.12} K_i(z^m e_a v_\varepsilon) &= q^{\delta_i, i-\delta, i+1} z^m e_a v_\varepsilon, \\
\tag{2.13} E_i(z^m e_a v_\varepsilon) &= \delta_i, i+1 z^{m+\delta_i} e_a v_{\varepsilon-1}, \\
\tag{2.14} F_i(z^m e_a v_\varepsilon) &= \delta_i, i z^{m-\delta_i} e_a v_{\varepsilon+1}, \\
\tag{2.15} D(z^m e_a v_\varepsilon) &= mz^m e_a v_\varepsilon;
\end{align*}
\]

where \(0 \leq i < N\), and all indices but \(a\) should be read modulo \(N\).

The action of \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_L)\) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\tag{2.16} K_a(z^m e_b v_\varepsilon) &= q^{\delta_b, L-a+1-\delta_b, L-a} z^m e_b v_\varepsilon, \\
\tag{2.17} E_a(z^m e_b v_\varepsilon) &= \delta_b, L-a z^{m+\delta_a} e_{b+1} v_\varepsilon, \\
\tag{2.18} F_a(z^m e_b v_\varepsilon) &= \delta_b, L-a z^{m-\delta_a} e_{b-1} v_\varepsilon, \\
\tag{2.19} D(z^m e_b v_\varepsilon) &= mz^m e_a v_\varepsilon.
\end{align*}
\]

where \(0 \leq a < N\), and all indices but \(\varepsilon\) are to be read modulo \(L\). Above and in what follows we put a dot over the generators of \(U_q^+(\widehat{sl}_L)\) in order to distinguish them from the generators of \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_N)\). When both \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_N)\) and \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_L)\) act on the same linear space and share a vector \(v\) as their weight vector, we will understand that \(\text{wt}(v)\) is a sum of weights of \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_N)\) and \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_L)\). Thus

\[
\text{wt}(z^m e_a v_\varepsilon) = \Lambda_\varepsilon - \Lambda_{\varepsilon-1} + \Lambda_{L-\varepsilon+1} - \Lambda_{L-\varepsilon} + m(\delta + \check{\delta}).
\]

Here, and from on, we put dots over the fundamental weights, etc. of \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_L)\). Iterating the coproduct \(\Delta^+\) (cf. (2.8–2.11)) \(n - 1\) times we get an action of \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_N)\)
on the tensor product $V_\otimes$. Likewise for $U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}} L)$, but in this case we use the other coproduct $\Delta^-$. 

2.2. Preliminaries on the affine Hecke algebra. The affine Hecke algebra of type $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, $\mathcal{H}_n$, is a unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ generated by elements $T_i^{\pm 1}$, $X_j^{\pm 1}$, $1 \leq i < n$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. These elements satisfy the following relations:

\begin{align}
(2.20) \quad & T_i T_i^{-1} = T_i^{-1} T_i = 1, \quad (T_i + 1)(T_i - q^2) = 0, \\
(2.21) \quad & T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_i, \quad T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad \text{if } |i - j| > 1, \\
(2.22) \quad & X_j X_j^{-1} = X_j^{-1} X_j = 1, \quad X_i X_j = X_j X_i, \\
(2.23) \quad & T_i X_i T_i = q^2 X_{i+1}, \quad T_i X_j = X_j T_i \quad \text{if } j \neq i, i + 1.
\end{align}

The subalgebra $\mathcal{H}_n \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ generated by the elements $T_i^{\pm 1}$ alone is known to be isomorphic to the finite Hecke algebra of type $\mathfrak{gl}_n$.

Following [GRV], [KMS] we introduce a representation of $\mathcal{H}_n$ on the linear space $(\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{K}[L])^\otimes$. We will identify this space with $\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_n^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}[L])^\otimes$ by the correspondence

\[ z_{m_1}^{e_1} \otimes z_{m_2}^{e_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes z_{m_n}^{e_n} \mapsto z_{1}^{m_1} z_{2}^{m_2} \cdots z_{n}^{m_n} \otimes (e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n). \]

Let $E_{a,b} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{K}[L])$ be the matrix units with respect to the basis $\{e_a\}$, and define the trigonometric $R$-matrix as the following operator on $(\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{K}[L])^\otimes = \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\mp 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}[L])^\otimes$:

\[ R(z_1, z_2) = (q^2 z_1 - z_2) \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq L} E_{a,b} \otimes E_{a,b} + q(z_1 - z_2) \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq L} E_{a,b} \otimes E_{b,a} + z_1(q^2 - 1) \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq L} E_{a,b} \otimes E_{b,a}. \]

Let $s$ be the exchange operator of factors in the tensor square $(\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{K}[L])^\otimes$, and let

\begin{equation}
\hat{T}_{(1,2)} := \frac{z_1 - q^2 z_2}{z_1 - z_2} \cdot \left(1 - s \cdot \frac{R(z_1, z_2)}{q^2 z_1 - z_2}\right) - 1.
\end{equation}

The operator $\hat{T}_{(1,2)}$ is known as the matrix Demazure-Lusztig operator (cf. [C2]), note that it is an element of $\text{End}(\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{K}[L])^\otimes$ despite the presence of the denominators

\begin{equation}
\hat{T}_i := 1^{\otimes (i-1)} \otimes \hat{T}_{(i,i+1)} \otimes 1^{\otimes (n-i-1)} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{K}[L])^\otimes.
\end{equation}
Proposition 2.1 ([C2], [GRV], [KMS]). The map

\[ X_j \mapsto z_j, \quad T_i \mapsto \hat{T}_i \]

where \( z_j \) stands for the multiplication by \( z_j \), extends to a right representation of \( \hat{H}_n \) on \( (\mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes n} \).

Following [J] we define a left action of the finite Hecke algebra \( H_n \) on \( (\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes n} \) by

\[ (2.27) \quad T_i \mapsto \hat{T}_i := 1^{\otimes (i-1)} \otimes \hat{T} \otimes 1^{\otimes (n-i-1)}, \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{T} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes 2}, \]

\[ (2.28) \quad \hat{T}(v_{t_1} \otimes v_{t_2}) = \begin{cases} q^2 v_{t_1} \otimes v_{t_2} & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2, \\ q v_{t_2} \otimes v_{t_1} & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2, \\ q v_{t_2} \otimes v_{t_1} + (q^2 - 1)v_{t_1} \otimes v_{t_2} & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2. \end{cases} \]

§3. The Wedge Product

3.1. Definition of the wedge product. Identify the tensor product \( V_{\text{aff}}^{\otimes n} \) with \( (\mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes n} \otimes (\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes n} \) by the natural isomorphism

\[ z^{m_1} e_{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes z^{m_n} e_{s_n} \longrightarrow (z^{m_1} e_{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes z^{m_n} e_{s_n}) \otimes (v_{t_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{t_n}). \]

Then the operators \( \hat{T}_i \) and \( \hat{T}_i \) are extended on \( V_{\text{aff}}^{\otimes n} \) as \( \hat{T}_i \otimes 1 \) and \( 1 \otimes \hat{T}_i \) respectively. In what follows we will keep the same symbol \( \hat{T}_i \) to mean \( \hat{T}_i \otimes 1 \), and likewise for \( \hat{T}_i \).

We define the \( n \)-fold \( q \)-wedge product (or, simply, the wedge product) \( \wedge^n V_{\text{aff}} \) as the following quotient space:

\[ \wedge^n V_{\text{aff}} := V_{\text{aff}}^{\otimes n} / \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \text{Im}(\hat{T}_i - \hat{T}_i). \]

Note that under the specialization \( q = 1 \) the operator \( \hat{T} \) (2.24) tends to minus the permutation operator of the tensor square \( (\mathbb{Q}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{Q}^L)^{\otimes 2} \), while the operator \( \hat{T} \) (2.28) tends to plus the permutation operator of the tensor square \( (\mathbb{Q}^N)^{\otimes 2} \), so that (3.1) is a \( q \)-analogue of the standard exterior product.

Remark. The wedge product is the dual, in the sense of Chari–Pressley [CP] (see also [C1]) of the \( H_n \)-module \( (\mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes n} \); there is an evident isomorphism of linear spaces

\[ \wedge^n V_{\text{aff}} \cong (\mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes n} \otimes_{H_n} (\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes n}. \]

For \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) define \( B_{m}^{(n)} \in \text{End}(V_{\text{aff}}^{\otimes n}) \) as
In Section 2.1 mutually commutative actions of the quantum affine algebras \( U_q(\widehat{sl}_N) \) and \( U_q(\widehat{sl}_L) \) were defined on \( V_{aff} \). The operators \( B^{(a)}_m \) obviously commute with these actions.

The following proposition is easily deduced from the results of [CP], [GRV], [KMS].

**Proposition 3.1.** For each \( i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \) the subspace \( \text{Im}(\hat{T}_i - \hat{T}_{i+1}) \subseteq V_{aff}^{\otimes \otimes} \) is invariant with respect to \( U_q(\widehat{sl}_N) \) and \( B^{(a)}_m \) \( (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}) \). Therefore actions of \( U_q(\widehat{sl}_N) \), \( U_q(\widehat{sl}_L) \) and \( B^{(a)}_m \) \( (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}) \) on the wedge product \( \wedge^n V_{aff} \).

It is clear that the actions of \( U_q(\widehat{sl}_N) \subseteq U_q(\widehat{sl}_L) \subseteq U_q(\widehat{sl}_L) \) and \( B^{(a)}_m \) \( (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}) \) on the wedge product are mutually commutative.

### 3.2. Wedges and normally ordered wedges

In the following discussion it will be convenient to relabel elements of the basis \( \{z^m e_a v_k\} \) of \( V_{aff} \) by single integer. We put
\[
k^a = -N(a + Lm)
\]
and denote \( u_k = z^m e_a v_k \). Then the set \( \{u_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \) is a basis of \( V_{aff} \). Let
\[
\begin{align*}
(3.3) & \quad u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{k_n}
\end{align*}
\]
be the image of the tensor \( u_{k_1} \otimes u_{k_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{k_n} \) under the quotient map from \( V_{aff}^{\otimes \otimes} \) to \( \wedge^n V_{aff} \). We will call a vector of the form \( (3.3) \) a wedge and will say that a wedge is **normally ordered** if \( k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_n \). When \( q \) is specialized to 1, a wedge is antisymmetric with respect to a permutation of any pair of indices \( k_i, k_j \), and the normally ordered wedges form a basis of \( \wedge^n V_{aff} \). In the general situation — when \( q \) is a parameter — the normally ordered wedges still form a basis of \( \wedge^n V_{aff} \). However the antisymmetry is replaced by a more complicated **normal ordering rule** which allows to express any wedge as a linear combination of normally ordered wedges.

Let us start with the case of the two-fold wedge product \( \wedge^2 V_{aff} \). The explicit expressions for the operators \( \hat{T}_i \) and \( \hat{T}_{i+1} \) lead for all \( k \leq i \) to the normal ordering rule of the form
\[
(3.4) \quad u_k \wedge u_i = c_{k|}(q) u_i \wedge u_k + (q^2 - 1) \sum_{j > 1, j > k} c_{kj}(q) u_{i+j} \wedge u_{k+1},
\]
were \( c_{k|}(q), c_{kj}(q) \) are Laurent polynomials in \( q \). In particular \( c_{kk}(q) = -1 \), and thus \( u_k \wedge u_k = 0 \). To describe all the coefficients in \( (3.4) \), we will employ a vector notation. For all \( a, a_1, a_2 = 1, \ldots, L; \varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = 1, \ldots, N; m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \) define the following column vectors:
Moreover let

\[(3.8)\quad X_{a_1}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m_1, m_2)' = X_{a_1}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m_1, m_2)'' = X_{a_1}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m_1, m_2) \quad \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2,\]

\[(3.9)\quad Y_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m_1, m_2)' = Y_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m_1, m_2)'' = Y_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m_1, m_2) \quad \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2,\]

\[(3.10)\quad Z_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m_1, m_2)' = Z_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m_1, m_2)'' = Z_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m_1, m_2) \quad \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2.\]

And

\[(3.11)\quad X_{a_1}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m, m)' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u_{e_2 - N(a + Lm)} \end{pmatrix},\]

\[(3.12)\quad Y_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m, m)' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u_{e - N(a_2 + Lm)} \end{pmatrix},\]

\[(3.13)\quad Z_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m, m)' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u_{e_2 - N(a_2 + Lm)} \end{pmatrix}.\]

\[(3.14)\quad X_{a_1}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m, m)'' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u_{e_1 - N(a + Lm)} \end{pmatrix},\]

\[(3.15)\quad Y_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m, m)'' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u_{e - N(a_2 + Lm)} \end{pmatrix},\]

\[(3.16)\quad Z_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(m, m)'' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u_{e_1 - N(a_1 + Lm)} \end{pmatrix}.\]
For $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ introduce also the matrices:

\begin{align*}
(3.17) \quad M_x &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q \\ -q & q^2 - 1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad M_x(t) = (q^2 - 1) \begin{pmatrix} q^{2t-2} & -q^{2t-1} \\ -q^{2t-1} & q^{2t} \end{pmatrix} , \\
(3.18) \quad M_y &= \begin{pmatrix} q^{2t-2} - 1 & -q^{2t-1} \\ -q^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad M_y(t) = (q^{2t-2} - 1) \begin{pmatrix} q^{2t} & -q^{2t+1} \\ -q & q^{2t+2} \end{pmatrix} , \\
(3.19) \quad M_z &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -(q - q^{-1}) & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -(q - q^{-1}) & -1 & (q - q^{-1})^2 & (q - q^{-1}) \\ -1 & 0 & (q - q^{-1}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \\
(3.20) \quad M_z(t) &= \frac{q^2 - 1}{q^2 + 1} \times \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} q^{2t} - q^{-2t} & q^{2t-1} + q^{-2t-1} & -(q^{2t+1} + q^{-2t-1}) & -(q^{2t} - q^{-2t}) \\ q^{2t-1} + q^{-2t+1} & q^{2t-2} - q^{-2t+2} & -(q^{2t} - q^{-2t}) & -(q^{2t-1} + q^{-2t+1}) \\ -(q^{2t+1} + q^{-2t-1}) & -(q^{2t} - q^{-2t}) & q^{2t+2} - q^{-2t-2} & q^{2t+1} + q^{-2t-1} \\ -(q^{2t} - q^{-2t}) & -(q^{2t-1} + q^{-2t+1}) & q^{2t+1} + q^{-2t-1} & q^{2t} - q^{-2t} \end{pmatrix} .
\end{align*}

Note that all entries of the matrix $M_z(t)$ are Laurent polynomials in $q$, i.e. the numerators are divisible by $q^2 + 1$.

Computing $\text{Im}(\hat{T} - \hat{T})$ we get the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.2 (Normal ordering rules).** In $\wedge^2 V_{slt}$ there are the following relations:

\begin{align*}
(3.21) \quad &u_{t-N(a + Lm_1)} \wedge u_{t-N(a + Lm_2)} = -u_{t-N(a + Lm_2)} \wedge u_{t-N(a + Lm_1)} \quad (m_1 \geq m_2), \\
(3.22) \quad &X_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} (m_1, m_2) = M_x \cdot X_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} (m_2, m_1) \quad (m_1 \geq m_2; \varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2), \\
&\quad + \sum_{t=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m_1 - m_2}{2} \right\rfloor} M_x(t) \cdot X_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} (m_2 + t, m_1 - t) \quad (m_1 \geq m_2; \varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2), \\
(3.23) \quad &Y_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} (m_1, m_2) = M_y \cdot Y_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} (m_2, m_1) \quad (m_1 \geq m_2; \varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2), \\
&\quad + \sum_{t=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m_1 - m_2}{2} \right\rfloor} M_y(t) \cdot Y_{a_1, a_2}^{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2} (m_2 + t, m_1 - t) \quad (m_1 \geq m_2; \varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2). 
\end{align*}
(3.24) \[ Z_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}^{\rho_1, \rho_2}(m_1, m_2) = M(z) \cdot Z_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}^{\rho_1, \rho_2}(m_2, m_1) \]
\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} M(z(t)) \cdot Z_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}^{\rho_1, \rho_2}(m_2 + t, m_1 - t) \]
\[ (m_1 \geq m_2; \varepsilon_1 \geq \varepsilon_2; \rho_1 > \rho_2). \]

The relations (3.21-3.24) indeed have the form (3.4), in particular, all wedges \( u_k \wedge u_l \) in the left-hand-sides satisfy \( k \leq l \) and all wedges in the right-hand-sides are normally ordered. Note moreover, that every wedge \( u_k \wedge u_l \) such that \( k \leq l \) appears in the left-hand-side of one of the relations. When \( L = 1 \) the normal ordering rules are given by (3.21) and (3.22), these relations coincide with the normal ordering rules of [KMS, eq. (43), (45)].

**Proposition 3.3.**

(i) Any wedge from \( \wedge^* V_{aff} \) is a linear combination of normally ordered wedges with coefficients determined by the normal ordering rules (3.21–3.24) applied in each pair of adjacent factors of \( \wedge^* V_{aff} \).

(ii) Normally ordered wedges form a basis of \( \wedge^* V_{aff} \).

**Proof.** (i) follows directly from the definition of \( \wedge^* V_{aff} \).

(ii) In view of (i) it is enough to prove that normally ordered wedges are linearly independent. This is proved by specialization \( q = 1 \). Let \( w_1, ..., w_m \) be a set of distinct normally ordered wedges in \( \wedge^* V_{aff} \), and let \( t_1, ..., t_m \in V_{aff}^\otimes q \) be the corresponding pure tensors. Assume that

\[ \sum c_{i}(q)w_{j} = 0, \]

where \( c_{1}(q), ..., c_{m}(q) \) are non-zero Laurent polynomials in \( q \). Then

\[ \sum c_{i}(q)t_{j} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \text{Im}(\tilde{T}_{i} - \hat{T}_{i}). \]

Specializing \( q \) to be 1 this gives

\[ \sum c_{j}(1)t_{j} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \text{Im}(P_{i} + 1) \subset \otimes_{q} \tilde{V}_{aff}, \]

where \( \tilde{V}_{aff} = Q[z, z^{-1}] \otimes_{q} Q_{L} \otimes_{q} Q_{N} \), and \( P_{i} \) is the permutation operator for the \( i \)th and \( i + 1 \)th factors in \( \otimes_{q} \tilde{V}_{aff} \). Since each \( t_{j} \) is a tensor of the form \( u_{k_{1}} \otimes u_{k_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{k_{n}} \) where \( k_{1}, k_{2}, ..., k_{n} \) is a decreasing sequence, it follows from (3.27) that \( c_{j}(1) = 0 \) for all \( j \). Therefore each \( c_{j}(q) \) has the form \( (q - 1)c_{j}(q)^{(1)} \) where \( c_{j}(q)^{(1)} \) is a Laurent polynomial in \( q \). Equation (3.25) gives now
Repeating the arguments above we conclude that all $c_j(q)$ are divisible by arbitrarily large powers of $(q-1)$. Therefore all $c_j(q)$ vanish. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $l \leq m$. Then the wedges $u_m \wedge u_{m-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{l+1} \wedge u_l \wedge u_m$ and $u_l \wedge u_{l+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \wedge u_{l-1} \wedge u_l$ are equal to zero.

**Proof.** As particular cases of relations (3.21-3.24) we have for all $k$ and $N \geq 2$

$$u_k \wedge u_k = 0, \quad u_k \wedge u_{k+1} = \begin{cases} -q^{s(k \not \equiv 0 \mod N)}u_{k+1} \wedge u_k & \text{if } N \geq 2, \\ -q^{-1}u_{k+1} \wedge u_k & \text{if } N = 1. \end{cases}$$

The lemma follows by induction from (3.21-3.24). \hfill \Box

§4. The Fock Space

4.1. **Definition of the Fock space.** For each integer $M$ we define the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_M$ as the inductive limit $(n \to \infty)$ of $^nV_{\text{aff}}$, where maps $^nV_{\text{aff}} \to ^{n+1}V_{\text{aff}}$ are given by $v \mapsto v \wedge u_{M-n}$. For $v \in \wedge^nV_{\text{aff}}$ we denote by $v \wedge u_{M-n} \wedge u_{M-n-1} \wedge \cdots$ the image of $v$ with respect to the canonical map from $\wedge^nV_{\text{aff}}$ to $\mathcal{F}_M$. Note that for $v(n) \in \wedge^nV_{\text{aff}}, v(n) \in \wedge^nV_{\text{aff}}$, the equality

$$v(n) \wedge u_{M-n} \wedge u_{M-n-1} \wedge \cdots = v(n) \wedge u_{M-n} \wedge u_{M-n-1} \wedge \cdots$$

holds if and only if there is $s \geq n, r$ such that

$$v(n) \wedge u_{M-r} \wedge u_{M-r-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{M-r+1} = v(n) \wedge u_{M-r} \wedge u_{M-r-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{M-r+1}.$$ 

In particular, $v(n) \wedge u_{M-n} \wedge u_{M-n-1} \wedge \cdots$ vanishes if and only if there is $s \geq n$ such that $v(n) \wedge u_{M-n} \wedge u_{M-n-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{M-n+1}$ is zero.

For a decreasing sequence of integers $(k_1 > k_2 > \cdots)$ such that $k_i = M-i+1$ for $i \geq 1$, we will call the vector $u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \in \mathcal{F}_M$ a (semi-infinite) normally ordered wedge.

**Proposition 4.1.** The normally ordered wedges form a basis of $\mathcal{F}_M$.

**Proof.** For each $w \in \mathcal{F}_M$ there are $n, v \in \wedge^nV_{\text{aff}}$ such that $w = v \wedge u_{M-n} \wedge u_{M-n-1} \wedge \cdots$. By Proposition 3.3 the finite normally ordered wedges form a basis of $\wedge^nV_{\text{aff}}$, therefore $w$ is a linear combination of vectors.
If \( k_n \leq M - n \), then there is \( r > n \) such that \( u_{k_n} \wedge u_{M-n} \wedge u_{M-n-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{M-r+1} \) vanishes by Lemma 3.4. It follows that (4.1) is zero if \( k_n \leq M - n \). Thus the normally ordered wedges span \( \mathcal{F}_M \).

Suppose \( \sum c(k_1, k_2, \ldots) u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots = 0 \), where wedges under the sum are normally ordered and \( c(k_1, k_2, \ldots) \in \mathbb{K} \). Then by definition of the inductive limit there exists \( n \) such that \( \sum c(k_1, k_2, \ldots) u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{k_n} = 0 \). Thus linear independence of semi-infinite normally ordered wedges follows from the linear independence of finite normally ordered wedges.

4.2. The actions of \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \) and \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L) \) on the Fock spaces. Define the vacuum vector of \( \mathcal{F}_M \) as

\[
| M \rangle = u_M \wedge u_{M-1} \wedge \cdots.
\]

Then for each vector \( w \) from \( \mathcal{F}_M \) there is a sufficiently large integer \( m \) such that \( w \) can be represented as

\[
(4.2) \quad w = v \wedge | -NLm \rangle, \quad \text{where } v \in \wedge^{M+NLm} V_{aff}.
\]

For each \( M \in \mathbb{Z} \) we define on \( \mathcal{F}_M \) operators \( E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, D(0 \leq i < N) \) and \( \hat{E}_a, \hat{F}_a, \hat{K}^{a \pm 1}_a, \hat{D}(0 \leq a < L) \) and then show, in Theorem 4.2, that these operators satisfy the defining relations of \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \) and \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L) \) respectively.

As the first step we define actions of these operators on vectors of the form \( | -NLm \rangle \). Let \( \psi = u_{-NLm} \wedge u_{-NLm-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{-NL(m+1)+1} \). We set

\[
(4.3) \quad D | -NLm \rangle = NL \frac{m(1-m)}{2} | -NLm \rangle,
\]

\[
(4.4) \quad K_i | -NLm \rangle = q^{L_i(m=0)} | -NLm \rangle,
\]

\[
(4.5) \quad E_i | -NLm \rangle = 0,
\]

\[
(4.6) \quad F_i | -NLm \rangle = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } i \neq 0, \\
F_0(\psi) \wedge | -NL(m+1) \rangle & \text{if } i = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

And

\[
(4.7) \quad \hat{D} | -NLm \rangle = NL \frac{m(1-m)}{2} | -NLm \rangle,
\]

\[
(4.8) \quad \hat{K}_a | -NLm \rangle = q^{\delta(a=0)} | -NLm \rangle,
\]
\begin{align}
(4.9) & \quad \hat{E}_a \mid -NLm\rangle = 0, \\
(4.10) & \quad \hat{F}_a \mid -NLm\rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \neq 0, \\
q^{-N} \hat{F}_a(v) \wedge \mid -NL(m+1)\rangle & \text{if } a = 0. 
\end{cases}
\end{align}

Then the actions on an arbitrary vector \(w \in \mathcal{F}_M\) are defined by using the presentation \((4.2)\) and the coproducts \((2.8-2.11)\). Thus for \(v \in \wedge^m M V_{\text{aff}}\) and \(w = v \wedge | -NLm\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_M\) we define
\begin{align}
(4.11) & \quad D(w) = D(v) \wedge | -NLm\rangle + v \wedge D | -NLm\rangle, \\
(4.12) & \quad K_i(w) = K_i(v) \wedge K_i | -NLm\rangle, \\
(4.13) & \quad E_i(w) = E_i(v) \wedge K_i | -NLm\rangle, \\
(4.14) & \quad F_i(w) = F_i(v) \wedge | -NLm\rangle + K_i^{-1}(v) \wedge F_i | -NLm\rangle.
\end{align}

And
\begin{align}
(4.15) & \quad \hat{D}(w) = \hat{D}(v) \wedge | -NLm\rangle + v \wedge \hat{D} | -NLm\rangle, \\
(4.16) & \quad \hat{K}_a(w) = \hat{K}_a(v) \wedge \hat{K}_a | -NLm\rangle, \\
(4.17) & \quad \hat{E}_a(w) = \hat{E}_a(v) \wedge | -NLm\rangle, \\
(4.18) & \quad \hat{F}_a(w) = \hat{F}_a(v) \wedge \hat{K}_a^{-1} | -NLm\rangle + v \wedge \hat{F}_a | -NLm\rangle.
\end{align}

It follows from Lemma 3.4 that the operators \(E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, D\) and \(\hat{E}_a, \hat{F}_a, \hat{K}_a^{\pm 1}, \hat{D}\) are well-defined, that is do not depend on a particular choice of the presentation \((4.2)\), and for \(v \in \wedge^n M V_{\text{aff}}, u \in \mathcal{F}_{M-n}\) satisfy the following relations, analogous to the coproduct formulas \((2.8-2.11)\):
\begin{align}
(4.19) & \quad D(v \wedge u) = D(v) \wedge u + v \wedge D(u), \\
(4.20) & \quad K_i(v \wedge u) = K_i(v) \wedge K_i(u), \\
(4.21) & \quad E_i(v \wedge u) = E_i(v) \wedge K_i(u) + v \wedge E_i(u), \\
(4.22) & \quad F_i(v \wedge u) = F_i(v) \wedge u + K_i^{-1}(v) \wedge F_i(u).
\end{align}

And
\begin{align}
(4.23) & \quad \hat{D}(v \wedge u) = \hat{D}(v) \wedge u + v \wedge \hat{D}(u), \\
(4.24) & \quad \hat{K}_a(v \wedge u) = \hat{K}_a(v) \wedge \hat{K}_a(u), \\
(4.25) & \quad \hat{E}_a(v \wedge u) = \hat{E}_a(v) \wedge u + \hat{K}_a(v) \wedge \hat{E}_a(u),
\end{align}
Relations \((4.3, 4.4), (4.11, 4.12)\) and \((4.7, 4.8), (4.15, 4.16)\) define the weight decomposition of the Fock space \(F_M\). We have

\[
\text{wt}(-NLm) = L\Lambda_0 + N\Lambda_0 + NL \frac{m(1-m)}{2} (\delta + \tilde{\delta}),
\]
and for \(v \in \bigwedge^{M-NLm} V_{aff}\)

\[
\text{wt}(v \wedge -NLm) = \text{wt}(v) + \text{wt}(-NLm).
\]

**Theorem 4.2.**

(i) The operators \(E_i, F_i, K_i, D\) \((0 \leq i < N)\) define on \(F_M\) a structure of an integrable \(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)\)-module. And the operators \(\hat{E}_a, \hat{F}_a, \hat{K}_a, \hat{D}\) define on \(F_M\) a structure of an integrable \(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)\)-module.

(ii) The actions of the subalgebras \(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \subset U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)\) and \(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L) \subset U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)\) on \(F_M\) are mutually commutative.

**Proof.** (i) It is straightforward to verify that the relations (2.1–2.4) are satisfied. In particular, the weights of \(E_i, F_i\) and \(\hat{E}_a, \hat{F}_a\) are \(\alpha_i, -\alpha_i\) and \(\tilde{\alpha}_a, -\tilde{\alpha}_a\) respectively. To prove the relations

\[
[E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{E}_a, \hat{F}_b] = \delta_{ab} \frac{\hat{K}_a - \hat{K}_a^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}
\]

it is enough, by (4.12–4.14) and (4.16–4.18), to show that these relations hold when applied to a vacuum vector of the form \(-NLm\). If \(i \neq j, a \neq b\) we have

\[
[E_i, F_j] | -NLm\rangle = 0, \quad \hat{E}_a, \hat{F}_b \mid -NLm\rangle = 0
\]

because \(\alpha_i - \alpha_j + \text{wt}(\mid -NLm\rangle) (i \neq j)\) and \(\tilde{\alpha}_a - \tilde{\alpha}_b + \text{wt}(\mid -NLm\rangle) (a \neq b)\) are not weights of \(F_{-NLm}\). The relations

\[
[E_i, F_i] \mid -NLm\rangle = \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \mid -NLm\rangle
\]

\[
[\hat{E}_a, \hat{F}_a] \mid -NLm\rangle = \frac{\hat{K}_a - \hat{K}_a^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \mid -NLm\rangle
\]

evidently hold by (4.4–4.6), (4.8–4.10) when \(i \neq 0, a \neq 0\). Let \(a = 0\). We have

\[
\hat{F}_0 \mid -NLm\rangle = q^{-N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} q^i u_{N-N(1+Lm)} \wedge u_{N-i-N(1+Lm)} \wedge \cdots
\]

\[
\cdots \wedge u_{i-N(L+L(m-1))} \wedge \cdots u_{1-N(1+Lm)} \wedge | N - N(2+Lm)\rangle.
\]
Then by Lemma 3.4
\[ \hat{E}_0 \hat{F}_0 \mid -NLm \rangle = q^{1-N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} q^{2(i-1)} \mid -NLm \rangle = \frac{q^N - q^{-N}}{q - q^{-1}} \mid -NLm \rangle. \]
This shows the relation (4.31) for \( a = 0 \). The relation (4.30) for \( i = 0 \) is shown in a similar way.

Thus \( E_i, F_i, K_i, D \) and \( \hat{E}_a, \hat{F}_a, \hat{K}_a, \hat{D} \) satisfy the defining relations (2.1–2.5). Observe that for \( i = 0, \ldots, N-1; a = 0, \ldots, L-1 \) and \( \mu \in P_N + P_L, \mu + r\alpha_i, \mu + n\hat{\alpha}_a \) are weights of \( \mathcal{F}_M \) for only a finite number of \( r \) and \( n \). Therefore \( \mathcal{F}_M \) is an integrable module of \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \langle E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1} \rangle \) and \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_a = \langle \hat{E}_a, \hat{F}_a, \hat{K}_a^{\pm 1} \rangle \). By Proposition B.1 of [KMPY] this implies that the Serre relations (2.6, 2.7) are satisfied.

Eigenspaces of the operator \( D \) and eigenspaces of the operator \( \hat{D} \) are finite-dimensional. Therefore the integrability with respect to each \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_i \) and \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_a \) implies the integrability of \( \mathcal{F}_M \) as both \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \)-module and \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L) \)-module.

(ii) The Cartan part of \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \) evidently commutes with \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L) \), and vice-versa. By (4.12–4.14) and (4.16–4.18) it is enough to prove that commutators between the other generators vanish when applied to a vector of the form \( \mid -NLm \rangle \). The relation
\[ [E_i, \hat{E}_a] \mid -NLm \rangle = 0 \]
is trivially satisfied by (4.5, 4.9). The relations
\[ [F_i, \hat{E}_a] \mid -NLm \rangle = 0, \quad [E_i, \hat{F}_a] \mid -NLm \rangle = 0 \]
hold because \( \hat{\alpha}_a - \alpha_i + \text{wt}(\mid -NLm \rangle) \) and \( \alpha_i - \hat{\alpha}_a + \text{wt}(\mid -NLm \rangle) \) are not weights of \( \mathcal{F}_{-NLm} \). The relations
\[ [F_i, \hat{F}_a] \mid -NLm \rangle = 0 \]
are trivial by (4.6, 4.10) when \( i \neq 0, a \neq 0 \); and are verified by using the normal ordering rules (3.21–3.24) and Lemma 3.4 in the rest of the cases.

4.3. The actions of Bosons. We will now define actions of operators \( B_n \ (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}) \) (called bosons) on \( \mathcal{F}_M \). Let \( u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \ (k_i = M - i + 1 \text{ for } i > 1) \) be a vector of \( \mathcal{F}_M \). By Lemma 3.4, for \( n \neq 0 \) the sum
contains only a finite number of non-zero terms, and is, therefore, a vector of $\mathcal{F}_M$. By Proposition 3.1 the assignment $u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \mapsto (4.32)$ defines an operator on $\mathcal{F}_M$. We denote this operator $B_n$. By definition we have for $v \in V_{\text{aff}}, u \in \mathcal{F}_{M-1}$:

\begin{equation}
B_n(v \wedge u) = (x^a v) \wedge u + v \wedge B_n(u).
\end{equation}

**Proposition 4.3.** For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ the operator $B_n$ commutes with the actions of $U'_\zeta(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ and $U'_\zeta(\mathfrak{sl}_L)$.

**Proof.** It follows immediately from the definition, that the weight of $B_n$ is $n(\delta + \hat{\delta})$. Thus $B_n$ commutes with $K_i, \hat{K}_i$ ($0 \leq i < N, 0 \leq a < L$).

Let $X$ be any of the operators $E_i, F_i, \hat{E}_a, \hat{F}_a$ ($0 \leq i < N, 0 \leq a < L$). The relations (4.21, 4.22), (4.25, 4.26) and (4.33) imply now that $[B_n, X] = 0$ will follow from $[B_n, X] | -NLm \rangle = 0$ for an arbitrary integer $m$.

If $n > 0$, we have $[B_n, X] | -NLm \rangle = 0$ because $n(\delta + \hat{\delta}) \pm \alpha_i + \text{wt}( | -NLm \rangle)$ and $n(\delta + \hat{\delta}) \pm \hat{\alpha}_a + \text{wt}( | -NLm \rangle)$ are not weights of $\mathcal{F}_{-NLm}$.

Let $n < 0$. Consider the expansion

\begin{equation}
[B_n, X] | -NLm \rangle = \sum_v c_v u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots
\end{equation}

where the wedges in the right-hand-side are normally ordered. Comparing the weights of the both sides, we obtain for all $v$ the inequality $k_i > -NLm$. For $r \geq 0$ (4.21, 4.22), (4.25, 4.26) and (4.33) give

\begin{equation}
[B_n, X] | -NLm \rangle = u_{-NLm} \wedge u_{-NLm-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{-NL(m+r)-1} \wedge [B_n, X] | -NL(m+r) \rangle
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
[B_n, X] | -NL(m+r) \rangle = \sum_v c_v u_{k_1}^{NLr} \wedge u_{k_2}^{NLr} \wedge \cdots.
\end{equation}

Now let $r$ be sufficiently large, so that

\begin{equation}
k_i - NLr \leq -NLm
\end{equation}
holds for all \( \nu \). By Lemma 3.4, the last inequality and \( k \delta - NL r > - NL (m + r) \) imply that (4.34) vanishes.

**Proposition 4.4.** There are non-zero \( \gamma_n(q) \in \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}] \) (independent on \( M \)) such that

\[
[B_n, B_n] = \delta_{n+n'} \gamma_n(q).
\]

**Proof.** Each vector of \( \mathcal{F}_M(M' \in \mathbb{Z}) \) is of the form \( v \wedge M \rangle \) where \( v \in \wedge^k V_{\text{aff}} \), and \( k = M' - M \) is sufficiently large. By (4.33) we have

\[
[B_n, B_n] (v \wedge M) \rangle = v \wedge [B_n, B_n] M \rangle.
\]

The vector \( [B_n, B_n] M \rangle \) vanishes if \( n + n' > 0 \) because in this case \( \text{wt}(M) + (n + n') (\delta + \delta) \) is not a weight of \( \mathcal{F}_M \).

Let \( n + n' < 0 \). Write \( [B_n, B_n] M \rangle \) as the linear combination of normally ordered wedges:

\[
[B_n, B_n] M \rangle = \sum c_v u_{k_1^v} \wedge u_{k_2^v} \wedge \ldots.
\]

Since \( [B_n, B_n] M \rangle \) is of the weight \( \text{wt}(M) + (n + n') (\delta + \delta) \) with \( n + n' < 0 \), we necessarily have \( k > M \). For any \( s > 0 \) eq. (4.33) gives

\[
[B_n, B_n] M \rangle = u_M \wedge u_{M-1} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{M-NL s} \wedge [B_n, B_n] M \rangle - N L s \rangle,
\]

where

\[
[B_n, B_n] M - N L s \rangle = \sum c_v u_{k_1^v - N L s} \wedge u_{k_2^v - N L s} \wedge \ldots.
\]

Taking \( s \) sufficiently large so that \( M - k \delta + N L s > 0 \) holds for all \( \nu \) above, we have for all \( \nu \) the inequalities

\[
k \delta - N L s - (M - N L s) > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad M - (k \delta - N L s) > 0.
\]

Lemma 3.4 now shows that (4.36) is zero.

Let now \( n + n' = 0 \). The vector \( [B_n, B_n] M \rangle \) has weight \( \text{wt}(M) \). The weight subspace of this weight is one-dimensional, so we have \( [B_n, B_n] M \rangle = \gamma_n M \rangle \) for \( \gamma_n M \rangle \in \mathbb{K} \). Since \( [B_n, B_n] M \rangle = u_M \wedge [B_n, B_n] M \rangle - 1 \rangle \), \( \gamma_n M \rangle \) is independent on \( M \).

The coefficients \( c_{\delta_1} (q) \), \( c_{\delta_2} (q) \) in the normal ordering rules (3.4) are Laurent polynomials in \( q \), hence so are \( \gamma_n(q) \). Specializing to \( q = 1 \) we have \( \gamma_n (1) = n N L \). Thus all \( \gamma_n (q) \) \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \) are non-zero. \( \square \)
Proposition 4.5. If $N = 1$ or $L = 1$ or $n = 1, 2$, we have for $\gamma_n(q)$ the following formula:

$$\gamma_n(q) = n \frac{1 - q^{2Nn}}{1 - q^{2n}} \frac{1 - q^{-2Ln}}{1 - q^{-2n}}.$$  

Proof. The $L = 1$ case is due to [KMS], and the formula for $N = 1$ is obtained from the formula for $L = 1$ by comparing the normal ordering rules (3.22) and (3.23). The $n = 1, 2$ case is shown by a direct but lengthy calculation. (First act with $B_{-n}$ on the vacuum vector, express all terms as linear combinations of the normally ordered wedges, then act with $B_n$ and, again, rewrite the result in terms of the normally ordered wedges to get the coefficient $\gamma_n(q)$.)

Conjecture 4.6. The formula (4.37) is valid for all positive integers $N, L, n$. Let $H$ be the Heisenberg algebra generated by $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \neq 0}$ with the defining relations $[B_n, B_m] = \delta_n + m \gamma_n(q)$. Summarizing this and the previous sections, we have constructed on each Fock space $\mathcal{F}_M$ an action of the algebra $H \otimes U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \otimes U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)$. Note that the action of $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ has level $L$ and the action of $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)$ has level $N$.

4.4. The decomposition of the Fock space. Let $P^+_N$ and $P^+_N(L)$ be respectively the set of dominant integral weights of $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ and the subset of dominant integral weights of level $L \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$P^+_N = \{a_0 \Lambda_0 + a_1 \Lambda_1 + \cdots + a_{N-1} \Lambda_{N-1} \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\},$$  

$$P^+_N(L) = \{a_0 \Lambda_0 + a_1 \Lambda_1 + \cdots + a_{N-1} \Lambda_{N-1} \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \sum a_i = L\}.$$  

For $\Lambda \in P^+_N$ let $V(\Lambda)$ be the irreducible integrable highest weight module of $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$, and let $v_\Lambda \in V(\Lambda)$ be the highest weight vector.

Let $\bar{\Lambda}_1, \bar{\Lambda}_2, \ldots, \bar{\Lambda}_{N-1}$ be the fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$, and let $\alpha_i = 2\bar{\Lambda}_i - \bar{\Lambda}_{i+1} - \bar{\Lambda}_{i-1}, 1 \leq i < N$ be the simple roots. Here the indices are cyclically extended to all integers modulo $N$, and $\bar{\Lambda}_0 = 0$. Let $Q_N = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$ be the root lattice of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$. For an $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$-weight $\Lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i \bar{\Lambda}_i$ we will set $\bar{\Lambda} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i \bar{\Lambda}_i$.

A vector $w \in \mathcal{F}_M$ is a highest weight vector of $H \otimes U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \otimes U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)$ if it is a highest weight vector with respect to $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ and $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)$ and is annihilated by $B_n$ with $n > 0$. We will now describe a family of highest weight vectors.

With every $\Lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i \bar{\Lambda}_i \in P^+_N(L)$, such that $\bar{\Lambda} = \bar{\Lambda}_M$ mod $Q_N$, we associate $\bar{\Lambda}^{(M)} \in P^+_N(N)$ (i.e. $\bar{\Lambda}^{(M)}$ is a dominant integral weight of $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)$ of level $N$) as follows. Let $M \equiv s \mod NL (0 \leq s < NL)$, and let $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \ldots \geq l_N$ be the partition defined by the relations:
Note that all $l_i$ are integers, and that $l_N > 0$. Then we set

\[(4.42) \quad \Lambda^{(\omega)} := \Lambda_{l_1} + \Lambda_{l_2} + \cdots + \Lambda_{l_N}.\]

Recall that the indices of the fundamental weights are cyclically extended to all integers modulo $L$. Consider the Young diagram of $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \cdots \geq l_N$ (Fig. 1). We set the coordinates $(x, \nu)$ of the lowest leftmost square to be $(1, 1)$.

Introduce a numbering of squares of the Young diagram by $1, 2, \ldots, s+NL$ by requiring that the numbers assigned to squares in the bottom row of a pair of any adjacent rows are greater than the numbers assigned to squares in the top row, and that the numbers increase from right to left within each row (cf. the example below). Letting $(x_i, y_i)$ to be the coordinates of the $i$th square, set $k_i = x_i + N(y_i - L - 1) + M - s$. Then $k_i > k_{i+1}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s+NL-1$. Now define

\[(4.43) \quad \phi_{\Lambda} = u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{k_{s+NL}} \wedge | M - s - NL \rangle.\]

Note that $\phi_{\Lambda} \in \mathcal{F}_M$, and $\phi_{\Lambda}$ is a normally ordered wedge.

**Example 4.7.** Let $N = 3$, $L = 2$, and $M = 0$. The set \( \{ \Lambda \in P_\sigma^+(2) \mid \Lambda \equiv 0 \mod \tilde{Q}_3 \} \) contains the two weights: $2\Lambda_0$ and $\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2$ only. The corresponding weights of $U_q^{+}(\widehat{sl}_L)$ and the numbered Young diagrams are shown below.
\[ \Lambda = 2\Lambda_0: \quad \Lambda^0 = 3\Lambda_0 \]
\[ \Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2: \quad \Lambda^0 = \Lambda_0 + 2\Lambda_1 \]

Proposition 4.8. For each \( \Lambda \in \mathbb{P}_N^+(L) \) such that \( \Lambda = \Lambda_M \mod \tilde{Q}_N \), \( \phi_\Lambda \) is a highest weight vector of \( H \otimes \mathcal{U}_q'(\mathfrak{sI}_N) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q'(\mathfrak{sI}_L) \). The \( \mathcal{U}_q'(\mathfrak{sI}_N) \)-weight of \( \phi_\Lambda \) is \( \Lambda \), and the \( \mathcal{U}_q'(\mathfrak{sI}_L) \)-weight of \( \phi_\Lambda \) is \( \Lambda^{(M)} \).

**Proof.** The weights of \( \phi_\Lambda \) are given by (4.27, 4.28). To prove that \( \phi_\Lambda \) is annihilated by \( E_i, E_a \) and \( B_n \) \((n > 0)\) we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.9.** Keeping \( \Lambda \) as in the statement of Proposition 4.8, define the decreasing sequence \( k_1, k_2, \ldots \) from \( \phi_\Lambda = u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \).

(4.44) \[ u_{k_i} \wedge u_{k_m} = \sum_{\gamma} c_{\alpha, k_\gamma} u_\alpha \wedge u_{k_\gamma} \text{ where } \alpha > k_\gamma \geq k_i. \]

**Proof.** Define \( \varepsilon_{k_i}, a_{k_i}, m_{k_i} \) \((1 \leq k_i \leq N, 1 \leq a_{k_i} \leq L, m_{k_i} \in \mathbb{Z})\) by \( k_i = \varepsilon_{k_i} - N(a_{k_i} + Lm_{k_i}) \). Using the normal ordering rules, we have

(4.45) \[ u_{k_i} \wedge u_{k_m} = \sum c_{\alpha, \beta} u_\alpha \wedge u_\beta, \]

where \( k_m \geq \alpha \geq \beta \geq k_i \) and \( \alpha = \varepsilon_{k_i} - N(a_{k_i} + Lm_\alpha), \beta = \varepsilon_{k_j} - N(a_{k_j} + Lm_\beta), i, j, i', j' \in \{l, m\}, i \neq j, i' \neq j', m_\alpha, m_\beta \in \mathbb{Z} \). From the explicit expression for \( \phi_\Lambda \) (cf. 4.43) it follows that there is at most one integer \( \gamma \) such that \( \gamma = \varepsilon_{k_i} - N(a_{k_i} + Lm_\gamma) \) \((i, i' \in \{k, l\}, m_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}, k_i < \gamma < k_m \) and \( \gamma \neq k_i \). Moreover, if the integer \( \gamma \) exists, then \( a_i \neq a_m, \varepsilon_i \geq \varepsilon_m \) and \( \gamma = \varepsilon_{k_i} - N(a_{k_i} + Lm_{k_i} + \delta(a_{k_i} < a_{k_m})) \). Note that \( \gamma \) is the maximal element of the set \( \{ \gamma' | \gamma' = \varepsilon_{k_i} - N(a_{k_i} + Lm_{\gamma'}), i, i' \in \{k, l\}, m_{\gamma'} \in \mathbb{Z}, k_i < \gamma' < k_m \} \). If the \( \gamma \) exists, then \( \beta = k_i \) for some \( i' \) such that \( k_i \geq k_i \), and the lemma follows.

Now we continue the proof of Proposition 4.8. From the definition of \( \phi_\Lambda \) it follows that \( E_i \phi_\Lambda, E_a \phi_\Lambda \) and \( B_n \phi_\Lambda \) \((n \geq 0)\) are linear combinations of vectors of the form

(4.46) \[ u_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{k_{i-1}} \wedge u_{k_j} \wedge u_{k_{i+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{k_l} \wedge \cdots \]

Applying Lemma 4.9 repeatedly, we conclude that vectors (4.46) are all zero.

Let \( K[\mathfrak{H}_-] \) be the Fock module of \( H \). That is \( K[\mathfrak{H}_-] \) is the \( H \)-module generated by the vector 1 with the defining relations \( B_n 1 = 0 \) for \( n > 0 \).

By Theorem 4.2, \( \mathcal{F}_M \) is an integrable module of \( \mathcal{U}_q'(\mathfrak{sI}_N) \) and \( \mathcal{U}_q'(\mathfrak{sI}_L) \).
Therefore it is semisimple relative to the algebra $H \otimes U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \otimes U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)$. Proposition 4.8 now implies that we have an injective $H \otimes U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \otimes U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)$-linear homomorphism

\[
\bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \pi(L)} \mathbb{K}[H_-] \otimes V(\Lambda) \otimes V(\Lambda^M) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_M
\]

sending $1 \otimes \varphi_A \otimes \varphi_A(\Lambda^M)$ to $\varphi_A$. It is known (cf. [F1] [Theorem 1.6]) that (4.47) specializes to an isomorphism when $q = 1$. The characters of $\mathbb{K}[H_-], V(\Lambda), V(\Lambda^M)$, and $\mathcal{F}_M$ remain unchanged when $q$ is specialized to 1. Therefore (4.47) is an isomorphism. Summarizing, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.10.** There is an isomorphism of $H \otimes U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \otimes U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)$-modules:

\[
\mathcal{F}_M \cong \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \pi(L)} \mathbb{K}[H_-] \otimes V(\Lambda) \otimes V(\Lambda^M).
\]

§5. The Toroidal Hecke Algebra and the Quantum Toroidal Algebra

5.1. Toroidal Hecke algebra. From now on we will work over the base field $\mathbb{Q}(q^{\frac{1}{2n}})$ rather than $\mathbb{Q}(q)$. Until the end of the paper we put $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(q^{\frac{1}{2n}})$. Clearly, all results of the preceding sections hold for this $\mathbb{K}$.

The toroidal Hecke algebra of type $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, $\tilde{H}_n$, [VV1, VV2] is a unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ with the generators $x^\pm, T^\pm, X^\pm, Y^\pm, 1 \leq i < n, 1 \leq j \leq n$. The defining relations involving $T_i^\pm, X_i^\pm$ are those of the affine Hecke algebra (2.20–2.23), and the rest of the relations are as follows:

- the elements $x^\pm$ are central,
- $x^{x^1} = x^{-1} x = 1$,
- $Y_i Y_j^{-1} = Y_j^{-1} Y_i = 1$,
- $Y_i Y_j = Y_j Y_i$ if $j \neq i, i + 1$,
- $T_i^{-1} Y_i T_i = q^{-2} Y_{i+1}$,
- $(X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n) Y_1 = x Y_1 (X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n)$,
- $X_2 Y_1^{-1} X_2^{-1} Y_1 = q^{-2} T_1^2$.

The subalgebras of $\tilde{H}_n$ generated by $T_i^\pm, X_i^\pm$ and by $T_i^\pm, Y_i^\pm$ are both isomorphic to the affine Hecke algebra $\tilde{H}_n$ (cf. [VV1], [VV2]).

Following [C2] we introduce a representation of the toroidal Hecke algebra on the space $(\mathbb{K}[z^\pm] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes n} = \mathbb{K}[z_1^\pm, \ldots, z_n^\pm] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L$. This representation is an extension of the representation of $\tilde{H}_n = \langle T_j^\pm, X_j \rangle$ described in Section 2.2.

Let $\nu = \sum \nu(a) e_a$, where $e_a = \Lambda_a - \Lambda_{a-1}$, be an integral weight of $\mathfrak{sl}_L (\nu(a) \in \mathbb{Z})$. Define $q^\nu \in \text{End}(\mathbb{K}[z^\pm] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)$ as follows:

\[
q^\nu (z^m e_a) = q^{\nu(a + 1 - a)} z^m e_a.
\]
Here the basis $e_1, ..., e_L$ of $\mathbb{K}^L$ is the same as in Section 2.1. For $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ define $p^D$ as

$$p^D(z^m e_a) = p^m z^m e_a.$$  

For $i=1, 2, ..., n-1$ let $s_i$ be the permutation operator of factors $i$ and $i+1$ in $(\mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes n}$, and let $\hat{T}_i = -q(\hat{T}_i)^{-1}$. Here $\hat{T}_i$ is the generator of the finite Hecke algebra defined in (2.25). For $X \in \text{End}(\mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)$ let

$$(X_i) = 1 \otimes (i-1) \otimes X \otimes 1 \otimes (n-i-1) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes n}.$$ 

For $i=1, 2, ..., n$ define the matrix analogue of the Cherednik-Dunkl operator [C2] as

$$Y_i^{(n)} = \hat{T}_{i-1, i}^{-1} \cdots \hat{T}_{n-1, n}^{-1} s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_1 (p^D)^{-1} \hat{T}_{1, 2} \cdots \hat{T}_{i-1, i}.$$ 

Let $s \in \{0, 1, ..., NL-1\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be defined from $n = s + NLm$. Put $\underline{n} = Nm$.

**Proposition 5.1 ([C2]).** The map

$$T_i \mapsto \hat{T}_i, \quad X_i \mapsto z_i, \quad Y_i \mapsto q^{-\underline{n}} Y_i^{(n)}, \quad x \mapsto p \hat{1}$$

extends to a right representation of $\hat{H}_n$ on $(\mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes n}$.

**Remark.** The normalizing factor $q^{-\underline{n}}$ in the map $Y_i \mapsto q^{-\underline{n}} Y_i^{(n)}$ above clearly can be replaced by any coefficient in $\mathbb{K}$. The adopted choice of this factor makes $q^{-\underline{n}} Y_i^{(n)}$ to behave appropriately (see Proposition 6.3) with respect to increments of $n$ by steps of the value $NL$.

Let $\chi = \sum_{a=1}^L \chi(a) e_a$ be an integral weight of $\mathfrak{sl}_L$. Let $U_q(b_L)^x$ be the non-unital subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)$ generated by the elements

$$\hat{F}_0, \hat{F}_1, ..., \hat{F}_{L-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{K}_a - q^{x(a)-x(a+1)} 1 \quad (a = 1, ..., L-1).$$

We define an action of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)$ on $\mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L$ by the obvious restriction of the action on $\mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L \otimes \mathbb{K}^N$ defined in (2.16–2.18). Iterating the coproduct $\Delta$ given in (2.8–2.10) we obtain an action of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)$ on $(\mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes n}$.

**Proposition 5.2.** Suppose $p = q^{-2L}$, and $\nu = -\chi - 2\rho$, where $\rho = \sum_{a=1}^L \hat{\lambda}_a$. Then the action of the toroidal Hecke algebra on $(\mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes n}$ defined in Proposition 5.1 leaves invariant the subspace $U_q(b_L)^x((\mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes n})$.

**Proof.** It is clear that the multiplication by $z_i$, and hence action of $X_i$ commutes with all generators of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_L)$. From the intertwining property of the $R$-matrix it follows that the operators $\hat{T}_i$ (cf. 2.24) commute with all generators of
U_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_L) as well. With \( p = q^{-2\ell} \), and \( \nu = -\chi - 2\rho \), a direct computation gives

\[
Y_n^{(a)} \hat{F}_a = \left( (q^{x(a)} - x(a+1)} \right) (1 - \hat{K}_a) \hat{K}_a^{-1} (\hat{F}_a)^{(a)} (\hat{K}_a)^n + \hat{F}_a (\hat{K}_a)^n Y_n^{(a)} \quad (a = 1, \ldots, L - 1),
\]

In view of the relation \( \hat{T}_i Y_i^{(n)} \hat{T}_i = q^2 Y_i^{(n)} \), and the commutativity of \( \hat{T}_i \) with the generators of \( U_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_L) \), this shows that for all \( i \) the operators \( Y_i^{(n)} \) leave the image of \( U_{\mathfrak{g}}(b_i)^2 \) invariant.

5.2. The quantum toroidal algebra. Fix an integer \( N \geqslant 3 \). The quantum toroidal algebra of type \( \mathfrak{sl}_N \), \( \hat{U} \), is an associative unital algebra over \( \mathbb{K} \) with generators:

\[
E_{i,k}, \quad F_{i,k}, \quad H_{i,l}, \quad K_i^{\pm 1}, \quad q^{\pm 1}, \quad d^{\pm 1},
\]

where \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \) and \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \). The generators \( q^{\pm 1} \) and \( d^{\pm 1} \) are central. The rest of the defining relations are expressed in terms of the formal series

\[
E_i(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{i,k} z^{-k}, \quad F_i(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{i,k} z^{-k}, \quad K_i^{-1}(z) = K_i^{\pm 1}(z), \quad K_i^{\pm 1}(z) = K_i^{-1}(z) \exp \left( \mp (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{i,k} z^{-k} \right),
\]

as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
(5.3) & \quad K_i K_i^{-1} = K_i^{\pm 1} K_i^{-1} = q^{+1} q^{-1} = q^{-1} q^{+1} = q^{\pm 1} = q = d^{-1} d = 1, \\
(5.4) & \quad K_i^{\pm 1}(z) K_j^{\pm 1}(w) = K_j^{\pm 1}(w) K_i^{\pm 1}(z), \\
(5.5) & \quad \theta_{-a_q} (q^{-1} d m_y z w) K_i^{-1}(z) K_j^{\pm 1}(w) = \theta_{-a_q} (q^{-1} d m_y z w) K_j^{\pm 1}(w) K_i^{-1}(z), \\
(5.6) & \quad K_i^{\pm 1}(z) E_j(w) = \theta_{+a_q} (q^{+1} d m_y z w z) E_j(w) K_i^{\pm 1}(z), \\
(5.7) & \quad K_i^{\pm 1}(z) F_j(w) = \theta_{+a_q} (q^{+1} d m_y z w z) F_j(w) K_i^{\pm 1}(z), \\
(5.8) & \quad [E_i(z), F_j(w)] = \delta_{i,j} \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} \left[ \delta(q^{w z}) K_i^{\mp 1}(q^{+1} w z) - \delta(q^{w z}) K_i^{-1}(q^{+1} z) \right] \\
(5.9) & \quad (d m_y z q^{-a_q w}) E_i(z) E_j(w) = (q a_q d m_y z w) E_j(w) E_i(z), \\
(5.10) & \quad (d m_y z q^{-a_q w}) F_i(z) F_j(w) = (q a_q d m_y z w) F_j(w) F_i(z), \\
(5.11) & \quad \sum_{\theta_{a_q} \in \mathbb{A}_+} \left[ -1 \right]^r \left[ \begin{array}{c} m \\ r \end{array} \right] E_i(\tilde{z}_{a(1)}) \cdots E_i(\tilde{z}_{a(r)}) E_j(\tilde{z}_{a(r+1)}) \cdots E_i(\tilde{z}_{a(m)}) = 0
\end{align*}
\]
\[ (5.12) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in S_\alpha} \sum_{r=0}^{m} (-1)^{r} \left[ \begin{array}{c} m \\ r \end{array} \right] F_{(z_{\sigma(1)})} \cdots F_{(z_{\sigma(r)})} \theta_{m}(w) F_{I} \left( z_{(r+1)} \right) \cdots F_{I} \left( z_{(m)} \right) = 0 \]

where in (5.11) and (5.12) \( i \neq j \) and \( m = 1 - a_{ij} \).

In these defining relations \( \delta(z) = \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{s} z^{s} \), \( \theta_{m}(z) \in \mathbb{K}[[z]] \) is the expansion of \( \frac{z^{m} - 1}{z - q^{m}} \), \( a_{ij} \) are the entries of the Cartan matrix of \( \widehat{\mathfrak{s}l_{N}} \), and \( m_{ij} \) are the entries of the following \( N \times N \)-matrix

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let \( U_{k} \) be the subalgebra of \( \mathring{U} \) generated by the elements \( E_{i,0}, F_{i,0}, K_{i}^{\pm 1} (0 \leq i < N) \). These elements satisfy the defining relations (2.1–2.3) and (2.5–2.7) of \( U_{q} \left( \widehat{\mathfrak{s}l_{N}} \right) \).

Thus the following map extends to a homomorphism of algebras:

\[
(5.13) \quad U_{q} \left( \widehat{\mathfrak{s}l_{N}} \right) \rightarrow U_{k}: E_{i} \mapsto E_{i,0}, \quad F_{i} \mapsto F_{i,0}, \quad K_{i}^{+1} \mapsto K_{i}^{\pm 1}.
\]

Let \( U_{i} \) be the subalgebra of \( \mathring{U} \) generated by the elements \( E_{i,k}, F_{i,k}, H_{i,l}, K_{i}^{\pm 1} (1 \leq i < N; k \in \mathbb{Z}; l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \), and \( q^{\frac{1}{2}}, d^{\pm 1} \). Recall, that apart from the presentation given in Section 2.1, the algebra \( U_{q} \left( \widehat{\mathfrak{s}l_{N}} \right) \) has the “new presentation” due to Drinfeld which is similar to that one of \( \mathring{U} \) above. A proof of the isomorphism between the two presentations is announced in [D] and given in [B]. Let \( E_{i,k}, F_{i,k}, H_{i,l}, K_{i}^{\pm 1}, (1 \leq i < N; k \in \mathbb{Z}; l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \), and \( q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, d^{\pm 1} \) be the generators of \( U_{q} \left( \widehat{\mathfrak{s}l_{N}} \right) \) in the realization of [D]. Comparing this realization of \( U_{q} \left( \widehat{\mathfrak{s}l_{N}} \right) \) with the defining relations of \( \mathring{U} \) one easily sees that the map

\[
(5.14) \quad U_{q} \left( \widehat{\mathfrak{s}l_{N}} \right) \rightarrow U_{i}: E_{i,k} \mapsto d^{k} E_{i,k}, \quad F_{i,k} \mapsto d^{k} F_{i,k}, \quad H_{i,l} \mapsto d^{l} H_{i,l},
\]

\[
K_{i}^{\pm 1} \mapsto K_{i}^{\pm 1}, \quad q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} \mapsto q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}
\]

where \( 1 \leq i < N \), extends to a homomorphism of algebras. Thus each module of \( \mathring{U} \) carries two action of \( U_{q} \left( \widehat{\mathfrak{s}l_{N}} \right) \) obtained by pull-backs through the homomorphisms (5.13) and (5.14). We will say that a module of \( \mathring{U} \) has level \((i_{v}, l_{h})\) provided the action of \( U_{q} \left( \widehat{\mathfrak{s}l_{N}} \right) \) obtained through the homomorphism (5.13) has level \( l_{h} \), and the action of \( U_{q} \left( \widehat{\mathfrak{s}l_{N}} \right) \) obtained through the homomorphism (5.14) has level \( l_{v} \). On such a module the central elements \( q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, d^{\pm 1} \) act as multiplications by \( q^{\pm \frac{1}{2} l_{h}} \), and the element \( K_{0} K_{1} \cdots K_{N-1} \) acts as the multiplication by \( q^{l_{h}} \).
The following proposition, proved in \[VV1\], shows that it is sometimes possible to extend a representation of \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \) to a representation of \( \check{U} \).

**Proposition 5.3.** Let \( W \) be a module of \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \). Suppose that there are \( a, b \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \), and an invertible \( \bar{\phi} \in \text{End}(W) \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
(5.15) & \quad \bar{\phi}^{-1} E_i(z) \bar{\phi} = E_{i-1}(az), \quad \bar{\phi}^{-2} E_i(z) \bar{\phi}^2 = E_{N-1}(bz), \\
(5.16) & \quad \bar{\phi}^{-1} F_i(z) \bar{\phi} = F_{i-1}(az), \quad \bar{\phi}^{-2} F_i(z) \bar{\phi}^2 = F_{N-1}(bz), \\
(5.17) & \quad \bar{\phi}^{-1} K_i^+(z) \bar{\phi} = K_{i+1}^+(az), \quad \bar{\phi}^{-2} K_i^+(z) \bar{\phi}^2 = K_{N-1}^+(bz),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( 2 \leq i < N \). Then \( W \) is a \( \check{U} \)-module with the action given by

\[
X_i(z) = X_i(d'z) \quad (1 \leq i < N), \quad X_0(z) = \bar{\phi}^{-1} X_1(a^{-1} d^{-1} z) \bar{\phi},
\]

\[
d = d_1, \quad q^+ = q^{+i}.\]

where \( d^N = b/a^2 \), and \( X = E, F, K^\pm \).

### 5.3. The Varagnolo-Vasserot duality

We now briefly review, following \[VV1\], the Schur-type duality between the toroidal Hecke algebra \( \check{H}_n \) and the quantum toroidal algebra \( \check{U} \).

Let \( M \) be a right \( \check{H}_n \)-module, such that the central element \( x \) of \( \check{H}_n \) acts as the multiplication by \( x \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \). The algebra \( \check{H}_n \) contains two subalgebras: \( \check{H}^1 \cong \langle T^\pm \rangle \), and \( \check{H} = \langle T^\pm, Y \rangle \) both isomorphic to the affine Hecke algebra \( \check{H}_n \). Therefore the duality functor of Chari-Pressley \[CP\] yields two actions of \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \) on the linear space \( M \otimes \check{H}_n \). Here the action of the finite Hecke algebra \( \check{H}_n \) on \( (\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes n} \). Here the action of the finite Hecke algebra \( \check{H}_n \) on \( (\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes n} \) is given by \((2.27)\), and \( \check{H}_n \) is embedded into \( \check{H}_n \) as the subalgebra generated by \( T^{-1} \).

For \( i, j = 1, \ldots, N \) let \( e_{i,j} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{K}^N) \) be the matrix units with respect to the basis \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_N \) (cf. Section 2.1). For \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1 \) let \( k_i = q^{\nu_i-\nu_{i+1}-1} \), where the indices are cyclically extended modulo \( N \). For \( X \in \text{End}(\mathbb{K}^N) \) we put \( (X)_i = 1 \otimes (i-1) \otimes X \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \).

The functor of \[CP\] applied to \( M \) considered as the \( \check{H}_n \)-module gives the following action of \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N) \) on \( M \otimes \check{H}_n \):
Likewise, application of this functor to $M$ considered as the $\tilde{H}_n$-module gives another action of $U'_q(\widehat{A}_1)$ on $M \otimes_{H_n} (\mathbb{K}^N) \otimes$:

\begin{align}
(5.21) \quad \hat{E}_i(m \otimes v) &= \sum_{j=1}^n m Y_j \delta_{i=0}^{j=0} \otimes (e_{i+1})(k_j)^{j+1}(k_{j+2}) \cdots (k_n)^{n}, \\
(5.22) \quad \hat{F}_i(m \otimes v) &= \sum_{j=1}^n m Y_j \delta_{i=0}^{j=0} \otimes (e_{i+1})_j(k_j)^{-1}(k_j)^{-2} \cdots (k_n)^{-n}, \\
(5.23) \quad \hat{K}_i(m \otimes v) &= m \otimes (k_j)(k_j)^2 \cdots (k_n)^{n}.
\end{align}

Here we put hats over the generators in order to distinguish the actions given by (5.18–5.20) and (5.21–5.23).

Varagnolo and Vasserot have proven, in [VV1], that $M \otimes_{H_n} (\mathbb{K}^N) \otimes$ is a $\hat{U}$-module such that the $U'_q(\widehat{A}_1)$-action (5.18–5.20) is the pull-back through the homomorphism (5.13), and the $U'_q(\widehat{A}_1)$-action (5.21–5.23) is the pull-back through the homomorphism (5.14). Let us recall here the main element of their proof.

Let $\phi$ be the endomorphism of $M \otimes_{H_n} (\mathbb{K}^N) \otimes$ defined by

\begin{equation}
(5.24) \quad \phi: m \otimes v_1 \otimes v_2 \cdots \otimes v_n \mapsto mX_1^{-\delta N_1}X_2^{-\delta N_2} \cdots X_n^{-\delta N_n} \otimes v_{n+1} \otimes v_{n+2} \cdots \otimes v_{n+1},
\end{equation}

where $v_{n+1}$ is identified with $v_1$. Taking into account the defining relations of $\tilde{H}_n$ one can confirm that $\phi$ is well-defined.

Let $\hat{E}_i, \hat{F}_i, \hat{K}_i, \hat{K}_i^{\pm}$ be the generators of the $U'_q(\widehat{A}_1)$-action (5.21–5.23) obtained from $\hat{E}_i, \hat{F}_i, \hat{K}_i^{\pm}$ (0 $\leq i < N$) by the isomorphism between the two realizations of $U'_q(\widehat{A}_1)$ given in [B]. Let $\hat{E}_i(z), \hat{F}_i(z), \hat{K}_i(z)$ be the corresponding generating series.

**Proposition 5.4** ([VV1]). The following relations hold in $M \otimes_{H_n} (\mathbb{K}^N) \otimes$:

\begin{align}
(5.25) \quad \phi^{-1}\hat{E}_i(z)\phi = \hat{E}_{i-1}(q^{-1}z), & \quad \phi^{-1}\hat{E}_1(z)\phi = \hat{E}_{N-1}(x^{-1}q^{N-1}z), \\
(5.26) \quad \phi^{-1}\hat{F}_i(z)\phi = \hat{F}_{i-1}(q^{-1}z), & \quad \phi^{-1}\hat{F}_1(z)\phi = \hat{F}_{N-1}(x^{-1}q^{N-1}z), \\
(5.27) \quad \phi^{-1}\hat{K}_i^{\pm}(z)\phi = \hat{K}_{i-1}^{\pm}(q^{-1}z), & \quad \phi^{-1}\hat{K}_1^{\pm}(z)\phi = \hat{K}_{N-1}^{\pm}(x^{-1}q^{N-1}z).
\end{align}

Here $2 \leq i < N$.

Proposition 5.3 now implies that $M \otimes_{H_n} (\mathbb{K}^N) \otimes$ is a $\hat{U}$-module, in particular, the central element $d$ acts as the multiplication by $x^{-1/N}q$, and the central element $q^{1/e}$ acts as the multiplication by 1.
5.4. The action of the quantum toroidal algebra on the wedge product. In the framework of the preceding section, let $M = (\mathbb{K}[z^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes n}$ be the $\check{H}_n$-module with the action given in Proposition 5.1. In view of the remark made in Section 3.1, the linear space $M \otimes \mathbb{H}_n(\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes n}$ is isomorphic to the wedge product $\wedge^n V_{\text{aff}}$. Therefore, by the Varagnolo-Vasserot duality, $\wedge^n V_{\text{aff}}$ is a module of $\check{U}$. The action of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N})$ given by (5.18–5.20) coincides with the action of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N})$ defined on $\wedge^n V_{\text{aff}}$ in Section 3.1. Following the terminology of [VV2], we will call this action the horizontal action of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N})$ on $\wedge^n V_{\text{aff}}$. The formulas (5.21–5.23) give another action of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N})$ on $\wedge^n V_{\text{aff}}$, we will refer to this action as the vertical action.

Recall, that in Section 3.1 an action of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_L})$, commutative with the horizontal action of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N})$, was defined on $\wedge^n V_{\text{aff}}$. Recall, as well, that for each integral weight $\chi$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_L$ we have defined, in Section 5.1, the subalgebra $U_q(b_L)^z$ of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_L})$. The $\check{H}_n$-module structure defined in Proposition 5.1 depends on two parameters: $\nu$ which is an integral weight of $\mathfrak{sl}_L$, and $p=q^2$. The same parameters thus enter into the $\check{U}$-module structure on $\wedge^n V_{\text{aff}}$.

Proposition 5.5. Suppose $p=q^{-2}$, and $\nu = -\chi - 2\rho$ for an integral $\mathfrak{sl}_L$-weight $\chi$. Then the action of $\check{U}$ on $\wedge^n V_{\text{aff}}$ leaves invariant the linear subspace $U_q(b_L)^z(\wedge^n V_{\text{aff}})$.

Proof. It is not difficult to see, that the subalgebras $U_h$ and $U_v$ generate $\check{U}$ (cf. Lemma 2 in [STU]). Therefore, to prove the proposition, it is enough to show, that both the horizontal and the vertical actions of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N})$ on $\wedge^n V_{\text{aff}}$ leave $U_q(b_L)^z(\wedge^n V_{\text{aff}})$ invariant. However, the horizontal action commutes with the action of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_L})$, while Proposition 5.2 implies that the vertical action leaves $U_q(b_L)^z(\wedge^n V_{\text{aff}})$ invariant.

§6. The Actions of the Quantum Toroidal Algebra on the Fock Spaces and on Irreducible Integrable Highest Weight Modules of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N})$

6.1. A level 0 action of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N})$ on the Fock space. Let $\pi_{(n)}: U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N}) \to \text{End}(\wedge^n V_{\text{aff}})$ be the map defining the vertical action of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N})$ on the wedge product $\wedge^n V_{\text{aff}}$. In accordance with (5.21–5.23), for $f \in (\mathbb{K}[z^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes n}$ and $\nu \in (\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes n}$ we have

\begin{align*}
(6.1) \quad \pi_{(n)}(E_i) \cdot \wedge (f \otimes \nu) &= \wedge \sum_{j=1}^{n} (q^{-n} Y_j^{(i)})^{-\delta(i=0)} f \otimes (e_{L,i-1})_j (k_i)_{j+1} (k_i)_{j+2} \cdots (k_i)_n \nu, \\
(6.2) \quad \pi_{(n)}(F_i) \cdot \wedge (f \otimes \nu)
\end{align*}
\[= \bigwedge^\infty \left( q^{-n} Y^{(n)} \right)^{(i-0)} f \otimes (e_{i+1})_{(k_{i+1}^{-1})} (k_{i}^{-1})_2 \cdots (k_{i}^{-1})_{j-1} v, \]

(6.3) \[\pi_{(n)}(K_i) \cdot \bigwedge (f \otimes v) = \bigwedge f \otimes (k_i)_1 (k_i)_2 \cdots (k_i)_n v,\]

where we denote by \(\bigwedge\) the canonical map from \(V^{\otimes n}_{\text{aff}} = (K[z^\pm 1] \otimes L)^{\otimes n} \otimes (K^N)^{\otimes n}\) to \(\bigwedge^n V\).

In this section, for each \(M \in \mathbb{Z}_r\), we define a level 0 action of \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_N)\) on the Fock space \(\mathcal{F}_M\). Informally, this action arises as the limit \(n \to \infty\) of the vertical action (6.1–6.3) on the wedge product. In parallel with the finite case, the Fock space, thus admits two actions of \(U_q(\widehat{sl}_N)\): the level \(L\) action defined in Section 4.2 as the inductive limit of the horizontal action, and an extra action with level zero.

We start by introducing a grading on \(\mathcal{F}_M\). To facilitate this, we adopt the following notational convention. For each integer \(k\) we define the unique triple \(k, k, k\), where \(k \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}\), \(\bar{k} \in \{1, 2, ..., L\}\), \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\) by

\[k = \bar{k} - N(\bar{k} + Lk).\]

Then (cf. Section 3.2) we have \(u_k = z^k \xi_k v_F\). The Fock space \(\mathcal{F}_M\) has a basis formed by normally ordered semi-infinite wedges \(u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots\) where the decreasing sequence of momenta \(k_1, k_2, \ldots\) satisfies the asymptotic condition \(k_i = M - i + 1\) for \(i \gg 1\). Let \(o_1, o_2, \ldots\) be the sequence of momenta labeling the vacuum vector \(|M\rangle\) of \(\mathcal{F}_M\), i.e.: \(o_i = M - i + 1\) for all \(i \gg 1\). Define the degree of a semi-infinite normally ordered wedge by

(6.4) \[\deg u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots = \sum_{i \gg 1} o_i - k_i.\]

Let \(\mathcal{F}_M^d\) be the homogeneous component of \(\mathcal{F}_M\) of degree \(d\). Clearly, the asymptotic condition \(k_i = M - i + 1(i \gg 1)\) implies that

\[\mathcal{F}_M = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_M^d.\]

Let \(s \in \{0, 1, ..., NL - 1\}\) be defined from \(M \equiv s \text{ mod } NL\). For a non-negative integer \(l\) we define the linear subspace \(V_{M, s+lNL}\) of \(\bigwedge^{s+lNL} V\) by

(6.5) \[V_{M, s+lNL} = \bigoplus_{k_1 \equiv 0, k_1 + \cdots + k_{s+lNL} \equiv s} K u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{k_{s+lNL}} ,\]

where the wedges in the right-hand side are assumed to be normally ordered. For \(s = l = 0\) we put \(V_{M, s+lNL} = K\). The vector space (6.5) has a grading similar to that one of the Fock space. Now the degree of a normally ordered wedge is defined as
Note that this degree is necessarily a non-negative integer since $k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_{s+\text{INL}}$ and $k_{s+\text{INL}} \leq o_{s+\text{INL}}$ imply $k_i \leq o_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s+\text{INL}$. Let $\mathcal{V}_{M,s+\text{INL}}^d$ be the homogeneous component of $\mathcal{V}_{M,s+\text{INL}}$ of degree $d$.

For non-negative integers $d$ and $l$ introduce the following linear map:

\[ (6.7) \quad \varphi_l^d: \mathcal{V}^d_{M,s+\text{INL}} \to \mathcal{F}_d^s: w \mapsto w \wedge \left| M-s-\text{INL} \right> \]

The proof of the following proposition is straightforward (cf. Proposition 16 in [STU], or Proposition 3.3 in [U]).

**Proposition 6.1.** Suppose $l \geq d$. Then $\varphi_l^d$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

In view of this proposition, it is clear that for non-negative integers $d, l, m$, such that $d \leq l < m$, the linear map

\[ (6.8) \quad \varphi_{l,m}^d: \mathcal{V}^d_{M,s+\text{INL}} \to \mathcal{V}^d_{M, s+m\text{INL}}: \]

\[ w \mapsto w \wedge u_{M-s-\text{INL}} \wedge u_{M-s-\text{INL}-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{M-s-m\text{INL}+1} \]

is an isomorphism of vector spaces as well.

Now let us return to the vertical action $\pi_{(s)}^i$ of $U^i(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ on $\wedge^n \mathcal{V}_{\text{aff}}$ given by (6.1–6.3).

**Proposition 6.2.** For each $d = 0, 1, \ldots$ the subspace $\mathcal{V}^d_{M,s+\text{INL}} \subset \wedge^{s+\text{INL}} \mathcal{V}_{\text{aff}}$ is invariant with respect to the action $\pi_{(s+\text{INL})}^i$.

**Proof.** Let $n = s+\text{INL}$, and let us identify $\mathcal{V}_{\text{aff}}^\otimes n$ with $\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_n^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^\otimes n \otimes (\mathbb{K}^N)^\otimes n$ by the isomorphism

\[ z^m e_{a_1} v_{e_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes z^n e_{a_n} v_{e_n} \mapsto z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_n^{m_n} e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_n} v_{e_1} \cdots v_{e_n}. \]

Then $\mathcal{V}_{M,s+\text{INL}}$ is the image, with respect to the quotient map $\wedge: \mathcal{V}_{\text{aff}}^\otimes n \to \wedge^n \mathcal{V}_{\text{aff}}$, of the subspace

\[ (6.9) \quad (z_1 \cdots z_n)^{\otimes n} \mathbb{K}[z_1^{-1}, \ldots, z_n^{-1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^\otimes n \otimes (\mathbb{K}^N)^\otimes n \subset \mathcal{V}_{\text{aff}}^\otimes n \]

while the grading on $\mathcal{V}_{M,s+\text{INL}}$ is induced from the grading of (6.9) by eigenvalues of the operator $D = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \cdots + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$.

The operators $Y_i^{(s)}$ leave $(z_1 \cdots z_n)^{\otimes n} \mathbb{K}[z_1^{-1}, \ldots, z_n^{-1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^\otimes n$ invariant, and
commute with $D$. Now (6.1-6.3) imply the statement of the proposition.

**Proposition 6.3.** Let $0 \leq d \leq 1$, let $n = s + 1\mathbb{N}$, and let $X$ be any of the generators $E_i$, $F_i$, $K_i^{\pm 1}(0 \leq i < N)$ of $U_q(\mathbb{S}\mathcal{T}_N)$. Then the following intertwining relation holds for all $w \in \mathcal{V}_{d,s+1\mathbb{N}}^d$:

\[
(6.10) \quad \pi_{(n+1)(d)}(X) \cdot \varrho^{q}_{d,i+1}(w) = \varrho^{q}_{d,i+1}(\pi_{(n+1)(d)}(X) \cdot w).
\]

Consequently, for $0 \leq d \leq 1 < n$ the map $\varrho^{q}_{d,m}$ defined in (6.8) is an isomorphism of $U_q'(\mathbb{S}\mathcal{T}_N)$-modules.

**Proof.** The proof is based, in particular, on Lemma 6.4, to state which we introduce the following notation. For $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, L\}^n$ let

\[
\zeta_i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{a}) = \frac{m_i}{p} q^{\nu(L+1-a_i)+\mu_i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{a})} \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n),
\]

where $p$, $\nu$ are the parameters of the representation of $\mathbb{H}_L$ introduced in Section 5.1, and $\mu_i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{a}) = - \# \left\{ j < i \mid m_j < m_i, a_j = a_i \right\} + \# \left\{ j < i \mid m_j \geq m_i, a_j = a_i \right\} + \# \left\{ j > i \mid m_j > m_i, a_j = a_i \right\} - \# \left\{ j > i \mid m_j \leq m_i, a_j = a_i \right\}$.

**Lemma 6.4.** For $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ consider the following monomial

\[
f = z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \cdots z_{n+k}^{m_{n+k}} \otimes \epsilon_{a_1} e_{a_2} \cdots e_{a_{n+k}} \in \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_{n+k}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes (n+k)}.
\]

Assume that $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n < m_{n+1} = m_{n+2} = \cdots = m_{n+k} := m$, and that $a_{n+i} \leq a_{n+j}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. For $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, L\}$ put $n(j) = \# \left\{ i \mid a_{n+i} = j, 1 \leq i \leq k \right\}$.

Define the linear subspaces $\mathcal{X}_{n,k}^{m,k}, \mathcal{L}_{n,k}^{m,k} \subset \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_{n+k}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes (n+k)}$ as follows:

\[
\mathcal{X}_{n,k}^{m,k} = \mathbb{K}[z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_{n+k}^{m_{n+k}} \otimes \epsilon_{a_1} e_{a_2} \cdots e_{a_{n+k}}] \otimes \{ m'_i \mid m'_i = m \} < k, \quad m'_i = m_{n+i}, \ldots, m'_n = m_{n+k} = m;
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{n,k}^{m,k} = \mathbb{K}[z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_{n+k}^{m_{n+k}} \otimes \epsilon_{b_1} e_{b_2} \cdots e_{b_{n+k}}] \otimes \{ m'_i \mid m'_i = m \} < k, \quad \exists j < a_{n+k} \text{ s.t. } \# \left\{ i \mid b_{n+i} = j, 1 \leq i \leq k \right\} > n(j).
\]

Then

\[
(Y^{n+k})^{-1}(f) \equiv \zeta_i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{a})^{-1} f \mod \left( \mathcal{X}_{n,k}^{m,k} + \mathcal{L}_{n,k}^{m,k} \right) \quad (i = n+1, n+2, \ldots, n+k),
\]

\[
(Y^{n+k})^{-1}(f) \equiv q^{-\mu_i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{a})}(Y^{n})^{-1}(f) \mod \left( \mathcal{X}_{n,k}^{m,k} + \mathcal{L}_{n,k}^{m,k} \right) \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n).
\]

Here $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_{n+k})$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_{n+k})$, and in the right-hand side of the last equation $(Y^{n})^{-1}$ act on the first $n$ factors of the monomial $f$.

A proof of the lemma is given in [TU] for $L = 1$. A proof for general $L$ is quite
similar and will be omitted here.

Let \( w \) be a normally ordered wedge from \( V^d_{M,n} \), and let \( \hat{w} = \mathcal{Q}^{d}_{1+l}(w) \). The vector \( \hat{w} \) is a normally ordered wedge from \( V^d_{M,n+NL} \), we have

\[
\hat{w} = u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{n+NL} = (f \otimes v),
\]

where

\[
f = (z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2} \cdots z_n^{k_n}) (z_{n+1} \cdots z_{n+NL})^m \otimes (e_{i_1}^{e_{i_1}} e_{i_2}^{e_{i_2}} \cdots e_{i_n}^{e_{i_n}}) (e_1 \cdots e_2 \cdots e_L) \cdots (e_L \cdots e_L),
\]

\( N \) times \( N \) times \( N \) times

\[
v = (v_{i_1} v_{i_2} \cdots v_{i_n}) (v_N v_{N-1} \cdots v_1) \cdots (v_N v_{N-1} \cdots v_1) \in \left( \mathbb{K}^N \right)^{\otimes (n+NL)},
\]

and \( m = o_{n+1} = o_{n+2} = \cdots = o_{n+NL} \). The monomial \( f \) given by (6.12) satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 6.4 with \( k = NL \), and \( \pi(j) = N \) for all \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, L\} \). Let \( \mathcal{K}^m_{n,NL} \) and \( \mathcal{L}^m_{n,NL} \) be the corresponding subspaces of \( \mathbb{K}[z_1^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, z_{n+NL}^{ \pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes (n+NL)} \).

**Lemma 6.5.** Let \( y \in \left( \mathbb{K}^N \right)^{\otimes (n+NL)} \), and let \( f_1 \in \mathcal{K}^m_{n,NL}, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}^m_{n,NL} \). Then

\[
(i) \quad \land (f_1 \otimes y) \in \bigoplus_{d' > d} V^d_{M,n+NL},
\]

\[
(ii) \quad \land (f_2 \otimes y) = 0.
\]

**Proof.** This lemma is the special case \((b=L \text{ and } c=N)\) of Lemma 6. 8. See the proof of Lemma 6. 8. \( \square \)

Now we continue the proof of the proposition. From the definitions (6.1–6.3) and Lemmas 3. 4, 6. 4 and 6. 5, it follows that (6.10) holds modulo \( \bigoplus_{d' > d} V^d_{M,n+NL} \). However, the both sides of (6.10) belong to \( V^d_{M,n+NL} \) since the action of \( U'_q(\widehat{sl}_N) \) preserves the degree \( d \). Hence (6.10) holds exactly. \( \square \)

Now we are ready to give the definition of the level 0 action of \( U'_q(\widehat{sl}_N) \) on the Fock space \( \mathcal{F}_M \).

**Definition 6.6.** Let \( 0 \leq d \leq l \). We define a \( U'_q(\widehat{sl}_N) \)-action \( \pi' \): \( U'_q(\widehat{sl}_N) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{F}_M^d) \) as

\[
\pi'(X) = \mathcal{Q}^{d} \circ \pi'_{(d+NL)}(X) \circ (\mathcal{Q}^{d})^{-1} \quad (X \in U'_q(\widehat{sl}_N)).
\]

*By Proposition 6. 3 this definition does not depend on the choice of \( l \) as long as \( l \geq d \).*
Thus a $U_q(\widehat{sl}_N)$-action is defined on each homogeneous component $\mathcal{F}_M$, and hence on the entire Fock space $\mathcal{F}_M$.

6.2. The action of the quantum toroidal algebra on the Fock space. In Section 4.2 we defined a level $L$ action of $U_q(\widehat{sl}_N)$ on $\mathcal{F}_M$. Let us denote by $\pi^h$ the corresponding map $U_q(\widehat{sl}_N) \to \text{End}(\mathcal{F}_M)$. We refer to $\pi^h$ as the horizontal $U_q(\widehat{sl}_N)$-action on the Fock space. In the preceding section we defined another — level $0$—action $\pi^v: U_q(\widehat{sl}_N) \to \text{End}(\mathcal{F}_M)$. We call $\pi^v$ the vertical $U_q(\widehat{sl}_N)$-action. Note that for $i=1, 2, \ldots, N-1$ we have

$$\pi^h(E_i) = \pi^v(E_i), \quad \pi^h(F_i) = \pi^v(F_i), \quad \pi^h(K_i) = \pi^v(K_i),$$

i.e. the restrictions of $\pi^h$ and $\pi^v$ on the subalgebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_N)$ coincide.

In this section we show that $\pi^h$ and $\pi^v$ are extended to an action $\pi$ of the quantum toroidal algebra $\mathcal{U}$, such that $\pi^h$ is the pull-back of $\pi$ through the homomorphism (5.13), and $\pi^v$ is the pull-back of $\pi$ through the homomorphism (5.14). The definition of $\pi$ is based on Proposition 5.3.

Let $\phi_n: \wedge^n V_{\text{aff}} \to \wedge^n V_{\text{aff}}$ be the map (5.24) for $M=(\mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1] \otimes \mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes n}$. That is

$$\phi_n : z^{m_1} e_{\alpha_1} \wedge z^{m_2} e_{\alpha_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge z^{m_n} e_{\alpha_n} v_{n} \mapsto z^{m_1-\delta_{\alpha_1} N} e_{\alpha_1} v_{n+1} \wedge z^{m_2-\delta_{\alpha_2} N} e_{\alpha_2} v_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge z^{m_n-\delta_{\alpha_n} N} e_{\alpha_n} v_{n+1},$$

where $v_{n+1}$ is identified with $v_1$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_M \mathcal{F}_M$. We define a semi-infinite analogue $\phi_\infty \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\phi_n$ as follows. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we let

$$\phi_\infty \mid -mNL \rangle = z^{m-1} e_1 v_1 \wedge z^{m-1} e_2 v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge z^{m-1} e_L v_1 \wedge \mid -mNL \rangle.$$

Any vector in $\mathcal{F}$ can be presented in the form $v \wedge \mid -mNL \rangle$, where $v \in \wedge^n V_{\text{aff}}$ for suitable $n$ and $m$. Then we set

$$\phi_\infty (v \wedge \mid -mNL \rangle) = \phi_n (v) \wedge \phi_\infty \mid -mNL \rangle.$$

By using the normal ordering rules it is not difficult to verify that $\phi_\infty$ is well-defined (does not depend on the choice of $m$). Note that $\phi_\infty: \mathcal{F}_M \to \mathcal{F}_{M+L}$, and that $\phi_\infty$ is invertible. Moreover

$$\phi_\infty^{-1} \pi^h (X_i) \phi_\infty = \pi^h (X_{i-1}) \quad (i=0, 1, \ldots, N-1),$$

where $X=E, F, K$ and the indices are cyclically extended modulo $N$. 
Proposition 6.7. For each vector \( w \in \mathcal{F}_M \) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi^{\pm}_{\pi'}(X(z))\phi_{\pi}(w) &= \pi'(\mathcal{X}_{i-1}(q^{-1}z))(w), & (2 \leq i \leq N-1), \\
\phi^{\pm}_{\pi'}(X_1(z))\phi_{\pi}(w) &= \pi'(\mathcal{X}_{N-1}(p^{-1}q^{N-2}z))(w),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( X = E, F, K^\pm \).

Proof. To prove the proposition we use the following lemmas.

Lemma 6.8. Let \( 0 \leq d \leq l, n = s + lN, \) where \( M \equiv s \mod N, s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, NL - 1\} \). Let \( w = z_1^{k_1} e_{i_1} v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge z_2^{k_2} e_{i_2} v_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge z_n^{k_n} e_{i_n} v_{k_n} \) be a normally ordered wedge from \( V_{M,n} \), let \( b, c \) be integers such that \( 1 \leq b \leq L, 1 \leq c \leq N \). We define \( f \in \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_n^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes (n+bc)} \) as follows.

\[
f = \left( z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2} \cdots z_n^{k_n} \right)^m \otimes \left( e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_n} \right)^{c \text{ times}} \otimes \left( e_1 \cdots e_2 \right)^{c \text{ times}} \otimes \left( e_3 \cdots e_c \right)^{c \text{ times}},
\]

where \( m = o_{n+1} = o_{n+2} = \cdots = o_{n+bc} \). The monomial \( f \) given by (6.18) satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 6.4 with \( k = bc, n(j) = c \) for all \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b\} \). Let \( \mathcal{X}^{m, bc}_n \) and \( \mathcal{L}^{m, bc}_n \) be the corresponding subspaces of \( \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_n^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes (n+bc)} \).

Let \( y^{(n)} \otimes (v_{1c} \cdots \otimes v_{bc}) \in (\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes n} \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes bc} \) such that \( N-c+1 \leq c \leq N \) \((1 \leq i \leq bc)\), and let \( f_1 \in \mathcal{X}^{m, bc}_n, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}^{m, bc}_n \). Then

(i) \( \wedge (f_1 \otimes y) \in \oplus_{d > J} \mathcal{F}^d_{M,n+bc} \),

(ii) \( \wedge (f_2 \otimes y) = 0 \).

Proof.

(i) The vector \( \wedge (f_1 \otimes y) \) is a linear combination of normally ordered wedges

\[
u_{(k_j)} = u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{k_n} \wedge u_{k_{n+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{k_{n+bc}}
\]
such that \( k_{n+1} < o_{n+1} \). This inequality implies that \( \deg u_{(k_j)} \geq l+1 \).

(ii) It is sufficient to show that

\[
\wedge (e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{ibc} v_{1c} \cdots v_{bc}) \in \wedge^{bc} V_{aff}
\]

is zero whenever there is \( J \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b\} \) such that \( \# \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq bc, a_i = J \} > c \).

Using the normal ordering rules (3.21–3.24) one can write (6.19) as a linear combination of the normally ordered wedges \( e_{i_1} v_{1c} \wedge e_{i_2} v_{2c} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{ibc}v_{bc} \).

The \( U_q(sl_n) \) and \( U_q(sl_c) \)-weights of the both sides in the normal ordering rules
are equal. This implies that \( \# \{ i \mid a'_i = J \} > c \) and \( \# \{ j \mid \exists i, e'_j = J, a'_i = J \} \leq c \).
Therefore, there exists some \( i \) such that \( a'_i = a'_{i+1} \) and \( e'_i = e'_{i+1} \). On the other hand, we know that \( e_{a'_i} v_{a'_i} \wedge e_{a'_i} v_{a'_i} = 0 \). This implies that \( \wedge (f_2 \otimes y) = 0 \).

**Lemma 6.9.** Suppose \( d \) and \( l \) are integers such that \( 0 \leq d \leq l \). Let \( n = s + lNL \), where \( s \in \{ 0, 1, \ldots, NL - 1 \} \) is defined from \( M \equiv s \mod NL \). Let \( m \) be the integer such that \( M - s - lNL = -mNL \).

For \( 1 \leq b \leq L \) we put

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{b,N} &= \sum m e_1 \psi_N \wedge z m e_2 \psi_N \wedge \cdots \wedge z m e_b \psi_N, \\
\psi_{b,N-1} &= \sum m e_1 \psi_N \wedge z m e_1 \psi_{N-1} \wedge z m e_1 \psi_N \wedge z m e_2 \psi_{N-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge z m e_b \psi_N \wedge z m e_b \psi_{N-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Assume \( v \in V_{d,s+LN} \). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\pi_{(n+b_1)}(X_1(z)) \left( v \wedge \psi_{b,N} \right) &= \pi_{(n)}(X_1(z)) \left( v \right) \wedge v_{b,N}, \\
\pi_{(n+2b_1)}(X_{N-1}(z)) \left( v \wedge \psi_{b,N-1} \right) &= \pi_{(n)}(X_{N-1}(z)) \left( v \right) \wedge v_{b,N-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( 1 \leq i \leq N - 2 \).

For the proof, see the appendix.

Retaining the notations introduced in the statement of the above lemma, we continue the proof of the proposition. We may assume that \( w \in \mathcal{F}_d \). Then, by Proposition 6.1, \( w = v \wedge | -mNL \rangle \), where \( v \in V_{d,s+LN} \). By Definition 6.6, for \( 2 \leq i \leq N - 1 \) we have

\[
\pi_i(X_{i-1}(q^{-1}z)) \left( v \wedge | -mNL \rangle \right) = \pi_{i}(X_{i-1}(q^{-1}z)) \left( v \right) \wedge | -mNL \rangle.
\]

The definition of \( \phi_{-1} \) yields

\[
| -mNL \rangle = \psi_{L,N} \wedge \phi_{-1} | -mNL \rangle,
\]

where \( \psi_{L,N} \) is defined in the statement of Lemma 6.9. Applying (6.20) in this lemma, we have

\[
\pi_{(n+L)}(X_{i-1}(q^{-1}z)) \left( v \wedge \psi_{L,N} \right) = \pi_{(n)}(X_{i-1}(q^{-1}z)) \left( v \right) \wedge \psi_{L,N}.
\]

Taking this, and Proposition 5.4 into account, we find that the right-hand side of (6.22) equals

\[
\psi_{n+L} \pi_{(n+L)}(X_i(z)) \phi_{n+L}(v \wedge \psi_{L,N}) \wedge \phi_{-1} | -mNL \rangle,
\]
which in turn is equal, by definition of \( \phi_\infty \), to

\[
(6.23) \quad \phi_\infty^{-1}(\pi'(\mathbb{R}_i(z))\phi_{n+L}(v \wedge v_{L,N}) \wedge | -mNL\rangle).
\]

It is clear, that \( \phi_{n+L}(v \wedge v_{L,N}) \in V_{M+L}^0 \) for some non-negative integer \( d' \). Choosing now \( m \) large enough, or, equivalently, \( l \) large enough (cf. the statement of Lemma 6.9), we have by Definition 6.6:

\[
\pi'(\mathbb{R}_i(z))\phi_{n+L}(v \wedge v_{L,N}) \wedge | -mNL\rangle = \pi'(\mathbb{R}_i(z))\phi_{n+L}(v \wedge v_{L,N}) \wedge | -mNL\rangle.
\]

Since \( \phi_\infty(v \wedge | -mNL\rangle) = \phi_{n+L}(v \wedge v_{L,N}) \wedge | -mNL\rangle \), we find that (6.23) equals

\[
\phi_\infty^{-1}\pi'(\mathbb{R}_i(z))\phi_\infty(v \wedge | -mNL\rangle).
\]

Thus (6.16) is proved.

A proof of (6.17) is similar. Here the essential ingredients are the relation (6.21), and those relations of Proposition 5.4 which contain the square of \( \phi \). \( \square \)

Now by Propositions 5.3 and 6.7 we obtain

**Theorem 6.10.** *The following map extends to a representation of \( \hat{U} \) on \( \mathcal{F}_M \).*

\[
(6.24) \quad \hat{i}: X_i(z) \longmapsto \pi'(\mathbb{R}_i(d'z)) \quad (1 \leq i < N),
\]

\[
(6.25) \quad \hat{i}: X_0(z) \longmapsto \phi_\infty^{-1}\pi'(\mathbb{R}_1(qd^{-1}z))\phi_\infty,
\]

\[
(6.26) \quad \hat{i}: d \longmapsto d 1,
\]

\[
(6.27) \quad \hat{i}: q^+c \longmapsto 1.
\]

Here \( d = p^{-1/N} q \), and \( X = E, F, K^\pm \).

From (6.24) it follows that the vertical (level 0) \( U_q'(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N) \)-action \( \pi' \) is the pull-back of \( \hat{i} \) through the homomorphism (5.14). Whereas from (6.25) and (6.15) it follows that the horizontal (level \( L \)) \( U_q'(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N) \)-action \( \pi_h \) the pull-back of \( \hat{i} \) through the homomorphism (5.13). Thus as an \( \hat{U} \)-module the Fock space \( \mathcal{F}_M \) has level \( (0, L) \) (cf. Section 5.2).

**6.3. The actions of the quantum toroidal algebra on irreducible integrable highest weight modules of \( U_q'(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N) \).** Let \( \Lambda \) be a level \( L \) dominant integral weight of \( U_q'(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N) \). In this section we define an action of the quantum toroidal algebra \( \hat{U} \) on the irreducible module

\[
(6.28) \quad \hat{V}(\Lambda) = \mathbb{K}[H_-] \otimes V(\Lambda)
\]
of the algebra $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N) = H \otimes U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$. Here (cf. Section 4.4) $\mathbb{K}[H_-]$ is the Fock module of the Heisenberg algebra $H$, and $V(\Lambda)$ is the irreducible highest weight module of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$ of highest weight $\Lambda$.

In Section 5.1 we defined, for any integral weight $\chi$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_L$, the subalgebra $U_q(b_L)^x$ of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_L)$. A level $N$ action of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_L)$ on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_M(M \in \mathbb{Z})$ was defined in Section 2.1, so that there is an action $U_q(b_L)^x$ on $\mathcal{F}_M$. Recall moreover, that the vertical $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-action $\pi^v$ on $\mathcal{F}_M$, and, consequently, the action $\pi_\hat{U}$ of $\hat{U}$, depend on two parameters: $p \in q^\mathbb{Z}$, and $\nu$ which is an integral weight of $\mathfrak{sl}_L$.

**Proposition 6.11.** Suppose $p = q^{-\chi}$, and $\nu = -\chi - 2p$ for an integral $\mathfrak{sl}_L$-weight $\chi$. Then the action $\pi_\hat{U}$ on $\mathcal{F}_M$ leaves invariant the linear subspace $U_q(b_L)^x(\mathcal{F}_M)$.

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove that both the horizontal $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-action $\pi^h$ and the vertical $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-action $\pi^v$ leave $U_q(b_L)^x(\mathcal{F}_M)$ invariant. The horizontal action commutes with the action of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_L)$. Thus it remains to prove that the vertical action leaves $U_q(b_L)^x(\mathcal{F}_M)$ invariant. Let $w \in \mathcal{F}_M$ and let $l \geq d$. By Proposition 6.1 there is a unique $v \in V_{M,s+1NL}^d$ such that

$$w = v \wedge |M - s - 1NL\rangle.$$

Here $s \in \{0, 1, ..., NL - 1\}$, $M = s \mod NL$.

Let $g$ be one of the generators of $U_q(b_L)^x$ (cf. 5.2). For all large enough $l$ we have

$$g(w) = g(v) \wedge |M - s - 1NL\rangle c(g),$$

where $c(g) = q^{-N}$ if $g = \hat{F}_0$, and $c(g) = 1$ if $g = \hat{F}_a$, $K_a - q^{\nu(a) - \nu(a+1)} (1 \leq a < L)$. If $g = \hat{F}_0$ then $g(v) \in V_{M+1,s+1NL}^d$, otherwise $g(v) \in V_{M,s+1NL}^d$.

Let $X$ be an element of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$. Provided $l$ is sufficiently large, Definition 6.6 gives

$$\pi^v(X)g(w) = \pi^v_{(s+1NL)}(X)g(v) \wedge |M - s - 1NL\rangle c(g).$$

By Proposition 5.5 the right-hand side of the last equation is a linear combination of vectors

$$h(v') \wedge |M - s - 1NL\rangle,$$

where $h$ is again one of the generators of $U_q(b_L)^x$, and $v'$ belongs to either $V_{M,s+1NL}^d$ or $V_{M,s+1NL}^{d+1}$. Applying (6.29) again, the vector (6.30) is seen to be proportional to

$$h(v' \wedge |M - s - 1NL\rangle).$$
Thus the vertical action leaves $U_q(b_L)^x(F_M)$ invariant.

Now we use Theorem 4.10 to define an action of $\bar{U}$ on $\bar{V}(\Lambda)$. Fix the unique $M \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$ such that $M = M \mod Q_N$. Since the dual weights $\Lambda^{(M)}$ of $U_q'(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ are distinct for distinct $\Lambda$, from Theorem 4.10 we have the isomorphism of $U_q'(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$-modules:

$$\bar{V}(\Lambda) \cong \mathcal{F}_M / U_q(b_L)^x(F_M),$$

where $\chi$ is the finite part of $\Lambda^{(M)}$. That is for $\Lambda^{(M)} = \sum \frac{M-a}{d} \hat{A}_a$, $\chi = \sum \frac{a}{d} \hat{A}_a$.

By Proposition 5.5, the $\bar{U}$-action $\pi$ with $p = q^{-2L}$, $\nu = -\chi - 2\rho$, factors through the quotient map

$$\mathcal{F}_M \to \mathcal{F}_M / U_q(b_L)^x(F_M),$$

and therefore by (6.31) induces an action of $\bar{U}$ on $\bar{V}(\Lambda)$.

### Appendix A. The Proof of Lemma 6.9

In this appendix we prove Lemma 6.9. The idea of the proof is essentially the same as that of the proof of [STU, Lemma 23].

**Lemma 6.9.** Suppose $d$ and $l$ are integers such that $0 \leq d \leq l$. Let $n = s + lN_L$, where $s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, NL - 1\}$ is defined from $M = s \mod NL$. Let $m$ be the integer such that $M - s - lNL = -mNL$.

For $1 \leq b \leq L$ we put

$$v_{b,N} = z^m e_1 v_N \wedge z^m e_2 v_N \wedge \cdots \wedge z^m e_b v_N,$$

$$v_{b,N-1} = z^m e_1 v_N \wedge z^m e_1 v_{N-1} \wedge z^m e_2 v_N \wedge z^m e_2 v_{N-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge z^m e_b v_N \wedge z^m e_b v_{N-1}.$$

Assume $v \in V^{b, s+bNL}_M$. Then

$$\pi_{(s+b)}(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_i(z)) (v \wedge v_{b,N}) = \pi_{(s)}(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_i(z)) (v) \wedge v_{b,N},$$

$$\pi_{(s+b)}(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_{N-1}(z)) (v \wedge v_{b,N-1}) = \pi_{(s)}(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_{N-1}(z)) (v) \wedge v_{b,N-1}.$$

Here $1 \leq i \leq N-2$.

**Proof.** As is mentioned in the proof of Lemma 22 in [STU], for each $i$ ($1 \leq i \leq N-1$), the subalgebra of $U_q'(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ generated by $\bar{E}_{i,i}', \bar{F}_{i,i}', \bar{H}_{i,m}', \bar{K}_{i}'$ ($i' \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m' \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$) is in fact generated by only the elements $\bar{E}_{i,0}, \bar{F}_{i,0}, \bar{K}_{i}', \bar{F}_{i,1}$ and $\bar{F}_{i,-1}$.

By the definition of the representation, every generator of the vertical action
U, preserves the degree in the sense of (6.6). So it is sufficient to show that the actions of \( \hat{E}_{i,0}, \hat{F}_{i,0}, \hat{K}^+, \hat{\hat{F}}_{i,1} \) and \( \hat{\hat{F}}_{i,-1} \) satisfy the relations (7.1, 7.2). For \( \hat{E}_{i,0}, \hat{F}_{i,0}, \hat{K}^+ \), this is shown directly by using the definitions of the actions (6.1–6.3). Now we must show that

\[
\pi^i_{(n+b)}(\hat{F}_{i, \pm 1})(v \wedge v_{b,N}) = \pi^i_{(n)}(\hat{F}_{i, \pm 1})(v) \wedge v_{b,N},
\]

\[
\pi^i_{(n+2b)}(\hat{F}_{i-1, \pm 1})(v \wedge v_{b, N-1}) = \pi^i_{(n)}(\hat{F}_{i-1, \pm 1})(v) \wedge v_{b, N-1}
\]

Here \( 1 \leq i \leq N - 2 \).

We will prove (7.4).

For any \( M', M'', M'''(1 \leq M', M'', M'' \leq N + 2b, M' \leq M'') \), we define an \( U'_q(\tilde{sl}_N) \)-action on the space \( \mathbb{K}[z_{1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_{n+2b}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{(n+2b)} (\mathbb{K}^N)^{(n+2b)} \) in terms of the Chevalley generators as follows:

\[
E_i(f \otimes \bar{v}) = \sum_{j=M'}^{M} (q^{-M'} Y^{(M''')}_{j} Y^{(M'')_{-j}}) \delta^{(j-i)} f \otimes (e_{j,i+1}) (k_i)_{j+1} \ldots (k_i)_{M'} \bar{v},
\]

\[
F_i(f \otimes \bar{v}) = \sum_{j=M'}^{M} (q^{-M'} Y^{(M''')}_{j} Y^{(M'')_{-j}}) \delta^{(i-j)} f \otimes (k_i^{-1})_{M'} \ldots (k_i^{-1})_{j-1} (e_{i+1,j}) \bar{v}.
\]

\[
K_i(f \otimes \bar{v}) = f \otimes (k_i)_{M'} \cdot k_i_{M'+1} \ldots (k_i)_{M'} \bar{v}.
\]

Here \( i = 0, \ldots, N - 1, \) indices are cyclically extended modulo \( N \), \( f \in \mathbb{K}[z_{1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_{n+2b}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{(n+2b)}, \bar{v} \in (\mathbb{K}^N)^{(n+2b)} \), and the meaning of the notations \( (e_{i,j}) \), \( (k_i)_{j} \) is the same as in Section 5.3. It is understood, that for \( M''' < n+2b \) the operators \( Y^{(M''')}_{i} \) in (7.5, 7.6) act non-trivially only on the variables \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{M'} \) and on the first \( M''' \) factors in \( \mathbb{K}^{(n+2b)} \). Note that the \( U'_q(\tilde{sl}_N) \)-action is well-defined because of the commutativity of \( Y^{(M'')}_{i} (i = 1, \ldots, M''') \). The actions of the Drinfeld generators are determined by the actions of the Chevalley generators.

Let \( \bar{X} \) be an element of \( U'_q(\tilde{sl}_N) \), we denote by \( \bar{X}(M', M''), (M'') \) the operator giving the action of \( \bar{X} \) on the space \( \mathbb{K}[z_{1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_{n+2b}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{(n+2b)} (\mathbb{K}^N)^{(n+2b)} \) in accordance with (7.5–7.7).

Also, we set \( \bar{X}^{(j)}(M', M'') = \bar{X}^{(j,1)}(M', M'', j = 1, \ldots, M''') \).

With these definitions, for any two elements \( \bar{X} \) and \( \bar{Y} \) from \( U_q(\tilde{sl}_N) \), the operators \( \bar{X}(M', M''), (M'') \) and \( \bar{Y}(N', M''), (M'') \) commute if \( M'' < N' \) or \( N'' < M' \). Note that for any \( \bar{X} \in U'_q(\tilde{sl}_N) \) we have

\[
\pi^i_{(n+2b)}(\bar{X}) \wedge (f \otimes \bar{v}) = \wedge(\bar{X}^{(1, n+2b)}, n+2b)(f \otimes \bar{v})).
\]

Let \( UN_+ \) and \( UN_-^2 \) be the left ideals in \( U'_q(\tilde{sl}_N) \) generated respectively by \( \{\hat{E}_{i,k}\} \) and \( \{\hat{F}_{i,k} \hat{F}_{i,k}\} \). Let \( UN^+_1(M', M''), (M', M'') \), \( UN^-_2(M', M'') \) be the images of these ideals with respect to the map \( U'_q(\tilde{sl}_N) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{K}[z_{1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_{n+2b}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{(n+2b)} \otimes \mathbb{K}^N)^{(n+2b)} \).
\((\mathbb{K}^N)^\otimes(n+2b)\) given by (7.5–7.7). Then the following relations hold:

\[
\pi_{n+2b}^x(F_{N-1}, 1) \wedge (f \otimes \psi) \equiv \wedge ((K_{n-1}, n+2b-2), n+2b \cdot F_{N-1}, 1, n+2b, n+2b) + F_{N-1}(n+2b-2), n+2b)(f \otimes \psi),
\]

\[
\pi_{n+2b}^x(F_{N-1}, -1) \wedge (f \otimes \psi) \equiv \wedge ((K_{n-1}, n+2b-2), n+2b) - 1 \cdot F_{N-1}(n+2b-2), n+2b)(f \otimes \psi),
\]

where \(f \in \mathbb{K}[z_{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_{\pm 2}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^\otimes(n+2b)\), \(\psi \in (\mathbb{K}^N)^\otimes(n+2b)\).

Here the equivalence \(\equiv\) is understood to be modulo

\[
\wedge (UN_{n+2b-2}, n+2b \otimes (UN_{n+2b-1}, n+2b, n+2b)(f \otimes \psi)).
\]

These relations follow from the coproduct formulas which have been obtained in [Ko, Proposition 3.2.A]:

\[
(7.8) \quad \Delta^+(F_{i, 1}) = R_{i, 1} \otimes F_{i, 1} + F_{i, 1} \otimes 1 \mod UN_+ \otimes UN_+^2,
\]

\[
(7.9) \quad \Delta^+(F_{i, -1}) = R_{i, -1} \otimes F_{i, -1} + F_{i, -1} \otimes 1 \mod UN_+ \otimes UN_+^2.
\]

Recall the definition of \(\Delta^+\) given in (2.8–2.11).

Let \(w = z_{k_1}^{e_1} \cdots z_{k_n}^{e_n} \cdots \wedge z_{k_n}^{e_n} \psi_{w_1} \psi_{w_2} \cdots \psi_{w_{n+2b}}\) be a normally ordered wedge from \(V_{M, n}\), and define \(f \in \mathbb{K}[z_{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_{\pm 2}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^\otimes(n+2b)\) and \(\psi \in (\mathbb{K}^N)^\otimes(n+2b)\) as follows.

\[
(7.10) \quad f = (z_{k_1}^{e_1} \cdots z_{k_n}^{e_n}) \otimes (\varepsilon_{k_1} \varepsilon_{k_2} \cdots \varepsilon_{e_n}) (e_1 e_2 e_3 \cdots e_b),
\]

\[
(7.11) \quad \psi = (\psi_{w_1} \psi_{w_2} \cdots \psi_{w_{n+2b}}) (\psi_{w_1} \psi_{w_1}) (\psi_{w_1} \psi_{w_1}) \cdots (\psi_{w_1} \psi_{w_1}), \quad b \text{ copies}
\]

when \(m = o_{n+1} = o_{n+2} = \cdots = o_{n+2b} = n\). Then the monomial \(f\) satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 6.4 with \(k = 2b\), and \(n(j) = 2\) for all \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, b\).

Now we will show the equality

\[
(7.12) \quad \pi_{n+2b}^x(F_{N-1}, 1) \wedge (f \otimes \psi) = \wedge (F_{N-1}, 2, n+2b)(f \otimes \psi).
\]

First let us prove that any element in \(UN_{n+2b-2}, n+2b \otimes (UN_{n+2b-1}, n+2b, n+2b)\)
annihilates the vector $f \otimes \bar{v}$ where $f$ and $\bar{v}$ are given by (7.10) and (7.11). It is enough to show that

$$(7.13) \quad (\mathcal{P}(n, 2b-1, n+2b), n+2b \mathcal{P}(n, 2b-1, n+2b), n+2b) (\bar{v} \otimes (z_{n+2b-1} e_b v_N \otimes z_{n+2b} e_b v_{N-1})) = 0,$$

for $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{K}[z_{1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_{n+2b-2}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes (n+2b-2)} \otimes (\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes (n+2b-2)}$. This follows immediately from the observation that $wt(v_N) + wt(v_{N-1}) - \alpha_f - \alpha_{\bar{f}}$ is not a $U_q(sl_N)$-weight of $(\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes 2}$.

Next we will show that $\wedge (\mathcal{P}(n+2b-1, n+2b), n+2b \mathcal{P}(f \otimes \bar{v})) = 0$, (here $f$ and $\bar{v}$ are given by (7.10) and (7.11)). By the formulas (7.8) and (7.9), we have the following identities modulo $\wedge (UN_{n+2b-1}, n+2b \mathcal{P}(f \otimes \bar{v}))$ (see also [STU]):

$$(7.14) \quad \wedge (\mathcal{P}(n, 2b-1, n+2b), n+2b \mathcal{P}(f \otimes \bar{v})) \equiv \wedge ((\mathcal{P}(n, 2b-1), n+2b \mathcal{P}(n, 2b-1), n+2b) (f \otimes \bar{v})),$$

$$(7.15) \quad \wedge (\mathcal{P}(n, 2b-1, n+2b), n+2b \mathcal{P}(f \otimes \bar{v})) \equiv \wedge ((\mathcal{P}(n, 2b-1, n+2b)-1 \mathcal{P}(n, 2b-1, n+2b) + \mathcal{P}(n, 2b-1, n+2b), n+2b) (f \otimes \bar{v}))$$

The following formula is essentially written in [Ko, Proposition 3.2.B]:

$$(7.16) \quad \mathcal{F}^{[l]}(n, \frac{n+2b-1}{n+2b}) (f^{l} \otimes (\otimes j_{-1}^{n+2b} v_j)) = (q^{-n-2b}(Y^{[n+2b]}-1)^{l+1} f^{l} \otimes (\otimes j_{-1}^{n+2b} v_j)) \otimes \delta_i e_i v_{i+1} \otimes (\otimes j_{-1}^{n+2b} v_{j+1}),$$

where $f^{l} \in \mathbb{K}[z_{1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_{n+2b-2}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes (n+2b)}$ and $\otimes j_{-1}^{n+2b} v_j \in (\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes (n+2b)}$.

By (7.16) we have $(UN_{n+2b-1}, n+2b \mathcal{P}(f \otimes \bar{v})) = 0$, and by (7.16) and Lemma 6.4 we have

$$(7.17) \quad \mathcal{F}^{[l]}(n, \frac{n+2b-1}{n+2b}) (f \otimes \bar{v}) = c_{\pm 1} \bar{v} \otimes z_{n+2b-1} e_b v_N \otimes z_{n+2b} e_b v_{N-1} \mod \left(\mathcal{H}_{n, 2b}^{m, n+2b} \otimes (\mathcal{P}(n, \bar{v}) \otimes (v_N v_{N-1}) \cdots (v_N v_N)), b - 1 \right. \left. \text{ copies}\right),$$

Here $c_{\pm 1}$ are certain coefficients, $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{K}[z_{1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_{n+2b-2}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes (n+2b-2)} \otimes (\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes (n+2b-2)}, \mathcal{P}(n) \in (\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes n}$.

Using the normal ordering rules, we have $\wedge (\bar{v} \otimes z_{n+2b-1} e_b v_N \otimes z_{n+2b} e_b v_{N-1}) = 0$.

By Lemma 6.8, we have

$$(7.18) \quad \wedge ((\mathcal{H}_{n, 2b}^{m, n, 2b} + L_{n, 2b}^{m, n, 2b}),$$
On the other hand the degree of the wedge $(7.18)$ is equal to $\deg(f \otimes \bar{v}) = d$.

Taking into account that $d \leq l$, we have $\wedge (\mathcal{F}(n+2b-1, n+2b), n+2b (f \otimes \bar{v})) = 0$.

Now we prove that $\wedge ((\mathcal{R}^{(1, n+2b-2)}, n+2b) \mathcal{H}_{N-1, -1}^{(1, n+2b-2)}, n+2b \mathcal{F}(n+2b-1, n+2b), n+2b (f \otimes \bar{v}))$ vanishes. We have

\begin{equation}
(7.19)
\wedge ((\mathcal{R}^{(1, n+2b-2)}, n+2b) \mathcal{H}_{N-1, -1}^{(1, n+2b-2)}, n+2b \mathcal{F}(n+2b-1, n+2b), n+2b \mathcal{F}(n+2b-1, n+2b), n+2b (f \otimes \bar{v}))
\end{equation}

Here $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_{n+2b}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes (n+2b-2)} \otimes (\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes (n+2b-2)}$. By $(7.5-7.7)$ the operator $\mathcal{H}_{N-1, -1}^{(1, n+2b-2), n+2b}$ is a polynomial in the operators $(Y_j^{(n+2b)})^{\pm 1}, (k_i)_{\pm 1}, (e_i, r)_j$, where $1 \leq j \leq n+2b-2$ and $1 \leq l, l' \leq N$. By Lemma 6.4, we have

\begin{equation}
(7.20) \quad \pi_{(n+2b)}^{(1, n+2b-2), n+2b} (\mathcal{R}^{(1, n+2b-2), n+2b} \mathcal{H}_{N-1, -1}^{(1, n+2b-2), n+2b} \mathcal{F}(n+2b-1, n+2b), n+2b (f \otimes \bar{v})) = 0. \therefore
\end{equation}

Thus we have shown $(7.12)$. Repeatedly applying the arguments that led to $(7.12)$, we have

\begin{equation}
(7.21) \quad (Y_j^{(n+2b)})^{\pm 1}, (k_i)_{\pm 1}, (e_i, r)_j \quad \text{where } 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq l, l' \leq N.
\end{equation}

By Lemma 6.4 we have

\begin{equation}
(7.22) \quad (q^{-n-2b} Y_j^{(n+2b)})^{\pm 1} (f \otimes \bar{v}) \equiv (q^{-n} Y_j^{(n)})^{\pm 1} (f \otimes \bar{v}) \mod (\mathcal{H}_{n, 2b}^m + \mathcal{L}_{n, 2b}^m) \otimes \bar{v}.
\end{equation}
after (7.18), we have

\[(7.23)\]

\[\land (\mathcal{H}^n_{2b} + \mathcal{L}^n_{2b}) \otimes \mathcal{E}^n_\Phi = 0.\]

Combining (7.23), the commutativity of $\mathcal{E}_\Phi$ and $(\mathcal{Y}^{(i)})^{\pm 1} (1 \leq i \leq n, \; \tilde{n} = n \text{ or } n + 2b)$, and the fact that $(\mathcal{Y}^{(i)})^{\pm 1} (\mathcal{H}^n_{2b} + \mathcal{L}^n_{2b}) \subset (\mathcal{H}^n_{2b} + \mathcal{L}^n_{2b})$, we have

\[\pi^{(n+2b)}_N (f \otimes \mathcal{Y}) = \land (\mathcal{F}^{(i), n-1, \pm 1} (f \otimes \mathcal{Y})).\]

The relation (7.4) follows.

To prove (7.3), consider the tensor product $\mathbb{K} [z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_{n+b}^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\mathbb{K}^L)^{\otimes (n-b)} \otimes (\mathbb{K}^N)^{\otimes (n+b)}$, use the formulas (7.8), (7.9) and continue the proof in a way that is completely analogous to the proof of (7.4).

\[\square\]
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