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Abstract
Let $V$ be a smooth scheme over a field $k$, and let \{\(I_n, n \geq 0\)\} be a filtration of sheaves of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_V$, such that $I_0 = \mathcal{O}_V$, and $I_s \cdot I_t \subset I_{s+t}$. In such case $\bigoplus I_n$ is called a Rees algebra.

A Rees algebra is said to be a differential algebra if, for any two integers $N > n$ and any differential operator $D$ of order $n$, $D(I_N) \subset I_{N-n}$. Any Rees algebra extends to a smallest differential algebra.

There are two extensions of Rees algebras of interest in singularity theory: one defined by taking integral closures, and another by extending the algebra to a differential algebra.

We study here some relations between these two extensions, with particular emphasis on the behavior of higher order differentials over arbitrary fields.

1. Introduction

A smooth ring $R$, of finite type over a field $k$, has a locally free sheaf of $k$-linear differential of order $n$, say $\text{Diff}^n(R)$, for each index $n \geq 0$.

A graded subring of a polynomial ring over $R$, say $R[W]$, can be expressed as $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n \cdot W^n$, where each $I_n$ is an ideal in $R$. $\mathcal{G}$ is called the Rees algebra of the filtration $\{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. For example a Rees ring of an ideal $I$ is of this type; in which case $I_n = \bar{I}^n$. The integral closure of a Rees ring is also a Rees algebra (not necessarily a Rees ring of an ideal).

Taking integral closure of Rees algebras in $R[W]$ can be thought of as an operator, say $\mathcal{G} \subset \overline{\mathcal{G}}$.
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The study of embedded singularities has motivated another kind of extension, linked to differential operators. In fact, from the point of view of singularities it is interesting to consider Rees algebras $G = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} I_n \cdot W^n$ with the additional compatibility with differential operators; namely with the property that for any operator $D \in \text{Diff}^n(R)$, and any index $N > n$, $D(I_N) \subset I_{N-n}$.

It is simple to show that for any $G = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} I_n \cdot W^n$, there is a smallest extension to one with this property, say $G(G)$. This defines a second operator, say $G \subset G(G)$. The objective of this paper is to study the interplay between both operators. In Theorem 6.13 it is shown that if two Rees algebras have the same integral closure, then their $G$-extensions also have the same integral closure. This shows a curious relation of differential operators with integral closures.

Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to extensions of Rees algebras to those which are compatible with differential operators.

In Sections 4 and 5 we study some natural relation of the first two sections with the study of singularities.

Our main results are addressed in Section 6. The key idea is to consider suitable weighted algebras defined by coefficients of truncated Taylor development. In fact, it is in this context where the link of integral closure with differential operators arises.

The study of differential operators on smooth schemes, and their relation with integral closure have also been developed independently in a very interesting paper of Kawanoue, including Theorem 6.13 (see [9]).

The results in this paper have been applied in the study of singularities over arbitrary fields. In fact, these results were essential in order to develop a notion of elimination, defined in terms of Rees algebras; a notion with application to the study of singularities of hypersurfaces over fields of positive characteristic (see [19]).

Extensions of algebras by differential operators appeared in [22], and more recently in work of J. Wlodarczyk and J. Kollár ([10] and [20]). But it is in the work of Hironaka [6, 7, 8] where the notion of differential algebra is treated systematically in relation to the theory of infinitely close points. Our work is related with these last three papers, particularly with his “finite presentation theorem” in [7]. In this paper we do not make use of monoidal transformations, and hence of the theory of infinitely close points. The notion of restriction of differential algebras, in section 5, appears already in the work of Hironaka. We refer here to [1] and [18] for other applications of this work, and also for more details on the relation of our results with results of Hironaka.

I profited from discussions with Vincent Cossart, Marco Farinati, Monique Lejeune, Luis Narváez, Augusto Nobile, and Santiago Zarzuela.
2. Graded rings and Differential algebras

2.1. Fix a noetherian ring $B$, and a sequence of ideals $\{I_k\}$, $k \geq 0$, which fulfill the conditions:

1) $I_0 = B$, and
2) $I_k \cdot I_s \subset I_{k+s}$.

This defines a graded subring $G = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} I_k \cdot W^k$ of the polynomial ring $B[W]$. We say that $G$ is a Rees algebra if this subring is a (noetherian) finitely generated $B$-algebra.

Remark 2.2. 1) Examples of Rees algebras are Rees rings of ideals, say $I \subset B$, where $I_k = I^k$ for each $k \geq 1$. In general we will not assume that a Rees algebra is generated in degree one.

2) Whenever $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k (\subset B[W])$ is a Rees algebra, a new Rees algebra $\bigoplus I'_k \cdot W^k$ is defined by setting $I'_k = \sum_{r \geq k} I_r$.

If $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ is generated by $\mathcal{F} = \{g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i}|1 \leq i \leq m, n_i > 0\}$. Namely, if:

$$\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k = B[\{g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i}\}_{g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i} \in \mathcal{F}}]$$

then $\bigoplus I'_k \cdot W^k$ is generated by the finite set $\{g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n'_i}|1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq n'_i \leq n_i\}$.

Note that $I'_k \supset I'_{k+1}$, and that $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k \subset \bigoplus I'_k \cdot W^k$ is a finite extension. In fact, it suffices to check that given an element $g \in I_k$, then $g \cdot W^{k-1}$ is integral over $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$. One can check that

$$g \in I_k \Rightarrow g^{k-1} \in I_{k(k-1)} \Rightarrow g^k \in I_{k(k-1)},$$

so $g \cdot W^{k-1}$ fulfills the equation $Z^k - (g^k \cdot W^{k(k-1)}) = 0$.

In this paper we shall consider Rees algebras up to integral closure, so in the sequel we will assume that:

$I_k \supset I_{k+1}$.

2.3. In what follows we define a Rees algebra, say $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n \cdot W^n$ in $B[W]$, by fixing a set of generators, say

$$\mathcal{F} = \{g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i}|n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$ 

So if $f \in I_n$, then

$$f = F_n(g_{n_1}, \ldots, g_{n_m}),$$

where $F_n(Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial in $m$ variables, and each $Y_j$ has weight $n_j$. 


For a fixed positive integer $N$, $B[W^N] \subset B[W]$ is a finite extension of graded rings. Furthermore, $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} I_{kN} \cdot W^{kN}$ is a Rees algebra in $B[W^N]$, and the ring extension $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} I_{kN} \cdot W^{kN} \subset \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n \cdot W^n$ is also finite.

Note that if $N$ is a common multiple of all integers $n_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} I_{kN} \cdot W^{kN} \subset \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n \cdot W^n$ is an integral extension, where the left hand side is the Rees ring of $I_N$ (in $B[W^N]$). So Rees algebras are finite extensions of Rees rings.

If a Rees algebra $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n \cdot W^n$ in $B[W]$ is the Rees ring of $I_1$, then the integral closure in $B[W]$ is $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \overline{I}_n \cdot W^n$, where each $\overline{I}_n$ is the integral closure of the ideal $I_n$. This is a Rees algebra, and not necessarily the Rees ring of the ideal $\overline{I}_1$.

### 2.4

Let $B$ be a normal excellent ring, and let

$$\text{Spec}(B) \leftarrow X$$

be a proper birational morphism, then $I \subset \pi_*(I\mathcal{O}_X) \subset \overline{T}$, where $\overline{T}$ denotes the integral closure of $I$ in $B$. Moreover, if $\pi$ is the normalization of the blow-up at $I$, then $I\mathcal{O}_X$ is an invertible sheaf of ideals, and

$$\overline{T} = \pi_*(I\mathcal{O}_X).$$

Assume that the normal ring $B$ is of finite type over a field $k$. If $B$ is a one dimensional normal domain, any ideal is invertible and integrally closed. We add the following well known result for self-containment (see [6, p. 54] or [12, p. 100]).

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $I$, $J$ be two ideals in a normal domain $B$, which is finitely generated over a field $k$. Then $\overline{T} = \overline{J}$ if and only if $I\mathcal{O}_W = J\mathcal{O}_W$, for any morphism of $k$-schemes $W \to \text{Spec}(B)$, with $W$ of dimension one, regular and of finite type over $k$.

**Proof.** Let $x \in W$ be a closed point that maps to $y \in \text{Spec}(B)$, then $\mathcal{O}_{W,x}$ is a valuation ring that dominates $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(B),y}$. So if $\overline{T} = \overline{J}$, then $I\mathcal{O}_W = J\mathcal{O}_W$. In fact, for any morphism $B \to A$, where $A$ is a valuation ring, $IA = \overline{J}A$.

Assume that this condition holds for any morphism from a regular one dimensional scheme $W$. We claim now that both ideals have the same integral closure in $B$.

Let $\text{Spec}(B) \leftarrow X$ be the normalized blow up at $I$, and let $\{H_1, \ldots, H_s\}$ be the irreducible components of the closed set defined by the invertible sheaf of ideals $I\mathcal{O}_X$. Here each $H_i$ is an irreducible hypersurfaces in $X$. Let $h_i \in X$ denote the generic point of $H_i$. There are positive integers $a_i$, so that $I\mathcal{O}_X$
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can be characterized as the sheaf of functions vanishing along $H_i$ with order at least $a_i$ (i.e. with order at least $a_i$ at the valuation rings $\mathcal{O}_{X,h_i}$).

**Claim:** The sheaf of ideals $J\mathcal{O}_X$ also has order $a_i$ at $\mathcal{O}_{X,h_i}$.

If the claim holds, $J\mathcal{O}_X \subset I\mathcal{O}_X$, and

$$J \subset \pi_*(J\mathcal{O}_X) \subset \pi_*(I\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{T}.$$ 

In particular $J \subset \mathcal{T}$. A similar argument would lead to the other inclusion.

In order to prove the claim we choose a closed point $x \in H_i$ so that:

1) $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is regular,
2) $x \in H_i - \cap_{j \neq i} H_j$,
3) $H_i$ is regular at $x$, and
4) $J\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a $p$-primary ideal, for $p = I(H_i)_x$.

Since any sheaf of ideals has only finitely many $p$-primary components, such choice of $x$ is possible.

Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d-1, x_d\}$ be a regular system of parameters at $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ such that $p = I(H_i)_x = x_d\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, and let $W$ be the closure of the irreducible curve defined locally by $<x_1, \ldots, x_d-1>$. So $W$ is one dimensional, and regular locally at $x$. We may assume that $W$ is regular after applying quadratic transformations which do not affect the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{W,x}$. By construction $I\mathcal{O}_{W,x}$ has order $a_i$, by hypothesis the same holds for $J\mathcal{O}_{W,x}$. This proves the claim.

2.6. Let $B = S[X]$ be a polynomial ring, and let $\text{Tay} : B \to B[U]$ be the $S$-algebra homomorphism defined by setting $\text{Tay}(X) = X + U$. For any $f(X) \in B$ set

$$\text{Tay}(f(X)) = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \Delta^\alpha(f(X))U^\alpha.$$ 

The operators $\Delta^\alpha$ are $S$-differential operators ($S$ linear). Furthermore, for any positive integer $N$, the set $\{\Delta^\alpha | 0 \leq \alpha \leq N\}$ is a basis of the $B$-module of $S$-differential operators on $B$, of order $\leq N$.

**Definition 2.7.** Let $B = S[X]$ be a polynomial ring over a noetherian ring $S$. A Rees algebra

$$\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k \subset B[W]$$

is a differential algebra, say Diff-algebra, relative to $S$, when:

i) $I_k \supset I_{k+1}$ for any $k \geq 0$.

ii) For any $n > 0$ and $f \in I_n$, and for any index $0 \leq j \leq n$ and any $S$-differential operator of order $\leq j$, say $D_j$:

$$D_j(f) \in I_{n-j}.$$
Remark 2.8. Let $\text{Diff}^N_S(B)$ denote the module of $S$-differential operators of order at most $N$. Then

$$\text{Diff}^N_S(B) \subset \text{Diff}^{N+1}_S(B) \subset \ldots$$

For this reason it is natural to require condition (i) in our previous definition. Note also that 2.6 asserts that (ii) can be reformulated as:

ii') For any $n > 0$ and $f \in I_n$, and for any index $0 \leq \alpha \leq n$:

$$\Delta^\alpha(f) \in I_{n-\alpha},$$

In fact, (i) and (ii) are equivalent to (i) and (ii'):

Theorem 2.9. Fix $B = S[X]$ as before, and a finite set $\mathcal{F} = \{g_nW^{n_i}|n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, with the following properties:

a) For any $1 \leq i \leq m$, and any $n'_i$, $0 < n'_i \leq n_i$:

$$g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n'_i} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

b) For any $1 \leq i \leq m$, and for any index $0 \leq \alpha < n_i$:

$$\Delta^\alpha(g_{n_i}) \cdot W^{n_i-\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Then the $B$ sub-algebra of $B[W]$, generated by $\mathcal{F}$ over the ring $B$, is a Diff-algebra relative to $S$.

Proof. Condition (i) in Definition 2.7 holds by 2.2, 2).

Fix a positive integer $N$, and let $I_N \cdot W^N$ be the homogeneous component of degree $N$ of the $B$ sub-algebra generated by $\mathcal{F}$. We prove that for any $h \in I_N$, and any $0 \leq \alpha \leq N$, $\Delta^\alpha(h) \in I_{N-\alpha}$.

The ideal $I_N \subset B$ is generated by all elements of the form

(2.9.1) $H_N = g_{n_{i_1}} \cdot g_{n_{i_2}} \cdots g_{n_{i_p}} \quad n_{i_1} + n_{i_2} + \cdots + n_{i_p} = N,$

with the $g_{n_{i_j}} \cdot W^{n_{j}} \in \mathcal{F}$ not necessarily different.

Since the operators $\Delta^\alpha$ are linear, it suffices to prove that $\Delta^\alpha(a \cdot H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha}$, for $a \in B$, $H_N$ as in 2.9.1, and $0 \leq \alpha \leq N$. We proceed in two steps, by proving:

1) $\Delta^\alpha(H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha}$.
2) $\Delta^\alpha(a \cdot H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha}$.

We first prove 1). Set $\text{Tay} : B = S[X] \to B[U]$, as in 2.6. Consider, for any element $g_{n_{i_j}} \cdot W^{n_{i}} \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\text{Tay}(g_{n_{i_j}}) = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} \Delta^\beta(g_{n_{i_j}})U^\beta \in B[U].$$
Hypothesis (b) states that for each $0 \leq \beta < n_i$, $\Delta^\beta(g_{n_i}) \cdot W^{n_i-\beta} \in \mathcal{F}$.

On the one hand

$$\text{Tay}(H_N) = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \Delta^\alpha(H_N)U^\alpha,$$

and, on the other hand

$$\text{Tay}(H_N) = \text{Tay}(g_{n_{i_1}}) \cdot \text{Tay}(g_{n_{i_2}}) \cdots \text{Tay}(g_{n_{i_p}})$$
in $B[U]$. This shows that for a fixed $\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq N$), $\Delta^\alpha(H_N)$ is a sum of elements of the form:

$$\Delta^{\beta_1}(g_{n_{i_1}}) \cdot \Delta^{\beta_2}(g_{n_{i_2}}) \cdots \Delta^{\beta_p}(g_{n_{i_p}}), \quad \sum_{1 \leq s \leq p} \beta_s = \alpha.$$

So it suffices to show that each of these summands is in $I_{N-\alpha}$.

Note here that

$$\sum_{1 \leq s \leq p} (n_{i_s} - \beta_s) = N - \alpha,$$

and that some of the integers $n_{i_s} - \beta_s$ might be zero or negative. Set

$$G = \{r|1 \leq r \leq p \text{ and } n_{i_r} - \beta_r > 0\}.$$

So

$$N - \alpha = \sum_{1 \leq s \leq p} (n_{i_s} - \beta_s) \leq \sum_{r \in G} (n_{i_r} - \beta_r) = M.$$

Hypothesis (b) ensures that $\Delta^{\beta_r}(g_{n_{i_r}}) \in I_{n_{i_r}-\beta_r}$ for every index $r \in G$, in particular:

$$\Delta^{\beta_1}(g_{n_{i_1}}) \cdot \Delta^{\beta_2}(g_{n_{i_2}}) \cdots \Delta^{\beta_p}(g_{n_{i_p}}) \in I_M.$$

Finally, since $M \geq N - \alpha$, $I_M \subset I_{N-\alpha}$, and this proves Case 1).

For Case 2), fix $0 \leq \alpha \leq N$. We claim that $\Delta^\alpha(a \cdot H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha}$, for $a \in B$ and $H_N$ as in 2.9.1. At the ring $B[U]$,

$$\text{Tay}(a \cdot H_N) = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \Delta^\alpha(a \cdot H_N)U^\alpha,$$

and, on the other hand

$$\text{Tay}(a \cdot H_N) = \text{Tay}(a) \cdot \text{Tay}(H_N).$$

This shows that $\Delta^\alpha(a \cdot H_N)$ is a sum of terms of the form $\Delta^{\alpha_1}(a) \cdot \Delta^{\alpha_2}(H_N)$, $\alpha_i \geq 0$, and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha$. In particular $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha$; and by Case 1), $\Delta^{\alpha_2}(H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha_2}$. On the other hand $N - \alpha_2 \geq N - \alpha$, so $\Delta^{\alpha_2}(H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha}$, and hence $\Delta^\alpha(a \cdot H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha}$.

$\blacksquare$
Corollary 2.10. The Rees algebra in $B[W]$, generated over $B$ by
\[ \mathcal{F} = \{ g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i} | n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m \}, \]
extends to a smallest Diff-algebra, which is generated by the finite set
\[ \mathcal{F}' = \{ \Delta^\alpha(g_{n_i}) \cdot W^{n'_i - \alpha} | g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i} \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha < n'_i \leq n_i \}. \]

Remark 2.11. Theorem 2.9 shows how to extend any Rees algebra to a Diff-algebra, say $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k \subset B[W]$ so that the conditions of Definition 2.7 holds; namely that for any $S$-differential operator of order $j(\leq n)$, say $D_j$: $D_j(I_n) \in I_{n-j}$.

A similar argument can be used to extend Rees algebras to algebras, say $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k \subset B[W]$ again, with the condition:

\begin{equation}
D_j(I_n) \in I_n
\end{equation}

for any positive $n$, and any differential operator of order $j$, with no condition on $j$. It is easy to check that ideals $I_n$ with this property are those generated by elements in $S$.

Consider, as in Theorem 2.9, a finite set $\mathcal{F} = \{ g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i}, n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m \}$ with the following properties:

a) For any $1 \leq i \leq m$, and any $n'_i, 0 < n'_i \leq n_i$: $g_{n_i}W^{n'_i} \in \mathcal{F}$.

b) For any $1 \leq i \leq m$, and for any index $0 \leq \alpha$: $\Delta^\alpha(g_{n_i})W^{n_i} \in \mathcal{F}$.

We claim now that the $B$ sub-algebra of $B[W]$, generated by $\mathcal{F}$ over the ring $B$, fulfills (2.11.1). Note here that as each $g_{n_i}$ is polynomial on $Z$, $\Delta^\alpha(g_{n_i}) = 0$ for $\alpha$ big enough, so $\mathcal{F}$ is in fact finite.

In order to prove the claim it suffices to show that $\Delta^\alpha(a \cdot H_N) \in I_N$, for $a \in B$, $H_N$ as in (2.9.1). As in the previous Theorem we proceed in two steps, but proving now that:

1) $\Delta^\alpha(H_N) \in I_N$.
2) $\Delta^\alpha(a \cdot H_N) \in I_N$.

$\Delta^\alpha(H_N)$ is a sum of elements of the form:
\[ \Delta^{\beta_1}(g_{n_{i_1}}) \cdot \Delta^{\beta_2}(g_{n_{i_2}}) \cdots \Delta^{\beta_p}(g_{n_{i_p}}), \quad \sum_{1 \leq s \leq p} \beta_s = \alpha. \]

So, to prove 1), it suffices to show that each of these products is in $I_N$. This follows from (2.9.1) and the assumption on $\mathcal{F}$. The proof for 2) is similar.
Remark 2.12. The proof of Theorem 2.9, and also that of Remark 2.11, rely strongly on the fact that \( \text{Tay} : B \to B[U] \), defined on the polynomial ring \( B = S[X] \) by setting \( \text{Tay}(X) = X + U \), is an \( S \)-algebra homomorphism. In fact the proof of the Theorem reduces to showing that \( \Delta^\alpha(H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha} \) (that \( \Delta^\alpha(H_N) \in I_{N-\alpha} \) in the case of Remark 2.11), where \( H_N = g_{n_1} \cdots g_{n_p} \) is a product of elements in a finite set of generators \( \mathcal{F} \).

An interesting, and different, \( S \)-algebra homomorphism is \( \text{Tay}_X : B \to B[U] \), defined by setting \( \text{Tay}_X(X) = X + UX \). In this case

\[
\text{Tay}_X(F(X)) = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} X^\alpha \Delta^\alpha(f(X))U^\alpha.
\]

Consider a finite set \( \mathcal{F} = \{g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i}, n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\} \) such that:

a) For any \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), and any \( n_i', 0 < n_i' \leq n_i \): \( g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i'} \in \mathcal{F} \).

b) For any \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), and for any index \( 0 \leq \alpha \): \( X^\alpha \Delta^\alpha(g_{n_i}) \cdot W^{n_i} \in \mathcal{F} \).

As each \( g_{n_i} \) is polynomial on \( X \), \( X^\alpha \Delta^\alpha(g_{n_i}) = 0 \) for \( \alpha \) big enough, so \( \mathcal{F} \) is in fact finite; and any finite set of generators can be extended to one with these properties.

The same argument used above show that the Rees algebra generated by \( \mathcal{F} \) fulfills:

\( X^\alpha \Delta^\alpha(I_n) \subset I_n \).

Rees algebras with this property are considered in toric geometry. They are also characterized by the fact that if \( f(X) = \sum s_r X^r (\in S[X]) \) is in \( I_n \), then each \( s_r X^r \in I_n \).

Also Theorem 2.9, as stated, can be formulated in terms of differentials with logarithmic poles.

3. Differential algebras on smooth schemes

3.1. A sequence of coherent ideals on a scheme \( Z \), say \( \{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \), such that \( I_0 = \mathcal{O}_Z \), and \( I_k \cdot I_s \subset I_{k+s} \), defines a graded sheaf of algebras \( \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n \cdot W^n \subset \mathcal{O}_Z[W] \).

We say that this algebra is a Rees algebra if there is an open covering of \( Z \) by affine open sets \( \{U_i\} \), so that

\[
\bigoplus_n I_n(U_i) \cdot W^n \subset \mathcal{O}_Z(U_i)[W]
\]

is a finitely generated \( \mathcal{O}_Z(U_i) \)-algebra.
In what follows $Z$ will denote a smooth scheme of a field $k$, and $\text{Diff}_k(Z)$, or simply $\text{Diff}_k$, the locally free sheaf of $k$-linear differential operators of order at most $r$.

**Definition 3.2.** We say that a Rees algebra defined by $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a $\text{Diff}$-algebra relative to the field $k$, if:

1) $I_n \supset I_{n+1}$.

2) There is an open covering of $Z$ by affine open sets $\{U_i\}$, and for any $D \in \text{Diff}^{(r)}(U_i)$, and any $h \in I_n(U_i)$, then $D(h) \in I_{n-r}(U_i)$, provided $n \geq r$.

Due to the local nature of the definition, we reformulate it in terms of smooth $k$-algebras.

**Definition 3.3.** In what follows $R$ will denote a smooth algebra over a field, or a localization of such algebra on a closed point (a regular local ring). A Rees algebra is defined by a sequence of ideals $\{I_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that:

1) $I_0 = R$, and $I_k \cdot I_s \subset I_{k+s}$.

2) $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ is a finitely generated $R$-algebra.

We shall say that the Rees algebra is a $\text{Diff}$-algebra relative to $k$, if

3) $I_n \supset I_{n+1}$, and

4) given $D \in \text{Diff}_k^{(r)}(R)$, then $D(I_n) \subset I_{n-r}$.

We now show that any Rees algebra extends to a smallest $\text{Diff}$-algebra (i.e. included in any other $\text{Diff}$-algebra containing it).

**Theorem 3.4.** Assume that $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ is a Rees algebra over a smooth scheme $Z$. Then there is a natural and smallest extension of it, say $\mathcal{G} \subset G(\mathcal{G})$, where $G(\mathcal{G})$ is a $\text{Diff}$-algebra relative to the field $k$.

**Proof.** The problem is local, so we will assume that $R$ is a local ring at a closed point of $Z$, and show that a finitely generated sub-algebra of $R[W]$ extends, by successive applications of differential operators, to a finitely generated algebra.

We will argue in steps. Assume that the local ring $R$ is of dimension 1, and let $x$ denote a parameter. Set $\text{Tay} : \hat{R} \to \hat{R}[[U]]$ the $k$-algebra morphism at the completion defined by setting $\text{Tay}(x) = x + U$. Here $\hat{R} = k'[[[x]]]$ is a ring of formal power series over a finite extension $k'$ of $k$.

$$\text{Tay}(f) = \sum \Delta^r(f)U^r,$$

and each

$$\Delta^r : k'[[[x]]] \to k'[[[x]]]$$

maps the sub-ring $R$ into $R$, defining $\Delta^r : R \to R$ (see [13, Th. 99, p. 228]).

So $\text{Tay} : \hat{R} \to \hat{R}[[U]]$ induces by restriction $\text{Tay} : R \to R[[U]]$ (see [13]).
For any $f \in R$ set
\[
\Tay(f) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \Delta^r(f)U^r (\in R[[U]]).
\]

The operators $\Delta^r$ are a basis of the $k$-linear differential operators on $R$. The same argument used in Theorem 2.9 shows that if $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ is generated by $\mathcal{F} = \{g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i} | n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, then
\[
\mathcal{F}' = \{\Delta^r(g_{n_i}) \cdot W^{n_i-r} | g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i} \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ and } 0 \leq r < n_i' \leq n_i\}
\]
generates the smallest extension to a Diff-algebra.

Let now $R$ be a localization of an arbitrary smooth algebra at a closed point, and fix a regular system of parameters $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Define
\[
\Tay : \widehat{R} \to \widehat{R}[[U_1, \ldots, U_n]]
\]
as the continuous morphisms of algebras defined by setting $\Tay(x_i) = x_i + U_i$. So for any $h \in \widehat{R}$ set:
\[
\Tay(h) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \Delta^\alpha(h)U^\alpha.
\]

This morphism defines, by restriction, $\Tay : R \to R[[U_1, \ldots, U_n]]$ (see [13, Theorem 99, p. 228]). Set, for $g \in R$:
\[
\Tay(g) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \Delta^\alpha(g)U^\alpha.
\]

Here $\{\Delta^\alpha | \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq n\}$ is a basis of the free $R$-module $\text{Diff}^n(R)$, and in order to show that a Rees algebra $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ is a Diff-algebra, it suffices to check that given $g \in I_m$:
\[
(3.4.1) \quad \Delta^\alpha(g) \in I_m - |\alpha|.
\]

Note that $\Delta^\alpha \Delta^{\alpha'} = \Delta^{\alpha'} \Delta^\alpha$. Define, for each index $i_0$, $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$:
\[
\Tay_{i_0} : R \to R[[U_{i_0}]],
\]
$\Tay_{i_0}(x_j) = x_j$ for $i \neq j$, and $\Tay_{i_0}(x_{i_0}) = x_{i_0} + U_{i_0}$. So
\[
\Tay_{i_0}(g) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \Delta^\alpha_{i_0}(g)U^\alpha,
\]
is defined in terms of the differential operators $\Delta^\alpha_{i_0}$. 
For any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{N})^n$: 
$$\Delta^\alpha = \Delta_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \Delta_n^{\alpha_n},$$

is a composition of partial operators defined above. And $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ is a Diff-algebra if the requirement in (3.4.1) holds for each of these partial differential operators.

So again, the arguments in Theorem 2.9 ensure that if $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ is generated by $F = \{g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i} | n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, then

$$(3.4.2) \quad F' = \{\Delta^\alpha(g_{n_i}) \cdot W^{n_i - \alpha} | g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i} \in F, \alpha \in (\mathbb{N})^n, \text{ and } 0 \leq |\alpha| < n'_i \leq n_i\}$$
generates the smallest extension of $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ to a Diff-algebra relative to the field $k$. ■

**Remark 3.5.** In the previous discussion we reduce the proof of the Theorem to the case of one variable, and we make use of Theorem 2.9. There are interesting variations in the one variable case discussed in Remark 2.12, of particular interest is that of differentials with logarithmic poles. Such is the case when we fix an integer $s$, $1 \leq s \leq n$, and consider, for each index $1 \leq i_0 \leq s$, the modified function:

$$\text{Tay}_{x_{i_0}} : R \rightarrow R[[U_{i_0}]]$$

(defined by $\text{Tay}_{x_{i_0}}(x_j) = x_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $\text{Tay}_{x_{i_0}}(x_{i_0}) = x_{i_0} + x_{i_0}U_{i_0}$); and the usual $\text{Tay}_{x_{j_0}} : R \rightarrow R[[U_{j_0}]]$ for $s + 1 \leq j_0 \leq n$.

There is an natural analog of Diff-algebras with Rees algebras which are closed by differential operators with logarithmic poles. This follows from Remark 2.12, and it is simple to extend the outcome of (3.4.2) to this context.

**Corollary 3.6.** Given inclusions of Rees algebras, say

$$G = \bigoplus I_n \cdot W^n \subset G' = \bigoplus I'_n \cdot W^n \subset G(G) = \bigoplus I''_n \cdot W^n,$$

where $G(G)$ is the Diff-algebra spanned by $G$, then $G(G)$ is also the Diff-algebra spanned by $G'$.

**3.7.** Fix now a smooth morphism of smooth schemes, say $Z \rightarrow Z'$. Let $\text{Diff}^r_Z(Z)$, or simply $\text{Diff}^r_Z$, denote the locally free sheaf of relative differential operators of order $r$. 

We say that the Rees algebra $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ over $Z$ (see 3.1) is a Diff-algebra relative to $Z'$, if conditions in Definition 3.2 hold, where we now require that $D \in \text{Diff}^{r}_{Z'}(U_i)$ in (ii).

Since $\text{Diff}^{r}_{Z'}(Z) \subset \text{Diff}^{r}_{k}(Z)$ it follows that any Diff-algebra relative to $k$ is also relative to $Z'$.

Theorem 3.4 has a natural formulation for the case of Diff-algebras relative to $Z'$. Given an ideal $I \subset O_Z$, and a smooth morphism $Z \to Z'$, we define an extension of ideals $I \subset \text{Diff}^{r}_{Z'}(I)$,

$\text{Diff}^{r}_{Z'}(I)(U) = \langle D(f) \mid f \in I(U), D \in \text{Diff}^{r}_{Z'}(U) \rangle$

for each open $U$ in $Z$.

Since $\text{Diff}^{r}_{Z'} \subset \text{Diff}^{r+1}_{Z'}$, clearly $\text{Diff}^{r}_{Z'}(I) \subset \text{Diff}^{r+1}_{Z'}(I)$ for $r \geq 0$.

Note finally that a Rees algebra $\bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ over $Z$ (3.1) is a Diff-algebra relative to $Z'$, if and only if, for any positive integers $r \leq n$,

$\text{Diff}^{r}_{Z'}(I^n) \subset I^{n-r}$.

In particular, for $Z' = \text{Spec}(k)$, condition ii) in Definition 3.2 can be reformulated as:

ii') $\text{Diff}^{r}_{k}(I^n) \subset I^{n-r}$.

4. Differential algebras and singular locus

4.1. The notion Diff-algebra relative to a field $k$, on a smooth $k$-scheme $Z$, is closely related to the notion of order at the local regular rings of $Z$. Recall that the order of a non-zero ideal $I$ at a local regular ring $(R, M)$ is the biggest integer $b$ such that $I \subset M^b$.

If $I \subset O_Z$ is a sheaf of ideals, $V(\text{Diff}^{b-1}_{k}(I))$ is the closed set of points of $Z$ where the ideal has order at least $b$. We analyze this fact locally at a closed point $x$.

Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a regular system of parameters at $O_{Z,x}$, and consider the differential operators $\Delta^a$, defined on $O_{Z,x}$ in terms of these parameters, as in the Theorem 3.4. So at $x$,

$$(\text{Diff}^{b-1}_{k}(I))_x = \langle \Delta^a(f) \mid f \in I, 0 \leq |a| \leq b - 1 \rangle.$$

One can now check at $O_{Z,x}$, or at the ring of formal power series $\widehat{O}_{Z,x}$, that $\text{Diff}^{b-1}_{k}(I)$ is a proper ideal if and only if $I$ has order at least $b$ at the local ring.

The operators $\Delta^a$ are defined globally at a suitable neighborhood $U$ of $x$. So if $\bigoplus I_n \cdot W^n \subset O_Z[W]$ is a Diff-algebra relative to the field $k$ and $x \in Z$ is a closed point, the Diff-algebra defined by localization, say $\bigoplus (I_n)_x W^n \subset O_{Z,x}[W]$, is properly included in $O_{Z,x}[W]$, if and only if, for each index $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the ideal $(I_m)_x$ has order at least $m$ at the local regular ring $O_{Z,x}$.
Definition 4.2. The singular locus of a Rees algebra $G = \bigoplus I_n \cdot W^n \subset O_Z[W]$, will be

$$\text{Sing}(G) = \cap_{r \geq 1} V(\text{Diff}_{k}^{-1}(I_r))(\subset Z).$$

It is the set of points $x \in Z$ for which all $(I_r)_x$ have order at least $r$ (at $O_{Z,x}$).

Remark 4.3. Assume that $f \in (I_r)_x$ has order $r$ at $O_{Z,x}$. Then, locally at $x$, $\text{Sing}(G)$ is included in the set of points of multiplicity $r$ (or say, $r$-fold points) of the hypersurface $V(\langle f \rangle)$.

In fact $\text{Diff}_{k}^{-1}(f) \subset \text{Diff}_{k}^{-1}(I_r)$, and the closed set defined by the first ideal is that of points of multiplicity $r$.

Proposition 4.4. 1) If $G = \bigoplus I_n \cdot W^n$ and $G' = \bigoplus I'_n \cdot W^n$ are Rees algebras with the same integral closure (e.g. if $G \subset G'$ is a finite extension), then

$$\text{Sing}(G) = \text{Sing}(G').$$

2) If $G$ is a Rees algebra generated over $O_Z$ by $F = \{g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i}, n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, then

$$\text{Sing}(G) = \cap V(\text{Diff}_{k}^{-1}((g_{n_i}))).$$

3) Let $G'' = \bigoplus I''_n \cdot W^n$ be the extension of $G$ to a Diff-algebra relative to $k$, as defined in Theorem 3.4, then $\text{Sing}(G) = \text{Sing}(G'')$.

4) For any Diff-algebra $G'' = \bigoplus I''_n W^n$, $\text{Sing}(G'') = V(I'_n)$.

5) Let $G'' = \bigoplus I''_n \cdot W^n$ be a Diff-algebra. For any positive integer $r$, $\text{Sing}(G'') = V(I''_r)$.

Proof. 1) The argument in 2.3 shows that there is an index $N$, so that $G$ is finite over the subring $\bigoplus I^k_N \cdot W^{Nk}$, and $G'$ is finite over $\bigoplus I'^k_N \cdot W^{Nk}$. And furthermore, $I_N$ and $I'_N$ have the same integral closure. In these conditions $\text{Sing}(G)$ is the set of points $x \in Z$ such that $I_N$ has order at least $N$ at $O_{Z,x}$, and similarly, $\text{Sing}(G')$ is the set of points $x \in Z$ such that $I'_N$ has order at least $N$. Finally, the claim follows from the fact that the order of an ideal, at a local regular ring, is the same as the order of its integral closure ([23], Appendix 3).

2) We have formulated 2) with a global condition on $Z$, however this is always the case locally. In fact, there is a covering of $Z$ by affine open sets, so that the restriction of $G$ is generated by finitely many elements. Let $U$ be such open set, so $G(U) = \bigoplus I_k(U) \cdot W^k$ is generated by $F = \{g_{n_i} \cdot W^{n_i}, n_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, $g_{n_i} \in O_Z(U)$.

The claim is that $y \in \text{Sing}(G) \cap U$ if and only if the order of $g_{n_i}$ at $O_{Z,y}$ is at least $n_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$. 
The condition is clearly necessary. Conversely, if $G = \bigoplus I_n \cdot W^n = \mathcal{O}_U \{ g_i \cdot W^n ; g_i \in F \}$, and each $g_n$ has order at least $n_i$ at $O_{Z,y}$, then $I_n$ (generated by weighted homogeneous expressions on the $g_i$'s) has order at least $n$ at $O_{Z,y}$.

3) We argue as in 2), here we may also assume that there is $x \in U$, a regular system of parameters $\{ x_1, \ldots, x_n \}$ at $x$, and differential operators $\Delta^\alpha$ as in Theorem 3.4, defined globally at $U$.

Formula (3.4.2) shows that the Diff-algebra $G''$ in Theorem 3.4 is a finite extension of the Rees algebra defined by

$$\mathcal{F}' = \{ \Delta^\alpha(g_n) \cdot W^{m-\alpha} | g_n \cdot W^{m} \in \mathcal{F}, \alpha \in (\mathbb{N})^n, \text{ and } 0 \leq |\alpha| < n_i \}.$$

Note finally that if the order of $g_n$ at a local ring is $\geq n_i$, then the order of $\Delta^\alpha(g_n)$ is $\geq n_i - |\alpha|$.

4) The inclusion $\text{Sing}(G'') \subset V(I''_1)$ holds, by definition, for any Rees algebra. On the other hand, the hypothesis ensures that $\text{Diff}^{-1}(I''_1) \subset I''_1$, so $\text{Sing}(G'') \supset V(I''_1)$.

5) Follows from 4).

5. On restrictions of differential algebras

Proposition 5.1. Let $G = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k$ be a Diff-algebra on a smooth scheme $V$ defined by ideals $I_k \subset O_V$.

A) If $V' \subset V$ is a closed and smooth sub-scheme, the restriction of $G$ to $V'$, say

$$G' = \bigoplus I_k \mathcal{O}_{V'} \cdot W^k,$$

is a Diff-algebra on $V'$.

B) If $V'' \rightarrow V$ is a smooth morphism, then the natural extension, say

$$G'' = \bigoplus I_k \mathcal{O}_{V''} \cdot W^k,$$

is a Diff-algebra on $V''$.

Proof. It is clear that both $G'$ and $G''$ are Rees algebras (3.1). We will show that conditions (i) and (ii) in Definition 3.2 hold.

It suffices to prove both results locally at closed points, say $x \in \text{Sing}(G)$. Set $G_x = \bigoplus (I_k)_x \cdot W^k$ where now each $(I_k)_x$ is an ideal in $O_{V,x}$. We may also replace the local ring by its completion.
A) Fix a closed point \( x \in V' \subset V \) and a local regular system of parameters, say 
\[
\{x_1, \ldots, x_h, x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d\}
\]

at \( \mathcal{O}_{V,x} \), such that \( V' \) is locally defined by the ideal \(<x_1, \ldots, x_h>\). Set

\[
\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,x} = k'[\left[x_1, \ldots, x_h, x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d\right]],
\]

where \( k' \) is a finite extension of \( k \). For each multi-index \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d \),
\[
\Delta^\alpha = \Delta^{\alpha(1)} \Delta^{\alpha(2)};
\]

where \( \alpha^{(1)} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \mathbb{N}^h \), and \( \alpha^{(2)} = (\alpha_{h+1}, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^{d-h} \).

Express an element \( f_n \in I_n \) as
\[
\sum_{\alpha^{(1)} \in (\mathbb{N})^h} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_h^{\alpha_h} a_{\alpha^{(1)}},
\]

\( a_{\alpha^{(1)}} \in k'[\left[x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d\right]] \).

If \( |\alpha^{(1)}| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_h \leq n \), then \( a_{\alpha^{(1)}} \cdot W^n - |\alpha^{(1)}| \) is the class of \( \Delta^{\alpha^{(1)}} (f_n) \cdot W^n - |\alpha^{(1)}| \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{V',x}[W] \). So it is an element in the restricted algebra. Similarly, if \( |\alpha^{(1)}| + |\alpha^{(2)}| \leq n \),
\[
\Delta^{\alpha^{(2)}} a_{\alpha^{(1)}} \cdot W^n - |\alpha^{(1)}| - |\alpha^{(2)}|
\]

is the class of the element \( (\Delta^{\alpha^{(2)}} \Delta^{\alpha^{(1)}})(f_n) \cdot W^n - |\alpha^{(1)}| - |\alpha^{(2)}| \) in \( \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{V',x}[W] \).

For each index \( m \geq 1 \), \( I_m \mathcal{O}_{V'} \cdot W^m \) is defined by the coefficient \( a_0 \cdot W^m \) (\( 0 \in (\mathbb{N})^h \)), for each \( f_m \cdot W^m \in I_m \cdot W^m \). Conditions (i) and (ii) in 3.2 are now easy to check.

For our further discussion we point out that \( I_m \mathcal{O}_{V'} \cdot W^m \) also contains all coefficients \( a_{\alpha^{(1)}} \cdot W^n - |\alpha^{(1)}| \) of \( f \cdot W^n \in I_n \cdot W^n \), and \( n - |\alpha^{(1)}| = m \).

B) Fix a point \( x' \in V'' \) mapping to \( x \in V \). The completion of \( \mathcal{O}_{V'',x'} \) contains that of \( \mathcal{O}_{V,x} \), say

\[
\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,x} = k'[\left[x_1, \ldots, x_d\right]] \subset \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{V'',x'} = k'[\left[x_1, \ldots, x_d, x_{d+1}, \ldots x_e\right]].
\]

Each ideal \( I_n \) in \( k'[\left[x_1, \ldots, x_d\right]] \) extends to \( I_n \cdot k'[\left[x_1, \ldots, x_d, x_{d+1}, \ldots x_e\right]] \); and the claim is that the extended algebra is a Diff-algebra. The statement follows easily in this case, for example by formula (3.4.2), which expresses generators of the Diff-algebra in terms of generators of the Rees algebra. ■

**Definition 5.2.** Fix \( G = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k \), a Rees algebra on \( V \), and let \( V \longrightarrow V' \) be a morphism of smooth schemes. We define the **total transform** of \( G \) to be

\[
\pi^{-1}(G) = \bigoplus I_k \mathcal{O}_{V'} \cdot W^k.
\]

Namely the Rees algebra defined by the total transforms of the ideals \( I_n \), \( n \geq 0 \).
Note that the restriction in A) and the natural extension in B), are particular examples of total transforms.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let \( \mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k (\subset \mathcal{O}_V[W]) \) be a Rees algebra generated by a finite set \( \mathcal{F} = \{g_{N_1} \cdot W^{N_1}, \ldots, g_{N_s} \cdot W^{N_s}\} \), and let \( V \xrightarrow{\pi} V' \) be a morphism of smooth schemes. Then \( \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) \) is also generated by \( \mathcal{F} \).

**Proof.** Since any element of \( I_M \) is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of degree \( M \) in elements of \( \mathcal{F} \), the total transform of the ideal is also generated by elements that are weighted homogeneous in the same set \( \mathcal{F} \). In particular:

A) the restriction of \( \mathcal{G} \) to \( V'(\subset V) \) is generated by \( \{\overline{g}_{N_1} \cdot W^{N_1}, \ldots, \overline{g}_{N_s} \cdot W^{N_s}\} \), where each \( \overline{g}_{N_i} \) is the restriction of \( g_{N_i} \) to \( V' \).

B) If \( V'' \rightarrow V \) is a smooth morphism, the total transform of \( \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k \) to \( V'' \) is generated by \( \{g_{N_1} \cdot W^{N_1}, \ldots, g_{N_s} \cdot W^{N_s}\} \).

**Theorem 5.4.** Let \( V' \xrightarrow{\pi} V \) be a morphism of smooth schemes, then:

i) if \( \mathcal{G} \) is a Diff-algebra on \( V \), the total transform \( \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) \) is a Diff-algebra on \( V' \).

ii) \( \operatorname{Sing}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{G})) = \pi^{-1}(\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{G})) \).

**Proof.** Since \( V' \xrightarrow{\pi} V \) is of finite type, it can be expressed locally in the form \( V' \subset V'' \xrightarrow{\beta} V \), where \( \beta \) is smooth. So Proposition 5.1 proves (i).

Fix a closed point \( x \in \operatorname{Sing}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{G})) \). Since \( \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{G}) = V(I_n) \) for all \( n \geq 1 \) (see 4.4), it follows that \( \pi(x) \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{G}) \). On the other hand, if \( \pi(x) \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{G}) \), the order of \( I_n \) is at least \( n \) at \( \mathcal{O}_{V,\pi(x)} \), for each \( n \geq 1 \); so the same holds at \( \mathcal{O}_{V',x} \). This proves (ii).

6. On differential algebras and integral closures

6.1. Fix a Rees algebra \( \mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k (\subset \mathcal{O}_V[W]) \), and a point \( x \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{G}) \). Let \( Z \) be a smooth sub-scheme containing \( x \), and let \( x_1, \ldots, x_h \) be part of a regular system of parameters at \( \mathcal{O}_{V,x} \) so that \( < x_1, \ldots, x_h > \) is the ideal defining \( Z \) locally at \( x \). We define now a graded algebra over the completion, namely in \( \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,x}[W] \).

This new graded algebra will be defined in terms of the (local) inclusion \( Z \subset V \), and the retraction \( V \rightarrow Z \) defined locally at \( x \) (see 6.3).

Extend \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_h\} \) to a regular system of parameters of the local ring \( \mathcal{O}_{V,x} \), say \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_h, x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d\} \). \( \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,x} \) is a ring of formal power series, say \( k'[[x_1, \ldots, x_h, x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d]] \), and \( \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{V',x} \) is \( k''[[x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d]] \). The local retraction is defined by \( k'[[x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d]] \subset k'[[x_1, \ldots, x_h, x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d]] \).
Set, as usual, \( \mathcal{G}_x = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k(\subset \mathcal{O}_{V,x}[W]) \), which also extends to a Rees algebra over \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,x} \). Express an element \( f_n \in I_n \) as

\[
f_n = \sum_{\alpha^{(1)} \in (\mathbb{N})^h} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_h^{\alpha_h} a_{\alpha^{(1)}}, \quad a_{\alpha^{(1)}} \in k'[\![x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d]\]].
\]

For any such \( f_n \cdot W^n \), consider the set \( \{ a_{\alpha^{(1)}} \cdot W^{n-|\alpha^{(1)}|} | 0 \leq |\alpha^{(1)}| < n \} \), which we call the coefficients of \( f_n \cdot W^n \). So the coefficients of \( f_n \cdot W^n \) is a finite set, defined in terms of a regular system of parameters, and the weight of each coefficient depends on the index \( n \).

Claim: As \( f_n \cdot W^n \) varies on the Rees algebra \( \mathcal{G}_x \), the coefficients of \( f_n \cdot W^n \) generate a Rees algebra, say \( \text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G})_x \), in \( k'[\![x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d]\]][W] \).

The claim here is that the graded algebra \( \text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G})_x \) is a finitely generated sub-algebra of \( k'[\![x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d]\]][W] \).

Assume that \( F = \{ g_{N_1} \cdot W^{N_1}, \ldots, g_{N_s} \cdot W^{N_s} \} \) generate \( \mathcal{G}_x \). Express, for \( 1 \leq i \leq s \):

\[
(6.1.1) \quad g_{N_i} = \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{N})^h} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_h^{\alpha_h} a_{\alpha^{(1)}} \quad a_{\alpha^{(1)}} \in k'[\![x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d]\]].
\]

We search for a finite set of coefficients, that span \( \text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G})_x \). A first candidate would be

\[
(6.1.2) \quad F'_1 = \{ a_{\alpha^{(1)}}^{(i)} \cdot W^{N_i-|\alpha^{(1)}}| 0 \leq |\alpha| < N_i, 1 \leq i \leq s \}.
\]

Consider the product of two elements in \( F \), say \( g_{N_i} \cdot W^{N_i} \cdot g_{N_j} \cdot W^{N_j} = f_n \cdot W^n \) \((n = N_i + N_j)\); and a coefficient, say \( a_{\alpha^{(1)}} \cdot W^{n-|\alpha^{(1)}|}, \) of \( f_n \cdot W^n \).

It follows from 6.1.1 that

\[
a_{\alpha^{(1)}} = \sum_{\beta + \delta = \alpha^{(1)}} a_{\beta}^{(i)} a_{\delta}^{(j)},
\]

for \( \beta, \delta, \) and \( \alpha^{(1)} \) in \((\mathbb{N})^h\). Note that we cannot extract from the previous, expressions of the form

\[
a_{\alpha^{(1)}} \cdot W^{n-|\alpha^{(1)}|} = \sum_{\beta + \delta = \alpha^{(1)}} a_{\beta}^{(i)} \cdot W^{N_i-|\beta|} a_{\delta}^{(j)} \cdot W^{N_j-|\delta|}.
\]

In fact, it can happen that \(|\delta| \geq N_j\), and we only consider \( W \) with positive exponents. In particular, the previous expression of \( a_{\alpha^{(1)}} \cdot W^{n-|\alpha^{(1)}|} \) is not weighted homogeneous in \( F'_1 \), and hence not in the graded sub-algebra of \( k'[\![x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d]\]][W] \) generated by \( F'_1 \).
One way to remedy this situation is to allow $a_{\beta}(i)$ to have weight $n - |\alpha(i)|$ if $|\delta| \geq N_j$. Note that in such case
\[
|\alpha(i)| = N_i - |\beta| + N_j - |\delta| \leq N_i - |\beta|.
\]
Therefore $\mathcal{F}_1'$ can be enlarged to say,
\[(6.1.3) \quad \mathcal{F}_1 = \{a_{\alpha}(i) \cdot W^{n_{i,\alpha}}|0 \leq |\alpha| < N_i, 1 \leq i \leq s, 0 < n_{i,\alpha} \leq N_i - |\alpha|\},\]
for $N_i$ and $\alpha$ as in $\mathcal{F}_1'$; and the coefficients of $f_n \cdot W^n$ are now weighted homogeneous on $\mathcal{F}_1$ (i.e. are in the sub-algebra of $k'[[x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d]][W]$ generated by $\mathcal{F}_1$).

The argument applied here to $g_{N_i} \cdot W^{N_i} \cdot g_{N_j} \cdot W^{N_j}$, also holds for the coefficients of any product of elements in $\mathcal{F}$, and hence for the coefficients of any homogeneous element in the algebra generated by $\mathcal{F} = \{g_{N_i} \cdot W^{N_i}, \ldots, g_{N_s} \cdot W^{N_s}\}$ (i.e. for the coefficients of any homogeneous element of $\mathcal{G}_x$).

This shows that there is an inclusion of subalgebras in $k'[[x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d]][W]$, say
\[(6.1.4) \quad k'[[x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d]][\mathcal{F}_1'] \subset \text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G})_x \subset k'[[x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d]][\mathcal{F}_1].\]

On the other hand $k'[[x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d]][\mathcal{F}_1'] \subset k'[[x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d]][\mathcal{F}_1]$ is a finite extension $(2.2, 2))$. In particular $\text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G})_x$ is finitely generated.

**Remark 6.2.** 1) $\mathcal{F}_1'$ can be extended to a finite set, say $\mathcal{F}_1''$, of generators of $\text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G})_x$.

2) $\text{Sing}(k'[[x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d]][\mathcal{F}_1']) = \text{Sing}(\text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G})_x)$ (Prop 4.4, (1)).

3) $\text{Sing}(\text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G})_x)$ can be naturally identified with the intersection $Z \cap \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G})$ locally at the point $x$.

To check 3) note that the singular locus of $k'[[x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d]][\mathcal{F}_1']$ can be naturally identified with the intersection $Z \cap \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G})$. This follows from the definition of $\mathcal{F}_1'$ in (6.1.2), and the expressions in (6.1.1). Finally apply 2).

**6.3.** Fix, as in 6.1, an inclusion of smooth schemes $Z \subset V$, and a closed point $x \in Z$. Assume that there is a retraction say $V \to Z$ locally at $x$. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_h$ be part of a regular system of parameters at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$ so that $< x_1, \ldots, x_h >$ defines $Z$ at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$; and let $\{x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d\}$ be a regular system of parameters at $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$. The local retraction at the point $x$ defines an inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x} \subset \mathcal{O}_{V,x}$, so we may consider $\{x_1, \ldots, x_h, x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d\}$ as parameters at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$.

We may identify $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$ with a ring of power series $k'[[x_1, \ldots, x_h, x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d]]$, $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}$ with $k'[[x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d]]$; and the local retraction defines the inclusion
\[k'[[x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d]] \subset k'[[x_1, \ldots, x_h, x_{h+1}, \ldots x_d]].\]
Given \( G = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k(\subset \mathcal{O}_V[W]) \), we have defined \( \text{Coeff}(G) \) at \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,x}[W] \). We now show that it can also be defined in \( \mathcal{O}_{Z,x}[W] \), and that the definition relies on the local retraction and the local inclusion. Express an element \( f_n \in I_n \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,x} \) as

\[
 f_n = \sum_{\alpha^{(1)} \in (\mathbb{N})^h} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_h^{\alpha_h} a_{\alpha^{(1)}},
\]

\( a_{\alpha^{(1)}} \in k'[x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d] \). For each multi-index \( \alpha^{(1)}, 0 \leq |\alpha^{(1)}| \leq n \), the coefficient \( a_{\alpha^{(1)}} \) can be identified with the class of \( \Delta^{\alpha^{(1)}}(f_n) \) in \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,x} \). However, \( \Delta^{\alpha^{(1)}} \) is a differential operator, relative to the local retraction \( V \to Z \), \( \Delta^{\alpha^{(1)}}(f_n) \) is an element in \( \mathcal{O}_{V,x} \), and we can therefore consider the class of this element in \( \mathcal{O}_{Z,x} \).

This shows that \( \text{Coeff}(G)(\subset \mathcal{O}_{Z,x}[W]) \), is the restriction via \( Z \subset V \), of the extension of \( G \) defined by the Diff-algebra relative to the local retraction (see 3.7). In other words, and from an algebraic point of view, \( \text{Coeff}(G)(\subset \mathcal{O}_{Z,x}[W]) \) is defined in terms of:

i) the surjection \( \mathcal{O}_{V,x} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z,x} \); and

ii) the inclusion \( \mathcal{O}_{Z,x} \subset \mathcal{O}_{V,x} \) (etale locally).

This proves the following Remark in the formal case:

**Remark 6.4.** Consider \( G \subset \mathcal{O}_{V,x}[W] \), and, as before, a surjection \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,x} \to \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,x} \); and an inclusion \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,x} \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,x} \). Let \( \{x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d\} \) be a regular system of parameters at \( \mathcal{O}_{Z,x} \), and assume that \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_h, x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d\} \) and \( \{x'_1, \ldots, x'_h, x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d\} \) are two extensions to regular system of parameters at \( \mathcal{O}_{V,x} \), and that \( <x_1, \ldots, x_h> = <x'_1, \ldots, x'_h> = I(Z) \subset \mathcal{O}_{V,x} \).

The same inclusion \( \mathcal{O}_{Z,x} \subset \mathcal{O}_{V,x} \) can be expressed as

\[
 \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,x} = k'[x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d] \subset k'[x_1, \ldots, x_h, x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d] = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,x}
\]

or as

\[
 \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,x} = k'[x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d] \subset k'[x'_1, \ldots, x'_h, x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d] = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,x}.
\]

In both cases \( \text{Coeff}(G) \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,x}[W] \) is the same.

The discussion in 6.3 also shows that, of course, the definition of \( \text{Coeff}(G) \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,x}[W] \) is independent of the coordinates we choose in the subring \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,x} \).

**6.5.** Set \( G \subset \mathcal{O}_{V,x}[W] \) and

\[
 \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,x} = k'[x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d] \subset k'[x_1, \ldots, x_h, x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d] = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,x}
\]

as above, where \( <x_1, \ldots, x_h> = I(Z) \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,x} \).
Note that the natural identification of \( k'[x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_d] \) with \( \hat{O}_{V,x}/I(Z) \), provides an inclusion:
\[
\mathcal{G} \subset \text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G}) \subset \hat{O}_{Z,x}[W],
\]
where \( \mathcal{G} \subset (\hat{O}_{V,x}/I(Z))[W] \) denotes the restriction. Furthermore, this inclusion is an equality if \( \mathcal{G} \) is a differential algebra (see also Lemma 6.6 below).

**Lemma 6.6.** With the setting as above, the restriction of \( G(\mathcal{G}) \) to the smooth sub-scheme \( Z \) is the Diff-algebra spanned by \( \text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G}) \) (i.e. the Diff-algebra generated by \( \text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G}) \) in \( \mathcal{O}_{Z,x}[W] \)).

**Proof.** The previous discussion shows that \( \text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G}) \) is included in the restriction of \( G(\mathcal{G}) \), which is a Diff-algebra over \( \mathcal{O}_{Z,x} \) (Proposition 5.1, A)). In particular, the Diff-algebra spanned by \( \text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G}) \) in \( \mathcal{O}_{Z,x}[W] \) denotes the restriction. Furthermore, this inclusion is an equality if \( \mathcal{G} \) is a differential algebra (see also Lemma 6.6 below).

Here \( G(\mathcal{G}) = \bigoplus I_k^i \cdot W^k \) is the Diff-algebra generated by \( \mathcal{G} \), so to prove this equality it suffices to show that given \( f_n \in I_n \), and \( \alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in (\mathbb{N})^d \), \( 0 \leq |\alpha| < n \), the class of \( \Delta^\alpha(f_n) \cdot W^{n-|\alpha|} \) in \( \mathcal{O}_{Z,x}[W] \), is in the Diff-algebra generated by \( \text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G}) \).

For this last claim we argue as in the proof of Proposition 5.1, (A), by splitting each multi-index \( \alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in (\mathbb{N})^d \):
\[
\Delta^\alpha = \Delta^{(1)} \cdot \Delta^{(2)};
\]
where \( \alpha^{(1)} = (a_1, \ldots, a_h) \), and \( \alpha^{(2)} = (a_{h+1}, \ldots, a_d) \).

The class of \( \Delta^{(1)}(f_n) \cdot W^{n-|\alpha^{(1)}|} \) is \( a_{\alpha^{(1)}} \cdot W^{n-|\alpha^{(1)}|} \in \text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G}) \); and that of \( \Delta^\alpha(f_n) \cdot W^{n-|\alpha|} \) is \( \Delta^{(2)}(a_{\alpha^{(1)}}) \cdot W^{n-|\alpha^{(1)}|-|\alpha^{(2)}|} \), which is clearly in the Diff-algebra generated by \( \text{Coeff}(\mathcal{G}) \). \( \blacksquare \)

**Corollary 6.7.** Fix a smooth scheme \( V \), a Rees algebra \( \mathcal{G} \), and a closed and smooth sub-scheme \( Z \) of \( V \). If \( G(\mathcal{G}) \) denotes the Diff-algebra spanned by \( \mathcal{G} \), and if \( [G(\mathcal{G})]_Z \) denotes the restriction to \( Z \), then \( \text{Sing}([G(\mathcal{G})]_Z) \), as closed set in \( Z \), can be identified with \( Z \cap \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G}) \).

This follows from Lemma 6.6 and 6.2, 3).

**Remark 6.8.** Let \( \mathcal{G} = \bigoplus I_k \cdot W^k \subset \mathcal{O}_V[W] \) be a Rees algebra on a one dimensional smooth scheme \( V' \). If we assume that some \( I_k \neq 0 \), then \( \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G}) \) is a finite set of points.

Fix \( x \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G}) \) and set \( \hat{O}_{V',x} = k'[\llbracket t \rrbracket] \), so
\[
\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} t^{\alpha_r} \cdot W^r,
\]
and $a_r \geq r$ for each index $r$. Define
\[ \lambda_G = \inf_r \left\{ \frac{a_r}{r} \right\}, \]
and note that $\lambda_G \geq 1$.

Let $\{g_{N_1} \cdot W^{N_1}, \ldots, g_{N_s} \cdot W^{N_s}\}$ be a set of generator locally at a closed point $x \in \text{Sing}(G)$. Fix any integer $M$ divisible by all $N_i$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, then
\[ \lambda_G = \nu(I_M) \]
where $\nu(I_M)$ denotes the order of the ideal at $O_{V',x}$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the integral closure of $G$.

Claim 1: The integral closure of $\mathcal{G}$ is determined by the rational number $\lambda_G$, and $\lambda_G = \lambda_G'$.

In fact, by usual arguments of toric geometry, we conclude that $t^n \cdot W^m \in \mathcal{G}$, if and only if $\frac{n}{m} \geq \lambda_G'$. This proves the claim.

Let $G(\mathcal{G})$ denote the Diff-algebra spanned by $\mathcal{G}$. We prove our claim by showing that $\lambda_G = \lambda_G'$. To this end note that given $t^a \cdot W^b \in \mathcal{G}$, and an operator $\Delta^j$, $0 \leq j < b$,
\[ \Delta^j(t^a) \cdot W^{b-j} = d \cdot t^{a-j} \cdot W^{b-j}, \]
where $d$ is the class of an integer in the field $k'$. Since $a \geq b > j \geq 0$ it follows that $\frac{a-j}{b-j} \geq \frac{a}{b}$, so Claim 1 follows from Claim 2.

6.9. The previous Remark shows that in the one dimensional case, the extension $G \subset G(\mathcal{G})$ is finite, where $G(\mathcal{G})$ is the Diff-algebra spanned by $\mathcal{G}$.

In general $G(\mathcal{G})$ is not integrally closed. Consider, for example, the semi-group in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ defined by the pairs $(x, y)$ such that $-2x + y \geq 0$ and $-x + y \geq 3$. Use the previous remark to show that the set of pairs $\{t^i \cdot W^j\}$, where $(j, i)$ fulfills the previous inequalities, form a Diff-algebra $\mathcal{G}$ which is not integrally closed. In fact $t^i \cdot W$ is integral over $\mathcal{G}$.

6.10. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Rees algebra over a smooth scheme $V$, generated by $\{g_{N_1} \cdot W^{N_1}, \ldots, g_{N_s} \cdot W^{N_s}\}$, which we may assume by restriction to affine open sets. Let $M$ is a positive integer divisible by all $N_j$, $1 \leq j \leq s$; and consider the Rees ring $\mathcal{O}_V[I_M \cdot W^M]$. Recall that $\mathcal{O}_V[I_M \cdot W^M] \subset \mathcal{G}$ is a finite extension of graded algebras, and that any Rees algebra is a finite extension of a Rees ring of an ideal (see 2.3).
Given two Rees algebras $G_1 = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} I(1)_r \cdot W^r$ and $G_2 = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} I(2)_r \cdot W^r$, there is a positive integer $M$ such that both are integral extensions of the Rees ring generated by the $M$-th term, say $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} I(1)_M^k \cdot W^{kM}$ and $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} I(2)_M^k \cdot W^{kM}$.

**Proposition 6.11.** Fix two Rees algebras $G_1$ and $G_2$ on a smooth scheme $V$ over a field $k$. Assume that for any morphism of regular $k$-schemes, say $V' \xrightarrow{\pi} V$, where $V'$ is one dimensional, both pull-backs have the same integral closure (i.e. $\pi^{-1}(G_1) = \pi^{-1}(G_2)$). Then $G_1$ and $G_2$ have the same integral closure in $V$.

**Proof.** Choose $M$ and ideals $I(1)_M$ and $I(2)_M$ as in 6.10. We may assume here that $\pi$ is of finite type. Lemma 5.3 and the previous properties show that under the condition of the hypothesis both $I(1)_M$ and $I(2)_M$ have the same integral closure in $\mathcal{O}_V$ (see 2.5). In particular, $G_1$ and $G_2$ have the same integral closure. □

**Proposition 6.12.** Let $G_1 \subset G_2(\subset \mathcal{O}_V[W])$ be a finite extension of Rees algebras on a smooth scheme $V$, and let $V'$ be a smooth one dimensional subscheme in $V$. Fix $x \in V'$ and a regular system of coordinates $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}, x_d\}$ at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$, so that the curve is locally defined by $<x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}>$. Then

$$\text{Coeff}(G_1) \subset \text{Coeff}(G_2)$$

is a finite extension in $\mathcal{O}_{V',W}$.

**Proof.** Express any $f \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_V = k'[\{x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}, x_d\}]$ as:

$$f = \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{N})^{d-1}} x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_{d-1}^{a_{d-1}} a_\alpha, \quad a_\alpha \in k'[\{x_d\}].$$

The coefficients of $f \cdot W^N$ are $\{a_\alpha \cdot W^{N-|\alpha|} | 0 \leq |\alpha| < N\}$, and we define

$$s_{f,W}(f \cdot W^N) = \min \left\{ \frac{\nu(a_\alpha)}{N - |\alpha|} | 0 \leq |\alpha| < N \right\},$$

where $\nu(a_\alpha)$ denotes the order of $a_\alpha$ in $k'[\{x_d\}]$. Set $\text{Coeff}(G_1)$ and $\text{Coeff}(G_2)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{V',W}$, as in (6.1). Assume that $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{f_{N_1} \cdot W^{N_1}, \ldots, f_{N_s} \cdot W^{N_s}\}$ generate $G_1$ locally at $x$, and that $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{g_{M_1} \cdot W^{M_1}, \ldots, g_{M_t} \cdot W^{M_t}\}$ generate $G_2$.

The inclusion $\text{Coeff}(G_1) \subset \text{Coeff}(G_2)$ at $\mathcal{O}_{V',W}$ is clear.

Set $\text{Coeff}(G_i) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} J(i)_r \cdot W^r$ in $\mathcal{O}_{V',W}$, for $i = 1, 2$. Note that $J(1)_r = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$ iff $V' \subset \text{Sing}(G_1)$ iff $G_1 \subset \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} P^r \cdot W^r$; where $P$ is the ideal defining the smooth sub-scheme $V'$. Since $G_1 \subset G_2$ is finite, it follows that also $J(2)_r = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$. 


Assume now that some $J(1)_r$ is not zero for some $r > 0$. The inclusion \( \text{Coeff}(G_1) \subset \text{Coeff}(G_2) \) ensures that
\[
\lambda_{\text{Coeff}(G_1)} \geq \lambda_{\text{Coeff}(G_2)};
\]
and we shall prove the claim, in what follows, by showing that they are equal (see Remark 6.8).

Each \( g_{M_j} \cdot M_j^{\alpha} \) is integral over the localization of \( G_1 \) in \( \mathcal{O}_{V,x}[W] \). And this property is preserved by any change of rings. Namely, for any ring homomorphism \( \phi : \mathcal{O}_{V,x} \to S \), \( \phi(G_1) \) is a Rees algebra in \( S[W] \), and \( \phi(g_{M_j}) \cdot M_j^{\alpha} \) is integral over \( \phi(G_1) \).

Express, for any \( g_{M_j} \cdot M_j^{\alpha} \in F_2' \):
\[
g_{M_j} = \sum_{\alpha \in (N)^h} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_h^{\alpha_h} a^{(j)}_{\alpha} \quad a_{\alpha} \in k'[\![x_d]\!],
\]
and set
\[
F_2' = \{ a^{(j)}_{\alpha} \cdot M_j^{\alpha} | 0 \leq |\alpha| < M_j, 1 \leq j \leq t \}
\]
(coefficients of all \( g_{M_j} \)'s).

We know that \( k'[\![x_d]\!][F_2'] \subset \text{Coeff}(G_2) \) is a finite extension in \( k'[\![x_d]\!][W] \) (see 6.1.4); in particular:
\[
\lambda_{\text{Coeff}(G_2)} = \min \left\{ \frac{\nu(a^{(j)}_{\alpha})}{M_j - |\alpha|} | 0 \leq |\alpha| < M_j, 1 \leq j \leq t \right\}
\]
(6.8), or, equivalently:
\[
\lambda_{\text{Coeff}(G_2)} = \min \{ \nu_{V'}(g_{M_j}) | 1 \leq j \leq t \}.
\]

So equality in (6.12.1) would follow if we show that \( \lambda_{\text{Coeff}(G_1)} \leq \frac{\nu(a^{(j)}_{\alpha})}{M_j - |\alpha|} \) for each fraction as above.

We will assume that
\[
\frac{\nu(a^{(j_0)}_{\alpha})}{M_{j_0} - |\alpha|} < \lambda_{\text{Coeff}(G_1)}
\]
(6.12.3)
for some index \( 1 \leq j_0 \leq t \), or equivalently, that \( \nu_{V'}(g_{M_{j_0}}) < \lambda_{\text{Coeff}(G_1)} \) for some index \( j_0 \), and show that in such case \( g_{M_{j_0}} \cdot M_{j_0}^{\alpha} \) is not integral over \( G_1 \); which is a contradiction.

Define, as before, \( \nu_{V'}(f_{N_i}) \) for each \( f_{N_i} \cdot M_i^{\alpha} \in F_1 \), so that
\[
\lambda_{\text{Coeff}(G_1)} = \min \{ \nu_{V'}(f_{N_i}) | 1 \leq i \leq s \}.
\]
We will show that if \( s l_{V'}(g_{M_{j_0}}) < \lambda_{\text{Coeff}(G_1)} \), for some index \( j_0 \), a ring \( S \) and a morphism \( \phi : \hat{O}_V = k'[[x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}, x_d]] \to S \) can be defined so that \( \phi(g_{M_{j_0}}) : W_{M_{j_0}} \) is not integral over \( \phi(G_1) \).

Given \( f = \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{d-1}^{\alpha_{d-1}} x_d^{\alpha_d} \in k'[[x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}, x_d]] \), set
\[
\text{Supp}(f) = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d | \lambda_\alpha \neq 0 \}.
\]

Let \( a > 0 \) and \( b > 0 \) be positive integers such that
\[
\lambda = \lambda_{\text{Coeff}(G_1)} = \frac{a}{b}.
\]

Define \( l : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \), \( l(y_1, \ldots, y_d) = ay_1 + ay_2 + \cdots + ay_{d-1} + by_d \), which maps \( \mathbb{N}^d \) into \( \mathbb{N} \).

It follows that for a fixed integer \( N \):
\[
l(N, 0, \ldots, 0, 0) = l(0, N, \ldots, 0, 0) = \cdots = l(0, \ldots, N, 0) = l(0, \ldots, 0, N\lambda) = aN.
\]

Given \( (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{d-1}, s) \in \mathbb{N}^d \), if \( l(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{d-1}, s) < aN \), \( |\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{d-1} < N \). Furthermore:

\[
(6.12.4) \quad l(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{d-1}, s) < aN \iff a|\alpha| + bs < aN \iff \frac{s}{N - |\alpha|} < \lambda.
\]

We show now that:

1) For each \( f_{N_i} \cdot W^{N_i} \in \mathcal{F}_1 \), \( \text{Supp}(f_{N_i}) \) is included in the half space \( l(y_1, \ldots, y_d) \geq aN_i \).

2) For some \( f_{N_i} \cdot W^{N_i} \in \mathcal{F}_1 \), the intersection of \( \text{Supp}(f_{N_i}) \) with the hyperplane \( l(y_1, \ldots, y_d) = aN_i \) is not empty.

3) For some \( g_{M_{j_0}} \cdot W^{M_{j_0}} \in \mathcal{F}_2 \), \( \text{Supp}(g_{M_{j_0}}) \) is not included in the half space \( l(y_1, \ldots, y_d) \geq aM_{j_0} \).

In order to prove 1) set
\[
(6.12.5) \quad f_{N_i} = \sum_{\alpha \in [\mathbb{N}]^{d-1}} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{d-1}^{\alpha_{d-1}} \alpha_\alpha^{(i)} \cdot a_\alpha^{(i)} \in k'[[x_d]].
\]

and assume that
\[
x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{d-1}^{\alpha_{d-1}} x_d^s
\]
is a monomial with non-zero coefficient in this expression (i.e. assume that \( (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{d-1}, s) \in \text{Supp}(f_{N_i}) \)). The claim in 1) is that \( l(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{d-1}, s) \geq aN_i \). In fact, if \( l(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{d-1}, s) < aN_i \), then \( |\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{d-1} < N_i \) and \( \frac{s}{N_i - |\alpha|} < \lambda \) (6.12.4). But in such case
\[
sl_{V'}(f_{N_i}) \leq \frac{s}{N_i - |\alpha|} < \lambda = \lambda_{\text{Coeff}(G_1)} = \min \{ sl_{V'}(f_{N_j}) | 1 \leq j \leq s \},
\]
which is a contradiction.
Both conditions 2) and 3) follow similarly, from (6.12.3) and ((6.12.4).
Set $S = k''[[t]]$ for some field extension $k''$ of $k'$, and define
\[
\beta : k'[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]] \to k''[[t]]
\]
the continuous morphism, such that $\beta(x_i) = \lambda_i t^a$ ($\lambda_i \in k''$), for $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$, and $\beta(x_d) = t^b$.

So $\beta(G_1)$ is the Rees algebra in $k''[[t]][W]$ generated by $\{\beta(f_{N_i}) \cdot W^{N_i} | 1 \leq i \leq s\}$.

It follows that for $k''$ an infinite field, and for sufficiently general $\lambda_i \in k''$:
1') $\beta(f_{N_i})$ has order at least $aN_i$ in $k''[[t]]$.
2') $\beta(f_{N_0})$ has order $aN_i$ for some $f_{N_0} \cdot W^{N_0} \in \mathcal{F}_1$.
3') $\beta(g_{M_0})$ has order strictly smaller then $aM_0$.

Finally Claim 1 in Remark 6.8, where now $\lambda_{\beta(G_1)} = a$, asserts that $\beta(g_{M_0}) \cdot W^{M_0}$ is not integral over $\beta(G_1)$; so (6.12.3) can not hold. 

The following Theorem can also be proved using Hironaka’s theory on infinitely near points in [7]; a theory based on the behavior by monoidal transforms. Our proof relies on the previous development in this section, which will also be used for the proof of Theorem 6.14.

**Theorem 6.13.** Let $G_1 \subset G_2$ be an inclusion of Rees algebras on a smooth scheme $V$. Let $G(G_i)$ be the Diff-algebra spanned by $G_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). If $G_1 \subset G_2$ is a finite extension, then $G(G_1) \subset G(G_2)$ is a finite extension.

**Proof.** The inclusion $G(G_1) \subset G(G_2)$ is clear. We will argue locally at a point $x \in \text{Sing}(G_1)$, and we make use of the criterion in Proposition 6.11 to show that the extension is finite. Let $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{f_{N_i} \cdot W^{N_i}, \ldots, f_{N_s} \cdot W^{N_s}\}$ generate $G_1$ locally at $x$, and let $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{g_{M_1} \cdot W^{M_1}, \ldots, g_{M_t} \cdot W^{M_t}\}$ generate $G_2$.

Set $V' \xrightarrow{\pi} V$ where $V'$ is one dimensional, and let $x' \in V'$ map to $x$. Locally at $x'$ one can factor $\pi$ as $V' \subset V'' \xrightarrow{\phi} V$, so that $\phi : V'' \to V$ is smooth. Let $\phi^{-1}(G_1)$, $\phi^{-1}(G_2)$ denote the total transforms of $G_1$, $G_2$; and $\phi^{-1}(G(G_1))$, $\phi^{-1}(G(G_2))$ be the total transforms of $G(G_1)$, $G(G_2)$.

If $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ is a regular system of parameters at $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$, then $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ extends to a regular system of parameters, say $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d, \ldots, x_e\}$ at $\mathcal{O}_{V'',x'}$.

It is easy to check that
1) $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{f_{N_1} \cdot W^{N_1}, \ldots, f_{N_s} \cdot W^{N_s}\}$ generate $\phi^{-1}(G_1)$ locally at $\mathcal{O}_{V'',x'}$;
2) $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{g_{M_1} \cdot W^{M_1}, \ldots, g_{M_t} \cdot W^{M_t}\}$ generate $\phi^{-1}(G_2)$ at $\mathcal{O}_{V'',x'}$.
3) $\phi^{-1}(G(G_1))$ is the Diff-algebra generated by $\phi^{-1}(G_1)$.
4) $\phi^{-1}(G(G_2))$ is the Diff-algebra generated by $\phi^{-1}(G_2)$.
Therefore the setting at $V$ and at $V''$ is the same, and hence, in order to apply Proposition 6.11 we need only to show that given a finite extension $G_1 \subset G_2$, the restrictions of the Diff-algebras $G(G_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, to a smooth one dimensional scheme $V'$, have the same integral closure.

Lemma 6.6 says that the restriction of $G(G_i)$ to $V'$ is the Diff-algebra generated by $\text{Coeff}(G_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Remark 6.8 shows that for each index $i = 1, 2$, the Rees algebra $\text{Coeff}(G_i)$, and the Diff-algebra generated by $\text{Coeff}(G_i)$, have the same integral closure. So it suffices to show that $\text{Coeff}(G_1)$ and $\text{Coeff}(G_2)$ have the same integral closure, which was proved in Proposition 6.12. In fact, (1), (2), (3) and (4) show that the setting of Proposition 6.12 holds.

\textbf{Theorem 6.14.} Let $G_1 \subset G_2$ be an inclusion of Rees algebras on a smooth scheme $V$. Fix a smooth sub-scheme $Z \subset V$, and a local (or formal) retraction $V \to Z$. If $G_1 \subset G_2$ is a finite extension, then $\text{Coeff}(G_1) \subset \text{Coeff}(G_2)$ is also finite.

\textbf{Proof.} Set $\pi : C \to Z$ where $C$ is smooth and one dimensional, and let $x' \in C$ map to $x$. Locally at $x'$, one can factor $\pi$ as $C \subset Z_1 \overset{\phi}{\to} Z$, so that $\phi : Z_1 \to Z$ is smooth. The retraction of $V$ on $Z$, together with the morphism $Z_1 \to Z$, define by fiber products, a retraction say $V_1 \to Z_1$, and a smooth morphism, say $V_1 \to V$.

The total transform of $G_1 \subset G_2$ ($\subset \mathcal{O}_V[W]$) to say $G'_1 \subset G'_2 \subset \mathcal{O}_{V_1}[W]$ is again finite, and the construction of $\text{Coeff} \subset \mathcal{O}_Z[W]$ is compatible with base change. So

$$\text{Coeff}(G'_1) \subset \text{Coeff}(G'_2) \subset \mathcal{O}_{Z_1}[W]$$

is the total transform of

$$\text{Coeff}(G_1) \subset \text{Coeff}(G_2) \subset \mathcal{O}_Z[W].$$

By further restriction of $Z_1$ to $C$, we may assume that $Z_1$ is one dimensional. Theorem 6.14 follows now from Proposition 6.12.

\textbf{Theorem 6.15.} Let $G(=G(G))$ be a differential algebra defined on a smooth scheme $V$. Fix a point $x \in \text{Sing}(G)$, a smooth sub-scheme $Z \subset V$ containing $x$, and two local (or formal) retractions, say $\pi : V \to Z$ and $\pi' : V \to Z$ at $x$. If $\text{Coeff}(G)$ and $\text{Coeff}(G)'$ are defined in terms of $\pi$ and $\pi'$ respectively, then both define same differential algebra in $\mathcal{O}_{Z,x}[W]$.

\textbf{Proof.} Let $G(G)$ denote the differential algebra spanned by $G$ in the smooth scheme $V$. The claim is a corollary of 6.6.
7. Further applications

There is a particular but natural morphism among smooth schemes, namely that defined by blowing up closed and smooth centers (i.e. monoidal transformations). Given an ideal in a smooth scheme, there are several notions of transformations of sheaves of ideals defined in terms of monoidal transformations (e.g. total transforms, weak transforms, and strict transforms of ideals.).

Questions as resolution of singularities, or Log principalization of ideals, are formulated in terms of these notions of transformations. In the case of schemes over fields of characteristic zero, both resolution and Log principalization of ideals are two well known theorems due to Hironaka. If two ideals have the same integral closure, then a Log-principalization of one of them is also a Log-principalization of the other; the key point being that the transforms of both ideals also have the same integral closure.

Notions of transformations on ideals extend naturally to Rees algebras. And again, if two Rees algebras have the same integral closure, then their transforms are Rees algebras with the same integral closure.

Both theorems of Log-principalization of ideals and resolution of singularities are proved by induction on the dimension of the ambient space. In the setting of differential algebras this form of induction relates to the notion of restriction on a smooth sub-schemes, say $Z \subset V$ in Theorems 6.14.

The outcome of Theorems 6.15 is that such form of restriction on $Z$ is, up to integral closure, independent of the particular retraction. This result has been applied in [1], and plays a role in the extension of resolution theorems to Rees algebras. We refer here to [7] or [8] for the notions of transformations of differentiable algebras, and related results.

The results in this paper were also applied in [19], in relation with the study of hypersurface singularities over fields of positive characteristic.
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