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Abstract - Let $G$ be a quasi-Hermitian Lie group and let $\pi$ be a unitary highest weight representation of $G$ realized in a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of holomorphic functions. We study the Berezin symbol map $S$ and the corresponding Stratonovich-Weyl map $W$ which is defined on the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators acting on the space of $\pi$, generalizing some results that we have already obtained for the holomorphic discrete series representations of a semi-simple Lie group. In particular, we give explicit formulas for the Berezin symbols of the representation operators $\pi(g)$ (for $g \in G$) and $d\pi(X)$ (for $X$ in the Lie algebra of $G$) and we show that $S$ provides an adapted Weyl correspondence in the sense of [B. Cahen, Weyl quantization for semidirect products, Differential Geom. Appl. 25 (2007), 177-190]. Moreover, in the case when $G$ is reductive, we prove that $W$ can be extended to the operators $d\pi(X)$ and we give the expression of $W(d\pi(X))$. As an example, we study the case when $\pi$ is a generic unitary representation of the diamond group.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 22E46; 32M10; 81S10; 46E22; 32M15.

Keywords. Berezin quantization; Berezin transform; quasi-Hermitian Lie group; coadjoint orbit; unitary representation; holomorphic representation; reproducing kernel Hilbert space; coherent states; Weyl correspondence; Stratonovich-Weyl correspondence.

1. Introduction

In [11] and [12], we introduced the notion of adapted Weyl correspondence in order to generalize the usual Weyl quantization [1], [28]. Let us consider a connected Lie group $G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and a unitary irre-
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ducible representation of $G$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Assume that $\pi$ is associated with a coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ of $G$ by the Kirillov-Kostant method of orbits [34], [35]. In [13], we gave the following definition for the notion of adapted Weyl correspondence (see also [31]).

**Definition 1.1.** An adapted Weyl correspondence is an isomorphism $W$ from a vector space $A$ of complex-valued smooth functions on the orbit $\mathcal{O}$ (called symbols) onto a vector space $B$ of (not necessarily bounded) linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying the following properties:

1. The elements of $B$ preserve a fixed dense domain $D$ of $\mathcal{H}$;
2. The constant function 1 belongs to $A$, the identity operator $I$ belongs to $B$ and $W(1) = I$;
3. $A \in B$ and $B \in B$ implies $AB \in B$;
4. For each $f$ in $A$ the complex conjugate $\bar{f}$ of $f$ belongs to $A$ and the adjoint of $W(f)$ is an extension of $W(\bar{f})$;
5. The elements of $D$ are $C^\infty$-vectors for the representation $\pi$, the functions $\bar{X}$ (for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$) defined on $\mathcal{O}$ by $\bar{X}(\xi) = \langle \xi, X \rangle$ are in $A$ and $W(i\bar{X}) v = d\pi(X)v$ for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and each $v \in D$.

A typical example is the case when $G$ is a connected simply-connected nilpotent Lie group. Each coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}$ of $G$ is then diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ where $n = 1/2 \dim \mathcal{O}$, the unitary irreducible representation of $G$ associated with $\mathcal{O}$ can be realized in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the usual Weyl correspondence provides an adapted Weyl correspondence on $\mathcal{O}$ [4], [44]. Another construction of adapted Weyl correspondences in the nilpotent case can be found in [40]. Let us also mention that in [6] a Weyl correspondence on a finite dimensional coadjoint orbit of some infinite dimensional Lie group was used to recover the magnetic Weyl calculus.

Besides the nilpotent case, adapted Weyl correspondences have been constructed in various situations, in particular for principal series representations [11], [14], and for unitary representations of semi-direct products of the form $V \rtimes K$ where $K$ is a semi-simple Lie group acting linearly on a vector space $V$ [13], [21], [22]. Note that adapted Weyl correspondences have different applications in harmonic analysis and deformation theory as the construction of covariant star-products on coadjoint orbits [11] and the study of contractions of Lie group unitary representations [25], [17].

Another way to generalize the usual quantization rules is the notion of Stratonovich-Weyl correspondence [42], [29]. J. M. Gracia-Bondia, J. C.
Béraly and various collaborators have studied systematically Stratonovich-Weyl correspondences [29], [24], [27], [30] (see also [10]).

**Definition 1.2.** [29], [30] Let $G$ be a Lie group and $\pi$ a unitary representation of $G$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $M$ be a homogeneous $G$-space, for instance a coadjoint orbit $O$ associated with $\pi$ as above, and let $\mu$ be a (suitably normalized) $G$-invariant measure on $M$. Then a Stratonovich-Weyl correspondence for the triple $(G, \pi, M)$ is an isomorphism $W$ from a vector space of operators on $\mathcal{H}$ to a space of (generalized) functions on $M$ satisfying the following properties:

1. $W$ maps the identity operator of $\mathcal{H}$ to the constant function $1$;
2. the function $W(A^*)$ is the complex-conjugate of $W(A)$;
3. Covariance: we have $W(\pi(g)A\pi(g)^{-1})(x) = W(A)(g^{-1} \cdot x)$;
4. Traciality: we have

$$\int_M W(A)(x)W(B)(x)\,d\mu(x) = \text{Tr}(AB).$$

In fact, each of these two notions of Weyl correspondence has advantages and disadvantages. For physical applications and for the Fourier theory of $G$, it is convenient to use a Stratonovich-Weyl correspondence instead of an adapted Weyl correspondence [27], [10]. On the other hand, adapted Weyl correspondences have the advantage to connect $\pi$ and $O$ directly, that is suitable to study contractions.

When $G$ is a connected semi-simple non-compact real Lie group $G$ with finite center and $\pi$ is a holomorphic discrete series representation of $G$, we showed that the Berezin symbol calculus $S$ provides a $G$-equivariant adapted Weyl correspondence [18]. Moreover, $S$ is an isomorphism from the Hilbert space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $\mathcal{H}$ (endowed with the Hilbert-Schmidt norm) onto a space of square-integrable functions on $O$ and, in [20], we obtained a Stratonovich-Weyl correspondence $W$ by taking the isometric part in the polar decomposition of $S$, that is, $W := (SS^*)^{-1/2}S$. Note that $B := SS^*$ is the so-called Berezin transform which have been intensively studied, see for instance [26], [37], [38], [43], [45]. The idea of constructing Stratonovich-Weyl correspondences in such a way can be found in [27], see also [2] and [3]. In [20], we proved that $B$ hence $W$ can be extended to a class of functions which contains $S(d\pi(X))$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and that the linear forms $X \rightarrow S(d\pi(X))$ and $X \rightarrow W(d\pi(X))$ are proportional. The same results also hold for unitary irreducible representations of a compact semi-simple Lie group, see [16] and [19].
The aim of the present paper is to extend the preceding results to the more general setting of [36], Chapter XII. More precisely, we consider a quasi-Hermitian Lie group $G$ and a unitary representation $\pi$ of $G$ which is realized in a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on some complex domain $\mathcal{D}$. We introduce and study the corresponding Berezin calculus $S$ and we compute the Berezin symbols of $\pi(g)$ for $g \in G$ and of $d\pi(X)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ (Section 4). We show that the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}$ associated with $\pi$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{D}$ and that $S$ provides an adapted Weyl correspondence on $\mathcal{O}$ (Section 5).

When $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive, we show that the corresponding Berezin transform $B$ can be extended to a space of functions which contains, in particular, $S(d\pi(X))$ for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. This allows us to define $W(d\pi(X))$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. More precisely, consider the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = Z(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_n$ where $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the $\mathfrak{g}_j$ are simple ideals. Then we show that for each $j$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_j$ is non-compact there exists a constant $c_j > 0$ such that $W(d\pi(X)) = c_j S(d\pi(X))$ for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}_j$ (Section 6).

In the general case, it seems difficult to obtain an explicit expression for $W(d\pi(X))$. In Section 7 we illustrate the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable by taking $G$ to be the diamond group and $\pi$ to be a unitary irreducible representation of $G$ which is associated with a generic coadjoint orbit of $G$. In this case, the Berezin transform has a rather simple expression and we can compute $W(d\pi(X))$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then we see in particular that $W(d\pi(X))$ and $S(d\pi(X))$ are not related in the same way as in the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive.

2. Preliminaries

The material of this section and of the following section is essentially taken from the excellent book of K.-H. Neeb, [36], Chapter VIII and Chapter XII (see also [41], Chapter II and, for the Hermitian case, [32], Chapter VIII and [33], Chapter 6).

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a real quasi-Hermitian Lie algebra, that is, a real Lie algebra for which the centralizer in $\mathfrak{g}$ of the center $Z(\mathfrak{f})$ of a maximal compactly embedded subalgebra $\mathfrak{f}$ coincides with $\mathfrak{f}$ [36], p. 241. We assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is not compact. Let $\mathfrak{g}^c$ be the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{Z} = X + iY \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^* = -X + iY$ the corresponding involution. We fix a compactly embedded Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{f}$, [36], p. 241 and we denote by $\mathfrak{h}^c$ the corresponding Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^c$. We write $\Delta := \Delta(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{h}^c)$ for the set of roots of $\mathfrak{g}^c$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}^c$ and $\mathfrak{g}^c = \mathfrak{h}^c \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. 


for the root space decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}^c$. Note that $\alpha(\mathfrak{f}) \subset i\mathbb{R}$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$ [36], p. 233. Recall that a root $\alpha \in \Delta$ is called compact if $\alpha([Z, Z^*]) > 0$ holds for some element $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. All other roots are called non-compact [36], p. 235. We write $\Delta_k$, respectively $\Delta_p$, for the set of compact, respectively non-compact, roots. Note that $f^c = f^c + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_k} \alpha_\alpha$ [36], p. 235.

Recall also that a subset $\Delta^+ \subset \Delta$ is called a positive system if there exists an element $X_0 \in i\mathfrak{h}$ such that $\Delta^+ = \{ \alpha \in \Delta : \alpha(X_0) > 0 \}$ and $\alpha(X_0) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$. A positive system is then said to be adapted if for $\alpha \in \Delta_k$ and $\beta \in \Delta^+ \cap \Delta_p$ we have $\beta(X_0) > \alpha(X_0)$, [36], p. 236. Here we fix a positive adapted system $\Delta^+$ and we set $\Delta^+_p := \Delta^+ \cap \Delta_p$ and $\Delta^+_k := \Delta^+ \cap \Delta_k$, see [36], p. 241.

Let $G^c$ be a simply connected complex Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^c$ and $G \subset G^c$, respectively, $K \subset G^c$, the analytic subgroup corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}$, respectively, $f$. We also set $K^c = \exp(f^c) \subset G^c$ as in [36], p. 506.

Let $\mathfrak{p}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+_k} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{p}^- = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^-_p} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. We denote by $P^+$ and $P^-$ the analytic subgroups of $G^c$ with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{p}^+$ and $\mathfrak{p}^-$. Then $G$ is a group of the Harish-Chandra type [36], p. 507, that is, the following properties are satisfied:

1. $\mathfrak{g}^c = \mathfrak{p}^+ \oplus f^c \oplus \mathfrak{p}^-$ is a direct sum of vector spaces, $(\mathfrak{p}^+)^* = \mathfrak{p}^-$ and $[f^c, \mathfrak{p}^\pm] \subset \mathfrak{p}^\pm$;
2. The multiplication map $P^+K^cP^- \to G^c$, $(z, k, y) \to zky$ is a biholomorphic diffeomorphism onto its open image;
3. $G \subset P^+K^cP^-$ and $G \cap K^cP^- = K$.

Moreover, there exists an open connected $K$-invariant subset $D \subset \mathfrak{p}^+$ such that $GK^cP^- = \exp(D)K^cP^-$, [36], p. 497. We denote by $\zeta : P^+K^cP^- \to P^+$, $\kappa : P^+K^cP^- \to K^c$ and $\eta : P^+K^cP^- \to P^-$ the projections onto $P^+$, $K^c$- and $P^-$-component. For $Z \in \mathfrak{p}^+$ and $g \in G^c$ with $g \exp Z \in P^+K^cP^-$, we define the element $g \cdot Z$ of $\mathfrak{p}^+$ by $g \cdot Z := \log \zeta(g \exp Z)$. Note that we have $D = G \cdot 0$.

We also denote by $g \to g^*$ the involutive anti-automorphism of $G^c$ which is obtained by exponentiating $X \to X^*$. We denote by $p_{v^+}$, $p_{v^-}$ and $p_{v^0}$ the projections of $\mathfrak{g}^c$ onto $\mathfrak{p}^+$, $f^c$ and $\mathfrak{p}^-$ associated with the direct decomposition $\mathfrak{g}^c = \mathfrak{p}^+ \oplus f^c \oplus \mathfrak{p}^-$. The $G$-invariant measure on $D$ is $d\mu(Z) := \chi_0(\kappa(\exp Z^* \exp Z))d\mu_L(Z)$ where $\chi_0$ is the character on $K^c$ defined by $\chi_0(k) = \text{Det}_{v^+}(Adk)$ and $d\mu_L(Z)$ is a Lebesgue measure on $D$ [36], p. 538 (in fact, this result is proved in [36] under the assumption that $\mathfrak{p}^+$ is abelian but by adapting the arguments of [16] we see that the same result holds in the general case).
3. Representations

We keep the notation of Section 2. We fix a unitary character $\chi$ of $K$. We also denote by $\chi$ the extension of $\chi$ to $K^\circ$. We set $K_x(Z, W) = \chi(\kappa(\exp W^* \exp Z))^{-1}$ for $Z, W \in \mathcal{D}$ and $J_x(g, Z) = \chi(\kappa(g \exp Z))$ for $g \in G$ and $Z \in \mathcal{D}$. We consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_x$ of holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$||f||^2_x := \int_{\mathcal{D}} |f(Z)|^2 K_x(Z, Z)^{-1} d\mu(Z) < + \infty$$

In the rest of the paper, we assume that $\mathcal{H}_x \neq (0)$. Then $\mathcal{H}_x$ contains the polynomials [36], p. 546. Moreover, the formula

$$\pi_x(g)f(Z) = J_x(g^{-1}, Z)^{-1} f(g^{-1} \cdot Z)$$

defines a unitary representation of $G$ on $\mathcal{H}_x$ which is a highest weight representation with highest weight $\lambda := d\chi|_{\mathfrak{g}^\circ}$ [36], p. 540.

Note also that $\mathcal{H}_x$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space. More precisely, there exists a constant $c_\chi > 0$ such that if we set $e_Z(W) := c_\chi K_x(W, Z)$ then we have the reproducing property $f(Z) = \langle f, e_Z \rangle_x$ for each $f \in \mathcal{H}_x$ and each $Z \in \mathcal{D}$ [36], p. 540. Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$ denotes the inner product on $\mathcal{H}_x$.

Applying the reproducing property to the constant function $f(Z) = 1$ we see that $c_\chi$ is given by

$$c_\chi^{-1} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} K_x(Z, Z)^{-1} d\mu(Z).$$

The following lemma will be needed later.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_m$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{p}^+$ such that $E_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{z_j}$, where $z_j \in \Lambda^+_\mathfrak{g}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Then we have $\lambda([E_j^*, E_j]) < 0$ for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

**Proof.** For $Z \in \mathfrak{p}^+$, we write $Z = \sum_{j=1}^m z_j E_j$ and we set $p_j(Z) = z_j$. We also set $|Z| = \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |z_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}$.

First, we fix $j = 1, 2, \ldots m$. We note that if $k = \exp H$ with $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ then we have $p_j(\text{Ad}(k)Z) = e^{v_j(H)} p_j(Z)$. Then, performing the change of variables
$Z \to k \cdot Z = \text{Ad}(k)Z$ in the integral
\[
\langle 1, p_j \rangle_X = \int_{\mathcal{D}} z_j K_X(Z, Z)^{-1} \, d\mu(Z),
\]
we get $\langle 1, p_j \rangle_X = e^{-z(H)} \langle 1, p_j \rangle_X$ for each $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ hence $\langle 1, p_j \rangle_X = 0$.

Consequently, we can choose an orthonormal basis $(f^P)_{P \geq 0}$ of $\mathcal{H}_X$ such that $f_0(Z) = 1/\|1\|_X = c^1_X$ and $f_1(Z) = \|p_j\|^{-1} p_j(Z)$. It is well-known that the reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{H}_X$ is also given by $e_Z(W) = \sum_{l \geq 0} f_l(Z) f_l(W)$. Then we have
\[
e_Z(Z) = c_X \kappa(\exp Z^* \exp Z)^{-1} \geq c_X + \|p_j\|^{-2} |z_j|^2
\]
for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

On the other hand, by adapting the arguments of [15], Section 4, we get $\kappa(\exp Z^* \exp Z) = \exp (\kappa([Z^*, Z] + O(|Z|^3)))$ for $Z$ close to 0. Then we have
\[
c_X \kappa(\exp Z^* \exp Z)^{-1} = c_X (1 - \kappa([Z^*, Z] + O(|Z|^3)).
\]
Thus, combining (3.1) and (3.2) and applying to $Z = z_j E_j$ for $z_j$ close to 0, we obtain
\[
-\lambda([E_j^*, E_j])|z_j|^2 + O(|z_j|^3) \geq c^{-1}_X \|p_j\|^{-2} |z_j|^2.
\]
This implies that $\lambda([E_j^*, E_j]) < 0$ for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. \hfill \Box

Now, we give an explicit expression for the derived representation $d\pi_X$.
If $L$ is a Lie group and $X$ is an element of the Lie algebra of $L$ then we denote by $X^+$ the right invariant vector field on $L$ generated by $X$, that is,
\[
X^+(h) = \frac{d}{dt} (\exp tX) h|_{t=0} \text{ for } h \in L.
\]

By differentiating the multiplication map from $P^+ \times K^c \times P^-$ onto $P^+ K^c P^-$, we can easily prove the following result.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}^c$ and $g = z k y$ where $z \in P^+$, $k \in K^c$ and $y \in P^-$. We have
\[
(1) \quad d\kappa_g(X^+(g)) = (\text{Ad}(z) p_{v^+} (\text{Ad}(z^{-1}) X))^+ (z).
\]
\[
(2) \quad d\kappa_g(X^+(g)) = (p_{v^+} (\text{Ad}(z^{-1}) X))^+ (k).
\]
\[
(3) \quad d\kappa_g(X^+(g)) = (\text{Ad}(k^{-1}) p_{v^+} (\text{Ad}(z^{-1}) X))^+ (y).
\]

From this, we deduce the following proposition (see also [36], p. 515).

**Proposition 3.3.** For $X \in \mathfrak{g}^c$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_X$, we have
\[
d\pi_X(X)f(Z) = d\kappa(p_{v^+}(\text{Ad}((\exp Z)^{-1}) X))f(Z) - \frac{\text{ad} Z}{1 - e^{-\text{ad} Z}} p_{v^+} (e^{-\text{ad} Z} X).
\]
In particular, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive then

1. If $X \in \mathfrak{p}^+$ then $d\pi_\chi(X)f(Z) = -(df)_Z(X)$.
2. If $X \in \mathfrak{t}^c$ then $d\pi_\chi(X)f(Z) = d\chi(X)f(Z) + (df)_Z([Z, X])$.
3. If $X \in \mathfrak{p}^-$ then $d\pi_\chi(X)f(Z) = -d\chi([Z, X])f(Z) - \frac{1}{2}(df)_Z([Z, [Z, X]])$.

4. Berezin symbols

In this section, we introduce the Berezin calculus on $\mathcal{D}$ [8], [9] and we give explicit expressions for the Berezin symbols of $\pi_\chi(g)$, $g \in G$ and of $d\pi_\chi(X)$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}^c$ following the same lines as in [18].

Consider an operator (not necessarily bounded) $A$ on $\mathcal{H}_\chi$ whose domain contains $e_Z$ for each $Z \in \mathcal{D}$. Then the Berezin symbol of $A$ is the function $S_\chi(A)$ defined on $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$S_\chi(A)(Z) := \frac{\langle A e_Z, e_Z \rangle_\chi}{\langle e_Z, e_Z \rangle_\chi}.$$

We can verify that an operator is determined by its Berezin symbol and that if an operator $A$ has adjoint $A^*$ then we have $S_\chi(A^*) = \overline{S_\chi(A)}$ [8], [23]. Moreover, we have the following equivariance property.

**Lemma 4.1.** (1) For each $g \in G$ and each $Z \in \mathcal{D}$, we have $\pi_\chi(g)e_Z = J_\chi(g, Z)^{-1} e_{gZ}$.

(2) Let $A$ be an operator on $\mathcal{H}_\chi$ whose domain contains the coherent states $e_Z$ for each $Z \in \mathcal{D}$. Then, for each $g \in G$, the domain of $\pi_\chi(g^{-1})A\pi_\chi(g)$ also contains $e_Z$ for each $Z \in \mathcal{D}$ and we have

$$S_\chi(\pi_\chi(g^{-1})A\pi_\chi(g))(Z) = S_\chi(A)(g \cdot Z)$$

for each $g \in G$ and $Z \in \mathcal{D}$.

**Proof.** (1) For $g \in G$ and $Z, W \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$\langle e_W, \pi_\chi(g)e_Z \rangle_\chi = \langle \pi_\chi(g^{-1})e_W, e_Z \rangle_\chi = \langle \pi_\chi(g^{-1})e_W, Z \rangle$$

$$= J_\chi(g, Z)^{-1} e_W (g \cdot Z) = J_\chi(g, Z)^{-1} \langle e_W, e_Z \rangle_\chi.$$

Then we have $\pi_\chi(g)e_Z = J_\chi(g, Z)^{-1} e_{gZ}$.

(2) This is an immediate consequence of (1).
PROPOSITION 4.2. (1) For \( g \in G \) and \( Z \in \mathcal{D} \), we have
\[
S_\chi(\pi_\chi(g))(Z) = \chi(\kappa(\exp Z^* g^{-1} \exp Z)^{-1} \kappa(\exp Z^* \exp Z)).
\]

(2) For \( X \in \mathfrak{g}^c \) and \( Z \in \mathcal{D} \), we have
\[
S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(X))(Z) = d\chi(p_{\mathfrak{g}^c}(\text{Ad}(\zeta)(\exp Z^* \exp Z)^{-1} \exp Z^* X)).
\]

In particular, if \( \mathfrak{p}^+ \) is abelian and \( X \in \mathfrak{f}^c \), we have
\[
S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(X))(Z) = d\chi(X + p_{\mathfrak{f}^c}[\log \eta(\exp Z^* \exp Z), [X, Z]]).
\]

PROOF. (1) We have
\[
(\pi_\chi(g)e_Z, e_Z)_\chi = (\pi_\chi(g)e_Z)(Z) = \overline{J(g, Z)}^{-1} e_{gZ}(Z)
= c_\chi(\kappa(g \exp Z))^{-1} \chi(\kappa(\exp g \cdot Z^* \exp Z))^{-1}.
\]

Now, we write
\[
ge \exp Z = \exp (g \cdot Z) \kappa(g \exp Z) \eta(g \exp Z)
\]

Then we have
\[
\exp Z^* g^* \exp Z = \eta(g \exp Z)^* \kappa(g \exp Z)^* \exp (g \cdot Z)^* \exp Z.
\]

Thus, since \( g^* = g^{-1} \), we get
\[
\kappa(\exp Z^* g^{-1} \exp Z) = \kappa(\exp Z)^* \kappa(\exp (g \cdot Z)^* \exp Z)
\]

This gives
\[
(\pi_\chi(g)e_Z, e_Z)_\chi = c_\chi(\kappa(\exp Z^* g^{-1} \exp Z))^{-1}
\]

hence the result.

(2) The result easily follows from the computation of the derivative of the function \( S_\chi(\pi_\chi(\exp tX))(Z) \) at \( t = 0 \) by means of Lemma 3.2. □

5. Adapted Weyl correspondence

In this section, we show that the Berezin calculus \( A \to S_\chi(A) \) gives an adapted Weyl correspondence on the coadjoint orbit of \( G \) associated with \( \pi_\chi \).
Recall that \( \chi \) is a unitary character of \( K \). Then the linear extension of \( d\chi \in \mathfrak{f}^* \) to \( \mathfrak{f}^* \) (also denoted by \( d\chi \)) is zero on \( \mathfrak{g}_x \) for each \( x \in A_c \). Moreover we have \( d\chi(0) \in i\mathbb{R} \). Consider the linear form \( \zeta \) on \( \mathfrak{g}^* \) defined by \( \zeta = -i d\chi \) on \( \mathfrak{f}^* \) and \( \zeta = 0 \) on \( \mathfrak{p}^\pm \). Then we have \( \zeta(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathbb{R} \) and the restriction \( \zeta_0 \) of \( \zeta \) to \( \mathfrak{g}^* \) is an element of \( \mathfrak{g}_v^* \). We denote by \( \mathcal{O}(\zeta_0) \) the orbit of \( \zeta_0 \) in \( \mathfrak{g}_v^* \) for the coadjoint action of \( G \). Then we have the following result.

**Proposition 5.1.**

1. For each \( X \in \mathfrak{g}^c \) and each \( Z \in \mathcal{D} \), we have
   \[
   S(d\pi_\chi(X))(Z) = i\langle \psi_\chi(Z), X \rangle
   \]
   where \( \psi_\chi(Z) := \text{Ad}^*(\exp(-Z^*)\zeta(\exp Z^* \exp Z)) \zeta_0 \).
2. For each \( g \in G \) and each \( Z \in \mathcal{D} \), we have \( \psi(g \cdot Z) = \text{Ad}^*(g)\psi(Z) \).
3. The map \( \psi \) is a diffeomorphism from \( \mathcal{D} \) onto \( \mathcal{O}(\zeta_0) \).

**Proof.** Statement (1) is an immediate consequence of (2) of Proposition 4.2, and Statement (2) follows from Statement (1) and Lemma 4.1. In order to prove Statement (3), we first show that for \( Z \in \mathcal{D} \), the equality \( \psi_\chi(Z) = \zeta_0 \) implies \( Z = 0 \).

Assume that \( \psi_\chi(Z) = \zeta_0 \). Then we have \( \text{Ad}^*(\zeta(\exp Z^* \exp Z))\zeta_0 = \text{Ad}^*(\exp Z^*)\zeta_0 \). This implies that
\[
\langle \zeta_0, \text{Ad}(\zeta(\exp Z^* \exp Z)^{-1})Z \rangle = \langle \zeta_0, \text{Ad}(\exp(-Z^*)Z) \rangle.
\]
Thus, taking into account that \( \zeta_0|_{\mathfrak{p}^\pm} = 0 \), we find that \( \langle \zeta_0, [Z^*, Z] \rangle = 0 \). Applying Lemma 3.1, we get \( Z = 0 \).

Now, suppose that \( \psi_\chi(Z) = \psi_\chi(Z') \) for some \( Z, Z' \in \mathcal{D} \). Choose \( g, g' \in G \) such that \( \cdot 0 = Z \) and \( g' \cdot 0 = Z' \). By (2), we have \( \text{Ad}^*(g)\zeta_0 = \text{Ad}^*(g')\zeta_0 \). Then we have \( \text{Ad}^*(g^{-1}g')\zeta_0 = \zeta_0 \) and by (2) again we get \( \psi_\chi((g^{-1}g') \cdot 0) = \zeta_0 \). By using the assertion already proved, we obtain that \( (g^{-1}g') \cdot 0 = 0 \). Thus we have \( g^{-1}g' \in K^cP^- \cap G = K \). Hence \( Z = g \cdot 0 = g' \cdot 0 = Z' \). This proves that \( \psi_\chi \) is injective. By using (2) we see that \( \psi_\chi \) is also surjective hence bijective. It remains to show that \( \psi_\chi \) is regular. Using (2) again, it is sufficient to verify that \( \psi_\chi \) is regular at \( Z = 0 \). But, using Lemma 3.2, we easily obtain that
\[
\langle (d\psi_\chi)_0(V), X \rangle = \langle \zeta_0, [X, V - V^*] \rangle
\]
for each \( V \in \mathfrak{p}^+ \) and each \( X \in \mathfrak{g}^c \). Assuming that \( (d\psi_\chi)_0(V) = 0 \) for some \( V \in \mathfrak{p}^+ \) and taking \( X = V \) in the preceding equality, we get \( \langle \zeta_0, [V, V^*] \rangle = 0 \) hence \( V = 0 \) by Lemma 3.1. \( \square \)
6. Stratonovich-Weyl correspondence

We retain the notation from the previous sections. We denote by $L_2(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{X})$ the space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{X}$. It is well-known that the map $S_\mathcal{X}$ is a bounded operator from $L_2(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{X})$ into $L_2^2(\mathcal{D}, \mu)$ which is one-to-one and has dense range [39], [43].

The Berezin transform is the operator on $L_2^2(\mathcal{D})$ defined by $B_\mathcal{X} := S_\mathcal{X}^* S_\mathcal{X}$ or, equivalently, by the integral formula

$$\tag{6.1} B_\mathcal{X}F(Z) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} F(W) \frac{|\langle e_Z, e_W \rangle|^2_\mathcal{X}}{\langle e_Z, e_W \rangle} d\mu(W)$$

(see [8], [43], [45] for instance). As a consequence of the equivariance property for $S_\mathcal{X}$, $B_\mathcal{X}$ commute with $\rho(g)$ for each $g \in G$, where $\rho$ is the left-regular representation of $G$ on $L_2^2(\mathcal{D}, \mu_\mathcal{X})$.

Now, we introduce the polar decomposition of $S_\mathcal{X}$: $S_\mathcal{X} = (S_\mathcal{X} S_\mathcal{X}^*)^{1/2} W_\mathcal{X} = B_\mathcal{X}^{1/2} W_\mathcal{X}$ where $W_\mathcal{X} := B_\mathcal{X}^{-1/2} S_\mathcal{X}$ is a unitary operator from $L_2(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{X})$ onto $L_2^2(\mathcal{D}, \mu)$. We immediately obtain the following proposition which is analogous to Theorem 3 of [27] and Proposition 3.1 of [20]. Note that, by (2) of Proposition 5.1, the measure $\mu_0 := (\psi_\mathcal{X}^{-1})^* (\mu)$ is a $G$-invariant measure on $\mathcal{O}(\xi_0)$.

**Proposition 6.1.** 1) The map $W_\mathcal{X} : L_2(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{X}) \to L_2^2(\mathcal{D}, \mu_\mathcal{X})$ is a Stratonovich-Weyl correspondence for the triple $(G, \pi_\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$, that is, we have

- (1) $W(\pi_\mathcal{X}^* A^*) = W(A)$;
- (2) $W(\pi(g) A \pi(g)^{-1})(Z) = W(A)(g^{-1} \cdot Z)$;
- (3) $W_\mathcal{X}$ is unitary.

2) Similarly, the map $W_\mathcal{X} : L_2(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{X}) \to L_2^2(\mathcal{O}(\xi_0), \mu_0)$ defined by $W_\mathcal{X}(A) = W_\mathcal{X}(A) \circ \psi^{-1}$ is a Stratonovich-Weyl correspondence for the triple $(G, \pi_\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}(\xi_0))$.

Note that here we have relaxed the requirement that $W_\mathcal{X}$ maps the identity operator $I$ to the constant function $1$ (see Definition 1.2) since it is not adapted to the present setting where $I$ is not Hilbert-Schmidt. However, this requirement should be hold in some generalize sense, see [29].

In the rest of this section, we assume that $q$ is reductive. Then $p^+$ and $p^-$ are abelian, $[p^+, p^-] \subset \mathfrak{f}^c$ [36], p. 241 and $\mathcal{D}$ is bounded [36], p. 504. We will extend the Berezin transform to a class of functions which contains $S_\mathcal{X}(d\pi_\mathcal{X}(X))$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}^c$ in order to define and study $W_\mathcal{X}(d\pi_\mathcal{X}(X))$. 
We introduce some additional notation. Let \((E_z)_{z \in A_p^+}\) be a basis for \(p^+\) such that \(E_z \in g_z\) and \(\gamma(E^*_z, E_z) = 2\) for each \(z \in A_p^+\). Let \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_n\) be an enumeration of \(A_p^+\). We write \(Z = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k E_{\gamma_k}\) for the decomposition of \(Z \in p^+\) in the basis \((E_{\gamma_k})\). If \(f\) is a holomorphic function on \(D\), then we denote by \(\partial f\) the partial derivative of \(f\) with respect to \(z_k\). We say that a function \(f(Z)\) on \(D\) is a polynomial of degree \(q\) in the variable \(Z\) if \(f \left( \sum_{k=1}^n z_k E_{\gamma_k} \right)\) is a polynomial of degree \(q\) in the variables \(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n\).

For \(Z, W \in D\), we set \(l_Z(W) := \log \eta(\exp Z^* \exp W) \in p^-\).

**Lemma 6.2.**

(1) For \(Z, W \in D\) and \(V \in p^+\), we have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} e_Z(W + tV)|_{t=0} = -e_Z(W) d_Z([l_Z(W), V]).
\]

and, for \(Z, W \in D\) and \(V \in p^+\), we have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} l_Z(W + tV)|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2} \{l_Z(W), [l_Z(W), V]\}.
\]

(2) The function \((\partial_{\gamma_1} \partial_{\gamma_2} \cdots \partial_{\gamma_q} e_Z)(W)\) is of the form \(e_Z(W) P(l_Z(W))\) where \(P\) is a polynomial of degree \(\leq q\).

(3) For each \(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_q \in g^c\), the operator \(d\pi_{\gamma}(X_1 X_2 \cdots X_q)\) is a sum of terms of the form \(P(Z) \partial_{\gamma_1} \partial_{\gamma_2} \cdots \partial_{\gamma_q}\) where \(P\) is a polynomial in \(Z\) of degree \(\leq 2q\).

(4) Each holomorphic differential operator on \(D\) with polynomial coefficients has Berezin symbol. In particular, for each \(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_q \in g^c\), \(S_{\gamma}(d\pi_{\gamma}(X_1 X_2 \cdots X_q))\) is well-defined and is a sum of terms of the form \(P(Z) Q(l_Z(Z))\) where \(P\) is a polynomial of degree \(\leq 2q\) and \(Q\) is a polynomial of degree \(\leq q\).

**Proof.** We verify (1) by a routine computation using Lemma 3.2. (2) is deduced from (1) by induction on \(q\) and (3) follows from (2) and Proposition 3.3. Finally, (4) is a direct consequence of (2) and (3).\(\square\)

Let \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_r\) be a maximal orthogonal subset of \(A_p^+\) as in [36], p. 503. We set \(q_{\gamma} := -\max_{1 \leq s \leq r}(\lambda + \lambda_0)(E^*_{\gamma_s}, E_{\gamma_s})\) where \(\lambda_0 = d\pi_{\gamma}|_{g^c}\). The following proposition is analogous to Proposition 4.1 of [20].
PROPOSITION 6.3. If \( q \leq q_x \) then for each \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_q \in \mathfrak{g}^c \), the Berezin transform of \( S_x(d\pi_x(X_1X_2 \cdots X_q)) \) is well-defined.

PROOF. We imitate the proof of Proposition 4.1 of [20]. We fix \( Z \in D \) and we choose \( g \in G \) such that \( g \cdot 0 = Z \). By performing the change of variables \( W \to g \cdot W \) in the integral (6.1) we get

\[
(B_x F)(Z) = \int_D F(g \cdot W) \langle e_W, e_W \rangle_x^{-1} d\mu(W)
\]

\[
= \int_D F(g \cdot W) c_x(\chi, \chi_0)(\kappa(\exp W^* \exp W)) d\mu_L(W)
\]

Note that if \( F = S_x(d\pi_x(X_1X_2 \cdots X_q)) \) then we have \( F(g \cdot W) = S_x(d\pi_x(Y_1Y_2 \cdots Y_q))(W) \) where \( Y_k := \text{Ad}(g^{-1})X_k \) for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, q \). Now we verify that the condition \( q \leq q_x \) implies that the function

\[
W \to S_x(d\pi_x(Y_1Y_2 \cdots Y_q))(W)(\chi, \chi_0)(\kappa(\exp W^* \exp W))
\]

is bounded hence integrable on the bounded domain \( D \). By Lemma 6.2, the function \( S_x(d\pi_x(Y_1Y_2 \cdots Y_q)) \) is a sum of terms of the form \( P(W)Q(l_W(W)) \) where \( P \) is a polynomial and \( Q \) is a polynomial of degree \( \leq q \). By [36], p. 504, each \( W \in D \) can be written as \( W = \text{Ad}(k)\left( \sum_{s=1}^r t_s E_{\gamma_s} \right) \) where \( k \in K \) and \( t_s \in [1, 1] \) for \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, r \). From the orthogonality of the roots \( \gamma_s \), we get

\[
\log \eta(\exp W^* \exp W) = \text{Ad}(k)\left( \sum_{s=1}^r \frac{t_s}{1 - t_s^2} E_{\gamma_s}^* \right)
\]

and

\[
\kappa(\exp W^* \exp W) = k \exp \left( \sum_{s=1}^r \log \frac{1}{1 - t_s^2} [E_{\gamma_s}^*, E_{\gamma_s}] \right) k^{-1}.
\]

Then we have

\[
(\chi, \chi_0)(\kappa(\exp W^* \exp W)) = \prod_{s=1}^r (1 - t_s^2)^{-\frac{\nu}{2}(\lambda + \lambda_0)([E_{\gamma_s}^*, E_{\gamma_s}])}.
\]

Thus we see that the condition \( q \leq q_x \) implies that the functions

\[
W \to P(W)Q(l_W(W)) \langle \chi, \chi_0 \rangle(\kappa(\exp W^* \exp W))
\]

are bounded hence the result. \( \square \)
In particular, if \( q_\chi \geq 1 \) then the Berezin transform of \( S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(X)) \) is well-defined for \( X \in g^c \). In the rest of this section, we study \( B_\chi S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(X)) \) in order to define \( W_\chi(d\pi_\chi(X)) \).

Since \( g \) is reductive, we have the direct decomposition \( g = g_0 \oplus g_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus g_N \) where \( g_0 = Z(g) \), the ideals \( g_1, \ldots, g_m \) are non-compact and the ideals \( g_{m+1}, \ldots, g_N \) are compact.

Let \( j = m + 1, \ldots, N \). Note that \( g_j \subset \mathfrak{f} \). Then, since \( \chi \) is a unitary character of \( K \), we have \( \lambda(X) = d\chi(X) = 0 \) for each \( X \in g_j \). Moreover, for \( X \in g_j \) and \( Z \in \mathfrak{p}^+ \), we have \([X,Z] \in g_j \cap \mathfrak{p}^+ \subset \mathfrak{c}^c \cap \mathfrak{p}^+ = (0)\). Therefore, by using Proposition 3.3 we see that \( d\pi_\chi(X) = 0 \) for each \( X \in g_j \).

The following proposition is the generalization of Proposition 5.2 in [20].

**Proposition 6.4.** The Berezin transform maps \( S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(g^c)) \) onto itself and for each \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, m \) there exists a constant \( c_j > 0 \) such that \( B_\chi S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(X)) = c_j S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(X)) \) for each \( X \in g^c_j \).

**Proof.** First we consider the linear form \( b_\chi \) defined on \( g^c \) by

\[
(6.5) \quad b_\chi(X) := B_\chi S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(X))(0) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(X))(Z) \chi(\mathfrak{c}(\exp Z^* \exp Z)) \, d\mu(Z).
\]

Changing variables \( Z \rightarrow k^{-1} \cdot Z \) in this integral we see that \( b_\chi(\text{Ad}(k)X) = b_\chi(X) \) for each \( k \in K \) and each \( X \in g^c \). In particular, for \( X \in g_z \) and \( k = \exp H \) with \( H \in \mathfrak{h} \) we get \( \exp(\mathfrak{h}) b_\chi(X) = b_\chi(X) \). Then we see that \( b_\chi(X) = 0 \) for each \( X \in g_z \), \( z \in A \). Moreover, for each \( H \in \mathfrak{h}^c \), we have

\[
b_\chi(H) = c_\chi^{-1} \lambda(H) + \int_{\mathcal{D}} \lambda([\log \eta(\exp Z^* \exp Z), [H, Z]]) \chi(\mathfrak{c}(\exp Z^* \exp Z)) \, d\mu(Z).
\]

Now, as in [36], p. 552, we consider the decomposition \( \mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{D}_m \) corresponding to the direct decomposition \( g = g_0 \oplus g_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus g_N \). For \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), we also set \( \mathfrak{h}_j := \mathfrak{h} \cap g_j \), \( \lambda_j = \lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_j} \), etc. Then for each \( H \in \mathfrak{h}_j \) we have

\[
b_\chi(H) = c_\chi^{-1} \lambda_j(H) + \int_{\mathcal{D}_j} \lambda_j([\log \eta(\exp Z_j^* \exp Z_j), [H, Z_j]]) \chi_j(\mathfrak{c}(\exp Z_j^* \exp Z_j)) d\mu_j(Z_j),
\]

with obvious notation. Therefore, by [20], Proposition 5.1, there exists a constant \( c_j > 0 \) such that \( b_\chi(H) = c_j \lambda_j(H) \) for each \( H \in \mathfrak{h}_j \). This implies that \( B_\chi(S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(X)))(0) = c_j S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(X))(0) \) for each \( X \in g_j \). Finally, replacing \( X \) by \( \text{Ad}(g^{-1})X \) and applying equivariance (see Lemma 4.1) we obtain the desired result. \[\square\]
As a consequence of this proposition, we can define $B_{x}^{-1/2}$ on the space of functions \( \{ S_{x}(d\pi_{x}(X)) : X \in g^{\ast} \} \) and then $W_{x}$ on the space \( \{ d\pi_{x}(X) : X \in g^{\ast} \} \). More precisely, for each $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m$ we have $W_{x}(d\pi_{x}(X)) = e^{-j/2}S_{x}(d\pi_{x}(X))$ for each $X \in g^{\ast}$. Note that $c_{0} = 1$ but the explicit computation of the constants $c_{j}$ for $j > 0$ is not easy in general, see Section 6 of [20] for the case $G = SU(p, q)$.

7. Example: the diamond group

In this section we show by considering the case of the diamond group that, in general, one cannot expect to get results similar to those obtained in Section 6 for $g$ reductive.

We fix an integer $n \geq 1$. The diamond (real) Lie algebra $g$ is the semi-direct product of $\mathbb{R}$ with the $(2n + 1)$-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra. More precisely, $g$ has basis \( \{ H, \bar{Z}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n} \} \), the non-trivial brackets being given by

\[
[H, X_{k}] = -Y_{k}; \quad [H, Y_{k}] = X_{k}; \quad [X_{k}, Y_{k}] = \bar{Z}.
\]

Let $G$ be the connected and simply connected (real) Lie group with Lie algebra $g$. Then $G$ is a non-exponential solvable Lie group. Each $g \in G$ can be written uniquely as

\[
g = \exp tH \exp \left( \sum_{k} x_{k}X_{k} + \sum_{k} y_{k}Y_{k} + s\bar{Z} \right)
\]

where $t, s, x_{k}$ and $y_{k}$ are real numbers. Then we denote $g = (t, s, (x_{k}), (y_{k}))$. The group law of $G$ is given by

\[
(t, s, (x_{k}), (y_{k}))(t', s', (x'_{k}), (y'_{k})) = (t'' , s'', (x''_{k}), (y''_{k}))
\]

where

\[
t'' = t + t'
\]

\[
s'' = s + s' + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \left( \cos t'(x_{k}y'_{k} - x'_{k}y_{k}) - \sin t'(x_{k}x'_{k} + y_{k}y'_{k}) \right)
\]

\[
x''_{k} = x'_{k} + x_{k} \cos t' - y_{k} \sin t'
\]

\[
y''_{k} = y'_{k} + x_{k} \sin t' + y_{k} \cos t'.
\]

Let \( \{ H^{\ast}, \bar{Z}^{\ast}, X_{1}^{\ast}, \ldots, X_{n}^{\ast}, Y_{1}^{\ast}, \ldots, Y_{n}^{\ast} \} \) be the dual basis of $g^{\ast}$. The coadjoint action of $g = (t, s, (x_{k}), (y_{k})) \in G$ on $\zeta = [d, c, (a_{k}), (b_{k})] = dH^{\ast} + c\bar{Z}^{\ast} +$
\[ \sum_k a_k X_k^* + \sum_k b_k Y^* \] is given by Ad\(^+(g)\xi = [d', c', (a'_k), (b'_k)] \text{ where } c' = c \text{ and } \\

\[ d' = d + \frac{c}{2} \sum_k (x_k^2 + y_k^2) + \sum_k (a_k y_k - b_k x_k) \]

\[ a'_k = a_k \cos t + b_k \sin t - c(x_k \sin t - y_k \cos t) \]

\[ b'_k = -a_k \sin t + b_k \cos t - c(x_k \cos t + y_k \sin t). \]

From this, it follows that if a coadjoint orbit of \( G \) contains a point of the form \([d, 0, (a_k), (b_k)]\) then it is trivial or diffeomorphic to \( \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \). Moreover, if a coadjoint orbit contains a point \([d, c, (a_k), (b_k)]\) with \( c \neq 0 \) then it has dimension \( 2n \) and contains a unique point of the form \([d, c, (0), (0)]\) with \( c \neq 0 \). Such an orbit is called generic.

In the rest of this section, we fix \( \xi_0 = [d_0, c_0, (0), (0)] \in \mathfrak{g}^+ \) such that \( c_0 \neq 0 \) and we consider the generic coadjoint orbit \( \mathcal{O}(\xi_0) \) of \( \xi_0 \). We assume that \( c_0 > 0 \) (the case where \( c_0 < 0 \) can be treated similarly).

The subalgebra \( \mathfrak{h} = \text{span}\{H, \bar{Z}\} \) is a compactly embedded Cartan subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{g} \). Here we have \( \mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{h} \). We choose the positive root to be \( \alpha \), defined by \( \alpha(H) = -i \). Then we see that \( \mathfrak{p}^+ \) has basis \( (E_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n} \) where \( E_j = 1/2(X_j - iY_j) \). We write \( Z = \sum_j z_j E_j \) for the decomposition of \( Z \in \mathfrak{p}^+ \) in this basis.

The \( P^+K^cP^- \)-decomposition of \( g = (t, s, (x_k), (y_k)) \in G \) is given by

\[ g = \exp \sum_k a_k (X_k - iY_k) \exp (uH + v\bar{Z}) \exp \sum_k b_k (X_k + iY_k) \]

where \( u = t, \ v = s - (i/4) \sum_k (x_k^2 + y_k^2), \ a_k = 1/2(x_k + iy_k)e^{-it} \) and \( b_k = 1/2(x_k - iy_k) \).

From this, we deduce that if \( Z = \sum_j z_j E_j \) then we have

\[ \kappa(\exp Z^* \exp Z) = \exp \left( \frac{i}{2} |Z|^2 \bar{Z} \right) \]

where \( |Z| = \left( \sum_j |z_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \). Moreover the action of \((t, s, (x_k), (y_k)) \in G \) on \( Z = \sum_j z_j E_j \in \mathcal{D} \) is given by \( g \cdot Z = \sum_j (z_j + x_j + iy_j)e^{-it} E_j \). Note that here we have \( \mathcal{D} = \mathfrak{p}^+ \).

Since we have \([H, E_j] = -iE_j \) for each \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), we immediately see that \( \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{p}^+} \text{ad}(uH + v\bar{Z}) = -inu \) for each \( u, v \in \mathbb{C} \). The character \( \chi_0 \) is then \( \chi_0(\exp(uH + v\bar{Z})) = e^{-inu} \). In particular we have \( \chi_0(\kappa(\exp Z^* \exp Z)) = 1 \) for each \( Z \in \mathfrak{p}^+ \). The \( G \)-invariant measure on \( \mathcal{D} = \mathfrak{p}^+ \) is then the Lebesgue measure \( \mu_L \). Here we normalize \( \mu_L \) as follows. We take \( d\mu_L(Z) = (2\pi)^{-n} e^{n_0} dx_1 dy_1 \cdots dx_n dy_n \) where \( Z = \sum_j (x_j + iy_j)E_j, \ x_j, y_j \in \mathbb{R} \).
We consider the character $\chi$ of $K$ defined by $\chi(\exp(uH + v\tilde{Z})) = e^{i(duu + cvv)}$ for $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have $dZ|_t = i\xi_0|_t$. We can easily verify that

$$e_Z(W) = \chi^{-1}(\exp Z^* \exp W) = e^{\xi_0|_Z W}$$

with the notation $(W, Z) := \sum_j z_j w_j$ for $Z = \sum_j z_j E_j$ and $W = \sum w_j E_j$ in $\mathfrak{p}^+$. We also have

$$J(g, Z) = \exp i(d_0 t + c_0 s) \exp \left( \frac{c_0}{2} \sum_k z_k (x_k - iy_k) + \frac{c_0}{4} \sum_k (x_k^2 + y_k^2) \right)$$

for $Z \in \mathfrak{p}^+$ and $g = (t, s, (x_k), (y_k)) \in G$. Note that we have $c_\chi = 1$ here. Therefore, $\pi_\chi$ is given by

$$\pi_\chi(g)f(Z) = \exp i(d_0 t + c_0 s) \exp \left( -\frac{c_0}{4} \sum_k (x_k^2 + y_k^2) \right) \exp \left( \frac{c_0}{2} \sum_k z_k (x_k - iy_k) e^{it} \right) \times f \left( \sum_k (z_k e^{it} - x_k - iy_k) E_k \right)$$

for $Z \in \mathfrak{p}^+, g = (t, s, (x_k), (y_k)) \in G$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_\chi$. Note that the norm of $\mathcal{H}_\chi$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_\chi^2 = \int_{\mathfrak{p}^+} |f(Z)|^2 e^{-\xi_0|_Z Z^*} d\mu_\chi(Z).$$

We can verify that $\pi_\chi$ can be also obtained from $\mathcal{O}(\xi_0)$ by using the general method of [5], taking the positive polarization span$_{\mathbb{C}}\{H, \tilde{Z}, X_j + iY_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ at $\xi_0$, see [7], p. 189-190.

By differentiating $\pi_\chi$ we get

$$S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(H))(Z) = i d_0 + \frac{1}{2} i c_0 |Z|^2$$

$$S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(\tilde{Z}))(Z) = i c_0$$

$$S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(X_k))(Z) = \frac{1}{2} c_0 (z_k - \overline{z_k})$$

$$S_\chi(d\pi_\chi(Y_k))(Z) = -\frac{i}{2} c_0 (z_k + \overline{z_k}).$$

This gives

$$\psi(Z) = \left( d_0 + \frac{1}{2} c_0 |Z|^2 \right) H^* + c_0 \tilde{Z}^* + \sum_k c_0 \frac{z_k - \overline{z_k}}{2i} X_k^* - \sum_k c_0 \frac{z_k + \overline{z_k}}{2} Y_k^*. $$
Note that $\psi(Z) = \text{Ad}^*(g)\zeta_0$ where $g = (t, 0, \text{Re}(e^{it}Z), \text{Im}(e^{it}Z))$. Note also that the Berezin symbol of $\pi_{\gamma}(g)$ is

$$S_{\gamma}(\pi_{\gamma}(g))(Z) = \exp i(\text{d}ot + c_0s) \exp \left(-\frac{c_0}{2} |Z|^2 \right) \exp \left(-\frac{c_0}{4} \sum_k (x_k^2 + y_k^2) \right)$$

$$\times \exp \left(\frac{c_0}{2} e^{it} \sum_k z_k (x_k - i y_k) \right) \exp \left(\frac{c_0}{2} e^{it} |Z|^2 \right)$$

$$\times \exp \left(-\frac{c_0}{2} \sum_k (x_k + i y_k) \bar{z}_k \right)$$

for $g = (t, s, (x_k), (y_k)) \in G$ and $Z \in p^+$. The Berezin transform is given by

$$B_{\gamma}(F)(Z) = \int_{p^+} e^{\frac{\gamma_0}{2} (W, Z) + \langle Z, W \rangle - |Z|^2 - |W|^2} F(W) \, d\mu_L(W)$$

$$= \int_{p^+} e^{-\frac{\gamma_0}{2} |W|^2} F(Z - W) \, d\mu_L(W).$$

In particular, we consider the function $F_{\gamma, U}$ defined by $F_{\gamma, U}(Z) = e^{iy \text{Re}(Z, U)}$ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $U \in p^+$ such that $|U| = 1$. Then we have

$$B_{\gamma}(F_{\gamma, U})(Z) = F_{\gamma, U}(Z) \int_{p^+} e^{\frac{\gamma_0}{2} |W|^2} e^{-iy \text{Re}(W, U)} \, d\mu_L(W) = e^{-\frac{\gamma_0^2}{2c_0}} F_{\gamma, U}(Z).$$

On the other hand, let us introduce the Laplacian $L := 4 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_k}$. Clearly $L(F_{\gamma, U}) = -\gamma_0^2 F_{\gamma, U}$. Then $B_{\gamma}(F_{\gamma, U}) = \exp (L/2c_0)(F_{\gamma, U})$ for each $\gamma$, $U$ and we have recovered the well-known formula $B_{\gamma} = \exp (L/2c_0)$, see the introduction of [43] for instance. We are now in position to compute $W_{\gamma}(d\pi_{\gamma}(X))$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Indeed, one has

$$W_{\gamma}(d\pi_{\gamma}(X)) = B_{\gamma}^{-1/2} S_{\gamma}(d\pi_{\gamma}(X)) = \exp (-L/4c_0) S_{\gamma}(d\pi_{\gamma}(X)).$$

Then we immediately obtain $W_{\gamma}(d\pi_{\gamma}(X)) = S_{\gamma}(d\pi_{\gamma}(X))$ for $X = X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n, \bar{Z}$ and

$$W_{\gamma}(d\pi_{\gamma}(H)) = S_{\gamma}(d\pi_{\gamma}(H)) - \frac{1}{4c_0} L(S_{\gamma}(d\pi_{\gamma}(H))) = S_{\gamma}(d\pi_{\gamma}(H)) - \frac{1}{2} in.$$

In particular, we cannot find a basis $(X_j)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ for which there exists a family $(c_j)$ of real numbers such that $W_{\gamma}(d\pi_{\gamma}(X_j)) = c_j S_{\gamma}(d\pi_{\gamma}(X_j))$ for each $j$, as this is the case when $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive, see Section 6.
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